

# Nonlinear Fractional Parabolic Equations Problems in Bounded Domains

JUAN LUIS VÁZQUEZ

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid,  
and Real Academia de Ciencias



“Nonlocal PDES and Applications  
to Physics, Geometry and Probability”

ICTP Trieste

29 May 2017

- 1 Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 Fractional diffusion**
- 3 Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

# Outline

- 1 Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 Fractional diffusion**
- 3 Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

# Outline

- 1 **Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 **Fractional diffusion**
- 3 **Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 **Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 **Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

Diffusion equations describe how a continuous medium (say, a population) spreads to occupy the available space. Models come from all kinds of applications: fluids, chemicals, bacteria, animal populations, the stock market,...

These equations have occupied a large part of my research since 1980.

- The mathematical study of diffusion starts with the Heat Equation,

$$u_t = \Delta u$$

a linear example of immense influence in Science.

- The heat example is generalized into the theory of linear parabolic equations, which is nowadays a basic topic in any advanced study of PDEs.

Diffusion equations describe how a continuous medium (say, a population) spreads to occupy the available space. Models come from all kinds of applications: fluids, chemicals, bacteria, animal populations, the stock market,...

These equations have occupied a large part of my research since 1980.

- The mathematical study of diffusion starts with the **Heat Equation**,

$$u_t = \Delta u$$

a linear example of immense influence in Science.

- The heat example is generalized into the theory of linear parabolic equations, which is nowadays a basic topic in any advanced study of PDEs.

# Nonlinear equations

- However, the heat example and the linear models are not representative enough, since many models of science are nonlinear in a form that is **very not-linear**. A general model of nonlinear diffusion takes the divergence form

$$\partial_t H(u) = \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{A}}(x, u, Du) + \mathcal{B}(x, t, u, Du)$$

with monotonicity conditions on  $H$  and  $\nabla_p \vec{\mathcal{A}}(x, t, u, p)$  and structural conditions on  $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Posed in the 1960s (Serrin et al.)

- In this generality the mathematical theory is too rich to admit a simple description. This includes the big areas of **Nonlinear Diffusion** and **Reaction Diffusion**, where I have been working.

# Nonlinear equations

- However, the heat example and the linear models are not representative enough, since many models of science are nonlinear in a form that is **very not-linear**. A general model of nonlinear diffusion takes the divergence form

$$\partial_t H(u) = \nabla \cdot \vec{\mathcal{A}}(x, u, Du) + \mathcal{B}(x, t, u, Du)$$

with monotonicity conditions on  $H$  and  $\nabla_p \vec{\mathcal{A}}(x, t, u, p)$  and structural conditions on  $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Posed in the 1960s (Serrin et al.)

- In this generality the mathematical theory is too rich to admit a simple description. This includes the big areas of **Nonlinear Diffusion** and **Reaction Diffusion**, where I have been working.



# Nonlinear heat flows

- Many specific examples, now considered the “classical nonlinear diffusion models”, have been investigated to understand in detail the qualitative features and to introduce the quantitative techniques, that happen to be many and from very different origins
- Typical nonlinear diffusion: **Stefan Problem** (phase transition between two fluids like ice and water), **Hele-Shaw Problem** (potential flow in a thin layer between solid plates), **Porous Medium Equation**:  $u_t = \Delta(u^m)$ , **Evolution P-Laplacian Eqn**:  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ .
- Typical reaction diffusion: **Fujita model**  $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ .

# Nonlinear heat flows

- Many specific examples, now considered the “classical nonlinear diffusion models”, have been investigated to understand in detail the qualitative features and to introduce the quantitative techniques, that happen to be many and from very different origins
- Typical nonlinear diffusion: **Stefan Problem** (phase transition between two fluids like ice and water), **Hele-Shaw Problem** (potential flow in a thin layer between solid plates), **Porous Medium Equation**:  
 $u_t = \Delta(u^m)$ , **Evolution P-Laplacian Eqn**:  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ .
- Typical reaction diffusion: **Fujita model**  $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ .

# Nonlinear heat flows

- Many specific examples, now considered the “classical nonlinear diffusion models”, have been investigated to understand in detail the qualitative features and to introduce the quantitative techniques, that happen to be many and from very different origins
- Typical nonlinear diffusion: **Stefan Problem** (phase transition between two fluids like ice and water), **Hele-Shaw Problem** (potential flow in a thin layer between solid plates), **Porous Medium Equation**:  
 $u_t = \Delta(u^m)$ , **Evolution P-Laplacian Eqn**:  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ .
- Typical reaction diffusion: **Fujita model**  $u_t = \Delta u + u^p$ .

# Outline

- 1 **Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 **Fractional diffusion**
- 3 **Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 **Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 **Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

## Recent Direction. Fractional diffusion

- Replacing Laplacians by fractional Laplacians is motivated by the need to represent anomalous diffusion. In probabilistic terms, it replaces next-neighbour interaction of Random Walks and their limit, the Brownian motion, by long-distance interaction. The main mathematical models are the Fractional Laplacians that have special symmetry and invariance properties.
- The Basic evolution equation

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s u = 0$$

- Intense work in Stochastic Processes for some decades, but not in Analysis of PDEs until 10 years ago, initiated around Prof. Caffarelli in Texas.

## Recent Direction. Fractional diffusion

- Replacing Laplacians by fractional Laplacians is motivated by the need to represent anomalous diffusion. In probabilistic terms, it replaces next-neighbour interaction of Random Walks and their limit, the Brownian motion, by long-distance interaction. The main mathematical models are the Fractional Laplacians that have special symmetry and invariance properties.
- The Basic evolution equation

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s u = 0$$

- Intense work in Stochastic Processes for some decades, but not in Analysis of PDEs until 10 years ago, initiated around Prof. Caffarelli in Texas.

# The fractional Laplacian operator

- **Different formulas for fractional Laplacian operator.**

We assume that the space variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the fractional exponent is  $0 < s < 1$ . First, pseudo differential operator given by the Fourier transform:

$$(\widehat{-\Delta})^s u(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi)$$

- Singular integral operator:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

With this definition, it is the inverse of the Riesz integral operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s} u$ . This one has kernel  $C_1 |x - y|^{-n-2s}$ , which is not integrable.

- Take the random walk for Lévy processes:

$$u_j^{n+1} = \sum_k P_{jk} u_k^n$$

where  $P_{ik}$  denotes the transition function which has a . tail (i.e., power decay with the distance  $|i - k|$ ). In the limit you get an operator  $A$  as the infinitesimal generator of a Levy process: if  $X_t$  is the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process we have

$$Au(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}(u(x) - u(x + X_h))$$

# The fractional Laplacian operator

- **Different formulas for fractional Laplacian operator.**

We assume that the space variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the fractional exponent is  $0 < s < 1$ . First, pseudo differential operator given by the Fourier transform:

$$(\widehat{-\Delta})^s u(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi)$$

- Singular integral operator:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

With this definition, it is the inverse of the Riesz integral operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s} u$ . This one has kernel  $C_1 |x - y|^{n-2s}$ , which is not integrable.

- Take the random walk for Lévy processes:

$$u_j^{n+1} = \sum_k P_{jk} u_k^n$$

where  $P_{ik}$  denotes the transition function which has a . tail (i.e, power decay with the distance  $|i - k|$ ). In the limit you get an operator  $A$  as the infinitesimal generator of a Levy process: if  $X_t$  is the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process we have

$$Au(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}(u(x) - u(x + X_h))$$



# The fractional Laplacian operator

- **Different formulas for fractional Laplacian operator.**

We assume that the space variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the fractional exponent is  $0 < s < 1$ . First, pseudo differential operator given by the Fourier transform:

$$(\widehat{-\Delta})^s u(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi)$$

- Singular integral operator:

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

With this definition, it is the inverse of the Riesz integral operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s} u$ . This one has kernel  $C_1 |x - y|^{n-2s}$ , which is not integrable.

- Take the random walk for Lévy processes:

$$u_j^{n+1} = \sum_k P_{jk} u_k^n$$

where  $P_{ik}$  denotes the transition function which has a . tail (i.e, power decay with the distance  $|i - k|$ ). In the limit you get an operator  $A$  as the infinitesimal generator of a Levy process: if  $X_t$  is the isotropic  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process we have

$$Au(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}(u(x) - u(x + X_h))$$

# The fractional Laplacian operator II

- The  $\alpha$ -harmonic extension: Find first the solution of the  $(n + 1)$  problem

$$\nabla \cdot (y^{1-\alpha} \nabla v) = 0 \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad v(x, 0) = u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, putting  $\alpha = 2s$  we have

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -C_\alpha \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

When  $s = 1/2$  i.e.  $\alpha = 1$ , the extended function  $v$  is harmonic (in  $n + 1$  variables) and the operator is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the base space  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It was proposed in PDEs by Caffarelli and Silvestre, 2007.

This construction is generalized to other differential operators, like the harmonic oscillator, by Stinga and Torrea, Comm. PDEs, 2010.

- The semigroup formula in terms of the heat flow generated by  $\Delta$ :

$$(-\Delta)^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta} f(x) - f(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

# The fractional Laplacian operator II

- The  $\alpha$ -harmonic extension: Find first the solution of the  $(n + 1)$  problem

$$\nabla \cdot (y^{1-\alpha} \nabla v) = 0 \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \quad v(x, 0) = u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, putting  $\alpha = 2s$  we have

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = -C_\alpha \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

When  $s = 1/2$  i.e.  $\alpha = 1$ , the extended function  $v$  is harmonic (in  $n + 1$  variables) and the operator is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on the base space  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It was proposed in PDEs by Caffarelli and Silvestre, 2007.

This construction is generalized to other differential operators, like the harmonic oscillator, by Stinga and Torrea, Comm. PDEs, 2010.

