Dark Machine Collider Session: Guide to the Discussion

Tommaso Dorigo (Padova) Maurizio Pierini (CERN)

"Traditional" use of Machine Learning for Collider physics

Supervised problems (e.g., signal vs. background classification)

Use of ML for analyses (re-)established a few times as essential discovery tool:

- 1. CP violation at B factories: 2000 (NNs for b-tagging)
- 2. Single Top at Tevatron: 2000-2009 (fits to MVA classifier outputs)
- 3. Higgs boson at LHC: 2012 (BDTs for classifications and regressions)

Established methods and usual sociological trauma when going from one ML technology to the other

I.e., people will eventually be convinced that Deep Learning is the way to go.
But it might take some time

Practical problems

Training: Access to GPUs is still an issue at CERN

• Need to raise the discussion to lab management (e.g., an open letter) and convince the seniors who control funds

<u>Inference</u>: Need to work towards efficient solutions to integrate Deep Learning libraries into C++ experiment-specific frameworks

1. e.g., implementation of TensorFlow interface to CMS software took 1 year

Benchmark datasets for out-of-experiment collaborations:

- Several ideas discussed in the last 12 months
- Need to converge to a proposal and implement it

There will be a discussion on this after this session. Join us if you have ideas, Datasets to offer or if you want to know what is going on

Beyond Supervised approaches

Explore unsupervised/semi-supervised techniques

- At trigger level to reduce filter bias (we make 40M collisions/sec, we store 1000 of them)
- Offline, to extend searches beyond typical benchmarks

Possible directions: (so far) Anomaly detection with (variational) autoencoders and similar

Other approaches:

- Dimensionality reduction for robust inference (INFERNO);
- Likelihood-free inference, exploring latent space (mining gold, Cranmer/Brehmer/ Pavez/Louppe)...

Beyond analysis

Can we envision a use of Deep Learning to improve detector-related aspects of collider physics?

- Optimization of detector geometry, tuned to future DNN reco capability
- (real-time) detector monitoring
- Optimization of the trigger menu (O(500) paths sharing bandwidth)
- Entirely new solutions to particle ID (e.g., tracing nuclear interactions in thin radiator layer within tracking)

