



#### **Analysis of the CREOLE Experiment Using MCNP6.1**

#### **Code and ENDF/B-VII.1 Library**

Laboratory of Radiations and Nuclear Systemes Abdelmalek Essaadi University of Tetouan (Morocco) Sanae EL OUAHDANI







- I Introduction
- **II CREOLE Experiment**
- **III Materials & Methods**
- **IV MCNP Model of CREOLE** 
  - **V** Theoretical considerations
- **VI Results & Interpretations**
- **VII Conclusion**





- ✓ The reactivity Temperature Coefficient (RTC) is an important parameter in design, control and safety of Light Water Reactors.
- ✓ For safety considerations, the RTC is desired to be negative throughout the core life.
- ✓ The calculation of the RTC is rather a complicated problem because it results from the combination of several negative and positive contributions from different physical phenomena related to the temperature change.
- ✓ it is important to validate any reactor calculation tool and any nuclear data library for an accurate prediction of this parameter.
- ✓ The objective of the present work is to perform the analysis of the CREOLE experiment using the Monte Carlo code in new version MCNP6.1 and its associated ENDF/B-VII.1 cross section library, in order to check the accuracy of new cross section library for the RTC calculations.



### **CREOLE Experiment**



CREOLE performed in the EOLE facility at CEA-Cadarache during the two last years of the seventies, this experiment is the most representative of the operating conditions of a large PWR power reactor; Water moderated  $UO_2$  lattices was investigated for the temperature range starting from room temperature up to (300°C).



Axial cross section of CREOLE reactor



### **CREOLE Experiment**



#### Fuel rod compositions for the central loop and the driver core at room temperature.

Paramètres	Central loop	Driver core
Fuel material	UO <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub>
Fuel density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	10.28 ± 0.02	10.25
<sup>234</sup> U (wt.%)	0.0304	3.04633E-02
<sup>238</sup> U (wt.%)	96.8696	85.0305
<sup>235</sup> U (wt.%)	3.10 ± 0.01	3,5
Fuel pellet radius (cm)	0.4098	0.3524
Pitch (cm)	1.260 ± 0.003	1.430 ± 0.005
Fuel colomn height (cm)	70.0	80.0
Clad material	Zircolloy-2	AG3 or stainless steel (304L)
Clad tickness (cm)	0,06	0,074
Cladding outer radius (cm)	0.478	0,535

#### Critical sizes at room temperature

Core configuration	Driver-core temperature (°C)	Central-loop temperature (°C)	Central-loop pressure (bar)	Doubling time (s)	Residual Reactivity (pcm)	Driver-core size (fuel rods)
UO <sub>2</sub> 1166 ppm boron	19.6 ± 0.2	21.83 ± 0.2	66.5 ± 0.5	6.86 ± 0.2	316 ± 13	1772



#### **MCNP Model of EOLE**



Radial cross section of the CREOLE model using MCNP6.1.



Axial cross section of the CREOLE model using MCNP6.1 .



### **MCNP Model of EOLE**



Experimental reactivity measurements (UO<sub>2</sub> lattice with 1166 ppm of boron)

Driver-core	Driver-core	Central-loop	Central-loop	Doubling	Reactivity
size	(°C)	(°C)	(bar)	time (s)	(pcm)
	19.6	21.83	66.5	6.86	298.30
	19.6	33.83	66.5	6.83	298.90
	19.7	44.03	66.5	6.81	299.26
	19.7	63.87	67.0	6.77	300.05
4770	19.7	86.83	67.7	6.74	300.63
1//2	19.7	116.61	69.0	6.77	300.04
álámonto	19.75	146.37	78.7	6.90	297.53
elements	19.75	175.60	72.9	7.13	293.21
_	19.8	206.73	75.7	7.57	285.38
_	19.8	237.46	79.2	8.28	273.80
	19.85	269.30	84.4	9.54	255.91
	19.85	296.68	91.6	11.51	233.01



