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Abstract

An intercomparison is undertaken of the tropical behavior of 17 coupled ocean-atmosphere models in which at least one component may be termed a General Circulation Model (GCM). The aim is to provide a taxonomy—a description and rough classification—of behavior across the ensemble of models, focusing on interannual variability. The temporal behavior of the sea surface temperature (SST) field along the equator is presented for each model, SST being chosen as the primary variable for intercomparison due to its crucial role in mediating the coupling and because it is a sensitive indicator of climate drift. A wide variety of possible types of behavior are noted among the models. Models with substantial interannual tropical variability may be roughly classified into cases with propagating SST anomalies and cases in which the SST anomalies develop in place. A number of the models also exhibit significant drift with respect to SST climatology. However, there is not a clear relationship between climate drift and the presence or absence of interannual oscillations. In several cases, the mode of climate drift within the tropical Pacific appears to involve coupled feedback mechanisms similar to those responsible for El Niño variability. Implications for coupled model development and for climate prediction on seasonal to interannual time scales are discussed.
available. Figures of temporal evolution of the SST field along the equator are presented for each model with other aspects of the behavior described in the text. For models where coherent interannual variability of weak amplitude is found, figures of SST anomalies are very helpful in the interpretation but are omitted for brevity.

The focus is restricted to models in which at least one component may be termed a GCM. A general circulation model is taken to mean a model based on the primitive equations, in most cases simulating its own climatology, usually with several vertical degrees of freedom and, in the case of an atmospheric GCM (AGCM), having detailed parameterizations of radiative, convective and mixing effects. Coupled GCMs may be expected to suffer from common problems and deficiencies on the one hand, and similar long term potential on the other. However, the boundary between the CGCMs and a class of models based on modified shallow-water equations is not sharp. Results from the most widely known representative of this class, the Cane and Zebiak (1985) model, are included to provide a basis of comparison. Although we have attempted to include all CGCMs worldwide for which extended integrations exist, a number of models are unfortunately not represented, especially those developed since contributions were originally solicited.

The phenomena noted in the CGCM simulations do not always correspond to the observed tropical oceans but are nonetheless of considerable interest to coupled modelers. They serve as an indication of the richness of possible tropical phenomena and as a measure of what may be expected when atmospheric and oceanic models—each appearing reasonably realistic when run individually—are combined into a coupled system. This comparison of early modeling results may thus be considered a sensitivity study of the coupled system to differences in parameters, model formulation and modeling assumptions. Many of the models presented have undergone further development since these results were collected.

Finally, we note that there exist several groupings of papers in which a number of coupled models are collected including the proceedings of the Liège meetings (Nihoul, 1985, 1990), the Proceedings of the International TOGA Conference (World Climate Research Programme, 1990), the proceedings of a Royal Society of London conference (Charnock and Philander, 1989) and a review of global coupled models presented at the Hamburg conference (Meinl, 1990b). Other, more extensive, intercomparison efforts for atmospheric and oceanic GCMs (AGCMs and
of propagation of SST anomalies (eastward or westward) during some years, while in other years the SST anomalies tend to develop in place.

Although the ENSO mechanism is not yet fully understood, it is widely accepted that the evolution of anomalous conditions during ENSO results from a positive feedback between the tropical ocean and the tropical atmosphere, as first hypothesized on a descriptive basis by Bjerknes (1969). Although the complete study of ENSO requires the development of coupled ocean-atmosphere models, ENSO modeling started with the study of either the ocean or the atmosphere alone. Ocean models consisting of simple linear shallow-water models revealed the importance of internal equatorial waves in the adjustment of the upper ocean in response to changing boundary conditions (e.g. McCreary 1976, Cane and Sarachik 1977, Cane 1979a,b), a result which was obtained also from observational studies (e.g. Wyrtki 1975). When forced by observed winds, these models have been remarkably successful in hindcasting observed interannual changes in sea level (e.g. Busalacchi and O'Brien 1981). More recently, sophisticated OGCMs of the Pacific basin have been used to successfully simulate observed SST and current variations associated with ENSO (e.g. Philander and Seigel 1985).

The atmospheric response to anomalous heating or SST has been also studied with a great variety of models ranging from shallow water models (e.g. Gill 1980) to sophisticated atmospheric GCMs (e.g. Lau 1985, Palmer and Mansfield 1986, Mechoso et al. 1987, Shukla and Fennessy 1988 and references therein). It was shown that at least the response over the tropical Pacific could be realistically reproduced in the AGCMs when the observed changes in the boundary conditions were prescribed. Furthermore, these results indicate that the slowly varying oceanic boundary conditions induce the possibility of short-range climate predictability at lead times long compared to the natural predictability of weather phenomena. Further references may be found in Nihoul (1985) and Philander (1990).

Progress in oceanic and atmospheric ENSO modeling studies encouraged the development of coupled ocean-atmosphere models. Reviews of simple and intermediate model studies may be found in Hirst (1990), Zebiak (1990), McCreary and Anderson (1991) and Philander (1990). Linear instability studies by Philander et al. (1984), Hirst (1986, 1988) and Battisti and Hirst (1988) are among contributions toward understanding the processes which can generate interannual variability.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>High vertical resolution AGCMs</th>
<th>Low vertical resolution AGCMs</th>
<th>Simple atmospheric models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vertical-high horizontal resolution</td>
<td>Philander et al. Gordon and Ineson Latif et al. Latif and Sterl Gent and Tribbia Mechoso et al.</td>
<td>$2.1$</td>
<td>$2.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vertical-low horizontal resolution</td>
<td>Lau et al.* Tokioka et al.* Cubasch and Böttiger* Oberhuber et al.*</td>
<td>$2.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low vertical-high horizontal resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schopf and Suares Zebiak and Cane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low vertical-low horizontal resolution</td>
<td>Meehl and Washington* Gates et al.* Gates and Sperber*</td>
<td>$2.3$</td>
<td>$2.4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of models grouped by common characteristics in terms of resolution and physics. The category 'Simple atmospheric models' broadly includes steady models with parameterised moist processes and time dependent models with reduced physics relative to AGCMs. Atmospheric models are not classified by horizontal resolution; the AGCMs are all coarse resolution relative to space scales of equatorial dynamics. Ocean models not based on the primitive equations have been included in the relevant class according to resolution (see text for specification.) Asterisks denote models in which the ocean has a global domain. Section numbers for the 'Model Descriptions' section are given in the upper right corner for each class. Corresponding categories are used in the 'Results' section. Only models for which complete Model Descriptions and Results sections are given in the text are listed here. Models or versions of models for which partial results are available are mentioned in the relevant sections or in the Discussion.
The oceanic model is restricted to the tropical Pacific region with a domain extending from 28°S to 50°N and 130°E to 80°W with maximum horizontal resolution of 1° by 1/3°. There are 27 vertical levels, the upper 100 m having a resolution of 10 m. Richardson number-dependent vertical mixing is used as in Pacanowski and Philander (1981). The coefficients of horizontal eddy viscosity and diffusivity are $2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ equatorward of 10° latitude and larger in the poleward regions. A Newtonian cooling is applied at high latitudes to return temperatures toward the observed Levitus (1982) values. The ocean model simulation of the seasonal cycle in the Pacific has been described in Philander et al. (1987) and the simulation of the 1982-83 El Niño in response to observed winds is described in Philander and Siegel (1985).

The two models are coupled synchronously with information being passed between them once per day. SST from the higher-resolution ocean model is averaged spatially over grid boxes corresponding to the atmospheric model in the coupling. SST outside the ocean model domain is specified from observations and ocean model SST is modified to ensure that there is no abrupt transition at model boundaries which do not correspond to coastlines. No flux correction is applied. Annual average insolation is used so the model does not have diurnal or seasonal cycles. Further model description may be found in Philander et al. (1989, 1991). Initial conditions correspond to an atmosphere at rest and a tropical Pacific ocean in equilibrium with the annual mean winds of Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983). The integration was carried out for 28 years.

Two additional runs have been carried out using a version of the atmospheric model which includes interactive cloud cover that affects the radiative transfer. One run used the observed annual average solar constant and one a solar constant reduced by about 10% to compensate for systematic errors in the globally averaged cloud cover.

b) Gordon and Ineson

The atmospheric component of the model is the latest version of the British Meteorological Office 11-layer climate model (Slingo et al., 1989). It has a 2.5° latitude by 3.75° longitude grid and contains a number of detailed physics packages—including interactive cloud. This model has been used for a variety of climate related studies (e.g. Mansfield and Palmer 1986). The surface fluxes produced by an earlier version of the atmospheric model which was integrated with SSTs specified from climatology are discussed in Gordon (1989a). Basically the wind stress and heat fluxes were
boundaries. There are 13 levels in the vertical, ten of which are placed within the upper 300 m of the ocean. In contrast to Latif (1987), we adopt Richardson number dependent mixing coefficients (Pacanowski and Philander, 1981). The vertical eddy viscosity and eddy diffusivity were both assigned values of 20 cm$^2$s$^{-1}$ under neutral conditions and have background values of 0.1 and 0.01 cm$^2$s$^{-1}$, respectively. The horizontal eddy viscosity is constant with a value of $10^8$ cm$^2$s$^{-1}$. Explicit horizontal heat diffusion is not included.

The design of the atmospheric model and its ability to reproduce the observed atmospheric circulation are described by Fischer (1987), Storch (1988) and Latif et al. (1990). This spectral model is integrated in the spectral domain spanned by the T21-resolution, i.e., a maximum of 21 zonal wavenumbers is resolved. The nonlinear terms and the parameterized physical processes are calculated on the 64 by 32 Gaussian grid which yields a horizontal resolution of about 5.6°. There are 16 levels in the vertical which are defined on sigma-surfaces in the lower troposphere and on pressure-surfaces in the upper troposphere and in the stratosphere.

The ocean model is driven by turbulent surface momentum and heat fluxes which are computed by the atmospheric model. The atmospheric model in turn is influenced by SST, which is simulated over the Tropical Pacific by the ocean model and specified from climatology elsewhere. Coupling is done synchronously every ocean time step. As in the flux correction scheme suggested by Sausen et al. (1988), we correct all three interactive quantities with seasonally varying offset values determined from uncoupled control runs which used climatological forcing. The integration begins on a January 1, using atmospheric and oceanic initial fields simulated in the uncoupled model's runs. More detailed description of the coupled model is given in Latif et al. (1988b). A slightly different version of this model was used to investigate the response of the coupled system to wind bursts over the Western Equatorial Pacific (Latif et al., 1988a). The same ocean model was also coupled to two simpler (linear) atmospheric models. Results can be found in Latif and Villwock (1990).

d) Latif and Sterl

The ocean model is similar to the one described by Latif et al. in the previous section. Here we describe only the differences from this earlier version. The domain is zonally global and extends from 70°N to 70°S. The meridional resolution is 0.5° within 10°N to 10°S, increasing to 1° at ±20°
necessitating the short time increment needed for the computational stability of the shorter sonal scales.

The ocean component is a reduced-gravity, primitive equation model. The average depth of the model is 400 m; below that the ocean is at rest and has a constant density. Since the model does not have salinity, constant density also means constant temperature. The ocean has a mixed layer with a constant depth of 50m and the active region below the mixed layer is divided into six more model layers using a sigma coordinate relative to the lower surface. Thus the vertical resolution is, on average, 50 m. The horizontal domain is from 130°E to 80°W and from 30°S to 30°N. The horizontal resolution in the coupled calculation is 1.5° in longitude and variable in latitude. It is 0.25° at the equator varying smoothly to 1° at 30°N,S. Nonlinear computational instability in the model is controlled by use of a Shapiro filter which is of order 16 in the interior reducing to order 2 at the boundaries. The model also has Richardson number dependent vertical mixing. Full details of the model can be found in Gent and Cane (1989) and Gent (1990).

Coupling is done synchronously, with the components exchanging information every day.

f) Mechoso, Ghil, Halpern, Fisher and Spahr

The atmospheric model is the 5-layer, 4° latitude by 5° longitude version of the UCLA AGCM. This is a grid-point model using the potential-ensnrophy-conserving horizontal-differencing scheme of Arakawa and Lamb (1981), modified to give fourth-order accuracy for the advection of potential vorticity and potential temperature, and to preserve positive definiteness in advection of water vapor. Vertical differencing follows Arakawa and Suarez (1983), based on a modified sigma-coordinate system above the planetary boundary layer (PBL) top, and pressure coordinate above 100mb.