- The semigroup formula in terms of the heat flow generated by  $\Delta$ :

$$(-\Delta)^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta} f(x) - f(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

# Fractional Laplacians on bounded domains

In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  all the previous versions are equivalent. In a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have to re-examine all of them. Two main alternatives are studied in probability and PDEs, corresponding to different options about what happens to particles at the boundary or what is the domain of the functionals. There are more alternatives.

- The restricted Laplacian. It is the simplest option. Functions  $f(x)$  defined in  $\Omega$  are extended by zero to the complement and then the whole space hypersingular integral is used

$$(-\Delta_{rest})^s f(x) = c_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

- The spectral Laplacian

$$(-\Delta_{sp})^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta_D} f(x) - f(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^s \hat{f}_j \varphi_j(x),$$

where  $(\lambda_j, \varphi_j), j = 1, 2, \dots$  are the normalized spectral sequence of the standard Dirichlet Laplacian  $\Delta_D$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\hat{f}_j$  are the Fourier coeff. of  $f$ .

- Analysis references for the whole space. Books by Landkof (1966-72), Stein (1970), Davies (1996). For Bounded Domains, see below.

# Fractional Laplacians on bounded domains

In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  all the previous versions are equivalent. In a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have to re-examine all of them. Two main alternatives are studied in probability and PDEs, corresponding to different options about what happens to particles at the boundary or what is the domain of the functionals. There are more alternatives.

- The restricted Laplacian. It is the simplest option. Functions  $f(x)$  defined in  $\Omega$  are extended by zero to the complement and then the whole space hypersingular integral is used

$$(-\Delta_{rest})^s f(x) = c_{n,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

- The spectral Laplacian

$$(-\Delta_{sp})^s f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta_D} f(x) - f(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^s \hat{f}_j \varphi_j(x),$$

where  $(\lambda_j, \varphi_j), j = 1, 2, \dots$  are the normalized spectral sequence of the standard Dirichlet Laplacian  $\Delta_D$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\hat{f}_j$  are the Fourier coeff. of  $f$ .

- Analysis references for the whole space. Books by Landkof (1966-72), Stein (1970), Davies (1996). For Bounded Domains, see below.

# Mathematical theory of the Fractional Heat Equation

- The Linear Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This model represents the linear flow generated by the so-called Lévy processes in Stochastic PDEs, where the transition from one site  $x_j$  of the mesh to another site  $x_k$  has a probability that depends on the distance  $|x_k - x_j|$  in the form of an inverse power for  $j \neq k$ . The power we take is  $c|x_k - x_j|^{-n-2s}$ . The range is  $0 < s < 1$ . The limit from random walk to the continuous equation is done by [E. Valdinoci](#), in *From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian*, Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. 49 (2009), 33-44.

- The solution of the linear equation can be obtained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by means of convolution with the fractional heat kernel

$$u(x, t) = \int u_0(y) P_t(x - y) dy,$$

and people in probability (like [Blumental](#) and [Gettoor](#)) proved in the 1960s that

$$P_t(x) \asymp \frac{t}{(t^{1/s} + |x|^2)^{(n+2s)/2}} \quad \Rightarrow \text{look at the fat tail.}$$

# Mathematical theory of the Fractional Heat Equation

- The Linear Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This model represents the linear flow generated by the so-called Lévy processes in Stochastic PDEs, where the transition from one site  $x_j$  of the mesh to another site  $x_k$  has a probability that depends on the distance  $|x_k - x_j|$  in the form of an inverse power for  $j \neq k$ . The power we take is  $c|x_k - x_j|^{-n-2s}$ . The range is  $0 < s < 1$ . The limit from random walk to the continuous equation is done by [E. Valdinoci](#), in *From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian*, Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. 49 (2009), 33-44.

- The solution of the linear equation can be obtained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by means of convolution with the fractional heat kernel

$$u(x, t) = \int u_0(y) P_t(x - y) dy,$$

and people in probability (like [Blumental](#) and [Gettoor](#)) proved in the 1960s that

$$P_t(x) \asymp \frac{t}{(t^{1/s} + |x|^2)^{(n+2s)/2}} \Rightarrow \text{look at the fat tail.}$$

# Mathematical theory of the Fractional Heat Equation

- The Linear Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This model represents the linear flow generated by the so-called Lévy processes in Stochastic PDEs, where the transition from one site  $x_j$  of the mesh to another site  $x_k$  has a probability that depends on the distance  $|x_k - x_j|$  in the form of an inverse power for  $j \neq k$ . The power we take is  $c|x_k - x_j|^{-n-2s}$ . The range is  $0 < s < 1$ . The limit from random walk to the continuous equation is done by [E. Valdinoci](#), in *From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian*, Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. 49 (2009), 33-44.

- The solution of the linear equation can be obtained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by means of convolution with the fractional heat kernel

$$u(x, t) = \int u_0(y) P_t(x - y) dy,$$

and people in probability (like [Blumental](#) and [Gettoor](#)) proved in the 1960s that

$$P_t(x) \asymp \frac{t}{(t^{1/s} + |x|^2)^{(n+2s)/2}} \quad \Rightarrow \text{look at the fat tail.}$$



- The paper

B. Barrios, I. Peral, F. Soria, E. Valdinoci. “A Widder’s type theorem for the heat equation with nonlocal diffusion” Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **213** (2014), no. 2, 629-650, studies the theory in classes of (maybe) large functions and studies the question: is every solution representable by the convolution formula. The answer is yes if the solutions are ‘nice’ strong solutions and the growth in  $x$  is no more than  $u(x, t) \leq (1 + |x|)^a$  with  $a < 2s$ .

- Our recent paper

M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. “Optimal Existence and Uniqueness Theory for the Fractional Heat Equation”, Arxiv:1606.00873v1

solves the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions when the initial data is a locally finite Radon measure with the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+2s)} d\mu(x) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Moreover we prove that any constructed solution by convolution, or any very weak solution  $u \geq 0$ , has an **initial trace**  $\mu$  which is a measure in the above class  $\mathcal{M}_s$ . So the result closes the problem of the Widder theory for the fractional heat equation posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- The paper goes on to tell what you want to know about this semigroup for nonnegative solutions. Arxiv is free.

- The paper

B. Barrios, I. Peral, F. Soria, E. Valdinoci. “A Widder’s type theorem for the heat equation with nonlocal diffusion” Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **213** (2014), no. 2, 629-650, studies the theory in classes of (maybe) large functions and studies the question: is every solution representable by the convolution formula. The answer is yes if the solutions are ‘nice’ strong solutions and the growth in  $x$  is no more than  $u(x, t) \leq (1 + |x|)^a$  with  $a < 2s$ .

- Our recent paper

M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. “Optimal Existence and Uniqueness Theory for the Fractional Heat Equation”, Arxiv:1606.00873v1

solves the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions when the initial data is a locally finite Radon measure with the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+2s)} d\mu(x) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Moreover we prove that any constructed solution by convolution, or any very weak solution  $u \geq 0$ , has an initial trace  $\mu$  which is a measure in the above class  $\mathcal{M}_s$ . So the result closes the problem of the Widder theory for the fractional heat equation posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- The paper goes on to tell what you want to know about this semigroup for nonnegative solutions. Arxiv is free.

- The paper

B. Barrios, I. Peral, F. Soria, E. Valdinoci. “A Widder’s type theorem for the heat equation with nonlocal diffusion” Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **213** (2014), no. 2, 629-650, studies the theory in classes of (maybe) large functions and studies the question: is every solution representable by the convolution formula. The answer is yes if the solutions are ‘nice’ strong solutions and the growth in  $x$  is no more than  $u(x, t) \leq (1 + |x|)^a$  with  $a < 2s$ .

- Our recent paper

M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. “Optimal Existence and Uniqueness Theory for the Fractional Heat Equation”, Arxiv:1606.00873v1

solves the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions when the initial data is a locally finite Radon measure with the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+2s)} d\mu(x) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Moreover we prove that any constructed solution by convolution, or any very weak solution  $u \geq 0$ , has an **initial trace**  $\mu$  which is a measure in the above class  $\mathcal{M}_s$ . So the result closes the problem of the Widder theory for the fractional heat equation posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- The paper goes on to tell what you want to know about this semigroup for nonnegative solutions. Arxiv is free.

- The paper

B. Barrios, I. Peral, F. Soria, E. Valdinoci. “A Widder’s type theorem for the heat equation with nonlocal diffusion” Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **213** (2014), no. 2, 629-650, studies the theory in classes of (maybe) large functions and studies the question: is every solution representable by the convolution formula. The answer is yes if the solutions are ‘nice’ strong solutions and the growth in  $x$  is no more than  $u(x, t) \leq (1 + |x|)^a$  with  $a < 2s$ .

- Our recent paper

M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. “Optimal Existence and Uniqueness Theory for the Fractional Heat Equation”, Arxiv:1606.00873v1

solves the problem of existence and uniqueness of solutions when the initial data is a locally finite Radon measure with the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{-(n+2s)} d\mu(x) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Moreover we prove that any constructed solution by convolution, or any very weak solution  $u \geq 0$ , has an **initial trace**  $\mu$  which is a measure in the above class  $\mathcal{M}_s$ . So the result closes the problem of the Widder theory for the fractional heat equation posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- The paper goes on to tell what you want to know about this semigroup for nonnegative solutions. Arxiv is free.

- *A detailed account of this talk*

J. L. Vázquez. *The mathematical theories of diffusion. Nonlinear and fractional diffusion*,  
to appear in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, CIME Summer Course  
2016.

- *A detailed account of this talk*

J. L. Vázquez. *The mathematical theories of diffusion. Nonlinear and fractional diffusion*,  
to appear in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, CIME Summer Course  
2016.

