

SMR1486/11

**Workshop and Conference on
Recent Trends in
Nonlinear Variational Problems
(22 April - 9 May 2003)**

**Topology and Sobolev spaces
Part II: Higher dimensions**

P. Mironescu

Laboratoire de Mathématiques
Université Paris-Sud
Bâtiment 425
F-91405 Orsay
France

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

Topology and Sobolev spaces
Part II : Higher dimensions
Petru MIRONESCU

Abstract. This course further continues the study of S^1 -valued maps. Two questions are detailed : existence of a lifting and density of smooth maps.

I. The main problems

We consider maps from a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, into the unit circle S^1 . To start with, we consider the simplest possible domains, e.g., balls or cubes. More complicated domains will be examined later. However, Ω will always be assumed **connected**. We consider that these maps have some Sobolev regularity, i.e., that they belong to some (integer or fractional) Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$, $0 < s < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$ (for the definition of these spaces when s is not an integer, see [1]). We address two questions :

- (i) (**Lifting**) Given an S^1 -valued map $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$, can one find a **real**-valued map $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = e^{if}$? If so, is f **unique** modulo constants in $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$?
- (ii) (**Density**) Given an S^1 -valued map $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$, can one find a sequence of smooth maps $(u_n) \subset C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{s,p}$?

Comments

a) These questions have been completely settled in the papers [2] and [3]. See the references therein for previous results concerning the same questions. We will sketch below part of the proofs. Sections II-VI deal with the relatively simple cases. The delicate cases are discussed, without proofs, in Sections VII-VIII. Some details about how the proof goes in these cases will be given during the lecture.

b) Question (i) for **continuous** maps is a well known exercise. When Ω is, e.g., a ball (or, more generally, a simply connected domain), the answer is yes. However, for a general Ω , the answer may be no : consider, e.g., the case where Ω is a 2D-annulus. Thus, one may expect (and this turns out to be true), the answer to depend on the topology of Ω .

c) The key point in question (ii) is that we ask the maps u_n to be S^1 -valued. Indeed, any map $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be approximated by smooth maps : e.g., we mollify u . However, the sequence of smooth maps converging to u obtained in this way **needs not** be S^1 -valued. Actually, we will see that, in general, the answer is **no**.

II. Uniqueness

Assume we may write $u = e^{if} = e^{ig}$, with $f, g \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Thus the map $k = (f - g)/(2\pi)$ belongs to $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and it is \mathbb{Z} -valued a.e. Therefore, uniqueness is equivalent to the following

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

Question. Is every map $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ constant a.e. ?

When $sp < 1$, the answer is **no**. Indeed, take Q any cube properly contained in Ω . It is easy to see that the map $k = \chi_Q$ is \mathbb{Z} -valued, not constant a.e., and belongs to $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$. However, this is the only case of nonuniqueness.

Lemma 1. Assume $sp \geq 1$ and Ω connected. Then every map $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ constant a.e.

Proof. Start with $N = 1$. Then, by the Sobolev embeddings, $W^{s,p} \subset W^{1/p,p} \subset \text{VMO}$. Approximate k by smooth (not necessarily \mathbb{Z} -valued) maps, by mollifying k . The argument used in the Part I of this course for $\text{VMO}(S^1; S^1)$ maps shows that, for large n , k_n is almost \mathbb{Z} -valued, i.e., $\text{dist}(k_n(x), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in x . Take n_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0$, this distance is uniformly less than $1/3$. Thus, for $n \geq n_0$, k_n takes values into $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m - 1/3, m + 1/3)$. Since k_n is continuous, there must be some integer m_n such that k_n takes its values into $(m_n - 1/3, m_n + 1/3)$. The sequence (m_n) is bounded. Indeed, on the one hand we have $m_n - 1/3 \leq \int k_n \leq m_n + 1/3$. On the other hand, we have $\int k_n \rightarrow \int k$, since convergence in $W^{s,p}$ implies convergence in L^1 . Up to a subsequence, we may thus assume $m_n \equiv m$. Since $k_n \rightarrow k$ a.e., we find that $k(x) \in (m - 1/3, m + 1/3)$ a.e., so that $k \equiv m$ a.e.