One aspect of the UCLA GCM which is of special interest to its coupling to the upper ocean is the treatment of the PBL. This is modeled as a well-mixed, variable-depth, bottom layer. In parameterizing PBL processes, bulk assumptions for the description of turbulence (Suarez et al. 1983) are used and surface fluxes of sensible heat, moisture and momentum are modeled with the bulk parameterization of Deardorff (1972). When the top of the PBL is above the condensation level, it has a sublayer of stratocumulus clouds which affects radiation fluxes at the surface. The parameterization of cumulus convection and its interaction with the PBL is based on Arakawa
of 51, 68, 101, and 151 m. The vertical mixing coefficient is constant; the horizontal diffusion coefficient for momentum is \(2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\), about 100 times larger than the high-resolution version.

A coupling algorithm designed by S. Manabe and R. Stouffer of the Climate Dynamics Project at GFDL was used. Oceanic and atmospheric boundary conditions are exchanged once per day and held fixed for the respective components during each day's integration. No seasonal cycle or diurnal cycle is included. Initial conditions for the run presented here are taken from a climate run which has been integrated asynchronously for 1250 ocean years to attain equilibrium (Manabe and Stouffer, 1988). The integration was carried out for approximately 140 years.

b) Tokioka, Endoh and Nagai

The atmospheric model described by Tokioka et al. (1984) is a 5-level GCM with a resolution of 5° longitude by 4° latitude. It includes standard physics such as cumulus parameterization and interactive clouds. The ocean model is a regional model of the Tropical Pacific. It is based on primitive equations and it has the same horizontal resolution as the atmosphere model. Vertically there are 16 levels. Vertical mixing coefficients are calculated according to Pacanowski and Philander (1981). The coupling is done synchronously every day. No correction is applied to the surface fluxes. The coupled model is driven with seasonally and daily varying insolation. Initial conditions for the atmospheric model have been taken from a run with climatological SST. The ocean is initially at rest with the stratification prescribed according to Levitus (1983) climatology.

c) Cubasch and Böttinger

The coupled model was developed for the simulation of CO₂ induced warming. The atmospheric model is a T21 ECMWF spectral model (Louis 1986) with "Hamburg" physics: 19 vertical levels, diurnal cycle, seasonal cycle, interactive clouds, 3 layer soil parameterization, envelope orography, gravity wave drag and a time step of 40 minutes. The ocean model is a large-scale geostrophic (LSG) global model developed by Maier-Reimer et al. (1982). It has implicit time stepping, permitting a time step of 1 day in the upper two layers and 30 days in the remainder of the ocean. An Arakawa E-grid is used, with the closest grid points to the equator at approximately ±2°. The temperature equation includes full nonlinearity. The ocean model does not accurately describe
quantities (Roeckner et al., 1989).

The ocean general circulation model (OCPYC) was developed by Oberhuber (1990). The model uses isopycinal coordinates for the deep ocean. An active mixed layer represents the surface boundary layer. The model's resolution is the Gaussian grid corresponding to the T42 atmospheric model (about $2.8^\circ \times 2.8^\circ$) with 9 layers in the vertical on a global domain. The primitive equations are integrated in time with an implicit technique. It contains a realistic equation of state with temperature and salinity as active tracers and topography. Wind stirring, buoyancy fluxes, internal dissipation and penetrative solar radiation are part of the mixed layer parameterization. Entrainment, detrainment, cross-isopycinal mixing and convective adjustment contribute to cross-isopycinal exchange.

The sea ice model predicts momentum and ice concentration and ice thickness. A standard formulation for the thermodynamical forcing is taken. In addition the Hibler-like rheology enables the model to predict realistic sea ice covers and transports. Implicit techniques are used to formulate the model on the same grid and with the same time step as the ocean model. The ice model is coupled to the mixed layer.

Both directly coupled and flux-corrected (Sausen et al., 1988) versions of the model have been tested. The model of the atmosphere, the ocean and the sea ice are coupled synchronously. After each time step of 1 day of the ocean and ice model the models exchange fluxes of heat, fresh water and momentum. In the flux-corrected version, these fluxes are corrected using climatological fields are derived from reference runs of the atmosphere (20 years with 3 years of spin-up) and the ocean (after 250 years of spin-up). Over sea ice, additional operations are necessary to compute corrected values for the skin temperature under the constraint of a variable sea ice concentration. Results from the directly coupled version will be referred to as Run-1 and from the flux-corrected version as Run-2.

2.3 Multi-level AGCMs with low-resolution OGCMs

a) Meehl and Washington

The atmospheric model has been used specifically for coupling to various ocean formulations (e.g. Washington and Meehl, 1983, 1984, 1989; Meehl and Washington, 1990). It is a global spectral GCM and includes rhomboidal 15 resolution (about 4.5° longitude by 7.5° latitude), nine layers in
The coupling of the atmospheric and oceanic portions of the model is straightforward: the net surface heat flux over the oceans serves as forcing for the determination of the ocean's surface and interior temperature, while the surface wind stress is similarly used for the calculation of the ocean currents. Oceanic salinity is found from a climatologically prescribed surface salinity, rather than from the simulated evaporation-precipitation difference. Atmospheric advection takes place every 10 min., and the air temperature is updated once each hour as a result of diabatic heating; the surface (and interior) winds are updated hourly as a result of friction, which coincides with the basic one-hour time step of the ocean model. By such a synchronous exchange of heat and momentum at the sea surface both the ocean and atmosphere model are subject to full diurnal and seasonal forcing, and no time smoothing or averaging is employed during the course of their simultaneous integration.

b) Gates and Sperber

The atmospheric component is the same as in Gates et al. (2.4a). The ocean model, originally developed by Pollard (1982), allows the interactive determination of the sea-surface temperature as well as the heat transport in the upper ocean. The ocean has two layers of variable depth crudely representing the mixed layer and the thermocline. The entrainment of water between the layers is parameterized according to the method of Niiler and Kraus (1977). The atmosphere and ocean exchange momentum and heat according to conventional bulk formulae, with both the mixed layer temperature and thermocline temperature internally predicted. The model forms sea ice when the mixed layer temperature falls below -1.6°C, while sea ice heat storage, leads, and dynamics are ignored. The salinity is prescribed, while the eddy diffusion of heat and momentum are parameterized with constant Laplacian diffusion coefficients.

Prior to coupling with the atmospheric model, the ocean model was spun-up from an initially static state with 16 months of observed wind stress forcing. Here we report the mixed layer current simulation in the last 23 years of a 25-year control integration in which the atmosphere and ocean were synchronously coupled without the application of correction terms at the ocean surface. Gates and Potter (1990) report the basic performance of the model, as well as the climate change induced by a doubling of CO₂. Aspects of the simulated surface pressure, temperature, precipitation, surface currents, and thermocline depth have been considered by Sperber (1989), while the model's
stress response for a given oceanic configuration. The 'relative coupling coefficient' is defined to be the strength of the stress response relative to that for the best case parameters.

b) Allaart, Jansen, Kattenberg and Verhaar

The coupled system consists of three components: an ocean GCM, a global atmospheric model and a heating scheme. The ocean model is a primitive-equation, cartesian-coordinate model with variable resolution. It is similar to the model by Latif and Maier-Reimer (Latif 1987) and it is described and documented by Allaart and Kattenberg (1990). Some aspects of its spin-up, in relation to the inclusion of salinity in the model, were described by Kattenberg and Allaart (1989). The atmospheric component is the linear steady-state anomaly model described by Opsteegh and Mureau (1984). A parameterization scheme for atmospheric heating above tropical oceans, based on a moisture budget equation, was developed by Jansen and Verhaar (1990) to force the atmospheric model in the coupled experiments.

We use a method of coupling with 5 fields communicated between the models on a 2 × 2 degree grid in the region bounded by ±29 latitude, 124°E and 70°W longitude, with realistic land-contours. The fields that are presented to the ocean component consist of anomalies that are produced by the atmosphere and heating components supplemented with climatological values that were generated by the ocean model in an uncoupled control-experiment. During this control the ocean model was forced to equilibrium with F.S.U. winds (Goldenberg and O'Brien 1981), CAC SST (Reynolds and Gemmill 1984) and the climatological surface salinity from the Levitus (1982) atlas.

The ocean model and the heating scheme/atmosphere model were developed separately (and uncoupled). For the ocean model no tuning was possible or necessary, the atmospheric component was tuned during its development mainly to produce an optimal simulation of the precipitation climatology. The coupling was done 'as is', without any correction. The anomaly fields are coupled as follows: SST anomalies are passed to the heating scheme; heat and fresh water flux anomalies are passed from the heating scheme to the ocean; wind anomalies are passed from the atmospheric model to the ocean.

2.6 Simple atmospheric models with low vertical-high horizontal resolution OGCMs

a) Schopf and Suarez
b) Zebiak and Cane

The coupled model is described in Zebiak and Cane (1987). Both the atmospheric and oceanic components of the model describe perturbations about the mean climatological state, with the monthly climatology specified from observations (Rasmusson and Carpenter, 1982). The atmospheric dynamics take the form of the steady, linear shallow-water equations on an equatorial beta-plane. The circulation is forced by a heating anomaly which depends partly on local heating associated with SST anomalies and partly on the low-level moisture convergence. The latter effect is nonlinear because latent heating occurs only when the total wind field is convergent, and this is a function of the background mean convergence as well as the calculated anomalous convergence. The latent heating feedback tends to focus the atmospheric response to SST anomalies into or near the regions of mean convergence.

The model ocean basin is rectangular, and extends from 124°E to 80°W, and 29°N to 29°S. The dynamics of the model begin with the time-dependent shallow-water equations. The currents produced by these dynamics alone represent depth-averaged baroclinic currents. A frictional surface layer of 50 m depth is added to simulate the near-surface intensification of wind-driven currents. The thermodynamics describe the evolution of temperature anomalies in the model surface layer. All terms, including nonlinear advection components, are retained. Temperature anomalies below the base of the surface layer are parameterized in terms of vertical displacements of the model thermocline (identified with movements of the layer interface).

3. Results

3.1 Multi-level AGCMs with high-resolution OGCMs

a) Philander, Lau and Pacanowski

At the beginning of the integration, horizontal pressure gradients in the ocean are not in balance with the atmospheric model wind stress, which is spinning up from rest. As a result, a warming similar to an El Niño develops in the first year and an irregular oscillation between warm and cold conditions occurs thereafter (Fig. 2). There is little trend in SST or other model variables over the course of the run. Although the surface wind stress simulated by the atmospheric model is about 30% to 40% weaker than the estimates of Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983) and equatorial currents
is displaced eastward of its climatological position, and the Trades have collapsed in the western Pacific. Although the ocean model had been spun up for 4 years with AGCM wind stresses to minimize the initial imbalance between the two components, the model migrated to this state early in the run on a time scale of months. Further description may be found in Gordon (1989b).

The new version of the model (Run-2) has been integrated for 13 years and the equatorial (mean for 5°N to 5°S) SSTs are shown in Fig. 3. A number of features are immediately evident. There is a climate drift in the model leading to a cooling of the Pacific. Near the start of the simulation the model is too warm compared to climatology with the 28°C water extending east of 160°W. By year 8 the warm pool is essentially in its climatological position and after this time the warm pool retreats towards the west. It is clear from Fig. 3 that there is a well defined seasonal cycle in the model. It is however too weak in the east Pacific and this can be traced to the trades being too weak around September time (when the cold tongue should be at its maximum extent). This is a rather interesting feature since the trades are not too weak in the control atmospheric integration with climatological SSTs. The trades do pick up later in the simulation and this is reflected in the larger seasonal variation of SSTs in the equatorial Pacific. Overall, the climate drift is greatly reduced in this version of the model, with climatology and seasonal cycle qualitatively similar to observed.

An unfortunate feature of the integration is the lack of interannual variability in the east Pacific with a signature similar to El Niño. Although there is some variability it is very weak—indeed, the main interannual signal in the model is not on the equator but is situated under the South Pacific Convergence Zone south of the equator. It seems unlikely that the initial warming and subsequent cooling are part of an oscillation unless it is of very long period. All of these model features are still under investigation.

c) Latif, Biercamp, von Storch and Zwiers

The most obvious result of the ten year integration is its climate trend (Fig. 4). The entire equatorial ocean experiences a continuous cooling which is connected with atmospheric anomalies somewhat analogous to the Southern Oscillation during a cold event. The ocean cools more quickly during the first two years of the integration than during the subsequent 8 years. During the last 8 years the trend has almost constant slope. In contrast to the equatorial region there is a tendency
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d) Latif and Sterl

Initially the coupled model undergoes a rapid adjustment process in response to a relaxation of the Trade winds resulting in anomalously warm surface water during the first two years, as shown in Fig. 5. Thereafter, the coupled model undergoes an annual cycle with westward phase propagation in SST. Superimposed is a slight cooling trend and considerable low-frequency variability. In the very eastern Pacific, rather high SSTs are simulated with values up to 30°C.

