# The INO-ICAL sensitivity to measure the difference between $\nu_{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu_{\mu}}$ mass-squared splittings

Daljeet Kaur<sup>1</sup>, Zubair Ahmad Dar<sup>2</sup>, Sanjeev Kumar<sup>3</sup>, Md. Naimuddin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>S.G.T.B. Khalsa college, University of Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Aligarh Muslim University, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Delhi.

## Abstract

We present an experimental observation for the differences in the mass-squared splittings of atmospheric  $\nu$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  oscillations for the magnetised Iron Calorimeter (ICAL) detector at the India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO). The ICAL detector is enriched with an excellent charge-identification capability for neutrino and anti-neutrino detection on an event by event basis. A Charged-Current (CC)  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  interactions with the detector, assuming three flavor oscillations along with the inclusion of the Earth matter effect have been simulated for ten years exposure of the detector. The observed  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  events spectrum folded with realistic detector resolutions and efficiencies are separately binned to direction and energy bins, and a  $\chi^2$  is minimized with respect to each bin to find out the oscillation parameters for  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  independently. Assuming non-identical atmospheric oscillation parameters for neutrinos and antineutrinos, we estimate the detector sensitivity to confirm a non-zero difference in the mass-squared splittings ( $|\Delta m_{32}^2| - |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}|$ ).

Introduction and Physics goals of INO:	Analysis:	Contour plots at 68%, 90% and 99% C.L. for different true values of $ \Delta m_{32}^2 $ , $ \Delta \overline{m^2}_{32} $ , $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and $\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23}$ as shown in table below. Here, X-axis corresponds to the differences of $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ and $\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23}$ and Y-axis
• The INO-ICAL is unique in its ability to distinguish between Neutrino	• Non-identical atmospheric oscillation parameters for neutrinos and an-	corresponds to differences in $ \Delta m^2_{32} $ and $ \Delta m^2_{32} $ values. In these
and anti-Neutrino by measuring the charge of secondary muons pro-	tineutrinos are considered.	plots diamond shows the best fit value of the observed parameters.
duced by the neutrino interaction with ICAL detector.	• All the four atmospheric oscillation parameters i.e. $ \Delta m_{32}^2 $ , $ \Delta \overline{m^2}_{32} $ ,	$0.6 \begin{bmatrix} \times 10^{-3} \\ -90\% \\ -99\% \end{bmatrix} \qquad 0.6 \begin{bmatrix} -68.27\% \\ -90\% \\ -99\% \end{bmatrix}$
- India based Neutrine Observatory is set to be with a medam Iron	$0 \qquad 1 \overline{0} \qquad \cdot 1  \cdot 1$	

- India-based Neutrino Observatory is set to be with a modern Iron CALorimeter (ICAL) detector with the Resistive Plate Chamber (RPCs) as the active detector element.
- Unambiguous and more precise determination of oscillation parameters using atmospheric neutrinos.
- Study of matter effects through electric charge identification, that may lead to the determination of the unknown sign of one of the mass differences.
- Study of charge-conjugation and parity (CP) violation in the leptonic sector as well as possible charge-conjugation, parity, time-reversal (CPT) violation studies.
- Neutrino-less double beta decay, to determine whether neutrinos are Dirac or Majorana particles
- High-precision determination of the oscillation parameters when ICAL is (perhaps upgraded and) used in the future as a far-end detector for a long base-line neutrino oscillation experiment.

# **ICAL specifications:**

- Dimension :  $16m \times 16m \times 14.5m$ (for one module)
- Absorber material : Iron (5.6 cm thick plates)
- Active Detector : Resistive Plate Chambers



- $\theta_{23}$  and  $\theta_{23}$  are varied within their marginalization range.
- Using the results of the four parameters analysis, we study the prospects of the scenario when both the differences  $(|\Delta m_{32}^2| |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}|)$  and  $(\sin^2 \theta_{23} \sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23})$  are non-zero.
- Extracted a two oscillation parameters plot from Four oscillation parameter fit data to show the comparison with MINOS result.

### **Event Generation and Event Selection:**

- We use the atmospheric neutrino data which was obtained by HONDA et.al. 3-D neutrino flux with ICAL detector specifications using NU-ANCE event generation.
- Unoscillated neutrino/anti-neutrino events are generated for 1000 years of exposure of ICAL and it scaled to 10 years of ICAL running for estimation of sensitivity.

## **Detector's Resolutions and Efficiency:**

- Reconstruction and charge identification efficiencies (Obtained by INO) are implemented.
- Muon energy resolutions and hadron energy resolutions are implemented.
- Only the Muon angle resolution has been used for the analysis.

