

Entangled Hypergraphs vs. Hypergraph States and Their Role in Classification of Multipartite Entanglement

Masoud Gharahi Ghahi

September 14, 2017 - Trieste, Italy

Advanced School and Workshop on Quantum Science and Quantum Technologies

Outline

Outline

Motivation

Classification of Multipartite Entanglement

Introduction

- Entanglement Measures
- Map: States ↔ Graphs
 For Graph States
 Entangled Graphs

Case Study

- Classification of 3-qubit entanglement
- Classification of 4-qubit entanglement

Generalization & Future Works

Motivation

Entangled State: a pure state is called entangled if it is not separable.

$|\Psi\rangle \neq |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$

Equivalent relation:

• LOCC: equivalency based on LUT (Local Unitary Transforamations):

$$|\Psi\rangle \sim |\Phi\rangle$$
 (P=1) iff $|\Psi\rangle = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n |\Phi\rangle$

 $\mathsf{LOCC} \longrightarrow \mathsf{infinite}$ orbits even in the simplest bipartite systems!

• SLOCC: equivalency based on LIT(Local Invertible Transforamations):

 $|\Psi\rangle \sim |\Phi\rangle$ (0 < P < 1) iff $|\Psi\rangle = GL_1 \otimes GL_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes GL_n |\Phi\rangle$

C.H. Bennett et al., PRA 63, 012307 (2000)

W. Dür, G. Vidal, J.I. Cirac, PRA 62, 062314 (2000)

SLOCC classification:

3 qubits: 6 classes (A-B-C, A-BC, B-AC, C-AB, W, & GHZ) $n \ge 4$ qubits: infinite classes!

SLOCC classification into families criteria:

- Every SLOCC class must belong to only one family
- Separable states must be in one family
- SLOCC classes belonging to the same family must show common physical (mathematical) properties
- The classification into families must be efficient in the sense that
 - 1. The number of families must grow slowly with the number of qubits
 - 2. Classifying N qubits should be useful for classifying N + 1 qubits

M. Sanz et al., Sci. Rep. 6, 30188 (2016)

Introduction

Entanglement Measures

• Concurrence: for a general 2-qubit state, Wootters defines the concurrence as below

 $\mathcal{C} = |\langle \Psi | \sigma_{\mathsf{v}} \otimes \sigma_{\mathsf{v}} | \Psi^* \rangle|$

• Tangle: for a 3-qubit state, CKW introduce a measure as below

$$\tau = \mathcal{C}_{A(BC)}^2 - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2 - \mathcal{C}_{AC}^2$$

 Global entanglement: consider an N-qubit pure state partitioned into two blocks S and \overline{S} comprising m and N - m qubits respectively.

 $\eta_{S\overline{S}} = \frac{2^m}{2^m - 1} \left(1 - Tr(\varrho_S^2) \right)$ entanglement of block S to the rest:

geomtric mean: $C_g = \left(\prod \eta_{S\overline{S}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{N-1}-1}}$

W.K. Wootters, PRL 80, 2245 (1998)

V. Coffman, J. Kundu, W.K. Wootters, PRA 61, 052306 (2000)

P.J. Love et al., QIP 6, 187 (2007) - M. G G & S.J. Akhtarshenas, EPJD 70, 54 (2016)

Map: States \longleftrightarrow Graphs

Graph: a simple & undirected graph G is an ordered pair G = (V, E) where:

- V is a set of elements called vertices
- *E* is a set of edges, which are 2-element subsets of V



Cardinality of a graph:

- |V| = number of vertices, is called the order of graph
- |E| = number of edges, is called the size of graph

Connected graph: existence of a path between every pair of vertices

Tree: connected graph by exactly one path between every pair of vertices

Graph States

Goal: to create REW $_{({\sf Real Equally Weighted})}$ states

- Vertex \longleftrightarrow Qubit
- Edge \longleftrightarrow Two-body interaction

$$|g\rangle = \prod_{\{i_1,i_2\}\in E} C^2 Z_{i_1i_2}|+\rangle^{\otimes n}$$



$$egin{aligned} |g
angle &= rac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \left(+ |000
angle + |001
angle + |010
angle + |011
angle \ &+ |100
angle - |101
angle - |110
angle + |111
angle
ight) & egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{12} &= \mathcal{C}_{13} = \mathcal{C}_{23} = 0 \ & au = 1 \ & au = 1 \ & extsf{C}_g = 1 \end{aligned}$$

No one-to-one correspondence between the graph and entanglement!
 No W state exist!