Interannual variability in SST is dominated by a standing component. The anomalies are centered in the eastern Pacific near 115°W and attain maximum values of about 3°C in the center of action. A preliminary investigation of the results revealed the importance of equatorial waves for the simulated interannual variability. Upper ocean heat content anomalies show a pronounced westward phase propagation off the equator and eastward propagation on the equator. However, the slow phase speeds indicate that different wave modes rather than on single mode are of importance. As for the SST, the zonal wind stress anomalies are dominated by a standing component with maxima in the central Pacific to the west of the maximum SST anomalies. In sum, these results are indicative of a modified “delayed-action oscillator” as the basic mechanism for the interannual variability in this model.

The fact that this version of the coupled model oscillates while the earlier version didn’t is interesting. Although many changes have been made in the ocean model, we speculate that the removal of the flux correction is the main reason for the different coupled behaviors. Adding easterlies in the flux corrected run results in increased mean vertical velocity in the ocean due to a strengthening of Ekman divergence. It is known from stability analysis that the mean upwelling acts as a negative feedback on SST anomalies. The flux correction might therefore act to stabilize the coupled system.

e) Gent and Tribbia

The coupled model was initially run for a year in annual average mode. Adjustments in the values of two surface parameters and in the ocean longitude resolution were made. The first coupled calculation with annual cycle has now been run out for slightly over 11 years. Sea surface temperature along the equator is shown in Fig. 6. The gross features of the Indonesian warm pool and the equatorial cold tongue are well reproduced although the SST is slightly warmer than
layers. The Richardson-number dependent mixing scheme used here is extremely sensitive to this and tends to shut off the vertical mixing, resulting in an overly shallow, warm mixed layer. An interesting consequence of this is that the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) migrates between hemispheres with season in the Pacific. The small heat capacity of the shallow mixed layer allows the SST south of the equator to warm during the southern hemisphere summer. The ITCZ tends to follow the warm water into the summer hemisphere and the wind pattern that would maintain the cold water south of the equator in the observed climatology is disrupted, thus allowing further warming. The lack of deep mixing in the subtropics results in a much broader region of warm water than in the observations. This contributes to the weakening of the trade winds along the equator and thus to the spread of warm water into the central basin. The convergence zones over land to the west of the basin are less affected and tend to produce easterlies near the western coast, the net result being the detachment of the warm pool from the coast.

The interaction of the GCM fluxes with the vertical mixing parameterization thus apparently sets off a whole chain of coupled processes which result in a climatic state departing significantly from the observed. However, uncoupled tests did not reveal anything obviously wrong with either of these parameterizations. When the OGCM was forced by surface fluxes from a climatology of the AGCM, the oceanic simulation was very reasonable. In hindsight, the mixed-layer depth in the subtropics produced under such circumstances does tend to be too shallow. In addition, it was found that the maximum surface heat flux of the uncoupled OGCM was maximum in spring, not in summer as expected and simulated in the uncoupled AGCM. For comparison, the Philander et al. integration of section 2.1a, which uses the same OGCM and oceanic vertical mixing parameterization, but in an annual average mode, and with a different AGCM, produces a very reasonable coupled climate. Gordon and Ineson's Run-1, which also used this oceanic vertical mixing scheme, experienced results similar to those presented here. This serves as an indication of the extent to which the processes occurring in the coupled system can be difficult to anticipate from the uncoupled components alone.

The migration of the ITCZ into the southern hemisphere in the previous run raises the question of how the system behaves under conditions in which the ITCZ is permanently in the Southern hemisphere. A second integration (Run-2) was carried out in which the model was run in perpetual
SST events typically initiate in the eastern Pacific and migrate progressively westward with time. The average time elapsed between the appearance of the signal in the east and its arrival in the west Pacific is 12-15 months. SST anomalies attain their highest amplitudes in the central part of the basin. Associated anomalies occur in zonal wind stress, sea level pressure, precipitation, surface currents and ocean heat content; warm events are associated with weakened Trades and zonal sea level pressure gradients and enhancement of rainfall near the dateline and of western Pacific heat content; during cold events the reverse occurs. Extended empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis indicates westward migration in these fields in accord with the westward migration visible in the SST field. A number of different advection terms of the surface heat budget contribute to the time tendency of SST at various phases of its evolution. These are described in greater detail in Lau, Philander and Nath (1991).

b) Tokioka, Endoh and Nagai

Eight years of coupled integration are shown in Fig. 9. Results for the first month of the run (December of year 0) are not shown. SST in the western equatorial Pacific shows a cooling trend which is most pronounced during the first few years. The cool SSTs in the western Pacific may be in part affected by the prescription of SST in the Indian Ocean. Once SST decreases in the western Pacific, the temperature gradient between Indian Ocean and western Pacific increases, resulting in stronger easterlies and stronger upwelling favoring still cooler SST in the western Pacific. SST in the east warms rapidly during the first year and is fairly steady thereafter.

Eastern Pacific SST shows a pronounced annual cycle which propagates westward, consistent with the observations of Horel (1982). The phase of the simulated annual cycle is slightly delayed relative to the observed annual cycle. Interannual variability is weak with low frequency changes on the order of 1°C. Slow westward propagation may be found in the SST anomalies, qualitatively similar to that found by Lau et al. and Meehl and Washington.

c) Cubasch and Böttinger

Although the ocean model is able to produce a reasonable equatorial cold tongue when forced by atmospheric model winds, SST in the eastern Pacific region increases quickly over the first year of the coupled integration, as may be seen in Fig. 10. Thereafter, the zonal gradient across the
of about 0.5 K occur.

There are several possible reasons for the absence of El Niño-like fluctuations. First, it might be a conceptual problem of the flux correction method. Since the atmospheric model underestimates the surface winds it also offers too weak fluctuations to the ocean model. This also means that the atmospheric wind stresses are enlarged by the difference of the observed wind climatology minus that of the atmospheric model. As a result, the ocean model feels too small fluctuations in the wind stress when related to the climatology. A second reason for the missing fluctuations in the SST field might be the low meridional resolution of the ocean model and the atmosphere model. The low meridional resolution in the ocean is not able to resolve the length scales of Kelvin waves. Thus these waves are suppressed by discretization errors and have no chance to arrive in the east with a sufficient amplitude to initiate SST changes due to downwelling/decreased upwelling and entrainment into the surface layer. The atmosphere model with a 5.6° × 5.6° resolution is not able to recognize the narrow equatorial tongue of cold water with a sufficient high amplitude. Thus the model will not respond sufficiently to anomalies in the eastern equatorial Pacific. Both deficiencies might cancel the positive feedback between the SST field and the Walker circulation. Therefore, it is not surprising that the fluctuations do not achieve a realistic amplitude.

The goal of this model was not to simulate the variability in the equatorial areas as in the Pacific but to make runs for the effects of greenhouse gases. The required long integration times of about 100 years for a scenario run made it necessary to forfeit high spatial resolution. Both components, the ocean and the atmosphere are not able to resolve those features satisfactory which are believed to be important for the El Niño time scales and its amplitudes. Nevertheless, we analyzed other fields as surface currents and cloud convection and found that there is enough variability in the West Pacific. During the 10 year run there occurred several times situations which looked like the initial state of an El Niño. Strong eastward currents west of the dateline appeared. These currents have their counterpart in an eastward shift of the convection areas. From this we may conclude that the atmosphere model is able to produce the initial state necessary for an El Niño, however, the coupled system with the present low resolution is not able to let the signal propagate eastward and to cause changes in the SST.

3.3 Multi-level AGCMs with low-resolution OGCMs
Winds in the model slacken, the upwelling decreases. This means that less cool water from the second layer in the model reaches the surface and SSTs are higher. Simplistically, this can also occur in the observed ocean-atmosphere system in the tropical Pacific. However, the relationship between upwelling and SST in the real system depends critically on the depth of the thermocline. Since the thicknesses of the upper two layers of the ocean model are 50 m and 450 m, the very close association between upwelling and SST in the model is not analogous in all respects to the real ocean.

When warmer water appears in the equatorial eastern Pacific as a result of the weakened easterlies and reduced upwelling in the model, lower pressure overlies this warm water, partly due to warming of the atmospheric boundary layer (Lindzen and Nigam 1987, Wallace et al. 1989). Associated increases in convection and precipitation also occur over the warmer water, as noted in the observations in the eastern Pacific (Gutzler and Wood, 1990). The SLP gradient between the positive SLP anomalies in the central equatorial Pacific and the negative SLP anomalies in the eastern Pacific is established west of the positive SST anomalies, the westerly wind-stress anomalies set up by this surface pressure gradient extend farther west, upwelling is weakened, and SSTs become higher farther west during MAM. This set of coupled processes involving the atmosphere and the ocean continues to establish the positive SSTs farther west in the model until, by JJA, the positive SSTs almost reach the Dateline and positive SLO extends all the way to India. There, the positive SLP is associated with a suppression of the Indian Monsoon. This is analogous to the observed relationship between warm events in the Pacific and weak Indian Monsoons (Rasmusson and Carpenter, 1983).

Two interesting differences between the composite model and observed warm events emerge, however. First, observed positive SSTs and westerly wind anomalies in the western Pacific at the beginning of year zero migrate eastward and meet the anomaly signals from the east in the central Pacific around northern summer of year zero. The coupled model shows no comparable features in the western Pacific. Second, the warmest water in the composite events near 100–110°W in the eastern Pacific in the observations occurs in northern fall of year zero at a time when easterly anomaly winds are established there. In the model, easterly anomaly wind stress appears with similar seasonal timing in the far eastern Pacific as noted earlier, but the ocean surface layer
summer. The less-than-observed cloud amount simulated in these regions is the probably cause of this insolation error. The SST errors in the western oceans are mainly due to the excessively large latent and sensible heat fluxes simulated there during winter. The fact that the regional patterns of these particular SST errors coincide with those of the simulated Aleutian and Icelandic lows indicates a significant contribution to the error by the erroneous atmospheric surface wind. Further analysis of this run is described in Gates et al. (1985).

b) Gates and Sperber

Fig. 14 shows the temporal evolution of the tropical Pacific SST (2°N–2°S average) for years 3–25 of the simulation. As observed, the SST annual cycle in the eastern Pacific is prominent, with SST there reaching a seasonal maximum early in the calendar year. There is evidence of weak eastward propagation in the eastern Pacific, particularly from April through November, contrary to observations. Simulated eastward equatorial currents in the eastern Pacific, which suppress the upwelling of cold water near the coast of South America, and insufficient penetration of cold mid-latitude water into the tropics by the Peru Current, contribute to the maintenance of SST in the region close to observed climatological values. The SST in the western Pacific, however, is ~4–8°C too cold, and the simulated east-west temperature gradient is much weaker then observed. The lowest simulated SST is in the central Pacific where the South Equatorial Current is established throughout the year. As observed, the simulated annual cycle in the western-central Pacific (140°E–160°W) is weak, and, this region shows the largest secular decrease in SST during the simulation. The behavior of the globally averaged surface air temperature and SST during the course of the simulation shows ~2°C cooling, which has been ascribed to an overestimation of oceanic vertical mixing in the tropics (Gates and Potter, 1990).

While the simulated mean state departs from observed climatology, the model exhibits variability on the interannual time scale that is qualitatively characteristic of the Southern Oscillation. Sperber et al. (1987), Sperber (1989), Hameed et al. (1989), and Sperber and Hameed (1990) have found that the model simulates SLP, surface air temperature, precipitation, SST, thermocline temperature and slope, and surface current anomalies consistent with the opposing phases of the simulated Southern Oscillation. Additionally, Currie and Hameed (1988) have found evidence of the quasi-biennial oscillation in the simulation.
Since the (unstable) climatology is a known stationary point of the model, this warm stationary point represents an additional steady state of the system (stable aside from the Kelvin wave cycle). In this case, it also coexists with the attractor found in Run-2 which contains the interannual oscillation. If the coupling is increased by an additional ten percent, then the interannual oscillation disappears, leaving the perpetual warm state as the only attractor. In the presence of stochastic forcing by atmospheric internal variability, the system in Runs 2 and 3 would alternate between periods spent in the different basins of attraction. These runs also serve as a strong indicator of the sensitivity of the coupled system, since parameter changes of only ten percent introduce qualitative changes in the coupled behavior.

b) Allaart, Jansen, Kattenberg and Verhaar

A twenty year coupled run was started from the nearly cyclostationary climatological state with no initial perturbation. Fig. 16 shows the evolution of SST averaged over a band 8 degrees wide, centered on the equator. The anomalous activity that shows up in the coupled run occurs mainly in the western half of the basin. Except for marked surface salinity changes, anomalies in the eastern quarter of the basin along the equator remain relatively small at all times. The anomalous activity is also modulated in time, with the strongest anomalies occurring in the NH-summer months and the weakest ones in December and January.