## **Energy and Direction Binning:**

• 20 muon energy bins ranging from 0.8 GeV to 12.8 GeV



0.4

0.5

0.5

0.4

 $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$  0.1

 $|-0.4 \times 10^{-3}|$  -0.1



 $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ 

 $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ 

 $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ 

 $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ 

Set-3

Set-4

- Total No. of layers : 150
- Magnetic field : 1.5 Tesla
- Total Mass : 50k Tons
- Location : Bodi Hills,Tamilnadu, India

## **Neutrino Interactions:**

- Cosmic rays from galactic sources interact with Earth's atmosphere continuously producing pions and kaons which are then source of atmospheric neutrinos.
- Neutrinos interact in the detector through Charge Current (CC) and Neutral Current (NC) interactions.
- ICAL detector at INO is sensitive to atmospheric neutrinos and anti-neutrinos. Neutrino interaction within the detector results in the production of muons and hadrons.
- Muons can be identified and





- 20 muon direction bins(  $\cos \theta$  bins ranging from -1 to +1)
- 5 hadron bins ranging from 0.0 GeV to 15.0 GeV
- Binning is done in similar way for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos with  $20 \times 20 \times 5 = 2000$  bins with optimised bin size.
- Random number smearing is applied both for expected and observed events.

## **Systematic Errors:**

• A 20% error on atmospheric neutrino flux normalization, a 10% error on neutrino cross-section, an overall 5% statistical error, a 5% uncertainty due to zenith angle dependence of the fluxes, and an energy-dependent tilt error have been implemented without correlation.

# $\chi^2$ analysis:

- Poisson  $\chi^2$  function with method of pulls is used.
- χ<sup>2</sup> has been calculated for neutrino and anti-neutrino seoparately.
  χ<sup>2</sup><sub>total</sub>=χ<sup>2</sup><sub>ν</sub> + χ<sup>2</sup>ν̄

## Results



INO-ICAL sensitivity for  $(|\Delta m_{32}^2| - |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}|)_{True}(eV^2)$  at  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$  and  $3\sigma$  confidence levels using four oscillation parameter fit technique (Left) and with two oscillation parameter fit (Right).

## **Conclusion:**

- 1. Keeping ICAL's good charge-identification feature in mind, neutrino and anti-neutrino oscillations parameters can be measured separately.
- 2. With fixed true values for non-identical oscillation parameters of  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ , we measured the sensitivity of ICAL detector for difference in oscillation parameters of  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ .
- 3. An extraction of two parameter fit from the four-fit plot has been shown for a comparitive study with the MINOS experiment
- 4. The ICAL sensitivity for neutrinos mass-squared splitting is almost comparable to that of MINOS while the ICAL is more sensitive for the anti-neutrinos mass-squared splittings (12.87 % at 90 % CL) compared to MINOS (20 % at 90 % CL), by using the atmospheric events only.
- 5. With the variation of true as well as observed values, ICAL can rule out the null hypothesis of  $|\Delta m_{32}^2| = |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}|$  at more than  $3\sigma$  level if the difference of true values of  $|\Delta m_{32}^2| - |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}| \ge +0.7 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ or  $|\Delta m_{32}^2| - |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}| \le -0.7 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ .

reconstructed using their tracks in the magnetized detector and hadrons can be calibrated with



# Oscillation Parameters and their marginalisation range

True values and marginalization range of the used oscillation parameters are listed in the below table:

Neutrino/anti-neutrino oscillation parameters			
Oscillation Parameters	$(\nu)$ True values	Marginalization range	
$\sin^2(2\theta_{12})$	0.86	Fixed	
$\sin^2(\theta_{23})$	varied	0.3-0.7	
$\sin^2(\theta_{13})$	0.0234	Fixed	
$\Delta m^2 (sol.ineV^2)$	$7.6 \times 10^{-5}$	Fixed	
$\Delta m^2 (Atm.ineV^2)$	varied	$(2.0-3.0) \times 10^{-3} (3\sigma)$	
$\delta_{CP}$	0.0	Fixed	

The ICAL sensitivity on  $(\delta_m = |\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}| - |\Delta m^2_{32}|)$  and  $(\delta_\theta = \sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23} - \sin^2 \theta_{23})$  plane at 68%, 90% and 99% confidence levels. Origin is the point where, neutrino and antineutrino parameters are identical. Here,  $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.38 \times 10^{-3} (eV^2)$ ,  $|\Delta \overline{m}^2_{32}| = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} (eV^2)$  such that the difference  $(|\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}| - |\Delta m^2_{32}| = 0.12)$ , and  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23} = 0.5$  such that  $(\sin^2 \overline{\theta}_{23} - \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0)$ .



The 68% and 90% confidence level contours on the  $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$  and  $|\Delta \overline{m^2}_{32}|$  parameter space. Right shows the sensitivity obtained by MINOS experiment using combined beamline and atmospheric data. Left shows the sensitivity of the ICAL experiment using atmospheric data only.



### **References:**

#### • The Technical design report of INO-ICAL (2006)

- D. Kaur et al., Search for the differences in atmospheric neutrino and antineutrino oscillation parameters at the INO-ICAL experiment, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 093005 (2017).
- M. M. Medeiros et al., Neutrino and Antineutrino Oscillation Parameters Measured by the MINOS Atmospheric and Beam Data, Proceedings, 33rd International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2013), Braz.J.Phys. 44, no.5, pp.415-608 (2014).

#### Acknowledgement:

Authors would like to acknowledge Department of Science and Technology of India (DST) for providing financial support for this work.
 We also thank INO collaborators for their continuous support.