M. Hein, J. Eisert, H.J. Briegel, PRA 69, 062311 (2004)

Entangled Graphs

Goal: to write a pure state for every possible graph where:

- Vertex \longleftrightarrow Qubit
- Edge \longleftrightarrow Bipartite entanglement



Weighted entangled graphs: Edges are weighted by concurrence

M. Plesch & V. Bužek, PRA 67, 012322 (2003)



Classification of 3-qubit entanglement

The generalized Schmidt decomposition for 3-qubit pure state is as follow
$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle_3 &= \lambda_0 |000\rangle + \lambda_1 e^{i\phi} |100\rangle + \lambda_2 |101\rangle + \lambda_3 |110\rangle + \lambda_4 |111\rangle \\ \lambda_i &\geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq \pi, \quad \sum \lambda_i^2 = 1 \end{split}$$

\mathcal{C}_{12}	\mathcal{C}_{13}	\mathcal{C}_{23}
$(\lambda_0$, $\lambda_3)$	$(\lambda_0$, $\lambda_2)$	$egin{array}{cccc} (\lambda_1 \ , \ \lambda_4) \ (\lambda_2 \ , \ \lambda_3) \end{array}$

$$\begin{cases} |A\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle \otimes |\varphi_3\rangle \\ |B\rangle = (\lambda_0|00\rangle + \lambda_3|11\rangle) \otimes |0\rangle \\ |C\rangle = \lambda_0|000\rangle + \lambda_4|111\rangle \\ |D\rangle = \lambda_0|000\rangle + \lambda_3|110\rangle + \lambda_4|111\rangle \\ |E\rangle = \lambda_0|000\rangle + \lambda_1|100\rangle + \lambda_3|110\rangle \\ + \lambda_4|111\rangle \\ |F\rangle = \lambda_0|000\rangle + \lambda_2|101\rangle + \lambda_3|110\rangle \end{cases}$$





Classification of 4-qubit entanglement

We have a classification of 4-qubit entanglement as follow:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle_4 &= \alpha |0000\rangle + \beta |0100\rangle + \gamma |0101\rangle + \delta |0110\rangle + \epsilon |1000\rangle + \zeta |1001\rangle \\ &+ \eta |1010\rangle + \kappa |1011\rangle + \lambda |1100\rangle + \mu |1101\rangle + \nu |1110\rangle + \omega |1111\rangle \end{split}$$

C ₁₂	C ₁₃	C_{14}	C ₂₃	C ₂₄	C ₃₄
(α , λ)	$(lpha$, $\eta)$	(α, ζ)	(α , δ)	$(lpha$, $\gamma)$	(ϵ, κ)
$(eta$, $\epsilon)$	(β, ν)	(β,μ)	$(\epsilon$, $ u$)	$(\epsilon$, $\mu)$	$(\lambda \ , \ \omega)$
$(\gamma \ , \ \zeta)$	$(\gamma$, $\omega)$	(δ, ω)	(ζ, ω)	$(\eta$, $\omega)$	$(\gamma \ , \ \delta)$
$(\delta \ , \ \eta)$	$(\delta$, $\lambda)$	$(\gamma$, $\lambda)$	$(\eta$, $\lambda)$	$(\zeta \ , \ \lambda)$	(ζ , η)
			$(\kappa$, $\mu)$	$(\kappa$, $ u$)	$(\mu \ , u)$

How we can relate this classification to SLOCC?