The 20-year run shows three periods of qualitatively different behavior. At first, during year 1 to 9 the coupled system maintains the initial climatology. SST-anomalies along the equator remain less than 1 K, wind anomalies less than 1 m s\(^{-1}\), variability of the undercurrent looks like climatology (between 60 and 100 Sv).

During year 10 to 14 strong variability arises: SSTA's of the order of 5 K, zonal wind anomalies of the order of 5 m s\(^{-1}\) and the strength of the undercurrent shows large, one-sided excursions. Strong negative anomalies in the transport of the current occur at a point that coincides with the position and moment of strongest zonal wind anomaly and are seen to propagate with Kelvin and Rossby wave speed towards the east and west, effectively shutting down all transport in the undercurrent during the NH summer months.

Finally, during year 15 to 20 the coupled system seems to have found a new cyclostationary climatic state with SSTA's of the order of 2 K, wind anomalies of the order of 2 m s\(^{-1}\) and slightly
growth of SST anomalies in the eastern Pacific by positive feedback between wind stress and SST produces off-equatorial heat content anomalies of opposite sign which propagate westward as an oceanic Rossby wave packet and are reflected from the western boundary as a Kelvin wave packet. Upon arrival in the region of strong coupling in the east, these heat content anomalies reverse the SST tendency and local feedback processes produce a growing SST anomaly of opposite sign to the original. The second half of the cycle then occurs as above, but with the signs reversed. Roughly speaking, the time scale of the coupled oscillation is set by twice the time scale typical of propagation from the coupling region to the western boundary and back, plus an additional time typical of the growth phase.

In an additional experiment, the atmospheric model was replaced by a linear version. The interannual oscillation became periodic, while the time scale decreased to a period of 30 months. In this case, slow eastward propagation of SST anomalies may be noted in the eastern part of the basin, while subsurface evolution in the west occurs much as in the previous case.

The results were found to be sensitive to the thickness of the second ocean layer. Furthermore, the temperature of the abyssal water and its entrainment into the modeled part of the system were crucial in determining whether the system oscillated, or became permanently warm or permanently cold.

The dependence of the behavior on an unmodeled part of the solution (the abyss) was felt to be a deficiency of the Schopf and Suarez (1988) ocean model. To overcome these defects and to generally improve the overall fidelity of the oceanic solution, a new model was built, as described at the end of section 2.6a. Only preliminary analysis of a 1.5 year integration with this coupled model is available (no figures shown). The system immediately enters an El Niño-like state with the warmest water in the eastern Pacific and general cooling through the rest of the basins. These deficiencies may be attributed to the atmospheric model which produces only half the observed zonal wind stress near the equator and a surface heat flux which tends to cool the warmest water when run with climatological conditions.

b) Zebiak and Cane

The sea surface temperature anomalies over a 20 year simulation period (Fig. 17) show typical model behavior: warm events of varying intensity, aperiodic, but with a definite preference for 3-4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Variability</th>
<th>No obvious drift</th>
<th>Prescribed or flux-corrected</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak interannual variability</td>
<td>Latif et al. (cool equatorial SST) Neelin Run-3 (warm stationary state co-exists) Oberhuber et al. Run-1 (weak events in West Pacific)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon and Ineson Run-2 (initial warming, then slow cooling) Gates et al. (weak annual gradient) Oberhuber et al. Run-2 (weak equatorial cold tongue) Cubasch et al. (weak annual gradient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interannual variability with propagation of SST anomalies</td>
<td>Lau et al.</td>
<td>Neelin Run-1</td>
<td>Meehl and Washington (upwelling extends along equator) Gates and Sperber (upwelling in mid-Pacific) Tokioka et al. (cool equatorial SST) Mechoso et al. Run-2 (perpetual January)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interannual variability with standing SST anomalies</td>
<td>Philander et al. Gent and Tribbia Zebiak and Cane Allaart et al. (alternate climate states?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schopf and Suáres (cool tropical SST) Latif and Sterl (cooling in West Pacific)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of models grouped according to common behaviour for both tropical climatology and interannual variability as reflected in the sea surface temperature field. For the climatology, the category 'No obvious drift' refers to models for which the SST is qualitatively comparable to observations. 'Prescribed or flux-corrected' refers to models which introduce a correction to keep the model near climatology or create a climatological stationary point or annual cycle. The category 'Other' combines results for climatologies differing significantly from that observed; the main features are indicated in brackets. For the interannual variability, the categories 'Propagation of SST anomalies' and 'Standing SST anomalies' are subjective measures—comments are indicated in brackets. Models for which integrations are too short to permit classification of interannual variability are not included in the table but are discussed in the text.
produce a reasonable cold tongue, so this behavior is a feature of the coupling. Oberhuber et al. Run-1 exhibits a similar rapid drift into a permanent warm state with no equatorial cold tongue. Preliminary results from the new Schopf and Suarez model suggest similar behavior. In Oberhuber et al. Run-2, the warm pool exhibits a tendency to extend slowly eastward over a decade, despite the flux-correction, with the 28°C isotherm moving from the dateline to 150°W. In the integration presented by Gates, the model drifts within less than 2 years to a weak cold tongue condition, while the warm pool region cools more slowly. The zonal gradient is weak overall, but exhibits seasonal variation, with warm water tending to appear annually in the center of the basin. In Mechoso et al. Run-1, the cold tongue is weak and warm water is displaced across the basin in all seasons, modulated by the migration of the ITCZ between hemispheres.

In Gordon and Ineson Run-2 (the new version), the integration exhibits both slow and fast adjustments of the climate. Initially, the ocean falls quickly into a warm state with a weak zonal SST gradient along the equator which persist for about 5 years. Thereafter, the ocean slowly drifts toward colder conditions in which the equatorial cold tongue extends considerably further to the west than normal. Qualitatively, the climatological features and seasonal cycle are well reproduced in this model. Weak indications of a similar return toward a stronger cold tongue after an initial warming may also be found in Cubasch et al.

In Philander et al., the SST drifts relatively little over the course of the run presented here. The main deviation from observed climatology occurs at the eastern edge of the basin, where a small area of warm water appears due to westerly winds off the coast of south America. The same feature occurs in the Lau et al. model and in several other models (namely, Tokioka et al., Gates and Sperber and Schopf and Suarez). In Lau et al., the SST climatology is also reasonable although the cold tongue is not as strong, reaching a minimum slightly less than 26°C. In both of these runs, the reasonable climatology of SST is obtained despite having atmospheric wind stresses weaker than observed. It is worth noting that the GFDL Climate group AGCM used in these runs has prescribed, zonally symmetric clouds, and also has a long history as a climate model, having previously been run with a variety of lower boundary conditions, including zero heat capacity moist continent (swamp) conditions and ocean mixed layer conditions. Two additional runs of the Philander et al. model with interactive clouds led to permanent warm and cold conditions along
stationary state is found for coupling only 10% higher than the best-estimate parameter values. The climatological stationary state still exists in this case, although it is unstable. Similar behavior has been noted in the hybrid model of Latif and Villwock (personal communication). These results bear out the suggestion, noted in many of the models, that the permanent warm conditions appear to be maintained by coupled feedbacks similar to those responsible for El Niño. They also suggest that some of the other model runs which find permanent warm conditions might give much more realistic behavior with relatively small changes in parameters. The striking improvement between Gordon and Ineson Run-1 and Run-2 may provide further indication of this.

Among the models that do oscillate on interannual time scales, similarity may be found between Lau et al., Meehl and Washington and Neelin Run-1. All show westward phase propagation of SST, with coherent wind stress patterns and oceanic circulation anomalies. The interannual variability in Tokioka et al. and Mechoso et al. Run-2 appears to follow a similar pattern. (The case of Gates and Sperber is less clear, although movement of the SST anomaly within the basin is a significant feature of the development.) Oscillations in this class are controlled by advective processes and the relative zonal phase of temperature anomalies, wind stress and the resulting current and thermocline anomalies. Meehl (1990a) suggests that anomalous upwelling is the important factor in producing the SST anomalies. Lau et al. (1991) find that zonal, meridional and vertical advection can all be significant in producing the SST anomalies. Neelin (1991) suggests that these mechanisms plus an additional feedback involving thermocline displacements contribute to the oscillation in Neelin Run-1. Equatorial wave dynamics does not appear to be crucial to this class of oscillations. Coarse horizontal resolution in the ocean model (as in Meehl and Washington and Lau et al.) clearly does not preclude interannual oscillations of this type.

The models of Zebiak and Cane and Schopf and Suarez exhibit interannual oscillations with standing SST anomalies. The oscillation mechanisms in these two models have been the subject of considerable investigation (Battisti and Hirst 1988, Suárez and Schopf 1988, Schopf and Suarez 1990, Cane et al. 1990) and oceanic wave propagation is believed to play an important role. Latif and Sterl find their oscillation to be consistent with this and the mechanism responsible for the long-period oscillation in Philander et al. appears to share a similar dependence on the oceanic subsurface memory and the adjustment time scale of wave dynamics in the basin. The Gent and
cloud-radiative schemes and surface flux parameterizations.

Implications for the problem of climate forecasting on seasonal to interannual time scales with coupled models also arise. Currently, only one coupled model is being used to carry out regular ENSO forecasts—that of Zebiak and Cane, in which climatology is specified. Among the CGCMs, a common mode of climate drift involves coupled interactions similar to those active in El Niño and occurs on time scales similar to the target phenomenon. Strategies for correcting the climatology in these models have met with mixed results but are often not conducive to simulation of interannual variability. Unlike numerical weather prediction models, in which correction of climate drift was addressed as the models matured, this suggests that for ENSO forecasting, CGCMs must address the accurate simulation of the climatology at a relatively early stage.
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Fig. 13. Pacific SST along the equator over 16 years of simulation by Gates et al. (section 3.4a). Contour interval 1°C.

Fig. 14. Pacific SST along the equator over 12 years of simulation by Gates and Sperber (section 3.4b). Contour interval 1°C.

Fig. 15. Pacific SST along the equator over 9 years of simulation (without seasonal cycle) by Neelin (section 3.5a). Contour interval 0.5°C.

Fig. 16. Pacific SST along the equator over 20 years of simulation by Allaart et al. (section 3.5b). Contour interval 2°C.

Fig. 17. Pacific SST along the equator over 20 years of simulation by Zebiak and Cane (section 3.6b). Contour interval 1°C.
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Fig. 1. Observed Pacific SST along the equator from 1950 through 1985. Monthly mean data from 1950 through 1979 is from COADS, from 1980 through 1985 from NMC. Contour interval 1°C. Figure courtesy of Yi Chao (after Chao, 1990).

Fig. 2. Pacific SST along the equator over 25 years of simulation (without seasonal cycle) by the coupled model of Philander et al. (section 3.1a). Smoothing by a 13-month running mean has been applied. Contour interval 0.5°C, shaded over 28°C.

Fig. 3. Pacific SST averaged from 5°N to 5°S over 13 years of simulation by Gordon and Ineson (section 3.1b). Contour integral 1°C, shaded over 28°C.

Fig. 4. Pacific SST along the equator over 12 years of simulation by Latif et al. (section 3.1c). Contour interval 1°C.

Fig. 5. Pacific SST along the equator over 18 years of simulation by Latif and Sterl (section 3.1d). Contour interval 2°C.

Fig. 6. Pacific SST along the equator over 11.25 years of simulation by Gent and Tribbia (section 3.1e). Contour interval 1°C, shaded over 30°C and under 24°C.

Fig. 7. Pacific SST along the equator over 10 years of simulation (in perpetual January mode) by Mechoso et al. (section 3.1f). Smoothing by a 5 month running mean has been applied. Contour interval 0.5°C.