# Outline

- 1 **Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 **Fractional diffusion**
- 3 **Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 **Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 **Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

# Nonlocal nonlinear diffusion model I

- The model arises from the consideration of a continuum, say, a fluid, represented by a **density** distribution  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  that evolves with time following a **velocity field**  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , according to the continuity equation

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot (u \mathbf{v}) = 0.$$

- We assume next that  $\mathbf{v}$  derives from a potential,  $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p$ , as happens in fluids in porous media according to Darcy's law, and in that case  $p$  is the **pressure**. But potential velocity fields are found in many other instances, like Hele-Shaw cells, and other recent examples.
- We still need a closure relation to relate  $u$  and  $p$ . In the case of gases in porous media, as modeled by Leibenzon and Muskat, the closure relation takes the form of a state law  $p = f(u)$ , where  $f$  is a nondecreasing scalar function, which is linear when the flow is isothermal, and a power of  $u$  if it is adiabatic. The linear relationship happens also in the simplified description of water infiltration in an almost horizontal soil layer according to Boussinesq. In both cases we get the standard porous medium equation,  $u_t = c\Delta(u^2)$ . See PME Book for these and other applications (around 20!).



# Nonlocal nonlinear diffusion model I

- The model arises from the consideration of a continuum, say, a fluid, represented by a **density** distribution  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  that evolves with time following a **velocity field**  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ , according to the continuity equation

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot (u \mathbf{v}) = 0.$$

- We assume next that  $\mathbf{v}$  derives from a potential,  $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p$ , as happens in fluids in porous media according to Darcy's law, and in that case  $p$  is the **pressure**. But potential velocity fields are found in many other instances, like Hele-Shaw cells, and other recent examples.
- We still need a closure relation to relate  $u$  and  $p$ . In the case of gases in porous media, as modeled by Leibenzon and Muskat, the closure relation takes the form of a state law  $p = f(u)$ , where  $f$  is a nondecreasing scalar function, which is linear when the flow is isothermal, and a power of  $u$  if it is adiabatic. The linear relationship happens also in the simplified description of water infiltration in an almost horizontal soil layer according to Boussinesq. In both cases we get the standard porous medium equation,  $u_t = c\Delta(u^2)$ . See PME Book for these and other applications (around 20!).

# Nonlocal nonlinear diffusion model I

- The model arises from the consideration of a continuum, say, a fluid, represented by a **density** distribution  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  that evolves with time following a **velocity field**  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ , according to the continuity equation

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot (u \mathbf{v}) = 0.$$

- We assume next that  $\mathbf{v}$  derives from a potential,  $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p$ , as happens in fluids in porous media according to Darcy's law, and in that case  $p$  is the **pressure**. But potential velocity fields are found in many other instances, like Hele-Shaw cells, and other recent examples.
- We still need a closure relation to relate  $u$  and  $p$ . In the case of gases in porous media, as modeled by Leibenzon and Muskat, the closure relation takes the form of a state law  $p = f(u)$ , where  $f$  is a nondecreasing scalar function, which is linear when the flow is isothermal, and a power of  $u$  if it is adiabatic.

The linear relationship happens also in the simplified description of water infiltration in an almost horizontal soil layer according to Boussinesq. In both cases we get the standard porous medium equation,  $u_t = c\Delta(u^2)$ .

See PME Book for these and other applications (around 20!).

# Nonlocal nonlinear diffusion model I

- The model arises from the consideration of a continuum, say, a fluid, represented by a **density** distribution  $u(x, t) \geq 0$  that evolves with time following a **velocity field**  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ , according to the continuity equation

$$u_t + \nabla \cdot (u \mathbf{v}) = 0.$$

- We assume next that  $\mathbf{v}$  derives from a potential,  $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p$ , as happens in fluids in porous media according to Darcy's law, and in that case  $p$  is the **pressure**. But potential velocity fields are found in many other instances, like Hele-Shaw cells, and other recent examples.
- We still need a closure relation to relate  $u$  and  $p$ . In the case of gases in porous media, as modeled by Leibenzon and Muskat, the closure relation takes the form of a state law  $p = f(u)$ , where  $f$  is a nondecreasing scalar function, which is linear when the flow is isothermal, and a power of  $u$  if it is adiabatic.

The linear relationship happens also in the simplified description of water infiltration in an almost horizontal soil layer according to Boussinesq. In both cases we get the standard porous medium equation,  $u_t = c\Delta(u^2)$ .

See PME Book for these and other applications (around 20!).

## Nonlocal diffusion model. The problem

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects proposed in 2007 with Luis Caffarelli uses the derivation of the PME but with a closure relation of the form  $p = \mathcal{K}(u)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear integral operator, which we assume in practice to be the inverse of a fractional Laplacian. Hence,  $p$  is related to  $u$  through a fractional potential operator,  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta)^{-s}$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with kernel

$$k(x, y) = c|x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$$

(i.e., a Riesz operator). We have  $(-\Delta)^s p = u$ .

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects is thus given by the system

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p), \quad p = \mathcal{K}(u). \quad (2)$$

where  $u$  is a function of the variables  $(x, t)$  to be thought of as a density or concentration, and therefore nonnegative, while  $p$  is the pressure, which is related to  $u$  via a linear operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$

- The problem is posed for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $t > 0$ , and we give initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $u_0$  is a nonnegative, bounded and integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Papers and surveys by us and others are available, see below

## Nonlocal diffusion model. The problem

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects proposed in 2007 with Luis Caffarelli uses the derivation of the PME but with a closure relation of the form  $p = \mathcal{K}(u)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear integral operator, which we assume in practice to be the inverse of a fractional Laplacian. Hence,  $p$  is related to  $u$  through a fractional potential operator,  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta)^{-s}$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with kernel

$$k(x, y) = c|x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$$

(i.e., a Riesz operator). We have  $(-\Delta)^s p = u$ .

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects is thus given by the system

$$\boxed{u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p), \quad p = \mathcal{K}(u).} \quad (2)$$

where  $u$  is a function of the variables  $(x, t)$  to be thought of as a density or concentration, and therefore nonnegative, while  $p$  is the pressure, which is related to  $u$  via a linear operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$

- The problem is posed for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $t > 0$ , and we give initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $u_0$  is a nonnegative, bounded and integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Papers and surveys by us and others are available, see below

## Nonlocal diffusion model. The problem

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects proposed in 2007 with Luis Caffarelli uses the derivation of the PME but with a closure relation of the form  $p = \mathcal{K}(u)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear integral operator, which we assume in practice to be the inverse of a fractional Laplacian. Hence,  $p$  is related to  $u$  through a fractional potential operator,  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta)^{-s}$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with kernel

$$k(x, y) = c|x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$$

(i.e., a Riesz operator). We have  $(-\Delta)^s p = u$ .

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects is thus given by the system

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p), \quad p = \mathcal{K}(u). \quad (2)$$

where  $u$  is a function of the variables  $(x, t)$  to be thought of as a density or concentration, and therefore nonnegative, while  $p$  is the pressure, which is related to  $u$  via a linear operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$

- The problem is posed for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $t > 0$ , and we give initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $u_0$  is a nonnegative, bounded and integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Papers and surveys by us and others are available, see below

## Nonlocal diffusion model. The problem

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects proposed in 2007 with Luis Caffarelli uses the derivation of the PME but with a closure relation of the form  $p = \mathcal{K}(u)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a linear integral operator, which we assume in practice to be the inverse of a fractional Laplacian. Hence,  $p$  is related to  $u$  through a fractional potential operator,  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta)^{-s}$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with kernel

$$k(x, y) = c|x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$$

(i.e., a Riesz operator). We have  $(-\Delta)^s p = u$ .

- The diffusion model with nonlocal effects is thus given by the system

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p), \quad p = \mathcal{K}(u). \quad (2)$$

where  $u$  is a function of the variables  $(x, t)$  to be thought of as a density or concentration, and therefore nonnegative, while  $p$  is the pressure, which is related to  $u$  via a linear operator  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$

- The problem is posed for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $t > 0$ , and we give initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $u_0$  is a nonnegative, bounded and integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Papers and surveys by us and others are available, see below

# Nonlocal diffusion model

- The interest in using [fractional Laplacians](#) in modeling diffusive processes has a wide literature, especially when one wants to model long-range diffusive interaction, and this interest has been activated by the recent progress in the mathematical theory as a large number works on elliptic equations, mainly of the linear or semilinear type (Caffarelli school; Bass, Kassmann, and others)
- There are many works on the subject. Here is a good reference to fractional elliptic work by a young Spanish author [Xavier Ros-Otón](#). *Nonlocal elliptic equations in bounded domains: a survey*, Preprint in arXiv:1504.04099 [math.AP].



# Nonlocal diffusion Model I. Applications

- Modeling dislocation dynamics as a continuum. This has been studied by [P. Biler, G. Karch, and R. Monneau \(2008\)](#), and then other collaborators, following old modeling by [A. K. Head on \*Dislocation group dynamics II. Similarity solutions of the continuum approximation.\* \(1972\)](#). This is a one-dimensional model. By integration in  $x$  they introduce viscosity solutions a la Crandall-Evans-Lions. Uniqueness holds.
- Equations of the more general form  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (\sigma(u) \nabla \mathcal{L}u)$  have appeared recently in a number of applications in particle physics. Thus, [Giacomin and Lebowitz \(J. Stat. Phys. \(1997\)\)](#) consider a lattice gas with general short-range interactions and a Kac potential, and passing to the limit, the macroscopic density profile  $\rho(r, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \sigma_s(\rho) \nabla \frac{\delta F(\rho)}{\delta \rho} \right]$$

See also (GL2) and the review paper (GLP). The model is used to study phase segregation in (GLM, 2000).

- More generally, it could be assumed that  $\mathcal{K}$  is an operator of integral type defined by convolution on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the assumptions that is positive and symmetric. The fact the  $\mathcal{K}$  is a homogeneous operator of degree  $2s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , will be important in the proofs. An interesting variant would be the Bessel kernel  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta + cI)^{-s}$ . We are not exploring such extensions.