We now consider the case $N = 2$; the case $N \geq 2$ is identical. Since Ω is connected, it suffices to prove that k is locally constant a.e. We may thus assume Ω to be a square, c.g. the unit square $(0, 1)^2$. For a.e. $x, y \in (0, 1)$, the maps $u(x, \cdot)$ and $u(\cdot, y)$ belong to $W^{s,p}$ (see [1]). Thus, for any such x or y , these maps are constant a.e., by the case $N = 1$. Now write

$$|k(a, b) - k(c, d)| \leq |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| + |k(a, d) - k(c, d)|$$

and integrate this inequality over a, b, c, d . For a.e. a , we have

$$\int \int |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| db dd = 0,$$

so that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| da db dc dd = 0.$$

Using a similar argument, we find that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a, b) - k(c, d)| da db dc dd = 0,$$

so that k is constant a.e.

Final conclusion. Uniqueness holds if and only if $sp \geq 1$.

III. Case of continuous maps : $sp > N$

Recall that, when $sp > N$, then $W^{s,p} \subset C^0$, by the Sobolev embeddings. In particular, this implies the following simple

Lemma 2. Assume $sp > N$. Then smooth S^1 -valued maps are dense in $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$.

Proof. Approximate u by mollifying it. The sequence (u_n) obtained in this way converges to u in $W^{s,p}$, and in particular in C^0 . Thus, in particular, $|u_n| \rightarrow |u| = 1$ uniformly. Consider the map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\Phi(z) = z/|z|$. Then Φ is smooth and, for large n , $\Phi(u_n)$ is well-defined. Using the following general result, due to Peetre [4],

Let $\Phi \in C^\infty$, $sp > N$. If $u \in W^{s,p}$, then $\Phi(u) \in W^{s,p}$. Moreover, if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{s,p}$, then $\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow \Phi(u)$ in $W^{s,p}$

we find that the sequence of smooth S^1 -valued maps $(\Phi(u_n))$ converges to u .

Concerning the existence of a lifting, it is easy to see that in general the answer is **no**.

Example. Take $\Omega = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $u(z) = z/|z|$. Let any s, p be such that $sp > 2$. There is no $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = e^{if}$.

Proof. First of all, $u \in W^{s,p}$, since u is smooth. For the nonexistence of f , argue by contradiction. Then f is continuous. Since $u = e^{if}$ a.e, we actually have $u = e^{if}$ everywhere. In particular u has a continuous lifting. But this is well known to be false.

However, we have

Lemma 3. Assume Ω simply connected. Let $sp > N$. Then every $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ has a lifting $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For simplicity, we prove the fact that $f \in W^{s,p}(K)$ for each K compact in Ω . By adapting the argument below, one may obtain the full Lemma. Recall that u , being continuous and S^1 -valued on a simply connected domain, has a **continuous** lifting f . We claim that this f is actually in $W^{s,p}$. Indeed, pick some $x_0 \in \Omega$. Assume, e.g., $u(x_0) = 1$. There is a ball $B \ni x_0$ such that $|u(x) - 1| \leq 1$ for $x \in B$. Thus $-i \log u$ is well-defined, continuous, and clearly is a lifting of u in B . Therefore, up to a multiple of 2π , we have $f = -i \log u$ in B . By Peetre's result, we find that $f \in W^{s,p}(B)$. Thus f is locally in $W^{s,p}$.

IV. The bad case : $1 \leq sp < 2$

This is the **no** situation : in **any** domain, there is, for a general u , no lifting, and smooth S^1 -valued maps are not dense. The following example concerns a specific domain. However, it can be adapted to the general case.

Example. Let Ω be the unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 and $1 \leq sp < 2$. Let $u(z) = z/|z|$. Then $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$. However,

- a) there is no $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = e^{if}$;
- b) u cannot be approximated by smooth S^1 -valued maps.