Fig. 8. Pacific SST averaged between 2.25°N and 2.25°S over twenty years of simulation (without seasonal cycle) by Lau et al. (section 3.2a). Smoothing by a 3-month running mean has been applied. Note that time runs downward on the abscissa. Contour interval 0.5°C; shaded over 26°C, heavier shading over 28°C.

Fig. 9. Pacific SST along the equator over 8 years of simulation by Tokioka et al. (section 3.2b). Contour interval 1°C, shaded over 27°C.

Fig. 10. Pacific SST averaged between ±2° over 25 years of simulation by Cubasch and Böttinger (section 3.2c). Contour interval 1°C, solid contour 27°C.

Fig. 11. Pacific SST along the equator over 10 years of simulation by Oberhuber et al. (section 3.2d). Contour interval 0.5°C.

Fig. 12. Indian and Pacific SST over 10 years of simulation by Meehl and Washington (section 3.3a). Note that time runs downward on the abscissa. Contour interval 0.5°C.
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Triibbia model oscillation has not been thoroughly analyzed at this time, but appears a candidate for this type of mechanism.

**Concluding remarks**

The rich variety of coupled phenomena found in these models serves as an indication of the sensitivity of the coupled system. Not only does there appear to be more than one mechanism of producing interannual variability in these models, but coupled feedbacks can also strongly affect the climate of the coupled system. Even the most important features of the observed tropical climatology, such as the extent and position of the equatorial cold tongue and western Pacific warm pool are not guaranteed to be reproduced in coupled GCMs. The lack of robustness in these features does not necessarily imply major faults in the models since coupled feedbacks can turn a small deficiency in one of the components into a significant departure in the coupled climatology. For example, a tendency of the atmospheric model to give slightly weak easterlies can result in a weaker cold tongue which in turn further weakens the Trades. In some models this can lead to a permanent warm state, although in others weak AGCM stresses do not adversely affect either climatology or interannual oscillations. Work with the hybrid class of models suggests that in some cases a small change in parameters can lead from a regime with interannual oscillations to one with a permanent warm state. If we extend the use of the term “parameter space” to include the gross effects of changes in model formulation, we may consider the diverse results of the CGCM experiments as being simply an indication of a high degree of sensitivity and complicated dependence upon the region in parameter space in which the different models reside.

The intercomparison of results presented here has mapped out a part of this parameter space. It is hoped that the framework which this provides will be of use in the further development of coupled models. Because the behavior of the coupled system can be qualitatively different (and difficult to anticipate) from that of the individual components, coupling should be regarded as a crucial part of the testing and development procedure for AGCMs and OGCMs being used for climate studies. While the sensitivity of the tropical coupled system represents a challenge for modelers, it also provides a test-bed for model parameterizations. Results presented here suggest that the simulation of the warm pool/cold tongue configuration in the equatorial Pacific can represent a stringent test, especially of the combined effects of vertical mixing parameterizations, interactive
the equator, respectively.

**Interannual variability**

Surprisingly, climate drift appears not to be the major determining factor in the nature of coupled variability found in these coupled models. Models with near-observed or far-from-observed mean SSTs and upwelling can still exhibit interannual variability with qualitatively similar characteristics, while models whose mean SST fields are similar can exhibit very different interannual behavior. Furthermore, a good simulation of the seasonal cycle does not guarantee that interannual oscillations will occur, as seen in Gordon and Ineson Run-2.

A number of the models exhibit little interannual variability or interannual variations of very small amplitude. Even this absence of oscillation apparently arises for different reasons in different models. One reason which is fairly well understood is insufficient coupling strength in the part of the flow which deviates from climatology, as found in Schopf and Suarez (1988), Neelin (1990), and Meehl (1990a). If the coupled feedbacks which lead to instability of the climate state to interannual oscillations are not sufficiently strong compared to the processes which tend to damp these instabilities, then perturbations tend to decay back to the climatology. What constitutes "sufficient coupling strength" depends on a variety of model parameters. In Latif et al., possible reasons include an overly strong cold tongue, weak wind stress response to SST and possibly the effects of the flux correction method. The latter two reasons may apply also to Oberhuber et al. Run-2, although the effects of coarse meridional resolution on wave dynamics may also play a role. It appears that the model must fulfill certain conditions for flux correction to be successful. For instance, if the anomalies of wind stress are weaker than realistic magnitude, then correcting weak mean easterlies using observed stress is likely to disfavor oscillations.

Models in which the cold tongue is permanently or seasonally weak tend not to have strong interannual variability. In Gordon and Ineson Run-1, Mechoso et al. Run-1 and Gates et al., there is an annual collapse of the Trade winds and El Niño-like warm conditions across the Pacific basin but the interannual variation of this is weak. In Cubasch et al. and Oberhuber et al., where there is a permanent collapse of the Trade winds and the cold tongue does not develop strongly during any season, weak warm or cold events of short duration occur only sporadically in various parts of the basin. Permanent warm conditions also occur in Neelin Run-3 where a warm
variability', 'Propagation of SST anomalies' and 'Standing SST anomalies' are certainly subjective measures. The distinction between propagating and standing SST anomalies appears to be the simplest available way of dividing the oscillating cases, and this criterion bears some relation to simple model results. Comments are included in brackets where further explanation seemed necessary, especially where the behavior did not fit neatly into the assigned category. Interannual variability is weaker than observed in several models; the category 'Weak interannual variability' refers to cases where it is too weak to be readily classified.

**Climate drift**

We summarize these tendencies briefly as follows. In Latif et al. the SST along the equator cools by about 1°C in the west and 2°C in the east due to the combined effects of mixing and equatorial upwelling. This occurs despite the application of flux correction. SST outside the equatorial upwelling region is relatively constant. Equatorial cooling due to the effects of upwelling is even more significant in Mehl and Washington where upwelling extends across much of the equatorial band, cutting through the region where the Indonesian warm pool would normally be. The warmest Pacific equatorial water (about 24°C) occurs near the eastern boundary. Stress tends to be strong in this atmospheric model, so this case may be regarded as one with strong coupling. The Gates and Sperber run has many parallels to this result with generally cold equatorial SSTs and the cold tongue occurring in mid-Pacific. Again, the east Pacific SSTs are slightly warmer than in the west. It is worth noting that the climate drift does not prevent either of these two models from having significant and in many ways realistic interannual variability. In Tokioka et al., the zonal extent of the warm pool along the equator decreases slowly over 8 years. Again the water in mid-basin tends to be cool and warm water appears in the east during part of the year.

In contrast to the above, several of the models exhibit a weakened cold tongue, with weak zonal gradients across the equator. In Gordon and Ineson Run-1, the warm pool tends to occur more in the central part of the basin than observed, depending on the season. This tendency manifests itself immediately in the model integration. Western temperatures tend to be a degree too cold and eastern temperatures a few degrees too warm. The Cubasch et al. runs drifts within the first year to a state with weak zonal gradients. The cold tongue is weak with water cooler than 26°C occurring only very near the eastern coast. The ocean model forced by observed winds does
year cycles. The very weak anomalies between years 0 and 3, and between years 12 and 18, are contrasted with an energetic cycle between years 4 and 11, and again following year 18. As is typical of this model, the evolution of equatorial anomalies is characterized by a nearly stationary pattern, with maximum amplitude in the east Pacific, and minimum amplitude west of the dateline. The total SST field is of course dominated by the annual cycle, but shows large eastward excursions of the 28 and 29 degree isotherms during the three model warm events of the period.

The mechanisms, predictability and predictive skill of this model and closely related versions have been examined by Zebiak and Cane (1987), Cane et al. (1986), Battisti (1988), Battisti and Hirst (1988) and Goswami and Shukla (1991a,b).

4. Discussion

Table 2 roughly summarizes the results of the above section according to common behavior for both tropical climatology and interannual variability as reflected in the sea surface temperature field. Many of the coupled models exhibit what is commonly referred to as 'climate drift'. Here, we use this term to refer both to a slow drift away from climatology over the course of decadal runs and to relatively fast adjustments leading to a state which is significantly different from normal climatology. The latter is characteristically a coupled dynamical effect, leading to an overly weak or overly strong equatorial cold tongue.

For the climatology, the category 'No obvious drift' in Table 2 refers to models for which the SST has approximately equilibrated to a statistically steady state comparable to uncoupled control runs with climatological forcing and qualitatively comparable to observations. 'Prescribed or flux-corrected' refers to models which introduce a correction to keep the model near climatology or create a climatological solution. Although zero anomaly is defined to be a solution in these models, interesting behavior arises through instability of this solution in the coupled system. It may also be noted that, while, in principle, a stationary solution constructed by flux correction has zero anomalies, in practice small anomalies may be found due to imperfections in the formulation. The category 'Other' combines results for climatologies differing significantly from that observed or simulated in the uncoupled components. The main features of these differences are indicated in brackets in each case.

For the classification of interannual variability in Table 2, the categories 'Weak interannual
enhanced undercurrent variability (between 60 and 110 Sv).

The surface salinity shows a development that is worth noting: The first seven years are nearly climatological. Then, in year 8, a strong positive anomaly (1 permille) suddenly develops in the westernmost 20% of the equatorial strip and persists without much modulation or development during years 9 to 20. From year 8 to 14 a similar positive anomaly develops (more slowly) in the easternmost 20% of the equatorial strip. After year 14 it does not develop any further. This eastern salinity anomaly is modulated by the seasonal cycle. The central-western basin SST activity in year 10 to 14 is accompanied by strong (1 permille) negative salinity anomalies coinciding with the warmest regions and episodes. These anomalies disappear after year 14.

A different version of the atmospheric model heating scheme in which the shape of the vertical heating profile changes as a function of SST has also been used. A coupled integration with this version produced stronger wind anomalies, which led to an unacceptably strong cooling in the west Pacific (several degrees per year).

3.6 Simple atmospheric models with low vertical-high horizontal resolution OGCMs

a) Schopf and Suarez

The coupled integration has a duration of 35 years beginning from rest. Five years were allowed for the system to equilibrate and the last 30 years were analyzed. No figure is shown for these results; the reader is referred to Schopf and Suarez (1988) for details. The time-averaged SST shows a pronounced cold tongue along the equator in the eastern and central Pacific with a minimum temperature of 22°C. The warm pool temperatures in the west are too cold, attaining a maximum of 26°C, and the meridional temperature gradient is generally weaker than observed. A small patch of equatorial westerlies in far eastern part of the basin produces warm temperatures at eastern coast.

Interannual variability in SST is dominated by time scales of 3 to 5 years, with a succession of standing warm and cold anomalies in the cold tongue region, centered near 130°W. Equatorial wind stress anomalies are strongly linked to SST anomalies, with maximum westerlies/easterlies occurring to the west of the warm/cold anomalies, centered near 160°W. The oscillatory behavior of this system has been analyzed in Schopf and Suarez (1988), Schopf and Suarez (1990), and was the basis for the delayed-action oscillator proposed in Suarez and Schopf (1988). In this model, the
3.5 Simple atmospheric models with high-resolution OGCMs (hybrid coupled models)

a) Neelin

The model has been run for a variety of different parameter values. Three runs are described here, of which one was among the runs presented in Neelin (1990). The runs differ in the value of the relative coupling coefficient and in initial conditions. The relative coupling coefficient measures the strength of wind stress anomalies produced by the atmospheric model relative to the standard parameter settings which are used in Run-1 (i.e. relative coupling coefficient 1.0). Run-1 begins with initial conditions from the model climatology which is in balance (by one-way flux correction) and would remain stationary except that it is unstable to perturbations. To this is added a wind stress anomaly of 0.3 dyne cm\(^{-2}\) during the first month of the run.

The resulting SST evolution is complex, as seen in Fig. 15, which shows a 9 year segment at the start of the 18 year run. An interannual oscillation of just under four years may be seen, superimposed on which is a secondary oscillation due to coupled Kelvin waves with a period near six months. The behavior of this model for runs in which the coupling is reduced has been described in Neelin (1990). The shorter period oscillation becomes stable, leaving the interannual oscillation as a periodic limit cycle at lower coupling. Neelin (1991) has shown, by use of distorted physics techniques, that the timescale of this limit cycle at lower coupling is essentially independent of equatorial wave propagation time scales.