# Nonlocal diffusion Model I. Applications

- Modeling dislocation dynamics as a continuum. This has been studied by P. Biler, G. Karch, and R. Monneau (2008), and then other collaborators, following old modeling by A. K. Head on *Dislocation group dynamics II. Similarity solutions of the continuum approximation*. (1972). This is a one-dimensional model. By integration in  $x$  they introduce viscosity solutions a la Crandall-Evans-Lions. Uniqueness holds.
- Equations of the more general form  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (\sigma(u) \nabla \mathcal{L}u)$  have appeared recently in a number of applications in particle physics. Thus, Giacomini and Lebowitz (J. Stat. Phys. (1997)) consider a lattice gas with general short-range interactions and a Kac potential, and passing to the limit, the macroscopic density profile  $\rho(r, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \sigma_s(\rho) \nabla \frac{\delta F(\rho)}{\delta \rho} \right]$$

See also (GL2) and the review paper (GLP). The model is used to study phase segregation in (GLM, 2000).

- More generally, it could be assumed that  $\mathcal{K}$  is an operator of integral type defined by convolution on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the assumptions that is positive and symmetric. The fact the  $\mathcal{K}$  is a homogeneous operator of degree  $2s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , will be important in the proofs. An interesting variant would be the Bessel kernel  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta + cI)^{-s}$ . We are not exploring such extensions.

# Nonlocal diffusion Model I. Applications

- Modeling dislocation dynamics as a continuum. This has been studied by [P. Biler, G. Karch, and R. Monneau \(2008\)](#), and then other collaborators, following old modeling by [A. K. Head on \*Dislocation group dynamics II. Similarity solutions of the continuum approximation.\* \(1972\)](#). This is a one-dimensional model. By integration in  $x$  they introduce viscosity solutions a la Crandall-Evans-Lions. Uniqueness holds.
- Equations of the more general form  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (\sigma(u) \nabla \mathcal{L}u)$  have appeared recently in a number of applications in particle physics. Thus, [Giacomin and Lebowitz \(J. Stat. Phys. \(1997\)\)](#) consider a lattice gas with general short-range interactions and a Kac potential, and passing to the limit, the macroscopic density profile  $\rho(r, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \sigma_s(\rho) \nabla \frac{\delta F(\rho)}{\delta \rho} \right]$$

See also (GL2) and the review paper (GLP). The model is used to study phase segregation in (GLM, 2000).

- More generally, it could be assumed that  $\mathcal{K}$  is an operator of integral type defined by convolution on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the assumptions that is positive and symmetric. The fact the  $\mathcal{K}$  is a homogeneous operator of degree  $2s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , will be important in the proofs. An interesting variant would be the Bessel kernel  $\mathcal{K} = (-\Delta + cI)^{-s}$ . We are not exploring such extensions.

# Extreme cases

- If we take  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K} =$  the identity operator, we get the **standard porous medium equation**, whose behaviour is well-known, see references later.
- In the other end of the  $s$  interval, when  $s = 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{K} = -\Delta$  we get

$$u_t = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2, \quad -\Delta p = u. \quad (4)$$

In one dimension this leads to  $u_t = u_x p_x - u^2$ ,  $p_{xx} = -u$ . In terms of  $v = -p_x = \int u dx$  we have

$$v_t = u p_x + c(t) = -v_x v + c(t),$$

For  $c = 0$  this is the **Burgers equation**  $v_t + v v_x = 0$  which generates shocks in finite time but only if we allow for  $u$  to have two signs.

- **HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT.** The case  $s = 1$  in several dimensions is more interesting because it does not reduce to a simple Burgers equation.

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2; \quad , \quad p = (-\Delta)^{-1} u,$$

Applications in superconductivity and superfluidity, see paper with Serfaty and below.

# Extreme cases

- If we take  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K} =$  the identity operator, we get the [standard porous medium equation](#), whose behaviour is well-known, see references later.
- In the other end of the  $s$  interval, when  $s = 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{K} = -\Delta$  we get

$$u_t = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2, \quad -\Delta p = u. \quad (4)$$

In one dimension this leads to  $u_t = u_x p_x - u^2$ ,  $p_{xx} = -u$ . In terms of  $v = -p_x = \int u dx$  we have

$$v_t = u p_x + c(t) = -v_x v + c(t),$$

For  $c = 0$  this is the [Burgers equation](#)  $v_t + v v_x = 0$  which generates shocks in finite time but only if we allow for  $u$  to have two signs.

- **HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT.** The case  $s = 1$  in several dimensions is more interesting because it does not reduce to a simple Burgers equation.

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2; \quad , \quad p = (-\Delta)^{-1} u,$$

Applications in superconductivity and superfluidity, see paper with Serfaty and below.

# Extreme cases

- If we take  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{K} =$  the identity operator, we get the [standard porous medium equation](#), whose behaviour is well-known, see references later.
- In the other end of the  $s$  interval, when  $s = 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{K} = -\Delta$  we get

$$u_t = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2, \quad -\Delta p = u. \quad (4)$$

In one dimension this leads to  $u_t = u_x p_x - u^2$ ,  $p_{xx} = -u$ . In terms of  $v = -p_x = \int u dx$  we have

$$v_t = u p_x + c(t) = -v_x v + c(t),$$

For  $c = 0$  this is the [Burgers equation](#)  $v_t + v v_x = 0$  which generates shocks in finite time but only if we allow for  $u$  to have two signs.

- **HYDRODYNAMIC LIMIT.** The case  $s = 1$  in several dimensions is more interesting because it does not reduce to a simple Burgers equation.

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla p) = \nabla u \cdot \nabla p - u^2; \quad , \quad p = (-\Delta)^{-1} u,$$

Applications in superconductivity and superfluidity, see paper with Serfaty and below.

## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014

## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014



## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014

## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014

## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 15 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014

## Our first project. Results

- Existence of weak energy solutions and property of finite propagation  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Existence of self-similar profiles, renormalized Fokker-Planck equation and entropy-based proof of stabilization  
L. Caffarelli and J. L. Vázquez, *Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, appeared in Discrete Cont. Dynam. Systems, 2011; arXiv 2010.
- Regularity in three levels:  $L^1 \rightarrow L^2$ ,  $L^2 \rightarrow L^\infty$ , and bounded implies  $C^\alpha$   
L. Caffarelli, F. Soria, and J. L. Vázquez, *Regularity of porous medium equation with fractional diffusion*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** 5 (2013), 1701–1746. The very subtle case  $s = 1/2$  is solved in a new paper L. Caffarelli, and J. L. Vázquez, appeared in ArXiv and as Newton Institute Preprint, 2014

## Our first project. Results

- Limit  $s \rightarrow 1$  S. Serfaty, and J. L. Vazquez, *Hydrodynamic Limit of Nonlinear. Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, Calc. Var. PDEs **526**, online; arXiv:1205.6322v1 [math.AP], may 2012.

A presentation of this topic and results for the Proceedings from the Abel Symposium 2010.

- J. L. Vázquez. *Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*. in “Nonlinear partial differential equations: the Abel Symposium 2010”, Holden, Helge & Karlsen, Kenneth H. eds., Springer, 2012. Pp. 271–298.

Last reference is proving that the selfsimilar solutions of Barenblatt type (Caffareli-Vazquez, Biler-Karch-Monneau) are attractors with calculated rate in 1D

- *Exponential Convergence Towards Stationary States for the 1D Porous Medium Equation with Fractional Pressure*, by J. A. Carrillo, Y. Huang, M. C. Santos, and J. L. Vázquez. JDE, 2015.

Uses entropy analysis. Problem is open (and quite interesting in higher dimensions).

## Our first project. Results

- Limit  $s \rightarrow 1$  S. Serfaty, and J. L. Vazquez, *Hydrodynamic Limit of Nonlinear. Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, Calc. Var. PDEs **526**, online; arXiv:1205.6322v1 [math.AP], may 2012.

A presentation of this topic and results for the Proceedings from the Abel Symposium 2010.

- J. L. Vázquez. *Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*. in “Nonlinear partial differential equations: the Abel Symposium 2010”, Holden, Helge & Karlsen, Kenneth H. eds., Springer, 2012. Pp. 271–298.

Last reference is proving that the selfsimilar solutions of Barenblatt type (Caffareli-Vazquez, Biler-Karch-Monneau) are attractors with calculated rate in 1D

- *Exponential Convergence Towards Stationary States for the 1D Porous Medium Equation with Fractional Pressure*, by J. A. Carrillo, Y. Huang, M. C. Santos, and J. L. Vázquez. JDE, 2015.

Uses entropy analysis. Problem is open (and quite interesting in higher dimensions).

## Our first project. Results

- Limit  $s \rightarrow 1$  [S. Serfaty, and J. L. Vazquez](#), *Hydrodynamic Limit of Nonlinear. Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, Calc. Var. PDEs **526**, online; arXiv:1205.6322v1 [math.AP], may 2012.

A presentation of this topic and results for the Proceedings from the Abel Symposium 2010.

- [J. L. Vázquez](#). *Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*. in “Nonlinear partial differential equations: the Abel Symposium 2010”, Holden, Helge & Karlsen, Kenneth H. eds., Springer, 2012. Pp. 271–298.

Last reference is proving that the selfsimilar solutions of Barenblatt type (Caffareli-Vazquez, Biler-Karch-Monneau) are attractors with calculated rate in 1D

- *Exponential Convergence Towards Stationary States for the 1D Porous Medium Equation with Fractional Pressure*, by [J. A. Carrillo](#), [Y. Huang](#), [M. C. Santos](#), and [J. L. Vázquez](#). JDE, 2015.

Uses entropy analysis. Problem is open (and quite interesting in higher dimensions).

# Main estimates for this model

We recall that the equation of M1 is  $\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (u \nabla K(u))$ , posed in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We consider  $K = (-\Delta)^{-s}$  for some  $0 < s < 1$  acting on Schwartz class functions defined in the whole space. It is a positive essentially self-adjoint operator. We let  $H = K^{1/2} = (-\Delta)^{-s/2}$ .