#### **Theoretical Considerations**



Decomposition and analysis of the temperature effets on the effectif multiplication factor:

$$k_{eff} = k_{\infty} \cdot P_{nL}$$

$$k_{\infty} = \chi \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{k_{eff}(T_1) \cdot k_{eff}(T_2)} \frac{k_{eff}(T_2) - k_{eff}(T_1)}{T_2 - T_1} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \alpha_T = \frac{1}{k_{eff}} \frac{dk_{eff}}{dT} = \frac{1}{k_{\infty}} \frac{dk_{\infty}}{dT} + \frac{1}{P_{nL}} \frac{dP_{nL}}{dT}$$
$$\alpha_T = \alpha_{k_{\infty}} + \alpha_{nL}$$
$$\alpha_{k_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{(\chi \cdot \varepsilon \cdot p \cdot f \cdot \eta)} \frac{d(\chi \cdot \varepsilon \cdot p \cdot f \cdot \eta)}{dT}$$
$$\alpha_{k_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{d\chi}{dT} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{dp}{dT} + \frac{1}{f} \frac{df}{dT} + \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dT}$$

#### **Results and Interpretations**



# Reactivity variation with temperature for the ${\rm UO}_2$ configuration with the 1166 ppm boron.



#### **Results and Interpretations**



Température de la boucle (°C)	χ ±Std	ε ±Std	p ±Std	f ±Std	ຖ ±Std	$\mathbf{k}_{\infty}$ ( f.f.f) ±Std	$\mathbf{k}_{\infty}$ (MCNP) $\pm$ Std
21.83	1.00142 ± 125	1.23305 ± 25	0.68102 ± 83	0.77976 ± 97	1.83663 ± 37	1.20433 ± 495	1.2061 ± 8
33.83	1.00142 ± 124	1.23374 ± 25	0.68 ± 83	0.78046 ± 97	1.83626 ± 37	1.20405 ± 494	1.20581 ± 7
44.03	1.00142 ± 124	1.23446 ± 25	0.67898 ± 83	0.78123 ± 97	1.83592 ± 37	1.2039 ± 494	1.20559 ± 7
63.87	1.00143 ± 124	1.23622 ± 25	0.67657 ± 83	0.78306 ± 97	1.83519 ± 37	1.20369 ± 494	1.20549 ± 7
86.83	1.00144 ± 125	1.239 ± 25	0.6731 ± 82	0.78557 ± 98	1.83426 ± 37	1.20344 ± 494	1.20516 ± 6
116.61	1.00145 ± 125	1.24335 ± 25	0.66784 ± 82	0.78948 ± 98	1.83296 ± 37	1.20338 ± 494	1.20511 ± 6
146.37	1.00147 ± 125	1.24874 ± 25	0.66162 ± 81	0.79393 ± 99	1.83159 ± 37	1.20321 ± 494	1.20507 ± 7
175.60	1.00149 ± 125	1.25533 ± 25	0.65422 ± 80	0.7991 ± 99	1.83015 ± 37	1.20288 ± 495	1.20468 ± 7
206.73	1.00152 ± 125	1.2638 ± 25	0.64513 ± 79	0.80535 ± 100	1.82859 ± 37	1.20253 ± 495	1.20431 ± 7
237.46	1.00155 ± 125	1.27453 ± 25	0.63405 ± 78	0.81252 ± 101	1.82697 ± 37	1.20148 ± 495	1.20335 ± 6
269.30	1.00159 ± 126	1.28951 ± 26	0.61938 ± 76	0.82159 ± 102	1.82523 ± 37	1.19965 ± 495	1.20152 ± 7
296.68	1.00164 ± 126	1.30782 ± 26	0.60242 ± 74	0.83145 ± 104	1.82365 ± 36	1.19659 ± 495	1.19859 ± 6

#### The infinite multiplication components for a pin cell simulation

\*: Formule des cinq facteurs

±Std: déviation Standard (pcm).