Runs 2 and 3 both use a relative coupling coefficient of 1.1, i.e. wind stress anomalies ten percent stronger than in Run-1 for a given oceanic state. Run-2 begins from the same initial conditions as Run-1, while Run-3 begins from conditions which are warm across the basin. In Run-2, the SST evolution (figure not shown) resembles that of Run-1 in having both interannual and shorter period oscillations. It differs in that the period of the interannual oscillation has increased to approximately five years due to an increase in the length of the warm phase, during which SST anomalies appear close to stationary. Propagation of SST anomalies is seen only during the transition between phases—slowly westward on entering the cold phase and rapidly eastward on entering the warm phase. Run-3, on the other hand remains permanently (over the eighteen years of the run; figure not shown) in a warm state, which is slightly warmer than the warm phase of Run-2. Six month coupled Kelvin wave oscillations still occur about this warm state.
immediately cools under the influence of these easterly anomaly wind stresses in the model. This confines the positive SSTs in the model warm events to a narrow longitudinal band compared to the wide extent (80°W to the Dateline) of the observed positive anomalies.

To investigate the sensitivity of coupled processes in the model to alterations of forcing, the coupled model was first run for five years with the wind-stress forcing from the atmospheric model reduced to 0.25 of the original values. Virtually no interannual SST variability and no longitudinal movement of anomalies exist in the reduced wind-stress experiment. A second sensitivity experiment was run for six years with the solar insolation fixed at its mid-March value. Anomalies in the coupled model still occasionally form and tend to move from east to west but the regular formation and progression of anomalies seen in the seasonal-cycle version is not reproduced.

3.4 Low vertical resolution AGCMs with low-resolution OGCMs

a) Gates, Han and Schlesinger

Fig. 13 shows equatorial SST over a 16 year segment of the coupled run. The coupled model simulates a climate which is similar in most respects to that produced by the uncoupled atmospheric GCM (with climatological sea-surface temperatures); a major exception is the coupled model's failure to simulate a realistic seasonal distribution of surface wind, sea-surface temperature and precipitation over the tropical Pacific Ocean. In January the coupled GCM simulates an El Niño-like collapse of the trades and the appearance of warm water across the equatorial Pacific; however, this occurs every year as part of the model's seasonal cycle, rather than as a sporadic interannual event. The major errors in the simulated sea-surface temperature itself are excessively cold water off the east coasts of Asia and North America, and excessively warm water off the western coasts of the tropical continents where the model fails to maintain the colc water induced by upwelling. These errors are due to errors in the simulated surface heat balance, and cause a progressive loss of sea ice in the southern ocean, while the Arctic sea ice is realistically simulated. The model's errors are partly due to the coarse resolution used (especially in the ocean) and partly to the systematic errors in the atmospheric model itself.

In an effort to isolate the cause of the model's errors, a heat budget analysis of the upper ocean has been made using the simulated data. The results indicate that the relatively rapid initial warming in the eastern tropical oceans is due to excessively large downward insolation during
a) Meehl and Washington

Fig. 12 shows SST averaged from 10°N to 10°S over the last ten years of the coupled simulation, for longitudes from 50°E on the Indian Ocean to 80°W on the Pacific. Because the NCAR coupled model does not contain flux correction, simulated SSTs in the tropics are lower than observed. This cool water from the active upwelling in the model extends across the equatorial Pacific Ocean to the Indian Ocean with warmer water to the north and south in the tropical western Pacific. Meehl (1989) analyzed the source of this coupled model error for the tropical Indian and Pacific regions and concluded that the inherent limitations of the ocean model in resolution and geography contribute most to these errors. A slight drift of about −0.02°C/year is evident in the surface layer of the ocean over the ten years shown.

In spite of the inherent limitations of the model and the errors in reproducing the climatology, the interannual variability in the tropical Pacific in the NCAR coupled model is pronounced. SST anomalies generally appear in the eastern Pacific and progress westward (figures of SST anomalies may be found in Meehl (1990a)). Maximum amplitudes are roughly ±1°C. The establishment of SST anomalies greater than ±5°C between about 110°W and the Dateline in the model occurs near the seasonal transition between March-April-May (MAM) and June-July-August (JJA). For example, northern spring seasonal transitions from warm to cold SST anomalies in this area occur in years 24 and 27, while similar seasonal timing for transitions from cold to warm occurs in years 22 and 26. SST anomaly events with a duration of one year are present in the model near 110°W and the Dateline (e.g., the warm event starting in MAM of year 26 and ending after MAM of year 27). Two-year warm and cold events also occur (e.g., a warm event lasting from MAM year 22 to MAM year 24 and a cold event lasting from MAM year 24 to MAM year 26) and are analogous to single-year and multiyear events observed in the tropical Pacific (van Loon, 1984).

Warm-water events in the model eastern Pacific correspond with low sea level pressure (SLP) in the eastern Pacific, high SLP over Indonesia and vice versa for cold events, similar to the association between Tahiti and Darwin SLP and observed warm and cold events. Analysis of composite warm events in the model shows strong Trades along the equator cause Ekman divergence in the upper layer of the ocean model, and the cooler water from the second layer is then brought to the surface. This upwelling process maintains low SSTs in the equatorial Pacific. When the easterly Trade
Pacific remains weak relative to observations and to the uncoupled run. SST in the western Pacific is about 1° cooler than observed in both coupled and uncoupled cases. Because of the lack of pronounced zonal gradients or cold tongue, the seasonal cycle of SST on the equator is very weak, being apparent mainly in the western Pacific and the very eastern margin of the basin.

Interannual variability is weak with no event resembling a major El Niño found in any integration. Perturbations resembling onsets appear but vanish without growing into a full El Niño. The same is true for cold events which seem to develop more strongly, but never persist. The 'large scale geostrophic' model avoids the equator, because it is not defined there. Its dynamics would prevent interannual oscillations due to wave feedback mechanisms, although feedbacks involving upwelling induced by Ekman pumping would be possible. Alternately, the feedback between SST and the atmosphere model might not be strong enough to maintain an El Niño. This might be a problem of parameterization and resolution or the stratification of the model atmosphere, which is too stable, might dampen feedback. On the other hand, the model climatological state itself resembles a permanent El Niño in that the cold tongue and trade wind structure have collapsed to a large extent.

d) Oberhuber, Lunkeit and Sausen

Atmospheric, oceanic and ice initial conditions for the coupled run are taken from the end of the reference runs. In the integration without flux correction (Run-1; no figure shown), the model adjusts rapidly, within the first year, to a state in which no cold tongue appears on the eastern equatorial Pacific. This condition then persists during the twenty-five years of the integration. This may be attributed to weak wind stresses from the AGCM. In response to observed SST the AGCM gives trade winds 30% weaker than observed. This results in a reduction of equatorial upwelling, which in turn tends to weaken the equatorial trades in the coupled integration. Due to the weaker than observed meridional wind stress curl, boundary currents such as the Kuroshio and the Gulf stream transport less heat into higher latitudes and the final SST patterns become too zonal.

For the integration in which flux correction is used (Run-2), SST evolution along the equator is shown in Fig. 11. The coupled model shows a weak trend towards a higher SST in the East Pacific. After 10 years of prediction the coupled model seems to arrive at a rather cyclo-stationary state. However, there are no El Niño-like oscillations appearing in the SST fields. Only small fluctuations
January mode for ten years. Since the ocean domain stops at 30°S and is restored to Levitus climatology south of 20°S, the perpetual January mode creates no difficulties in high latitudes. This run is not intended to be realistic, and the poor behavior of the mixing scheme should be born in mind as a caveat. The behavior of the equatorial SST may be seen in Fig. 7. After an initial warm event, the cold tongue re-establishes itself in the east-central Pacific, although it is weaker than the observed climatology. It is maintained by north-easterlies, which cross the equator toward the southern hemisphere ITCZ in about the converse configuration to the pattern associated with the normal northern hemisphere ITCZ. A small region of warm water appears at the eastern coast and the western Pacific does have relatively warm water although not quite as warm as observed. Although the temperature gradient across the basin is weak, the model nonetheless has interannual variability. SST anomalies of up to 1°C appear in some years and propagate slowly westward across the basin, as may be seen more clearly in anomaly plots (not shown).

More recently an integration has been begun using a Mellor-Yamada-type vertical mixing scheme. Preliminary results indicate a much more realistic simulation of maximum SST and subtropical SST than is presented here.

3.2 Multi-level AGCMs with low horizontal-high vertical resolution OGCMs

a) Lau, Philander and Nath

The evolution of SST averaged between 2.25 N and 2.25 S for a twenty year segment of the model run is shown in Fig. 8. The values have been smoothed by a 3 month running mean. No prominent trend is evident in model fields over the 140 years of the run. Long term average climatology of SST is reasonably realistic, with both warm pool and cold tongue reproduced in approximately the observed pattern. The cold tongue is not as strong as observed, associated with weak equatorial upwelling. The pattern of wind stress is in agreement but the magnitudes are less than half the observed annual average.

Interannual events occur with a time scale of 3 to 4 years. Spectral analysis indicates that SST variability has peaks at 33-40 months and at 66-80 months. The segment shown represents a period of the model run when these events are fairly active; some periods show less activity. The amplitudes of SST anomalies during these events range between 0.5°C and 1°C, which is weaker than observed.
observed. The seasonal cycle is idealized in that the model is symmetric about the equator but nonetheless the general features of the seasonal movements of the cold tongue are in qualitative agreement with observations. Climate drift over the course of the run is quite modest. The warm pool cools slightly, especially near the western coast but the tendency for the warm pool to detach from the coast is not severe. The coldest water is almost always found near the eastern coast, in contrast to many of the other models. There is a tendency for the cold tongue to be warmer during the latter part of the run compared to the earlier part.

There is some considerable interannual variability over the course of the run, with anomalies of greater than 1°C occurring aperiodically. The run has not yet been sufficiently analyzed to determine the exact nature of this variance but anomalies appear to develop more in place than to show clear propagation.

f) Mechoso, Ghil, Halpern, Fisher and Spahr

Two preliminary runs with the coupled model are presented here. In both cases, initial conditions for the AGCM are taken from previous climatological runs with prescribed observed boundary conditions. The OGCM is started from rest with observed stratification specified from the Levitus (1983) climatology. The first run (Run-1; not shown) has the seasonal cycle of insolation and was run for four years. SSTs tend to be too warm both along the equator and in the subtropics, particularly in the summer hemisphere, with considerable areas of water warmer than 30°C. The warm-pool/cold-tongue structure, which is well modeled in the uncoupled OGCM and is present in the initial conditions, collapses within the first few months of the run, in a manner reminiscent of the onset of a major El Niño. A seasonal cold tongue near the eastern part of the basin reestablishes itself during the second year of the run, but does not reach the intensity of the observed cold tongue. The latter three years of the run seem to establish an equilibrium climatology in which the warm pool occurs in the central part of the basin with colder water near the western coast in the Indonesian region. The processes responsible for maintaining this state appear to involve a coupled feedback between surface fluxes, vertical mixing, in the ocean, SST and winds.

The departure from realistic behavior has been traced to the vertical mixing scheme used in the OGCM, as it interacts with the changing surface fluxes during the seasonal cycle. When surface fluxes are large, the warming of the upper layers tends to increase the stability of these
for a slight increase in SST at higher latitudes.

A similar decrease in SST within the narrow equatorial belt was seen in a ten year integration with the ocean model run in an uncoupled mode forced by climatological wind stress and a heat flux determined from the last seasonal cycle of the spin up integration. Therefore, the trend observed in SST simulated in the coupled run may be attributed primarily to the ocean model, which due to its closed basin, does not contain the processes which are necessary to maintain the thermocline. Consequently the initial stratification of the ocean is gradually destroyed by explicit and numerical heat diffusion, leading to a cooling of the upper layers and a warming in the deep ocean.

The cooling of the Equatorial Pacific Ocean’s surface is reflected in atmospheric quantities such as sea level pressure (SLP), rainfall, 850 hPa temperature and 300 hPa velocity potential. Locally, i.e., in the vicinity of the cooled ocean’s surface, the atmosphere reacts with strongly decreased rainfall and decreased temperatures. Maximum precipitation deficits occur slightly to the west of the dateline with values of about 7 mm/day. Maximum cooling at the 850 hPa level, for instance, amounts to about 0.3° near the dateline.