Proof. The fact that $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be checked directly from the definition of $W^{s,p}$ (it is a rather long computation). To prove a), argue by contradiction. Then, for a.e. $1/2 < r < 1$, we have that both $u|_{C_r}$ and $f|_{C_r}$ belong to $W^{s,p}(C_r)$ and that $u = e^{if}$ a.e. on C_r . Pick any such r . Then, on C_r , the VMO map $u(z) = z/|z|$ has a VMO lifting f . Cf Part I, this contradicts the fact that, on C_r , we have $\deg u = 1$.

The proof of b) follows also by contradiction. Assume that there is a sequence (u_n) of smooth S^1 -valued maps approximating u . Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that, for a.e. r , we have $u_n|_{C_r} \rightarrow u|_{C_r}$ in $W^{s,p}(C_r)$. Pick any such r . Then, in particular, $u_n|_{C_r} \rightarrow u|_{C_r}$ in VMO $(C_r; S^1)$, so that $\deg(u_n|_{C_r}) \rightarrow \deg(u|_{C_r})$. On the one hand, recall that $\deg(u|_{C_r}) = 1$. On the other hand, we claim that $\deg(u_n|_{C_r}) = 0$. This will lead to a contradiction. To justify the claim, note that Ω is simply connected, so that we may write $u_n = e^{if_n}$ for some smooth f_n . Taking restrictions to C_r , we find that $u_n|_{C_r}$ has a continuous lifting, so that it has degree 0.

V. At least one derivative : $s \geq 1, sp \geq 2$

We start with the case of a **simply connected domain** Ω . We will turn later to the general case.

Theorem 1. Assume Ω simply connected, $s \geq 1, sp \geq 2$. Then :

- a) Every $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be written as $u = e^{if}$ for some $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$;
- b) Smooth S^1 -valued maps are dense in $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$.

The proof is rather technical. However, the main idea, which originated in [5], is simple. We present it when $s = 1$. The general case is more involved.

Proof of a) when $s = 1$. The idea is to assume that f is known and to derive some consequences. Writing $u = u_1 + iu_2$, with $u_1 = \cos f$ and $u_2 = \sin f$, we have

$$Du_1 = -(\sin f)Df = -u_2Df$$

and

$$Du_2 = (\cos f)Df = u_1Df.$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad Df = u_1Du_2 - u_2Du_1.$$

The strategy is now to find f by solving (1) with the help of a generalized form of Poincaré's lemma,

Lemma 4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. The following properties are equivalent:

a) there is some $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F = Df,$$

b) one has

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} \quad \forall i, j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

in the sense of distributions, i.e.,

$$\int F_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} = \int F_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

We emphasize that the assumption that Ω is simply connected is needed in this lemma.

Proof of Lemma 4. The implication $a) \Rightarrow b)$ is obvious. To prove the converse, let \bar{F} be the extension of F by 0 outside Ω and let $\bar{F}_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon \star \bar{F}$ where (ρ_ε) is a sequence of mollifiers. The \bar{F}_ε 's satisfy (2) on every compact subset of Ω (for ε sufficiently small). In particular, on every smooth simply connected domain $\omega \subset \Omega$ with compact closure in Ω , there is a function \tilde{f}_ε such that

$$D\tilde{f}_\varepsilon = \bar{F}_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \omega$$

(Here we have used the standard Poincaré lemma). Passing to the limit we obtain some $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$ such that $D\tilde{f} = F$ in ω . Finally, we write Ω as an increasing union of ω_n as above and obtain a corresponding sequence \tilde{f}_n . In the limit we find some $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ with $Df = F$ in Ω . Using the regularity of Ω and a standard property of Sobolev spaces (see e.g. Maz'ja [6], Corollary in Section 1.1.11) we conclude that $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof of a) for $s = 1$ completed. We will first verify condition b) of the lemma for

$$(3) \quad F = u_1 Du_2 - u_2 Du_1$$

i.e.,

$$F_i = u_1 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - u_2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}.$$

Formally, property (2) is clear. Indeed, if u_1 and u_2 are smooth, then

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} = 2 \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

