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Road map:

- ICMEs: as forcing geo-space activity
- ICMEs: Shielding of GCRs
- LAMP Space Weather initiatives in Argentina
- Other operative initiatives in the region
We focus now on one of the main IP aspects of ICMEs, which affect their geo-effectiveness while they are propagating from Sun to Earth:

- Erosion due to magnetic reconnection
- Typical 3D global shape in the heliosphere
- Typical time profile observed at IP near Earth

$V_x(t) \& B_s(t)$ near Earth are determined by (i) solar initial condition and (ii) **IP evolution**

Then, two ICME/MC with same initial conditions can arrive Earth with different $V_x(t) \& B_s(t)$ profiles

What are the most relevant physical mechanisms in the IP evolution? (interaction w ambient, erosion, ...) How much affect each one?

We focus now on one of the main IP aspects of ICMEs, which affect their geo-effectiveness while they are propagating from Sun to Earth:
Note that the Earth/MC relative size is not real! Thus, knowledge of details of the MC structure are important to determine how geo-effective will be.

Cylindrical good approximation for local slide.
How much erosion from Sun to 1 AU can affect the geoeffectiveness

Numerical estimations for one eroded case provide a reduction of the Dst peak around 30%
Eroded case 30% weaker than if no erosion had occurred

It is possible to get the global 3D shape from a model, compared with statistical observations of a single MC crossed by multiple spacecrafts.

May be interplanetary cubesats in the near future?
It is possible to get the global 3D shape from a model, compared with statistical observations of a single MC crossed by multiple spacecrafts. At the moment, one single spacecraft, but for many events observed at different places.

Crossing a statistically significant # of events => large variety of crossing at different locations (along the flux rope). For similar sample of MCs, equivalent to the scenario of the left.

Then, from assuming a free geometrical model, and comparison with observations => a typical shape can be deduced.

[Janvier+ 2013, 2014]
First quantitative cartoon for typical flux rope and driven shock, based on statistical analysis

Same procedure for the shape of the 3D surface of the shock wave: elliptical shape (symmetry axis along Sun-apex) [Janvier+ 2015]

When an ICME strongly interacts with non-stationary solar wind or for ICME-ICME interaction, the evolution is not smooth and strong deviations are expected on the 3D shape and on the geo-effectiveness [Dasso+ JGR 2009]
Key solar wind properties for the Sun-Earth coupling and space weather forecasting

- interplanetary magnetic field
- solar wind speed
- solar wind density
- level of turbulence, etc

ICMEs are IP transients, that change drastically the interplanetary plasma and magnetic properties near Earth
Four key substructures inside an ICME: shock, sheath, ejecta and back-wake

From [Zurbuchen & Richardson, Space Science Rev, 2006]

Parker spiral $B$

Snow thrower effect

Kataoka and Miyoshi, 2006
Superposed Epoch Analysis: Splitting samples by velocity (best ‘order-parameter’)


Masías-Meza+, 2016
Effects of IP conditions on transport of GCRs, on short and large time scales (i.e., Forbush and solar cycle modulation)

Figure from Richardson & Cane [2011]
• Comparison of a Forbush Decrease observed with a typical Neutron Monitor (NM, blue dashed) and with a Water Cherenkov radiation Detector (WCD, red solid).
• Forbush event: May 15th, 2005, NM is from Los Cerrillos (Chile). WCD is from the Pierre Auger Observatory.
• FD-NM peak was ~ 7% & FD-WCD peak was ~ 3%
• Similar daily variations in the flux are seen at both observatories.
• WCDs can discriminate different energy channels in secondaries.

From Pierre Auger Collaboration [Jinst, 2011]

WCDs from the LAGO Collab have also observed FDs [e.g., Asorey+ICRC, 2016]

A LAGO node at Antarctic [Dasso+, ICRC, 2016]
Operative LAGO detectors will cover a geographical gap.

And also will provide energy resolution for:
- direct observations for secondary CRs
- modeled primary CRs
Operative LAGO detectors will cover a geographical gap.

And also will provide energy resolution for:
- direct observations for secondary CRs
- modeled primary CRs
NEWRUS (NEW antarctic cosmic Rays detector to Use in Space weather)

An Space Weather laboratory was recently set up (las campaign) in the Argentine Antarctic Marambio base. Different instruments were installed: particle detector (NEWRUS), meteorological station, magnetometer, etc. NEWRUS forms part of a LAGO node [Water Cherenkov detector].

The Antarctic campaign was done in Jan-March, 2019. Participants of the campaign: Dasso S. (project PI), Gulisano A. (project co-PI), Aresno O. and Pereira M.
Comparison of Neurus during its first month of observations at Antarctic

$R_{OULU} \approx 1 \text{ GV}$
$R_{APTY} \approx 1 \text{ GV}$
$R_{Neurus} \approx 2 \text{ GV}$

Real time data will be publicly available soon in internet, for operative as well as for scientific aims.
Space Weather Initiatives in Argentina
Three main milestones for Space Weather in Argentina

CNIE: Comisión Nacional de Investigaciones Espaciales

Linkage with NASA. Sandro Radicella was the first CNIE fellow abroad (NASA & Boulder), then returned to Argentina to share knowledge and know how learned, mainly on ionosphere.

1960

IAFE: Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, UBA-CONICET

Strong development of upper atmosphere research at the National University of Tucumán (UNT).

Ghielmetti-Roederer: strong development of magnetospheric and energetic particles research (UBA).

