Stratospheric Influence on Predictability Enhancement in Late Winter

In-Sik Kang
Seoul National University

Prediction skill change with lead time



Monthly-mean correlation skill of PNA index for 1-3 lead months (starting from November)



CFS and the seven models in the DEMETER project Johansso n (2007)

Monthly-mean correlation skill of NAO index for 1-3 lead months

(starting from November)



* CFS and the seven models in the DEMETER project

Johansson (2007)

DATA

- ECMWF sys4 hindcast for 32 years for 19 81 – 2002
- 20 ensemble predictions
- Winter prediction initialized at 1 Nov.

Obs: ECMWF ERA-interim monthly data

Prediction skill of GPH for 32 years at each level

(starting from 1 November)



Monthly-mean correlation skills for 1, 3, and 5 lead months

(starting from 1 November)





Fig. 2. Regional averages of correlation skills for geopotential heights from 1000 hPa to 30 hPa with lead times from 0 to 6 months. 0 and 6 months correspond to November and May, respectively. a) is for the average over the tropics between 20N and 20S, and b) for the Pacific-North American region of 20N-70N and 150E-60W.

Covariance map of normalized monthly-mean 100hPa GPH anomaly

(Starting from 1 Nov.)



Stratospheric downward influence on prediction skill



The mean square error (MSE) of the forecasts with negatively large 30-hPa NAM anomali es at the initial time is significantly smaller than that of the forecasts with positively larg e NAM anomalies for the lead time from 5 to 13 days.

Mukougawa et al. (2009)

Composite of GPH anomaly of high, low pattern correlation[150E-90W, 20N-70N] events at 100hpa, Feb.



The high(low) correlation event is defined as the pattern correlation at 100hPa, lead3 is upper(lower) 1/3 among total 480 cases(15 ensembles * 32 years)

Averaged pattern correlation of GPH anomaly

(90E-270E, 20N-90N)



High prediction skill in Feb. associated with the stratospheric signal downward to the troposphere all the way to the surface.

* 'Weak[strong] polar vortex state' : area averaged U-wind (55-65N) < 10m/s [> 30m/s]

Climatological mean & Spread of monthly-mean zonal wind

(1.0 std. of the area averaged U (0-360E, 55N-65N) at 50hPa)




PDF of area averaged zonal wind at 50hPa [0-360E, 55-65N]
Bin size = 0.2 std.
Number of events
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
-10
0
10
20
30
40
50
[ms^{-1}]
NOV
DEC
JAN
FEB

El Nino vs La Nina

- The stratospheric warming and weakening of Polar vo rtex are more frequent during El Nino, and vice versa during La Nina

Is PNA more predictable during El Nino?



Fig. 5 Covariance map of normalized observation and prediction monthly-mean anomalies of 100 hPa geopotential height for 10 El-Ni o years with lead times 3months (b), for corresponding 12 normal years (a), and for corresponding 10 La-Nina years (c). Normalizati is done by dividing anomalies of each case (e.g. El-Nino) by the root-mean-square of the corresponding anomalies.



Fig. 6. Vertical cross-section of the composite of polar regional average (60-90N and 0-360E) of geopotential height anomaly for a) El-Nino years and observation, and b) La-Nina years and observation. and c) and d) are the prediction counterparts of a) and b), respectively.

Summary

- For the prediction starting from 1 November, the mont hly prediction skill is enhanced in late winter

- Stratospheric influence to troposphere is more favorab le in February with weaker polar vortex.

Thank you!

Kang et al. (2017) submitted to
“Nature partner journal (npj)”
Climate and Atmospheric Sciences

Prediction skill of 2m temperature



PDF of area averaged zonal wind at 50hPa [0-360E, 55-65N]



A Possible Mechanism of Stratospheric downward influence



(1) Wave-driving ⟶ Changes in the speed of the stratospheric jet

(2) Return flow within the planetary boundary layer for the anomalous circulation

(3) Increase of the tropopause height & Decrease of mean SLP in polar latitudes and vice versa in mid-latitudes

(4) Tropospheric eddy feedbacks

(5) Poleward shift of the tropospheric jet

Kidston et al. (2015)