*We do next formal calculations, assuming that  $u \geq 0$  satisfies the required smoothness and integrability assumptions. This is to be justified later by approximation.*

- Conservation of mass

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int u(x, t) dx = 0. \quad (5)$$

- First energy estimate:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int u(x, t) \log u(x, t) dx = - \int |\nabla Hu|^2 dx. \quad (6)$$

- Second energy estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |Hu(x, t)|^2 dx = -2 \int u |\nabla Ku|^2 dx. \quad (7)$$



# Main estimates

- Conservation of positivity:  $u_0 \geq 0$  implies that  $u(t) \geq 0$  for all times.
- $L^\infty$  estimate. We prove that the  $L^\infty$  norm does not increase in time.  
*Proof.* At a point of maximum of  $u$  at time  $t = t_0$ , say  $x = 0$ , we have

$$u_t = \nabla u \cdot \nabla P + u \Delta K(u).$$

The first term is zero, and for the second we have  $-\Delta K = L$  where  $L = (-\Delta)_q$  with  $q = 1 - s$  so that

$$\Delta K u(0) = -L u(0) = - \int \frac{u(0) - u(y)}{|y|^{n+2(1-s)}} dy \leq 0.$$

This concludes the proof.

- We did not find a clean comparison theorem, a form of the usual maximum principle is not proved for Model 1. [Good comparison works for Model 2 to be presented below](#), actually, it helps produce a very nice theory.
- Finite propagation is true for model M1. [Infinite propagation is true for model M2](#).

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u^m = 0,$$

the most recent member of the family, that we love so much.

# Boundedness

- Solutions are bounded in terms of data in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .  
 For Model 1 Use (the de Giorgi or the Moser) iteration technique on the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension as in Caffarelli-Vasseur.  
 Or use energy estimates based on the properties of the quadratic and bilinear forms associated to the fractional operator, and then the iteration technique
- **Theorem (for M1)** *Let  $u$  be a weak solution the IVP for the FPME with data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as constructed before. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\gamma \quad (8)$$

with  $\alpha = n/(n + 2 - 2s)$ ,  $\gamma = (2 - 2s)/((n + 2 - 2s))$ . The constant  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $s$ .

This theorem allows to extend the theory to data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$ , with global existence of bounded weak solutions.

# Boundedness

- Solutions are bounded in terms of data in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .  
 For Model 1 Use (the de Giorgi or the Moser) iteration technique on the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension as in Caffarelli-Vasseur.  
 Or use energy estimates based on the properties of the quadratic and bilinear forms associated to the fractional operator, and then the iteration technique
- **Theorem (for M1)** *Let  $u$  be a weak solution the IVP for the FPME with data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as constructed before. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\gamma \quad (8)$$

with  $\alpha = n/(n + 2 - 2s)$ ,  $\gamma = (2 - 2s)/((n + 2 - 2s))$ . The constant  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $s$ .

This theorem allows to extend the theory to data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$ , with global existence of bounded weak solutions.

# Boundedness

- Solutions are bounded in terms of data in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .  
 For Model 1 Use (the de Giorgi or the Moser) iteration technique on the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension as in Caffarelli-Vasseur.  
 Or use energy estimates based on the properties of the quadratic and bilinear forms associated to the fractional operator, and then the iteration technique
- **Theorem (for M1)** *Let  $u$  be a weak solution the IVP for the FPME with data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , as constructed before. Then, there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for every  $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)| \leq C t^{-\alpha} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\gamma \quad (8)$$

with  $\alpha = n/(n + 2 - 2s)$ ,  $\gamma = (2 - 2s)/((n + 2 - 2s))$ . The constant  $C$  depends only on  $n$  and  $s$ .

This theorem allows to extend the theory to data  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$ , with global existence of bounded weak solutions.

## Energy and bilinear forms

- Energy solutions:** The basis of the boundedness analysis is a property that goes beyond the definition of weak solution. The general energy property is as follows: for any  $F$  smooth and such that  $f = F'$  is bounded and nonnegative, we have for every  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(u(t_2)) dx - \int F(u(t_1)) dx &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int \nabla[f(u)]u \nabla p dx dt = \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int \nabla h(u) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u dx dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is a function satisfying  $h'(u) = uf'(u)$ . We can write the last integral as a bilinear form

$$\int \nabla h(u) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u dx = \mathcal{B}_s(h(u), u)$$

- This bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is defined on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,s} \iint \nabla v(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \nabla w(y) dx dy. \quad (9)$$

## Energy and bilinear forms

- Energy solutions:** The basis of the boundedness analysis is a property that goes beyond the definition of weak solution. The general energy property is as follows: for any  $F$  smooth and such that  $f = F'$  is bounded and nonnegative, we have for every  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(u(t_2)) dx - \int F(u(t_1)) dx &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int \nabla[f(u)]u \nabla p dx dt = \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int \nabla h(u) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u dx dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $h$  is a function satisfying  $h'(u) = uf'(u)$ . We can write the last integral as a bilinear form

$$\int \nabla h(u) \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u dx = \mathcal{B}_s(h(u), u)$$

- This bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is defined on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,s} \iint \nabla v(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \nabla w(y) dx dy. \quad (9)$$

# Energy and bilinear forms II

- This bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is defined on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,s} \iint \nabla v(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \nabla w(y) dx dy = \iint \mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) \nabla v(x) \nabla w(y) dx dy$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) = C_{n,s}|x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$  is the kernel of operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ .

- After some integrations by parts we also have

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,1-s} \iint (v(x) - v(y)) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2(1-s)}} (w(x) - w(y)) dx dy \quad (10)$$

since  $-\Delta \mathcal{N}_{-s} = \mathcal{N}_{1-s}$ .

- It is known (Stein) that  $\mathcal{B}_s(u, u)$  is an equivalent norm for the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{1-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will need in the proofs that  $C_{n,1-s} \sim K_n(1-s)$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ , for some constant  $K_n$  depending only on  $n$ .

# Energy and bilinear forms II

- This bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is defined on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,s} \iint \nabla v(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \nabla w(y) dx dy = \iint \mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) \nabla v(x) \nabla w(y) dx dy$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) = C_{n,s} |x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$  is the kernel of operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ .

- After some integrations by parts we also have

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,1-s} \iint (v(x) - v(y)) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2(1-s)}} (w(x) - w(y)) dx dy \quad (10)$$

since  $-\Delta \mathcal{N}_{-s} = \mathcal{N}_{1-s}$ .

- It is known (Stein) that  $\mathcal{B}_s(u, u)$  is an equivalent norm for the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{1-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will need in the proofs that  $C_{n,1-s} \sim K_n(1-s)$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ , for some constant  $K_n$  depending only on  $n$ .



# Energy and bilinear forms II

- This bilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_s$  is defined on the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,s} \iint \nabla v(x) \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2s}} \nabla w(y) dx dy = \iint \mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) \nabla v(x) \nabla w(y) dx dy$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{-s}(x, y) = C_{n,s} |x - y|^{-(n-2s)}$  is the kernel of operator  $(-\Delta)^{-s}$ .

- After some integrations by parts we also have

$$\mathcal{B}_s(v, w) = C_{n,1-s} \iint (v(x) - v(y)) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n+2(1-s)}} (w(x) - w(y)) dx dy \quad (10)$$

since  $-\Delta \mathcal{N}_{-s} = \mathcal{N}_{1-s}$ .

- It is known (Stein) that  $\mathcal{B}_s(u, u)$  is an equivalent norm for the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{1-s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We will need in the proofs that  $C_{n,1-s} \sim K_n(1-s)$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ , for some constant  $K_n$  depending only on  $n$ .

## Additional and Recent work, open problems

- The asymptotic behaviour as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is a very interesting topic developed in a paper with Luis Caffarelli. This was our first work (2008, published 2011). Rates of convergence are found in dimension  $n = 1$  (Carrillo, Huang, Santos, JLV) but they are not available for  $n > 1$ , they are tied to some functional inequalities that are not known.
- The study of the free boundary is in progress, but it is still open for small  $s > 0$ .
- The equation is generalized into  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u^{m-1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$  with  $m > 1$ . Recent work with D. Stan and F. del Teso shows that finite propagation is true for  $m \geq 2$  and propagation is infinite is  $m < 2$ . This is quite different from the standard porous medium case  $s = 0$ , where  $m = 1$  is the dividing value.
- Gradient flow in Wasserstein metrics is work by S. Lisini, E. Mainini and A. Segatti, just appeared in arXiv, *A gradient flow approach to the porous medium equation with fractional pressure*. Thanks to the Pavia people!  
Previous work by J. A. Carrillo et al. in  $n = 1$ .

## Additional and Recent work, open problems

- The asymptotic behaviour as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is a very interesting topic developed in a paper with Luis Caffarelli. This was our first work (2008, published 2011). Rates of convergence are found in dimension  $n = 1$  (Carrillo, Huang, Santos, JLV) but they are not available for  $n > 1$ , they are tied to some functional inequalities that are not known.
- The study of the free boundary is in progress, but it is still open for small  $s > 0$ .
- The equation is generalized into  $u_t = \nabla \cdot (u^{m-1} \nabla (-\Delta)^{-s} u)$  with  $m > 1$ . Recent work with D. Stan and F. del Teso shows that finite propagation is true for  $m \geq 2$  and propagation is infinite is  $m < 2$ . This is quite different from the standard porous medium case  $s = 0$ , where  $m = 1$  is the dividing value.
- Gradient flow in Wasserstein metrics is work by S. Lisini, E. Mainini and A. Segatti, just appeared in arXiv, *A gradient flow approach to the porous medium equation with fractional pressure*. Thanks to the Pavia people!  
Previous work by J. A. Carrillo et al. in  $n = 1$ .

