Constraining cosmic curvature by using age of galaxies and gravitational lenses

Deepak Jain

Deen Dayal Upadhyaya College University of Delhi INDIA

Collaborators: Akshay Rana (DU), Shobhit Mahajan (DU), Amitabha Mukherjee (DU)



Conference on Shedding Light on the Dark Universe with Extremely Large Telescopes

2-6 July 2018

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Test of FLRW metric : Using cosmic chronometers
- 3. Test of curvature : Using the mean image separation of strong gravitational lenses
- 4. Discussion

Introduction

- The spatial curvature is one of the most fundamental issue of modern cosmology
- Estimation of curvature of the Universe (Ω_{k0}) is directly linked with
 - The validity of FLRW metric,
 - Degeneracy with dark energy equation of state parameter
 - Cosmic inflation and
 - The ultimate fate of the Universe.

The recent constraint on curvature (|Ω_{k0}|< 0.005) was obtained by the newest Planck 2015 observations.

Test of FLRW metric

FLRW metric represents the homogeneous and isotropic Universe at sufficiently large scales

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\left[\frac{dr^{2}}{(1-kr^{2})} + r^{2}d\theta^{2} + r^{2}sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}\right]$$

where k = 1, 0, -1 for closed, flat and open geometry of the space.

In FLRW Universe, the transverse comoving distance can be written as

$$D_{c}(z) = \frac{c}{H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|}} S\left[\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz}{E(z)}\right] \qquad \text{where } \Omega_{k0} = \frac{-kc^{2}}{H_{0}^{2}a_{0}^{2}} \& H(z) = H_{0}E(z)$$

By taking the first derivative of comoving distance, we can redefine

$$\Omega_{k0} = \frac{H(z)^2 D_c'^2 - c^2}{H_0^2 D_c^2}$$
 Clarkson et.al. (2008)

 For FLRW metric to hold, estimate of present curvature density derived using observables at different redshift must remain constant.

Test of FLRW metric

- To check the consistency of this relation, we need an Independent datasets of
 - comoving distance *D_c*
 - its first derivative D'_c
 - And Hubble parameter H(z)
- Calculation of transverse comoving distance

$$H(z) = \frac{-1}{(1+z)} \left(\frac{dt}{dz}\right)^{-1}$$

we obtain,

$$D_{c} = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|}} & \sinh\left[H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|}\int_{z}^{0}(1+z')\frac{dt}{dz'}dz'\right] & for \ \Omega_{k0} > 0\\ c\int_{z}^{0}(1+z')\frac{dt}{dz'}dz' & for \ \Omega_{k0} = 0\\ \frac{c}{H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|}} & \sin\left[H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k0}|}\int_{z}^{0}(1+z')\frac{dt}{dz'}dz'\right] & for \ \Omega_{k0} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Age of galaxies dataset

32 old and passive galaxies (0.11 < z < 1.84). Incubation time $t_{inc} = 1.50 \pm 0.45 \ Gyr$ (Wei et al.2015) Present age of universe, $t_0 = 13.799 \pm 0.021 \ Gyr$ (Planck 2015)



Hubble dataset

• H(z) data consisting of 38 data points in the redshift range (0.07 < z < 2.36).



Hubble dataset

- H(z) data consisting of 38 data points in the redshift range (0.07 < z < 2.36).
- To avoid the extrapolation of age function, we restrict analysis till z< 1.84. (3 points removed)



Hubble dataset

- H(z) data consisting of 38 data points in the redshift range (0.07 < z < 2.36).
- To avoid the extrapolation of age function, we restrict analysis till z<1.84. (3 points removed)
- Further after removing *H(z)* points derived from Age datasets, we finally left with 27 data points.
 (8 points removed)



Hubble dataset

- H(z) data consisting of 38 data points in the redshift range (0.07 < z < 2.36).
- To avoid the extrapolation of age function, we restrict analysis till z< 1.84. (3 points removed)
- Further after neglecting *H(z)* points derived from Age datasets, we finally left with 27 data points.
 (8 points removed) (0.07 < z < 1.37).