On the other hand, if we differentiate the relation

$$|u|^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1$$

we find

$$(4) \quad u_1 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} + u_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, in \mathbb{R}^2 , the vector $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$ is orthogonal to (u_1, u_2) . It follows that the vectors $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$ and $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j})$ are colinear and therefore

$$(5) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0.$$

Hence (2) holds. To make this argument rigorous we rely on the density of smooth functions in the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$: there are sequences (u_{1n}) and (u_{2n}) in $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $u_{1n} \rightarrow u_1$ and $u_{2n} \rightarrow u_2$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and $\|u_{1n}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \|u_{2n}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$.

[Warning: We do not claim that $u_n = (u_{1n}, u_{2n})$ takes its values in S^1 .]

Set

$$F_n = u_{1n} D u_{2n} - u_{2n} D u_{1n},$$

so that

$$F_n \rightarrow F \quad \text{in } L^p$$

and

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial F_{in}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_{jn}}{\partial x_i} = 2 \left(\frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

converges in $L^{p/2}$ to $2 \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right)$. Multiplying (6) by $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, integrating by parts and passing to the limit (using the fact that $p \geq 2$) we obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} (f_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} - f_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}) = 2 \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) \psi.$$

On the other hand (4) and (5) hold a.e. (even for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$). It follows that F satisfies b) of Lemma 3, and therefore there is some $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F = Df.$$

We will now prove that this f is essentially the one we are looking for.

Recall that if $g, h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $gh \in W^{1,p}$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(gh) = g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} + h \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

Set

$$v = ue^{-if},$$

so that $v \in W^{1,p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Dv &= e^{-if}(Du - iDf) = ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iDf) \\ &= ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iF) = ue^{-if}(u_1Du_1 + u_2Du_2) = 0 \quad \text{by (4)}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that v is a constant and since $|v| = 1$ we may write $v = e^{iC}$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $u = e^{i(f+C)}$ and the function $f + C$ has the desired properties.

Idea of the proof of a) for a general $s \geq 1$. The strategy is the same, i.e., we consider the same vector field F . Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, one may see that F verifies condition b) of Lemma 4. Moreover (this is the key and more delicate point), F belongs to $W^{s-1,p} \cap L^{sp}$. A variant of Lemma 4 implies that we may write $F = Df$ for some $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$. As above, this f is essentially the one needed. This proof yields thus the following refined version of a)

Part a) sharpened. Any u has a lifting in $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$.

Proof of b) when $s = 1$. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ and let $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ be a lifting of u . Let (f_n) be a sequence of smooth real functions such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p}$. Using the following standard simple property

Let Φ be a C^1 functions such that Φ' is bounded. If $u \in W^{1,p}$, then $\Phi(f) \in W^{1,p}$. Moreover, if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{1,p}$, then $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$ in $W^{1,p}$

it is obvious that the sequence (e^{if_n}) of smooth S^1 -valued maps approximates u in $W^{1,p}$.

Idea of the proof of b) for a general $s \geq 1$. Big problem ! When f belongs to $W^{s,p}$, $\Phi(f)$ need not belong to $W^{s,p}$, even for very nice maps Φ . In particular, one can not use

Part a) anymore in order to prove Part b). Instead, one has to rely on the following much more delicate result ([7])

Let Φ be a C^∞ function with bounded derivatives and let $s \geq 1$. If $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$, then $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$. Moreover, if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$, then $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$ in $W^{s,p}$

Thus Part b) follows from Part a) sharpened.

General domains. In general, one can not expect existence of a lifting. Consider, e.g., the 2D-annulus $\Omega' = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2}$ and the smooth map $u(z) = z/|z|$. Assume by contradiction that $u = e^{if}$ for some $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R})$. Then for a.e. r with $1/2 < r < 1$ we have $u|_{C_r} = e^{if|_{C_r}}$ and, on C_r , u and f belong to $W^{s,p}$. For any such r , $u|_{C_r}$ has thus a continuous lifting. This contradicts the fact that $u|_{C_r}$ has degree 1. In higher dimension, a similar counterexample holds : consider, on $\Omega' \times (0, 1)^{N-2}$, the map $u(z, x) = z/|z|$. Then u has no lifting in $W^{s,p}$.

