Obtain original web presentation here:

https://slides.com/odineidolon/chym2019-3/fullscreen#/

This PDF version is of lower quality

Statistical analysis for flood mapping estimation

Francesca Raffaele, Rita Nogherotto, Adriano Fantini ICTP, Trieste, Italy afantini@ictp.it





Objective: flood hazard maps

- Different Return Periods 42°
 (probabilities): 100, 200, 500 years...
- Italian territory
- Future climate projections
- Using data from hydrological model



Methodology



Methodology



Discharge timeseries from hydrological simulation HOW? Synthetic Design Hydrographs: "typical" flood event, input to hydraulic

model



po_discharge_1995-2005 - 7 Ponte Spessa

Basic concept (1): Return Period

The RP is a common measure of probability used for extreme events: it represents the probability of the event happening any given year. For example:

- If an event has RP=100 yr, its probability to happen any given year is 1%
- RP=200 yr => p=0.5%
- Only a **statistical** measure!

Basic concept (2): Synthetic Design Hydrographs

The SDH is the curve giving the "typical" flood event discharge (*Q*) as a function of time (*t*), for any given Return Period (*RP*):

$$SDH = Q_{RP}(t)$$

There are two components to the SDH (at a given RP):



Basic concept (2): Synthetic Design Hydrographs

The SDH is the curve giving the "typical" flood event discharge (*Q*) as a function of time (*t*), for any given Return Period (*RP*):

$$SDH = Q_{RP}(t)$$

There are two components to the SDH (at a given RP):

• peak discharge



Basic concept (2): Synthetic Design Hydrographs

The SDH is the curve giving the "typical" flood event discharge (*Q*) as a function of time (*t*), for any given Return Period (*RP*):

$$SDH = Q_{RP}(t)$$

There are two components to the SDH (at a given RP):

- peak discharge
- shape



Basic concept (3): Flow Duration Frequency curve

The FDF is the curve maximising the flood event discharge (Q) averaged over a duration (D) around the peak, so that for a given event:

$$ext{FDF} = Q_D = rac{1}{D}max\int_t^{t+D}Q\left(au
ight)d au$$

Notice that, by definition, for an idealised event with Return Period RP, the peak flood discharge is:

$$Q_{D=0}(RP)=Q_{RP}(t=0)$$

Basic concept (3.1): confused? Example time!

The shape of the SDH is dictated by the peak-duration ratio r_D , which is the ratio of the time before the peak and the total duration (*D*) of the averaging window.

The smaller the r_D , the more skewed the hydrograph will be towards steeper (flatter) rising 2 (falling) limbs of the hydrograph.

Also notice that:

$$Volume = Q_D imes D$$



Basic concept (3.1): confused? Example time!

The shape of the SDH is dictated by the peak-duration ratio r_D , which is the ratio of the time before the peak and the total duration (*D*) of the averaging window.

The smaller the r_D , the more skewed the hydrograph will be towards steeper (flatter) rising (falling) limbs of the hydrograph.

Also notice that:

$$Volume = Q_D \times D$$





Two assumptions

Following Maione et al. (2003), we assume that the reduction ratio (\mathcal{E}_D), which is the ratio of the FDF and the peak flood discharge Q_0 (RP) is **constant** for any Return Period (RP), so that:

$$arepsilon_D = arepsilon_D \left(RP
ight) = rac{Q_D(RP)}{Q_0(RP)}$$

Which is a reasonable assumption also according to NERC (1975): the shape of the hydrograph, given by this ratio, does **NOT** depend on the RP!

Moreover, following Alfieri et al. (2014), we assume that the hydrograph is **symmetric**, that is to say that:

$$r_D = rac{1}{2}$$

Set the flood peak as t=0, and split the left and right limb of the SDH as follows:



Set the flood peak as t=0, and split the left and right limb of the SDH as follows:

$$egin{split} \int_{-r_D D}^{t=0} Q\left(au
ight) = \ r_D D Q_D\left(RP
ight) \end{split}$$



Set the flood peak as t=0, and split the left and right limb of the SDH as follows: 800-



Set the flood peak as t=0, and split the left and right limb of the SDH as follows: 800 - 800

Step 2: differentiate for D

Only for the falling limb, differentiate the previous equation with respect to *D*:

$${
m SDH} = Q_{RP}\left(t
ight) = rac{d/dD[(1-r_D)DQ_D(RP)]|_{D=D(t)}}{d/dD[(1-r_D)D]|_{D=D(t)}}$$

Where:

$$t = (1 - r_D) D$$

Once we know the reduction ratio and the FDF, we can then calculate the SDH!

But remember that we set the reduction ratio to one half, so that:

$$t = \frac{1}{2}D$$

Step 3: obtain the reduction ratio

Remember:

$$arepsilon_D = arepsilon_D \left(RP
ight) = rac{Q_D(RP)}{Q_0(RP)}$$

We assume (Maione, 2003):

$$arepsilon_D\simeq \sqrt{p_2\left[2+p_1-rac{3}{2}p_2\left(1-p_1
ight)
ight]}$$

Where:

$$p_1=e^{-4D/ heta}$$
 ; $p_2=rac{ heta}{2D}$

Where θ only depends on the (known!) drained area; a function for it is conveniently obtained by Maione based on observed data. We are only missing Q_0 (RP)!

Step 4: obtain the peak discharge $Q_{D=0}(RP) = Q_{RP}(t=0)$

Possible approach: fitting an extreme value distribution, such as a Gumbel distribution (Maione, 2003; Alfieri, 2015) to the distribution of yearly maxima:

we use the available years of data (up to 30) to estimate the peak discharge for any RP, thus (suppolating data for suppolating data for L

Synthetic Design Hydrograph EWA dataset station 9308220 - BASCHI - TEVERE river (IT)

Now:

- Do this procedure for each
 5-10 km along rivers
- Run 5528 hydraulic simulations
- Aggregate data...

Now:

- Do this procedure for each 5-10 km along rivers
- Run 5528 hydraulic simulations
- Aggregate data...

THANK YOU!

Rita Nogherotto 46°N
 will present the results from the hydraulic model tomorrow!

 Francesca
 Raffaele will be here next week to answer any question