1969

CONAE: Comisión Nacional Aero Espacial (current Argentina Space Agency).

1991

Nowadays, there are many groups from many Universities and Institutions working on Space Weather or on topics linked with: CAB, CONAE, CONICET, IAA, IAFE, UBA, UNLP, UNT, UTN, SMN, etc etc.

Now
LAMP (Laboratorio Argentino de Meteorología del Espacio): Activities and Linkages

www.iafe.uba.ar/u/lamp
Example of one of the prototype-operative products offered in the LAMP website (VTEC over Argentina, using GPS-RAMSAC, developed in collaboration with EMBRACE-INPE):

[spaceweather.at.fcen.uba.ar]

[Takahashi+, Space Weather AGU, 2016]
Structure of the weekly bulletin produced by LAMP from 2016

**WEEKLY BULLETIN ON THE SPACE WEATHER CONDITIONS**

**SUN CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Regions</th>
<th>Total number of ARs; NOAA AR number (approximate latitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronal Holes</td>
<td>Total number of CHs; position and dimension expressed in %; dynamic (growing or reducing size); day of passage throw the center of the solar disk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Flares</td>
<td>Total number of solar flares (); #A(); #B(); #C(); #M(); #X(); Strongest event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filaments/Prominences</td>
<td>Total number of filaments or prominences, position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal Mass Ejections</td>
<td>Total number of CMEs, date of ejection, earth directed or not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energetic Particles</td>
<td>Date of occurrence of SPEs (coming soon: FDs, GLEs) and time duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERPLANETARY MEDIUM CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar wind speed</th>
<th>Fluctuations, tendency, maximum value reached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South component of the Interplanetary magnetic field</td>
<td>periods with Bz&lt;-5nT, long time duration or fluctuations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interplanetary structures</td>
<td>Date, characteristics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MAGNETOSPHERE CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Índice Kp</th>
<th>Date of maximum value, tendency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Índice DST</td>
<td>Date of maximum value, tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Índice Ksa</td>
<td>Date of maximum value, tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High energy electrons</td>
<td>Peak intensity and time duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IONOSPHERE CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>foF2</th>
<th>Descripción de la curva diaria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEC</td>
<td>Máximo valor, región con máximo valor de TEC,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FORECAST (3 DAYS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar wind</th>
<th>Solar wind evolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar flares</td>
<td>Percentage of probability of occurrence for C, M and X solar flares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geomagnetic storms</td>
<td>Expected Kp value (Geomagnetic storm level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiation storms</td>
<td>Probability in percentage of occurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio blackouts</td>
<td>Probability in percentage of occurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For constructing the bulletin, LAMP analyzes data from own products and instruments, and also public data offered by different institutions [global, regional and in Argentina]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar telescope</td>
<td>El Leoncito - San Juan</td>
<td>31.8S</td>
<td>69.3W</td>
<td>MPI/IAFE/OAFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle detector</td>
<td>Marambio - Antarctic</td>
<td>64.2S</td>
<td>56.3W</td>
<td>LAMP/LAGO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>Pilar - Córdoba</td>
<td>31.4S</td>
<td>63.9W</td>
<td>SMN/INTERMAGNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>Orcadas - Antarctic</td>
<td>60.7S</td>
<td>44.7W</td>
<td>SMN/INTERMAGNET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>Rio Grande - Tierra del Fuego</td>
<td>53.8S</td>
<td>67.8W</td>
<td>UNLP/EMBRACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>S. M. Tucumán - Tucumán</td>
<td>26.8S</td>
<td>65.2W</td>
<td>UNT/EMBRACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>San Martín Antarctic base</td>
<td>68.1S</td>
<td>67.1W</td>
<td>IAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetometer</td>
<td>Belgrano 2 Antarctic base</td>
<td>77.8S</td>
<td>24.5W</td>
<td>IAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosonde</td>
<td>S.M. Tucumán - Tucumán</td>
<td>26.9S</td>
<td>65.4W</td>
<td>UNT/INGV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosonde</td>
<td>Bahia Blanca - Buenos Aires</td>
<td>38.7S</td>
<td>62.3W</td>
<td>UNT/INGV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-sky imager</td>
<td>El Leoncito - San Juan</td>
<td>31.8S</td>
<td>69.3W</td>
<td>BU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More operative Space Weather initiatives in Argentina

- FACET-UNT: public real time data of (1) Ionospheric sounder, (2) Multistatic HF Doppler Radar, (3) Magnetometer, (4) Double Frequency GPS receiver & (5) Riometer single channel
- MAGGIA-UNLP: public real time VTEC

And coming soon:
- FACET-UNT: a new WCD-LAGO at Tucuman, a portal with more SWx operative products, program of SWx courses.
- IAA: 2 magnetometers already working at Antarctic will provide real time data
- SMN: 2 magnetometers already included in INTERMAGNET will provide real time data
- Etc etc etc ...

A recent product shown near real time VTEC maps on central/south America [using GPS, GLONASS, Galileo & BeiDou] was developed at the MAGGIA Lab, UNLP [Mendoza+, Space Weather AGU, 2019]
The networks of sensors today in Latin America is mostly driven by science. They are now being used by SW operations through cooperation with existing projects.

There is now in Latin America two Regional Warning Center (Brazil and Mexico), one Associate Warning Center in Argentina and a Space Weather service being constructed in Chile.

Gaps in the network are being used for planning the investment f.ex. of EMBRACE program or through international initiatives.
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