It is not surprising that there are also remote changes in the atmospheric state which correspond to the strong changes in local circulation described above. In particular SLP is reduced by as much as -2 hPa in the tropics outside the Pacific Ocean where no surface cooling takes place and excess rainfall of up to 4 mm/day is found over the Indian Ocean. There are increased 850 hPa temperatures with maximum values of 0.3° to 0.6° over the Indian ocean. SLP also shows a remote response in the North Pacific sector. This SLP response pattern, which is reminiscent of the negative PNA, appears most strongly in winter and is absent in summer. This is in full accord with a finding of Barnston and Livezey (1987), who identified the PNA as an anomaly pattern which is excited in all seasons except Northern summer. These remote differences are also reminiscent of anomalies found by Cubasch (1985) when forcing the uncoupled atmospheric model with a negative SST anomaly in the Equatorial Pacific.

As can be further seen from Fig. 4, the interannual variability is weak. In particular, no eastward excursions or westward retreats of the warm surface waters occur in the Central Pacific as is typical for this region. The standard deviation of monthly mean SST anomalies on the equator is only of the order of a few tenths of a degrees.
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are weaker than observed, the SST pattern in the tropical Pacific is quite similar to observed. In particular, the cold tongue and warm pool are in the correct positions and have approximately the correct magnitudes compared to annually-averaged observations.

On long interannual time scales, SST anomalies tend to develop in place, although there is some tendency for eastward propagation prior to the onset of the warm phase and possibly westward prior to the cold phase. The interval between warm and cold phases is irregular so it is difficult to specify a dominant period, but the characteristic length of a major warm or cold event is on the order of three years. Amplitudes of interannual warm or cold anomalies are realistic compared to observed ENSO events. The maximum SST anomaly tends to be located between 120-140W, further west than the canonical Rasmusson and Carpenter (1982) composite, but the structure of warm and cold phases is comparable to observed. A more detailed analysis is give in Philander et al. (1991). Wind anomalies occur in temporal phase with the SST anomalies. An important feature to the oscillation is a very slow eastward propagation of high (low) equatorial heat content anomalies, which occurs in the westward Pacific over one or more years preceding the onset of a warm (cold) phase. The subsurface “memory” associated with these heat content anomalies appears important to the oscillation. However, it appears to be the signature of a slowly evolving coupled mode, rather than individual free oceanic Rossby and Kelvin wave modes.

In addition to the interannual variability on time scales on the order of six years, substantial variability is to be found in the SST field at a period of roughly 7 months. This appears to be associated with a quasi-resonant oceanic mode. A running time average has been used to remove this effect from Fig. 2 to allow the long time scale variations to be more clearly seen.

Two additional runs of a version of the model with interactive clouds were made, using slightly different values of the solar constant. These led to permanently cold and permanently warm conditions along the equator, respectively, the permanent warm conditions appearing similar to a permanent El Niño.

b) Gordon and Ineson

The older version of the model (Run-1; no figure shown) was integrated for 2.5 years. While this is not sufficiently long to establish a climatology, systematic errors could be seen in the seasonal cycle each year. This state exhibits many of the features of a major ENSO event. The warm pool
The ocean model is a "two-and-one-half" layer model, solving primitive equation nonlinear dynamics in two layers above a quiescent abyss (Schopf and Cane 1983). The uppermost layer is as bulk mixed layer, employing a variant of the Kraus-Turner mixed layer dynamics to determine its thickness. The second layer has a quasi-material property, with a mean depth of about 150 m. Resolution is regular in latitude and longitude, at 2/3 by 1.25 degrees spacing throughout the Pacific basin, with a domain from 120°E to 80°W and 20°S to 20°N. Although the mixed layer equations are solved everywhere for determining entrainment and detrainment, we find that along the equator, the vertical advection term dominates the solution. In the east, strong upwelling thins the layer to a numerically-based minimum criterion, while in the west, the accumulation of mass in the surface layer leads to a relatively deep mixed layer, which is also capped at a pre-set limit. A key parameter in the simulations was the thickness of the lower layer. When this layer becomes too shallow, water is brought up from below.

The atmosphere model was a 4 by 5 degree finite difference model also with two layers. It had no moisture nor clouds, and tended to respond to SST anomalies very much like the simple linear dynamics of Gill (1980). It did, however, have nonlinear dynamics that was primarily expressed in midlatitude instabilities and eddies that would influence the tropics in a nondeterministic manner. The wind stress is proportional to lower level wind and is passed to the ocean without flux correction. The surface heat flux is simply proportional to the air-sea temperature difference. The atmospheric surface temperature is strongly constrained because the atmospheric temperature structure is relaxed toward a prescribed profile which is a function of latitude only, symmetric about the equator.

The atmosphere was also replaced with a version linearized about a state of rest, with heating proportional to SST anomalies and prescribed mean wind stress taken from the original coupled run. Further details of the models are given in Schopf and Suarez (1988).

Recently a new Schopf and Suarez model has been developed, also based on nonlinear reduced gravity equations, but with seven layers extending down to 3000m. Horizontal resolution is as in the old model, but the domain is global. Initial tests have been done coupling the ocean with an eight-layer AGCM with a 4° by 5° grid and including interactive clouds. A preliminary description of this model's behavior is included at the end of section 3.6a.
simulation of the Southern Oscillation has been reported by Sperber et al. (1987) and Sperber (1989).

2.5 Simple atmospheric models with high-resolution OGCMs (hybrid coupled models)

a) Neelin

The term "hybrid coupled model" is used for models consisting of an ocean GCM coupled to a much simpler atmospheric model, especially one which attempts to represent the steady-state atmospheric response to oceanic conditions. The intent is to understand some of the phenomena and some of the difficulties which may be encountered in coupled GCMs in a model in which the coupled system is not affected by "noise" arising from atmospheric variability that does not depend on the coupling. Since tropical basin OGCMs are typically computationally less expensive than AGCMs, the combination with a simpler atmospheric model is also economical.

The hybrid model of Neelin (1989, 1990) consists of the ocean GCM described in part 2.1a) with slightly coarser resolution, having a mesh of $1^\circ$ latitude by $3^\circ$ longitude at the equator. The equation of state is also replaced by a linear dependence on temperature for tropical ocean studies. The atmospheric model is a steady-state two-level model with moist processes and boundary layer stress parameterized following Neelin and Held (1987) and Neelin (1988). The response of the atmospheric model for ENSO conditions is presented in Neelin (1990).

Coupling is carried out by 'one-way' flux-correction. The ocean model is spun up in response to observed climatological wind stresses. The atmospheric model response to this ocean climatology is then found. At each coupling time step, the atmospheric model climatology is subtracted from the atmospheric model response and replaced by observed climatological wind stresses. The atmospheric model thus effectively a used as a nonlinear anomaly model. The climatology is a known stationary state of the system although it may be unstable. Annual average conditions are used. A coupling time step of 15 days is used, with oceanic conditions averaged over the previous period passed to the atmospheric model which returns the steady-state atmospheric response to these conditions. This choice is made because the assumptions of the atmospheric model would not be valid for the response to higher frequency fluctuations in boundary conditions.

Three experiments are presented here for different parameters and initial conditions. The atmospheric model is altered so as to produce a relatively weaker or stronger anomalous wind
the vertical, computed clouds, and parameterized land surface processes. Meehl and Washington (1988) have analyzed in detail the sensitivity of land surface processes in this model.

The ocean model has a coarse resolution (5° latitude by 5° longitude, four layers in the vertical) and includes realistic geography, bottom topography consistent with resolution, and a simple thermodynamic sea-ice formulation. Meehl et al. (1982) have tested this ocean model with a variety of parameter changes.

For the present experiment, the coarse-grid ocean model was first run by itself for 50 model years with observed atmospheric forcing. It was initialized the first year with observed vertical cross sections of temperature and salinity. The atmospheric model was also run separately for 15 model years, preceded by a spin-up period (Washington and Meehl, 1984; Meehl and Washington, 1985). Then both models were synchronously coupled and run for 16 model years. Following this shake-down period, the coupled model was run for 30 years.

2.4 Low vertical resolution AGCMs with low-resolution OGCMs

a) Gates, Han and Schlesinger

The Oregon State University atmospheric GCM used here has a resolution of 4° latitude and 5° longitude, with two layers of equal mass in the vertical between the surface and 200 mb. Wind velocity, temperature, geopotential, and water vapor mixing ratio are calculated at the mid-points of the two atmospheric layers, i.e., at approximately 400 and 800 mb. Temperature, pressure, and moisture are also predicted at the surface. Cloudiness is internally calculated for convective and large-scale condensation processes, and local snow cover is simulated as part of the surface hydrologic balance. The solar radiative forcing varies both diurnally and seasonally. Further details of this model have been described in Schlesinger and Gates (1980, 1981) and Ghan et al. (1982).

The oceanic portion of the coupled model is basically the same as that described by Han (1984a,b). This is a six-layer primitive-equation model of the world ocean with realistic lateral and bottom topography as resolved by the 4° latitude and 5° longitude grid. In distinction from the oceanic GCM described by Han (1984a,b), the model version used in the present coupled simulation has been extended to include the Arctic Ocean and includes a bulk sea-ice model.
equatorial wave dynamics but is able to simulate some aspects of interannual SST variability when forced with observed winds. The ocean model includes realistic bottom topography and a basic thermodynamic ice-model with advection of ice.

The atmosphere model has been run with observed SSTs for 3 years to reach its own climate and to spin up the soil model; the ocean model has been run for 10000 years driven by wind stress calculated from a 20 year integration with the atmosphere model to reach an equilibrium which is in balance with the wind field at the point of coupling.

Coupling is near-synchronous; values for total heat and freshwater flux are accumulated and passed to the ocean every day, while the wind stress is accumulated and passed with a coupling step of 30 days. The ocean model returns the SST and the ice-thickness. Description of an earlier version of the coupled model and aspects of the coupling may be found in Cubasch (1989) and Sausen and Cubasch (1989). No flux correction has been applied in the current version. The coupled atmosphere model includes an ice-model, which converts the ice-thickness into a temperature on the top of the sea ice by a balance equation. No run-off has yet been included. The coupled model has been run for 25 years with normal CO₂ concentrations and with instantaneously doubled CO₂. In this contribution the results from the normal CO₂ integration are shown.

d) Oberhuber, Lunkeit and Sausen

The atmosphere model (ECHAM) is a modified version of the T21 version of the ECMWF model (cycle 31, 19 vertical levels) which was developed for extended range forecasts. Since the model physics are constrained to present-day climate, e.g. the CO₂ absorption and the transport of heat and water in the soil, a number of changes have been made to obtain a better representation of the climatology. The new model ECHAM (ECMWF with Hamburg physics) uses envelope orography, considers effects of plants on evaporation, uses a new algorithm for radiative transfer in the infrared part and a different scheme for cloud formation and dissipation with consideration of subgrid-scale effects and cloud microphysics, contains transport of water vapor, includes a parameterization for horizontal diffusion, computes the heat transfer in the soil and uses the catchment consideration for the soil moisture content. Also changes have been made to the Kuo-convection scheme, the vertical diffusion and the gravity wave drag. Compared to the original ECMWF model version the new model ECHAM shows considerable improvement in many basic
and Schubert (1974) and the effects of vertical redistribution of momentum by cumulus clouds are included. Effects of downdrafts on the PBL (Cheng and Arakawa 1991) are not included in the version of the model used here.

The model includes both diurnal and seasonal changes. Solar and infrared radiative heating rates are computed following Harshvardhan et al. (1987). The calculation includes the effects of absorption of solar radiation by ozone and water vapor, and absorption and emission of long-wave radiation by ozone, water vapor and CO₂ at levels below 30 km. The cloudiness used in the radiation calculation is predicted by the model by assuming overcast conditions whenever the predicted relative humidity exceeds saturation at levels below 100 mb or when cumulus clouds penetrate above 500 mb.

The ocean model is the GFDL model in a Pacific basin. The version used for the runs described here is essentially identical to that described in section 2.1a, using the Richardson-number dependent vertical mixing scheme of Pacanowski and Philander (1981). More recent versions of the coupled system employ a version of the Mellor-Yamada (1974) scheme. The response of the ocean model to AGCM wind stress, with and without diurnal variability, is examined in Mechoso et al. (1990).

Coupling is synchronous within the Pacific Basin with information exchanged each day between the models. Diurnal variation is averaged out in in the ocean forcing in the present version. The geographical distributions of surface albedo, ground wetness and sea surface temperature outside the ocean model domain are interpolated from prescribed monthly means based on the observed climatology. Further description is given in Mechoso et al. (1990). Two runs are described here: one with full seasonal cycle and another with perpetual January conditions.