Analytical formes for the  $k_\infty$  components calculated in the central loop

Component	Analytique Forme (T in (°C))
X	3.9 10 <sup>-14</sup> T <sup>4</sup> - 2 10 <sup>-11</sup> T <sup>3</sup> + 5.4 10 <sup>-09</sup> T <sup>2</sup> - 1.4 10 <sup>-07</sup> T + 1
3	1.7 10 <sup>-11</sup> T <sup>4</sup> - 7.6 10 <sup>-09</sup> T <sup>3</sup> + 1.8 10 <sup>-06</sup> T <sup>2</sup> - 4.2 10 <sup>-05</sup> T + 1.2
р	-1.3 10 <sup>-11</sup> T <sup>4</sup> + 6.4 10 <sup>-09</sup> T <sup>3</sup> - 1.5 10 <sup>-06</sup> T <sup>2</sup> - 1.7 10 <sup>-06</sup> T + 0.68
f	6.7 10 <sup>-12</sup> T <sup>4</sup> - 3.4 10 <sup>-09</sup> T <sup>3</sup> + 9.7 10 <sup>-07</sup> T <sup>2</sup> + 1.2 10 <sup>-05</sup> T + 0.78
η	-5.7 $10^{-13}$ T <sup>4</sup> + 4.3 $10^{-10}$ T <sup>3</sup> - 1.5 $10^{-07}$ T <sup>2</sup> - 2.4 $10^{-05}$ T + 1.8



#### **Results and Interpretations**



## CREOLE experiment calculation results of the temperature coefficient of $k_\infty$ components in (/°C)

т (°С)	$\alpha_{\chi}$	$lpha_{arepsilon}$	$\alpha_f$	$\alpha_p$	$lpha_{\eta}$
21.83	6.8696E-03	2.1435	6.3825	-8.6020	-1.6311
33.83	1.6251E-02	4.5655	8.5839	-12.240	-1.7841
44.03	2.3219E-02	6.3276	10.232	-14.876	-1.9011
63.87	3.4519E-02	9.1116	12.934	-18.998	-2.0975
86.83	4.4688E-02	11.558	15.415	-22.504	-2.2797
116.61	5.5008E-02	14.122	17.989	-25.890	-2.4582
146.37	6.4359E-02	16.793	20.338	-29.100	-2.5892
175.6	7.4993E-02	20.338	22.933	-33.326	-2.6909
206.73	9.0534E-02	26.001	26.562	-40.419	-2.7908
237.46	1.1284E-01	34.344	31.552	-51.685	-2.9025
269.3	1.4615E-01	46.687	38.721	-69.867	-3.0552
296.68	1.8537E-01	60.783	46.859	-92.905	-3.2352





Measured value and (C-E) for the core RTC

α and C-E (pcm/°C)	20 °C – 111 °C	111 °C – 186 °C	186 °C – 242 °C	242 °C – 296 °C
EXPERIENCE (α) [1]	+ 0.02 ± 0.04	- 0.12 ± 0.04	- 0.35 ± 0.05	- 0.67 ± 0.06
MCNP6.1 (ENDF/B7.1) (C – E)	+ 0.003 ± 0.128	+ 0.117 ± 0.146	- 0.019 ± 0.191	+ 0.041 ± 0.205
TRIPOLI4 (JEFF3.1.1) (C -E) [1]	- 0.10 ± 0.06 (0.04)*	+ 0.08 ± 0.06 (0.05)*	- 0.01 ± 0.09 (0.07)*	+ 0.05 ± 0.10 (0.08)*
APOLLO2 (JEFF3.1.1) (C – E) [1]	- 0.01 ± 0.04	+ 0.01 ± 0.04	+ 0.05 ± 0.05	+ 0.12 ± 0.06

C-E on the central loop RTC for the  $UO_2$  with the 1166 ppm of boron (integration of the differential measurements)

C-E (pcm/°C)	UO <sub>2</sub> (1166 ppm de bore) 20°C – 296°C
EXPERIENCE (a) [1]	- 0.22 ± 0.02
MCNP6.1 (ENDF/B-VII.1)	0.036 ± 0.02
APOLLO2 (JEFF3.1.1)	0.03 ± 0.05
TRIPOLI4 (JEFF3.1.1)	- 0.004 ± 0.01





In the present work, we have analyzed the CREOLE experiment of a PWR lattice type on the reactivity temperature coefficient of  $UO_2$  boron poisoned lattice. The analysis of this experiment has been carried out using the Monte Carlo code MCNP6.1 with the ENDF/B-VII.1 library.

The discrepancies between calculations and experiment on the Reactivity temperature Coefficient for the boron poisoned  $UO_2$  LWR lattices is relatively small.

In this study, we could quantify the contribution of each infinite multiplication factor's components separately in the reactivity temperature coefficient.

# Thank you