- The questions of uniqueness and comparison are solved in dimension  $n = 1$  thanks to the trick of integration in space used by **Biler, Karch, and Monneau**. New tools are needed to make progress in several dimensions.
- Recent uniqueness results. Paper by X H Zhou, W L Xiao, J C Chen, *Fractional porous medium and mean field equations in Besov spaces*, EJDE 2014. They obtain local in time strong solutions in Besov spaces. Thus, for initial data in  $B_{1,\infty}^\alpha$  if  $1/2 \leq s < 1$  and  $\alpha > n + 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .
- The problem in a bounded domain with Dirichlet or Neumann data has not been studied.
- Good numerical studies are needed.

- The questions of uniqueness and comparison are solved in dimension  $n = 1$  thanks to the trick of integration in space used by [Biler, Karch, and Monneau](#). New tools are needed to make progress in several dimensions.
- Recent uniqueness results. Paper by [X H Zhou, W L Xiao, J C Chen](#), *Fractional porous medium and mean field equations in Besov spaces*, EJDE 2014. They obtain local in time strong solutions in Besov spaces. Thus, for initial data in  $B_{1,\infty}^\alpha$  if  $1/2 \leq s < 1$  and  $\alpha > n + 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ .
- The problem in a bounded domain with Dirichlet or Neumann data has not been studied.
- Good numerical studies are needed.

# Outline

- 1 **Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 **Fractional diffusion**
- 3 **Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 **Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 **Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

# FPME: Second model for fractional Porous Medium Flows

- An alternative natural equation is the equation that we will call FPME:

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u^m = 0. \quad (11)$$

- This model arises from stochastic differential equations when modeling for instance heat conduction with anomalous properties and one introduces jump processes into the modeling.

Understanding the physical situation looks difficult to me, but the modelling on linear and non linear fractional heat equations is done by

[Stefano Olla, Milton Jara and collaborators](#), see for instance

*M. D. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, Ann. Appl. Probab.* **19** (2009), no. 6,

2270–2300. *M. Jara, C. Landim, S. Sethuraman, Probab. Theory Relat.*

*Fields* **145** (2009), 565–590.

- Another derivation comes from boundary control problems and it appears in [Athanasopoulos, I.; Caffarelli, L. A.](#)

*Continuity of the temperature in boundary heat control problems, Adv. Math.* **224** (2010), no. 1, 293–315, where they prove  $C^\alpha$  regularity of the solutions.

# FPME: Second model for fractional Porous Medium Flows

- An alternative natural equation is the equation that we will call FPME:

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u^m = 0. \quad (11)$$

- This model arises from stochastic differential equations when modeling for instance heat conduction with anomalous properties and one introduces jump processes into the modeling.

Understanding the physical situation looks difficult to me, but the modelling on linear and non linear fractional heat equations is done by [Stefano Olla, Milton Jara and collaborators](#), see for instance *M. D. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, Ann. Appl. Probab.* **19** (2009), no. 6, 2270–2300. *M. Jara, C. Landim, S. Sethuraman, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **145** (2009), 565–590.

- Another derivation comes from boundary control problems and it appears in [Athanasopoulos, I.; Caffarelli, L. A.](#)

*Continuity of the temperature in boundary heat control problems, Adv. Math.* **224** (2010), no. 1, 293–315, where they prove  $C^\alpha$  regularity of the solutions.



# FPME: Second model for fractional Porous Medium Flows

- An alternative natural equation is the equation that we will call FPME:

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u^m = 0. \quad (11)$$

- This model arises from stochastic differential equations when modeling for instance heat conduction with anomalous properties and one introduces jump processes into the modeling.

Understanding the physical situation looks difficult to me , but the modelling on linear an non linear fractional heat equations is done by

[Stefano Olla, Milton Jara and collaborators](#), see for instance

*M. D. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **19** (2009), no. 6,

2270–2300. *M. Jara, C. Landim, S. Sethuraman*, Probab. Theory Relat.

Fields **145** (2009), 565–590.

- Another derivation comes from boundary control problems and it appears in [Athanasopoulos, I.; Caffarelli, L. A.](#)

*Continuity of the temperature in boundary heat control problems*, Adv. Math.

**224** (2010), no. 1, 293–315, where they prove  $C^\alpha$  regularity of the solutions.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  
Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V., in 2 papers appeared in *Advances in Mathematics* (2011) and *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2012).  
In the classical Bénéilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,  $C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0),  
Nonnegative solutions have infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support. But Model 1 with Caffarelli did have the compact support property.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  
Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V., in 2 papers appeared in *Advances in Mathematics* (2011) and *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2012).  
In the classical Bénéilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,  $C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0),  
Nonnegative solutions have infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support. But Model 1 with Caffarelli did have the compact support property.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V., in 2 papers appeared in *Advances in Mathematics* (2011) and *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2012). In the classical Bénéilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,  $C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0), Nonnegative solutions have infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support. But Model 1 with Caffarelli did have the compact support property.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  
Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by [A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V.](#), in 2 papers appeared in [Advances in Mathematics \(2011\)](#) and [Comm. Pure Appl. Math. \(2012\)](#).

In the classical Bénilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,

$C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0),

Nonnegative solutions have infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support. But Model 1 with Caffarelli did have the compact support property.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by [A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V.](#), in 2 papers appeared in [Advances in Mathematics \(2011\)](#) and [Comm. Pure Appl. Math. \(2012\)](#).

In the classical Bénilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,  $C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0),

Nonnegative solutions have infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support. But Model 1 with Caffarelli did have the compact support property.

# Mathematical theory of the FPME, Model 2

- The Problem is

$$u_t + (-\Delta)^s(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0$$

We take  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < m < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , with initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Normally,  $u_0, u \geq 0$ .

This second model, M2 here, represents another type of nonlinear interpolation, this time between

$$u_t - \Delta(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t + |u|^{m-1}u = 0$$

- A complete analysis of the Cauchy problem done by [A. de Pablo, F. Quirós, Ana Rodríguez, and J.L.V.](#), in 2 papers appeared in [Advances in Mathematics \(2011\)](#) and [Comm. Pure Appl. Math. \(2012\)](#).

In the classical Bénilan-Brezis-Crandall style, a semigroup of weak energy solutions is constructed, the  $L^1 - L^\infty$  smoothing effect works,

$C^\alpha$  regularity (if  $m$  is not near 0),

Nonnegative solutions have **infinite speed of propagation for all  $m$  and  $s \Rightarrow$  no compact support**. But Model 1 with Caffarelli **did have the compact support property**.

# Outline of work done for model M2

*Comparison of models M1 and M2 is quite interesting*

- Existence of self-similar solutions, paper [JLV](#), JEMS 2014. The fractional Barenblatt solution is constructed:

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} F(xt^{-\beta})$$

The difficulty is to find  $F$  as the solution of an elliptic nonlinear equation of fractional type.

$F$  has behaviour like a Blumental tail  $F(r) \sim r^{-(n+2s)}$  for  $m \geq 1$ , but **not for some fast diffusion  $m < 1$** . Asymptotic behaviour follows: the Barenblatt solution is an attractor.

- A priori upper and lower estimates of intrinsic, local type. Paper with [Matteo Bonforte](#) in [Advances Math.](#), 2014 for problems posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
- *Quantitative positivity and Harnack Inequalities follow.*

Against some prejudice due to the nonlocal character of the diffusion, we are able to obtain them here for fractional PME/FDE using a technique of weighted integrals to control the tails of the integrals in a uniform way. The novelty is the weighted functional inequalities.

Work on bounded domains is more recent, see below.



# Outline of work done for model M2

*Comparison of models M1 and M2 is quite interesting*

- Existence of self-similar solutions, paper [JLV](#), JEMS 2014. The fractional Barenblatt solution is constructed:

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} F(xt^{-\beta})$$

The difficulty is to find  $F$  as the solution of an elliptic nonlinear equation of fractional type.

$F$  has behaviour like a Blumental tail  $F(r) \sim r^{-(n+2s)}$  for  $m \geq 1$ , but **not for some fast diffusion  $m < 1$** . Asymptotic behaviour follows: the Barenblatt solution is an attractor.

- A priori upper and lower estimates of intrinsic, local type. Paper with [Matteo Bonforte](#) in [Advances Math., 2014](#) for problems posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
- *Quantitative positivity and Harnack Inequalities follow.*

Against some prejudice due to the nonlocal character of the diffusion, we are able to obtain them here for fractional PME/FDE using a technique of weighted integrals to control the tails of the integrals in a uniform way. The novelty is the weighted functional inequalities.

Work on bounded domains is more recent, see below.

# Outline of work done for model M2

*Comparison of models M1 and M2 is quite interesting*

- Existence of self-similar solutions, paper [JLV](#), JEMS 2014. The fractional Barenblatt solution is constructed:

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} F(xt^{-\beta})$$

The difficulty is to find  $F$  as the solution of an elliptic nonlinear equation of fractional type.

$F$  has behaviour like a Blumental tail  $F(r) \sim r^{-(n+2s)}$  for  $m \geq 1$ , but **not for some fast diffusion  $m < 1$** . Asymptotic behaviour follows: the Barenblatt solution is an attractor.

- A priori upper and lower estimates of intrinsic, local type. Paper with [Matteo Bonforte](#) in [Advances Math., 2014](#) for problems posed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
- *Quantitative positivity and Harnack Inequalities follow.*

Against some prejudice due to the nonlocal character of the diffusion, we are able to obtain them here for fractional PME/FDE using a technique of weighted integrals to control the tails of the integrals in a uniform way. The novelty is the weighted functional inequalities.

Work on bounded domains is more recent, see below.

- Existence of classical solutions and higher regularity for the FPME and the more general model

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s \Phi(u) = 0$$

Two works by [group PQRV](#). The first appeared at [J. Math. Pures Appl.](#) *treats the model case*  $\Phi(u) = \log(1 + u)$ , *which is interesting*. Second is general  $\Phi$  and is accepted 2015 in [J. Eur. Math. Soc.](#) It proves higher regularity for nonnegative solutions of this fractional porous medium equation.