2.2 Multi-level AGCMs with low horizontal-high vertical resolution OGCMs

a) Lau, Philander and Nath

The atmospheric model is the GFDL Climate Group GCM as described in section 2.1a), above. The ocean model is a version of the Bryan and Lewis (1979) model and is dynamically active throughout the world ocean. Model numerics are similar to the high-resolution version of the ocean model described in part 2.1a) but the horizontal and vertical resolution are much lower: 3.75° longitude by 4.5° latitude with 12 levels in the vertical, the top 4 layers having thicknesses
and to 5° between ±30° and ±70°. Zonally the resolution is constant at 5°. In the vertical there are 17 levels with a resolution of 20m in the upper 100m. Bottom topography is fully included as well as active salinity. Finally, the numerics have been changed to be less diffusive. The ocean model is dynamically active only in the region ±30°. Outside this region a rather strong restoring to Levitus climatology is applied.

The atmospheric model is an update of the T21 model described in the previous section. Preliminary results from a run with observed SSTs show no significant differences of the simulated tropical low-frequency response to those presented by Latif et al. (1990) for the earlier version.

The two models interact over all three oceans in the latitude band ±30°. Outside the coupling region, the boundary conditions are prescribed from climatology. The coupled integration has a duration of 20 years and has been performed without applying any correction. The coupling is synchronous with an exchange of information every third-day. Ocean time steps.

e) Gent and Tribbia

The atmospheric model used in the coupled experiments is a derivative of the NCAR Community Climate Model, Version 1 (CCM1) (Williamson et al., 1987). The model used here differs in the following respects. The lower surface of the model has been altered from the standard (earth) continentality and replaced with a zonally symmetric inactive sea surface (aqua planet) except in the ocean model domain. The standard parameterizations of radiative fluxes used in CCM1 have been replaced by the following procedure: time mean zonal averages of radiative fluxes for January are marched seasonally between hemispheres. These radiative fluxes are modified only over the active ocean surface in a manner similar to Seager et al. (1988), whenever the cloud parameterization in the model indicates the presence of a nonzero cloud fraction. Lastly, the surface roughness z0 is taken as .25 m over the inactive ocean and given a value of .0005 m over the active region in order to obtain surface wind speeds which are realistic over the active ocean domain.

The numerics of the atmospheric model are as in Williamson et al. (1987) in all respects except horizontal resolution. In order to resolve the tropical atmosphere adequately and still minimize computational expense, a cutoff T42 resolution was used. In this truncation only those spectral components that are included at T42 and which have zonal wavenumber less than wave number 22 are included in the integration. Such a truncation gives the meridional resolution of T42 resolution
within the uncertainty in these quantities in the observed climatologies. The only major difference in the later version of the atmospheric model used here is a reduction in the net heat input, due to an increased latent heat loss, over the west Pacific warm pool region. This was achieved by the inclusion into the model of a parameterization of sub-grid gustiness associated with convection.

The ocean model is a high resolution version of the GFDL Cox model. It covers only the Pacific from 30°N to 30°S and has a non-uniform horizontal grid spacing with a resolution of 0.33° of latitude on the equator and 0.5° of longitude at the coasts. There are 16 levels in the vertical with a concentration of levels near to the ocean surface. The K-Theory mixing scheme of Pacanowski and Philander (1981) is included to represent shear induced mixing and an embedded Kraus-Turner mixed layer model to parameterize the effects of surface generated turbulence on the mixed layer (Ineson and Gordon, 1989). Earlier versions of this ocean model have been used to simulate the seasonal cycle (Gordon and Corry, 1990) and the 1982/83 El Niño. The ocean model has an active salinity field.

Before starting the coupled integration the ocean model was spun up using the wind stress from a control version of the atmospheric model which had previously been integrated with climatological SSTs. The heat fluxes were chosen to ensure the SSTs remained close to their climatological values. At the start of the coupled integration the models were coupled fully via stress, heat fluxes and precipitation minus evaporation.

The earlier version of this coupled model has been described in Gordon (1989b). The principle differences between the old version and the new version are the addition of the Kraus-Turner mixed layer representation in the OGCM and the gustiness parameterization in the AGCM heat fluxes. We will refer to results from the older version as Run-1 and from the newer version, which is the main version presented here, as Run-2.

c) Latif, Biercamp, von Storch, and F. W. Zwiers

The ocean model, described in detail in Latif (1987), is a primitive equation model which resolves the Tropical Pacific Ocean from 30°N and 30°S and from 130°E to 70°W. The model includes real coastlines but does not incorporate bottom topography; the ocean floor is at a constant depth of 4000 m. The zonal resolution is constant at 670 km, while the meridional resolution is variable, increasing from 50 km near the equator to approximately 400 km at the
of physical processes. The models listed as 'High vertical resolution AGCMs' have at least 9 levels and full physical parameterization packages with some exceptions as indicated in the descriptions.

The ocean models are essentially all GCMs based on the primitive equations with the exception of Cubasch et al. and Zebiak and Cane. These have been included in the relevant class according to resolution. The Cubasch et al. OGCM differs from the primitive equation OGCMs in its treatment of momentum advection and time stepping. While the Zebiak and Cane model is not generally considered a GCM, it includes nonlinear advection of SST anomalies and careful parameterization of other processes affecting SST. 'Low vertical resolution' is used to designate models with six vertical degrees of freedom or less, while the models listed as 'High-vertical resolution' all have at least 10 and as many as 27 vertical degrees of freedom (levels, layers or isopycnal surfaces). 'High horizontal resolution' denotes models with gridspacing of 1° latitude or less in the equatorial waveguide. The parameterization of vertical mixing differs considerably between models, as described in the relevant sections. Not all models include active salinity. Some are limited domain models, others are global.

Some models use correction techniques to limit climate drift of the coupled system due to systematic errors in one or both components. We use the terminology 'flux correction' to refer to such techniques, of which there are several variants. The term 'anomaly model' is used for models formulated explicitly in terms of anomaly variables, in particular that of Zebiak and Cane. The distinction between these approaches is not sharply defined since flux correction in essence makes at least one component of a fully nonlinear model into an anomaly model.

The model description section is structured according to the section numbers indicated in the upper right hand corner of each box in Table 1. The results section follows this same order.

2.1 Multi-level AGCMs with high-resolution OGCMs

a) Philander, Lau and Pacanowski

The atmospheric model is the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) Climate Dynamics Project spectral model (Manabe and Hahn 1981), with rhomboidal 15 (R15) truncation and 9 layers in the vertical. Convection is represented by convective adjustment, but cloud cover is prescribed as a function of latitude and pressure in the radiative code in the main version presented here. The atmospheric model is known to yield a realistic response to prescribed observed SST patterns, as described by Lau (1985).
in coupled models, through instability of the mean climatic state. A conceptual model hypothesized by Schopf and Suarez (1988) has also received wide recognition. According to this "delayed-action oscillator" model the reversal between El Niño (warm) and La Niña (cold) conditions can be attributed to the propagation of internal equatorial waves and their reflection at meridional boundaries.

An alternative mechanism for tropical interannual variability, independent of equatorial wave dynamics, involves unstable modes related to the time derivative of the SST equation, referred to as "SST modes" by Neelin (1991) and also found in the study of Hirst (1988). The period of these modes is associated with zonal propagation of SST anomalies and they are supported by several contributing growth mechanisms. Recent work suggests a relationship between these two points of view, with the delayed oscillator and SST mode cases representing two extremes of coupled mode behavior.

The simple models employ a great number of assumptions in parameterizing complex processes. Among processes poorly represented are the nonlinearity of equatorial upwelling and surface-current/undercurrent dynamics, and atmospheric convective processes. It is hoped that coupled GCMs will eventually provide a more complete and accurate treatment of the dynamics and physics of ocean-atmosphere interaction. The strong dependence of interannual variability on parameters and the difficulties of understanding the exact mechanism even in the simple coupled models suggest that unraveling the sources of differences between coupled GCMs may be a long-term task. Nonetheless, there is sufficient progress to make this preliminary attempt worth undertaking.

2. Model Descriptions

Table 1 presents a summary of the models, grouped by common characteristics in terms of resolution and physics. The category 'Simple atmospheric models' broadly includes steady models with parameterized moist processes and time-dependent models with reduced physics relative to AGCMs. Atmospheric models are not classified by horizontal resolution; the AGCMs are all coarse in resolution relative to spacial scales of equatorial ocean dynamics and atmospheric intertropical convergence zones. The simple atmospheric models have finer resolution but are limited by the crudeness of the physical parameterizations. The models classified as 'Low vertical resolution AGCMs' have only two vertical levels but 'full physics'—i.e. an extensive set of parameterizations
OGCMs) are currently underway, notably under the auspices of the Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE) of the World Climate Research Program (Boer, 1990) and the Numerical Experimentation Group of the Tropical Atmosphere-GLOBAL Ocean Program (TOGA/NEO). An intercomparison of cloud-climate feedback in 14 AGCMs has been published by Cess et al. (1989) and of AGCM radiation codes by Ellingsen and Fouquet (1990). Hopefully, such systematic and detailed intercomparisons will also be carried out for coupled models as the field matures.

**Background**

The most prominent climate signal in the tropics on time scales up to a few years is the El Niño/Southern Oscillation phenomenon (ENSO) during which anomalous warm surface waters appear for a number of months in the central and eastern tropical Pacific. A classic description of ENSO evolution is outlined in Rasmusson and Carpenter (1982) and reviews and descriptions of this phenomenon from an observational point of view may be found, for instance, in Gill and Rasmusson (1983), Cane (1986), Philander (1990) and Deser and Wallace (1990).

We provide for reference time-longitude diagrams of observed total SST in the Pacific from 1950 to 1985 in Fig. 1. The dominant features are the annual and interannual variations of the equatorial cold tongue in the east-central Pacific. It reaches a minimum temperature of about 22°C in the autumn and weakens considerably in spring according to the changes in the trade winds which produce the equatorial upwelling of subsurface water by Ekman drift off the equator. Since the pattern of the Trades and the positions of the Intertropical Convergence Zones tend to be determined by the pattern of SST (e.g. Graham and Barnett 1987, Wallace et al. 1989, Gutzler and Wood 1990), there is good reason to believe that the evolution of the seasonal cycle in the Pacific is itself strongly influenced by coupling between the atmosphere and ocean. An indication of this is the tendency of the seasonal maximum and minimum of SST to propagate westward along the equator (Horel, 1982). In the western Pacific and Indonesian maritime-continent region, a warm pool of water exceeding 29°C may be found in all seasons.

The interannual signal associated with the aperiodic ENSO cycle is almost of comparable magnitude to the seasonal cycle and may be clearly seen in the total SST evolution. Rasmusson et al. (1990), Ropelewski et al. (1991) and Lau and Sheu (1988) have documented both quasi-biennial and lower frequency 4-6 year components in this interannual variability. There is some suggestion
1. Introduction

Prefacing remarks

Discussions following the Hamburg International Conference on Modeling of Global Climate Change and Variability of September, 1989, and subsequent discussions with various individual investigators, have suggested the usefulness of an intercomparison of current results of coupled ocean-atmosphere General Circulation Models (coupled GCMs or CGCMs) for tropical air-sea interaction. Although many of the investigations in this area are still in their early stages, a wide variety of behaviors have been noted and circulated informally within the community. The aim of this paper is to provide a taxonomy of these results—a description and rough classification of interannual phenomena across the ensemble of models. The objective is analogous to zoological or biological taxonomy; the structure of the models is known and a preliminary diagnosis of the anatomy of the interannual variability can be made, but the mechanisms and relationships between the phenomena found in different models are poorly understood. By assembling as many of these results as possible in a unified format, it is hoped that a classification by similarities and differences will suggest possible links between them. This in turn should provide the basis for future work both on understanding physical mechanisms and on model improvement.

A substantial fraction of the results included in this taxonomy have not yet been published elsewhere; in other cases results have been published and are summarized here specifically for intercomparison. All contributors are listed as authors. The two lead authors have undertaken the task of collecting the results of the contributing authors and of assembling the material into as coherent a form as possible. In describing models, results and hypothesized mechanisms, an effort has been made to remain faithful to the description of the contributing authors, while pointing out suggestive connections between them in cases where different explanations are offered for possibly related phenomena. Wherever possible, references to more extensive descriptions of models and phenomena are given. Any errors or omissions, as well as the particular choice of classification scheme under which the results are presented, remain the responsibility of the lead authors.

Sea surface temperature (SST) is chosen as the primary variable for intercomparison due to its crucial role in mediating the coupling and because it is a sensitive indicator of climate drift. Most attention is devoted to the Pacific ocean because of the larger number of modeling results