Test of Homogeneity: Result

• Error propagation in Ω_{k0}

$$\sigma_{\Omega_{k0}}^{2} = 4 \ (\Omega_{k0})^{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{D_{c}}}{D_{c}} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{H_{0}}}{H_{0}} \right)^{2} \right]^{2} \left[4 \left[\Omega_{k0} + \left(\frac{c}{H_{0}D_{c}} \right)^{2} \right]^{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{D_{c}}}{D_{c}'} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{H}}{H} \right)^{2} \right]^{2} \right]^{2}$$

Where σ_{H_0} , σ_H , σ_{D_c} and $\sigma_{D_c'}$ are the error in H_0 , H(z), D_c and D_c' respectively



On applying model independent non-parametric smoothing technique Gaussian Process

Test of Homogeneity: Result



- The reconstructed plot completely encloses Ω_{k0}=0 within 1σ confidence level and remains constant along z
- This shows consistency with the assumption of homogeneity of the universe and also concordance with the *FLRW* metric

Test of Curvature: Using Strong Gravitational Lenses

- Strong gravitational lensing (SGL) is a phenomenon in which light coming from a distant source get distorted to form multiple images of source in the presence of a foreground galaxy.
- Statistical properties of SGL
- Let us assume that lensing galaxies are non evolving with comoving density $n_{\rm 0}$
- Let effective Einstein radius of the lens is given by a_{cr} .
- The differential probability $d\tau$ of a beam of light to interact with uniformly distributed lenses at redshift *z* would be

$$d\tau = n_0 (1 + z_L)^3 \sigma \frac{cdt}{dz_L} dz_L$$
 where $\sigma = \pi a_{cr}^2$

and
$$\frac{cdt}{dz_L} = \frac{c}{H_0(1+z_L)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z_L)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda + (1-\Omega_m - \Omega_\Lambda)(1+z_L)^2}}$$

The total probability of interaction

$$\tau = \int_0^{z_s} \frac{d\tau}{dz_L} \, dz_L$$



Image credits: (Credit: ESA / NASA /JPL-Caltech / Keck / SMA)



Test of Curvature: Using Strong Gravitational Lenses

 On integrating over the full range of z_L we get the overall mean image separation

$$<\Delta\theta>=\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\int_{0}^{z_{s}}\overline{\Delta\theta}\frac{d\tau}{dz_{L}}\,dz_{L}
ight]$$
 where $\overline{\Delta\theta}(z_{L})=\frac{2a_{cr}}{D_{OL}}$

For SIS mass profile of lens galaxy with velocity dispersion (v)

$$a_{cr} = 4\pi \left(\frac{\nu}{c}\right)^2 \frac{D_{OL} D_{LS}}{D_{OS}}$$

On solving

$$\frac{\langle \Delta \theta(z_{s}) \rangle}{\Delta \theta_{0}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{z_{s}} \frac{D_{LS}^{3} D_{OL}^{2} (1+z_{L})^{2}}{D_{OS}^{3} E(z)} dz_{L}}{\int_{0}^{z_{s}} \frac{D_{LS}^{2} D_{OL}^{2} (1+z_{L})^{2}}{D_{OS}^{2} E(z)} dz_{L}}$$
Where, $\Delta \theta_{0} = 8\pi \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^{2}$

For the singular isothermal sphere (SIS) lensing galaxies, the mean image separation is completely independent of the source redshift for the all FLRW based cosmological models having flat Universe i.e. Ω_{k0} = 0





Test of Curvature: Dataset

 We use the final statistical sample of lensed quasars from the SDSS Quasar Lens Search (SQLS). The SDSS DR7 quasar catalog consists of 26 lenses in a well-defined statistical sample and 36 additional lenses identified by various techniques

Selection Criterion and procedure

- Limits the number of source images to two.
- > Maximum image separation between two images should be less than 4".
- > After applying the selection criteria, out of 62 lensing systems, we are finally left with 44 galaxy lenses.
- > For calculating the mean image separation first we divide this dataset in a redshift bin-size of 0.3 and 0.5 respectively and determine the mean value of $\Delta \theta$ in each interval.



Test of Curvature: Results

Test of Curvature: Results

Statistical tests to check the correlation between image separation $(\Delta \theta)$ and source redshift, z_s .

Statistical tests	Values
Spearman's rank coefficient (ho)	0.22 ± 0.09

Discussion

Level I: Test of FLRW metric

 $\Box \Omega_{k0}$ estimates from different observations remain constant in redshift range 0 < z < 1.37. It shows the consistency with the assumption of homogeneity of the universe and also concordance with the FLRW metric.

Level II: Test of spatial Curvature

- **The normalized mean image separation shows an inclination towards a close universe.** However, within the 2σ region it also incorporates a flat universe.
- □ The value of correlation coefficients with the corresponding error bars indicates a weak positive correlation between image separation and source redshift z_s .
- □ Though the different bin sizes result in a difference in the number of data points, the overall trend remains the same . But with a small bin-size, we obtain comparatively tight bands.

Conclusion :

- > This work jointly indicate a homogeneous but marginally closed universe.
- Though this inclination of best fit line towards a closed universe can't be directly taken as the strict deviation from a flat universe, it does motivate us to study some of the proposed non-flat dark energy models.
- In this era of precision cosmology, access to more dataset would improve the efficiency of such null test available in literature.

THANK YOU