Recent extension of  $C^\infty$  regularity to solutions in bounded domains by [M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, X. Ros-Oton](#), *Infinite speed of propagation and regularity of solutions to the fractional porous medium equation in general domains*, arxiv1510.03758.

- Symmetrization (Schwarz and Steiner). Collaboration with [Bruno Volzone](#), two papers at JMPA. *Applying usual symmetrization techniques is not easy and we have many open problems*. Recent collaboration with [Sire and Volzone](#) on the Faber Krahn inequality.

- Existence of classical solutions and higher regularity for the FPME and the more general model

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s \Phi(u) = 0$$

Two works by [group PQRV](#). The first appeared at [J. Math. Pures Appl.](#) *treats the model case  $\Phi(u) = \log(1 + u)$ , which is interesting.* Second is general  $\Phi$  and is accepted 2015 in [J. Eur. Math. Soc.](#) It proves higher regularity for nonnegative solutions of this fractional porous medium equation.

Recent extension of  $C^\infty$  regularity to solutions in bounded domains by [M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, X. Ros-Oton](#), *Infinite speed of propagation and regularity of solutions to the fractional porous medium equation in general domains*, arxiv1510.03758.

- Symmetrization (Schwarz and Steiner). Collaboration with [Bruno Volzone](#), two papers at JMPA. *Applying usual symmetrization techniques is not easy and we have many open problems.* Recent collaboration with [Sire and Volzone](#) on the Faber Krahn inequality.

- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with Diana Stan based on previous linear work of Cabré and Roquejoffre (2009, 2013).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll., and with my student Felix del Teso.
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms. Work by P. Biler and collaborators. Work by Stan, Teso and JLV (papers in CRAS, and a Journal Diff. Eqns., 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*

- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with [Diana Stan](#) based on previous linear work of [Cabré and Roquejoffre \(2009, 2013\)](#).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: [Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll.](#), and with my student [Felix del Teso](#).
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms. Work by [P. Biler and collaborators](#). Work by Stan, Teso and JLW (papers in CRAS, and a Journal Diff. Eqns., 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*

- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with [Diana Stan](#) based on previous linear work of [Cabré and Roquejoffre \(2009, 2013\)](#).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: [Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll.](#), and with my student [Felix del Teso](#).
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms. Work by [P. Biler and collaborators](#). Work by [Stan, Teso and JL](#) (papers in [CRAS](#), and a [Journal Diff. Eqns.](#), 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*

- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with [Diana Stan](#) based on previous linear work of [Cabré and Roquejoffre \(2009, 2013\)](#).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: [Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll.](#), and with my student [Felix del Teso](#).
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms. Work by [P. Biler and collaborators](#). Work by Stan, Teso and JLV (papers in CRAS, and a Journal Diff. Eqns., 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*



- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with [Diana Stan](#) based on previous linear work of [Cabré and Roquejoffre \(2009, 2013\)](#).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: [Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll.](#), and with my student [Felix del Teso](#).
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms.

Work by [P. Biler and collaborators](#). Work by Stan, Teso and JLJ (papers in CRAS, and a Journal Diff. Eqns., 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*

- The phenomenon of KPP propagation in linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion. Work with [Diana Stan](#) based on previous linear work of [Cabré and Roquejoffre \(2009, 2013\)](#).
- Numerics is being done by a number of authors at this moment: [Nochetto, Jakobsen, and coll.](#), and with my student [Felix del Teso](#).
- Extension of model M1 to accept a general exponent  $m$  so that the comparison of both models happens on equal terms. Work by [P. Biler and collaborators](#). Work by Stan, Teso and JLW (papers in CRAS, and a Journal Diff. Eqns., 2016) on

$$\partial_t u + \nabla(u^{m-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-s} u^p) = 0$$

Interesting question : *separating finite and infinite propagation.*

- A detailed account on such progress is obtained in the papers (cf. arxiv) and in the following reference that is meant as a [survey](#) for two-year progress on Model M2

*Recent progress in the theory of Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, by Juan Luis Vázquez. In “[Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic differential equations](#)”, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. - S* **7**, no. 4 (2014), 857–885.

- Fast diffusion and extinction. Very singular fast diffusion. Paper with [Bonforte and Segatti](#) in *CalcVar*. 2016, on non-existence due to instantaneous extinction.
- [fractional  \$p\$ -Laplacian flows](#) This is a rather new topic. The definition of the nonlocal  $p$ -laplacian operator was given in Mingione’s last talk as the Euler-Lagrange operator corresponding to a power-like functional with nonlocal kernel of the  $s$ -Laplacian type. There the aim is elliptic theory. Paper by JLV, 2015 in arXiv, appeared *JDE* 2016, [solves parabolic theory on bounded domains](#).
- Very degenerate nonlinearities, like the [Mesa Problem](#). This is the limit of NLPME with  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Paper by JLV, *Interfaces Free Bound*. 2015.

- A detailed account on such progress is obtained in the papers (cf. arxiv) and in the following reference that is meant as a [survey](#) for two-year progress on Model M2

*Recent progress in the theory of Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, by Juan Luis Vázquez. In “[Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic differential equations](#)”, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. - S* **7**, no. 4 (2014), 857–885.

- Fast diffusion and extinction. Very singular fast diffusion. Paper with [Bonforte and Segatti](#) in *CalcVar*. 2016, on non-existence due to instantaneous extinction.
- [fractional  \$p\$ -Laplacian flows](#) This is a rather new topic. The definition of the nonlocal  $p$ -laplacian operator was given in Mingione’s last talk as the Euler-Lagrange operator corresponding to a power-like functional with nonlocal kernel of the  $s$ -Laplacian type. There the aim is elliptic theory. Paper by JLV, 2015 in arXiv, appeared *JDE* 2016, [solves parabolic theory on bounded domains](#).
- Very degenerate nonlinearities, like the [Mesa Problem](#). This is the limit of NLPME with  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Paper by JLV, *Interfaces Free Bound*. 2015.

- A detailed account on such progress is obtained in the papers (cf. arxiv) and in the following reference that is meant as a [survey](#) for two-year progress on Model M2

*Recent progress in the theory of Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, by Juan Luis Vázquez. In “[Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic differential equations](#)”, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. - S* **7**, no. 4 (2014), 857–885.

- Fast diffusion and extinction. Very singular fast diffusion. Paper with [Bonforte and Segatti](#) in *CalcVar*. 2016, on non-existence due to instantaneous extinction.
- [fractional  \$p\$ -Laplacian flows](#) This is a rather new topic. The definition of the nonlocal  $p$ -laplacian operator was given in Mingione’s last talk as the Euler-Lagrange operator corresponding to a power-like functional with nonlocal kernel of the  $s$ -Laplacian type. There the aim is elliptic theory. Paper by JLV, 2015 in arXiv, appeared *JDE* 2016, [solves parabolic theory on bounded domains](#).
- Very degenerate nonlinearities, like the [Mesa Problem](#). This is the limit of NLPME with  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Paper by JLV, *Interfaces Free Bound*. 2015.

- A detailed account on such progress is obtained in the papers (cf. arxiv) and in the following reference that is meant as a [survey](#) for two-year progress on Model M2

*Recent progress in the theory of Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators*, by Juan Luis Vázquez. In “[Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic differential equations](#)”, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. - S* **7**, no. 4 (2014), 857–885.

- Fast diffusion and extinction. Very singular fast diffusion. Paper with [Bonforte and Segatti](#) in *CalcVar*. 2016, on non-existence due to instantaneous extinction.
- [fractional  \$p\$ -Laplacian flows](#) This is a rather new topic. The definition of the nonlocal  $p$ -laplacian operator was given in Mingione’s last talk as the Euler-Lagrange operator corresponding to a power-like functional with nonlocal kernel of the  $s$ -Laplacian type. There the aim is elliptic theory. Paper by JLV, 2015 in arXiv, appeared *JDE* 2016, [solves parabolic theory on bounded domains](#).
- Very degenerate nonlinearities, like the [Mesa Problem](#). This is the limit of NLPME with  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Paper by JLV, *Interfaces Free Bound*. 2015.

## Some future Directions

- Other nonlocal linear operators (hot topic)
- Elliptic theory (main topic, by many authors)
- Geostrophic flows (this is more related to Fluid Mechanics)
- Reaction-diffusion and blowup
- Geometrical flows, fractional Yamabe (MMar Gonzalez, Sire)
- Chemotaxis systems, ....

## Some future Directions

- Other nonlocal linear operators (hot topic)
- Elliptic theory (main topic, by many authors)
- Geostrophic flows (this is more related to Fluid Mechanics)
- Reaction-diffusion and blowup
- Geometrical flows, fractional Yamabe (MMar Gonzalez, Sire)
- Chemotaxis systems, ....



# Outline

- 1 **Linear and Nonlinear Diffusion**
  - Nonlinear equations
- 2 **Fractional diffusion**
- 3 **Nonlinear Fractional diffusion models**
  - Model I. A potential Fractional diffusion
  - Main estimates for this model
- 4 **Model II. Fractional Porous Medium Equation**
  - Some recent work
- 5 **Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains**

# Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains

- This work is recent and needs a different lecture. It comes from long time collaboration with [Matteo Bonforte](#), and recently with [Yannick Sire](#) and [Alessio Figalli](#).
- We develop a new programme for Existence, Uniqueness, Positivity, A priori bounds and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains, after examining very carefully the set of suitable concepts of FLO in a bounded domain.
- But the main issue is how many natural definitions we find of the FLO in a bounded domain.
- Then we use the “dual” formulation of the problem and the concept of weak dual solution. In brief, we use the linearity of the operator  $L$  to lift the problem to a problem for the potential function

$$U(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} u(y, t)G(x, y)dy$$

Where  $G$  is the elliptic Green function for  $L$ .