M. G G & S.J. Akhtarshenas, EPJD 70, 54 (2016)

Generalization & Future Works

Hypergraph: a hypergraph is a generalization of a graph in which an hyperedge can join any number of vertices. Mathematically H = (V, E) where:

- V is a set of elements called vertices
- *E* is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(V)$ called hyperedges (\mathcal{P} is the power set of V)



Connected hypergraph: existence of a path between every pair of vertices

Hypergraph States i

- Vertex \longleftrightarrow Qubit
- Hyperedge \longleftrightarrow Many-body interaction



 $+ \left| 1000 \right\rangle + \left| 1001 \right\rangle - \left| 1010 \right\rangle - \left| 1011 \right\rangle - \left| 1100 \right\rangle - \left| 1101 \right\rangle + \left| 1110 \right\rangle + \left| 1111 \right\rangle)$

Hypergraph States ii

Consider all 3-vertex hypergraphs splited into six LU-equivalent classes:

$$\begin{split} H_0 &= \{(V, E) | E \in \mathcal{P}(\{\{\Phi\}, \{A\}, \{B\}, \{C\}\})\} \quad H_1 = \{h + \{\{A, B\}\} | h \in H_0\} \\ H_2 &= \{h + \{\{A, C\}\} | h \in H_0\} \\ H_3 &= \{h + \{\{B, C\}\} | h \in H_0\} \\ H_4 &= \{h + E | E \subseteq \{\{A, B\}, \{A, C\}, \{B, C\}\} \land |E| \ge 2 \land h \in H_0\} \\ H_5 &= \{(V, E) | V \in E\} \end{split}$$

	\mathcal{C}_{AB}	\mathcal{C}_{AC}	\mathcal{C}_{BC}	au
H ₀	0	0	0	0
H_1	1	0	0	0
H_2	0	1	0	0
H_3	0	0	1	0
H_4	0	0	0	1
H_5	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$

 $H_4 \cup H_5 \longrightarrow$ connected hypergraphs

$$\begin{cases} H_0 \longrightarrow A - B - C \\ H_1 \longrightarrow AB - C \\ H_2 \longrightarrow AC - B \\ H_3 \longrightarrow BC - A \\ H_4 \cup H_5 \longrightarrow \text{GHZ-type} \end{cases}$$

W-type states are missing!

R. Qu et al., PRA 87, 032329 (2013)

Entangled Hypergraphs

Entangled hypergraph is a generalization of entangled graph where:

- $\bullet \ \mathsf{Vertex} \longleftrightarrow \mathsf{Qubit}$
- Hyperedge ←→ Multipartite entanglement

 $|\psi\rangle = \operatorname{Cos}^{2}(\alpha)|000\rangle + \operatorname{i}\operatorname{Sin}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}(\alpha)(|011\rangle + |101\rangle) - \operatorname{Sin}^{2}(\alpha)|110\rangle$ $\begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{12} = 2|\operatorname{Sin}^{3}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}(\alpha) - \operatorname{Sin}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}^{3}(\alpha)| \\ \mathcal{C}_{13} = 2|\operatorname{Sin}^{3}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}(\alpha) - \operatorname{Sin}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}^{3}(\alpha)| \\ \mathcal{C}_{12} = 0 \\ \tau = 16\operatorname{Sin}^{4}(\alpha)\operatorname{Cos}^{4}(\alpha) \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{12} = 0 \\ \mathcal{C}_{13} = 0 \\ \mathcal{C}_{12} = 0 \\ \tau = 1 \end{cases}$

Soon in arXiv

Conclusion

- 🙂 W state is missed in both graph & hypergraph states.
- 🙂 Both entangled graphs & hypergraphs comprising W state.
- The corresponding pure states to connected entangled hypergraphs are completely entangled. (It is like hypergraph states)
- Entangled hypergraphs seem to be fruitful for classification of multipartite entanglement.

Thanks.