# Operators and Equations in Bounded Domains

- This work is recent and needs a different lecture. It comes from long time collaboration with [Matteo Bonforte](#), and recently with [Yannick Sire](#) and [Alessio Figalli](#).
- We develop a new programme for Existence, Uniqueness, Positivity, A priori bounds and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains, after examining very carefully the set of suitable concepts of FLO in a bounded domain.
- But the main issue is how many natural definitions we find of the FLO in a bounded domain.
- Then we use the “dual” formulation of the problem and the concept of weak dual solution. In brief, we use the linearity of the operator  $L$  to lift the problem to a problem for the potential function

$$U(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} u(y, t)G(x, y)dy$$

Where  $G$  is the elliptic Green function for  $L$ .

# Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- The **Restricted Fractional Laplacian operator (RFL)** is defined via the hypersingular kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , “restricted” to functions that are zero outside  $\Omega$ .

$$(-\Delta_{|\Omega})^s g(x) = c_{N,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(x) - g(z)}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dz, \quad \text{with } \text{supp}(g) \subset \bar{\Omega}.$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_{n,s} > 0$  is a normalization constant.

- $(-\Delta_{|\Omega})^s$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(\Omega)$  with a discrete spectrum:
- **EIGENVALUES:**  $0 < \bar{\lambda}_1 \leq \bar{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{\lambda}_j \leq \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \leq \dots$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_j \asymp j^{2s/N}$ .
- **EIGENFUNCTIONS:**  $\bar{\phi}_j$  are the normalized eigenfunctions, are only Hölder continuous up to the boundary, namely  $\bar{\phi}_j \in C^s(\bar{\Omega})$ .
- Lateral boundary conditions for the RFL:

$$u(t, x) = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega).$$

- The Green function  $G$  of RFL satisfies a strong behaviour condition (K4)

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta_\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta_\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = s$$

**References.**(K4) Bounds proven by Bogdan, Grzywny, Jakubowski, Kulczycki, Ryznar (1997-2010). Eigenvalues: Blumental-Gettoor (1959), Chen-Song (2005).

# Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- The **Restricted Fractional Laplacian operator (RFL)** is defined via the hypersingular kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , “restricted” to functions that are zero outside  $\Omega$ .

$$(-\Delta_{|\Omega})^s g(x) = c_{N,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g(x) - g(z)}{|x - z|^{n+2s}} dz, \quad \text{with } \text{supp}(g) \subset \bar{\Omega}.$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $c_{n,s} > 0$  is a normalization constant.

- $(-\Delta_{|\Omega})^s$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(\Omega)$  with a discrete spectrum:
- **EIGENVALUES:**  $0 < \bar{\lambda}_1 \leq \bar{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{\lambda}_j \leq \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \leq \dots$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_j \asymp j^{2s/N}$ .
- **EIGENFUNCTIONS:**  $\bar{\phi}_j$  are the normalized eigenfunctions, are only Hölder continuous up to the boundary, namely  $\bar{\phi}_j \in C^s(\bar{\Omega})$ .
- Lateral boundary conditions for the RFL:

$$u(t, x) = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega).$$

- The Green function  $G$  of RFL satisfies a strong behaviour condition (K4)

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta_\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta_\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = s$$

**References.**(K4) Bounds proven by Bogdan, Grzywny, Jakubowski, Kulczycki, Ryznar (1997-2010). Eigenvalues: Blumental-Gettoor (1959), Chen-Song (2005).

## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- The Spectral Fractional Laplacian operator (SFL)

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)^s g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^s \hat{g}_j \phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta_\Omega} g(x) - g(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

where  $\Delta_\Omega$  is the classical Dirichlet Laplacian on the domain  $\Omega$ ,

$$\hat{g}_j = \int_\Omega g(x) \phi_j(x) dx, \quad \text{with} \quad \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

- EIGENVALUES:  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1} \leq \dots$  and  $\lambda_j \asymp j^{2/n}$ .
- EIGENFUNCTIONS:  $\phi_j$  are as smooth as the boundary of  $\Omega$  allows, namely when  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^k$ , then  $\phi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Lateral boundary conditions for the SFL. They are better defined by using the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension adapted to bounded domain as a cylinder. Then we put  $U = 0$  on the lateral boundary  $x \in \partial\Omega, y > 0$ .
- The Green function of SFL satisfies a stronger assumption

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = 1$$

## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- The Spectral Fractional Laplacian operator (SFL)

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)^s g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^s \hat{g}_j \phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta_\Omega} g(x) - g(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

where  $\Delta_\Omega$  is the classical Dirichlet Laplacian on the domain  $\Omega$ ,

$$\hat{g}_j = \int_\Omega g(x) \phi_j(x) dx, \quad \text{with} \quad \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

- EIGENVALUES:  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1} \leq \dots$  and  $\lambda_j \asymp j^{2/n}$ .
- EIGENFUNCTIONS:  $\phi_j$  are as smooth as the boundary of  $\Omega$  allows, namely when  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^k$ , then  $\phi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Lateral boundary conditions for the SFL. They are better defined by using the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension adapted to bounded domain as a cylinder. Then we put  $U = 0$  on the lateral boundary  $x \in \partial\Omega, y > 0$ .
- The Green function of SFL satisfies a stronger assumption

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = 1$$

## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- The Spectral Fractional Laplacian operator (SFL)

$$(-\Delta_\Omega)^s g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^s \hat{g}_j \phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{t\Delta_\Omega} g(x) - g(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

where  $\Delta_\Omega$  is the classical Dirichlet Laplacian on the domain  $\Omega$ ,

$$\hat{g}_j = \int_\Omega g(x) \phi_j(x) dx, \quad \text{with} \quad \|\phi_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1.$$

- EIGENVALUES:  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \leq \lambda_{j+1} \leq \dots$  and  $\lambda_j \asymp j^{2/n}$ .
- EIGENFUNCTIONS:  $\phi_j$  are as smooth as the boundary of  $\Omega$  allows, namely when  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^k$ , then  $\phi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Lateral boundary conditions for the SFL. They are better defined by using the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension adapted to bounded domain as a cylinder. Then we put  $U = 0$  on the lateral boundary  $x \in \partial\Omega, y > 0$ .
- The Green function of SFL satisfies a stronger assumption

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = 1$$



## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- Censored Fractional Laplacians (CFL)

This is another option that has been introduced in 2003 by Bogdan, Burdzy and Chen. Definition

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} (f(x) - f(y)) \frac{a(x, y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{2} < s < 1,$$

where  $a(x, y)$  is a measurable, symmetric function bounded between two positive constants, satisfying some further assumptions; for instance  $a \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega})$ .

- The Green function  $G(x, y)$  satisfies condition K4, proven by Chen, Kim and Song (2010), in the form

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = s - \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Roughly speaking,  $s \in (0, 1/2]$  would correspond to Neumann boundary conditions.

## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- Censored Fractional Laplacians (CFL)

This is another option that has been introduced in 2003 by Bogdan, Burdzy and Chen. Definition

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} (f(x) - f(y)) \frac{a(x, y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{2} < s < 1,$$

where  $a(x, y)$  is a measurable, symmetric function bounded between two positive constants, satisfying some further assumptions; for instance  $a \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega})$ .

- The Green function  $G(x, y)$  satisfies condition K4, proven by Chen, Kim and Song (2010), in the form

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = s - \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Roughly speaking,  $s \in (0, 1/2]$  would correspond to Neumann boundary conditions.

## Fractional Laplacian operators on bounded domains

- Censored Fractional Laplacians (CFL)

This is another option that has been introduced in 2003 by Bogdan, Burdzy and Chen. Definition

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\Omega} (f(x) - f(y)) \frac{a(x, y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad \text{with } \frac{1}{2} < s < 1,$$

where  $a(x, y)$  is a measurable, symmetric function bounded between two positive constants, satisfying some further assumptions; for instance  $a \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega})$ .

- The Green function  $G(x, y)$  satisfies condition K4, proven by Chen, Kim and Song (2010), in the form

$$G(x, y) \asymp \frac{1}{|x - y|^{N-2s}} \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right) \left( \frac{\delta^\gamma(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \wedge 1 \right), \quad \text{with } \gamma = s - \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Roughly speaking,  $s \in (0, 1/2]$  would correspond to Neumann boundary conditions.

- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.

- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.

- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.

- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.

- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian. Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
 Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
 M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
 M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
 improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.



- We have presented 3 models of Dirichlet fractional Laplacian.  
 Put  $[a(x, y) = \text{const}]$  in the last case. The estimates (K4) show that they are of course **not equivalent**.
  
- **References.** K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, K., Z.-Q. Chen. *Censored stable processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2003).  
 Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, R. Song, *Two-sided heat kernel estimates for censored stable-like processes*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2010).  
 M. Bonforte, J. L. Vázquez. *A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **218**, no 1 (2015), 317–362.  
 M. Bonforte, Y. Sire, J. L. Vázquez. *Existence, Uniqueness and Asymptotic behaviour for fractional porous medium equations on bounded domains*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.-A **35** (2015), no. 12, 5725–5767.
  
- **Last work.** M. Bonforte, A. Figalli, J. L. Vázquez. *Sharp global estimates for local and nonlocal porous medium-type equations in bounded domains*, arXiv:1610.09881. October 2016,  
 improved with numerics Jan 2017, done at BCAM by my former student Félix del Teso and collaborators.



The detailed analysis of existence and uniqueness of solutions for a large class of integro-differential operators, plus sharp decay and decay and boundary behaviour is done in the last paper.

It is reported in the following Talk:

[Nonlinear and Nonlocal Degenerate Diffusions on Bounded Domains](#),  
given by [Matteo Bonforte](#), [matteo.bonforte@uam.es](mailto:matteo.bonforte@uam.es)

at

*2016-17 Warwick EPSRC Symposium: Non-local Equations and Fractional Diffusion*, Mathematical Institute, University of Warwick, UK  
May 26, 2017

Thank you for your attention