This time, the existence of a lifting is related to topological properties of Ω :

Theorem 2. Assume $s \geq 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, $sp \geq 2$. Then :

- a) Every map $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ has a lifting $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ if and only if every continuous map $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$ has a continuous lifting $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$;
- b) Smooth S^1 -valued maps are dense in $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2 when $s = 1$. The main tool is the following

Lemma 5. Let $p \geq 2$. Then every $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be written as $u = ve^{if}$ for some $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$ and $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Lemma 5. Consider again the vector field $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Let f be the solution of

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div} F \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ (see [8]). We claim that $v = ue^{-if} \in C^\infty$. Indeed, recall that, by the proof of Theorem 1, we may write, on each ball $B \subset \Omega$, $u = e^{ig}$, for some $g \in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbb{R})$ such that $Dg = F$ on B . Then, in B , we have $v = e^{i(g-f)}$ and, clearly, $\Delta(g-f) = 0$ in B . Thus $g-f \in C^\infty$, by Weyl's Lemma. It follows that $v \in C^\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 2 when $s = 1$ completed. " \Rightarrow " Take $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$. By mollifying u , we may find some $v \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$ such that $|u\bar{v} - 1| < 1$. Thus we may write $u\bar{v} = e^{ik}$, wher k is the continuous map $\operatorname{Arg} u\bar{v}$. On the other hand, $v = e^{ig}$ for some $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Take B any ball in Ω . Then, on B , we may write the smooth map v as $v = e^{ih}$ for some smooth h . Thus, in B , the difference $g-h$ is $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued and belongs to $W^{1,p}$. By Lemma

1, this difference must be constant a.e. Therefore, g is smooth. Finally, $u = e^{i(g+k)}$, with $g + k$ continuous.

" \Leftarrow " We will make use of the following intuitively clear geometric property:

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, the domains $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$ are diffeomorphic through some smooth diffeomorphism Φ_ε . Moreover, assume, e.g. $0 \in \Omega$. Then we may construct Φ_ε such that $\Phi_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ for sufficiently small ε . Moreover, we may construct Φ_ε in order to have the additional properties $\Phi_\varepsilon|_{\Omega_{2\varepsilon}} = \text{id}$ and $\|D\Phi_\varepsilon - \text{id}\| \leq C\varepsilon$

Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ and write $u = ve^{if}$ as in Lemma 5. Since $v_\varepsilon = v|_{\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ is S^1 -valued and continuous, we may write $v_\varepsilon = e^{ig_\varepsilon}$ for some continuous g_ε . Assume, e.g., $v(0) = 1$. Then, for small ε , $v_\varepsilon(0) = 1$ and we may assume $g_\varepsilon(0) = 0$. Let now $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ be sufficiently small. Then clearly on the connected domain Ω_δ we have $g_\varepsilon - g_\delta \equiv \text{const}$, and this constant must be 0, by our normalization condition $g_\varepsilon(0) = 0$. Thus the map $g(x) = g_\varepsilon(x)$ if $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$ is well-defined and continuous, and $v = e^{ig}$. Actually, we even have $g \in C^\infty$, by an argument already used above. In particular, $|Dg| = |Dv|$. On the other hand, recall that $v = ue^{-if}$, so that $|Dv| \leq |Du| + |D(e^{-if})| = |Du| + |Df| \in L^p$. Therefore, $g \in W^{1,p}$. Finally, $u = e^{i(f+g)}$, with $f + g \in W^{1,p}$.

Proof of b) Recall that we already proved that e^{if} can be approximated by smooth S^1 -valued maps. The idea is to make use of the following property of $W^{1,p}$

If $f_n \rightarrow f$, $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $W^{1,p}$ and $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, $\|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, then $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$ in $W^{1,p}$

In view of this property, it suffices to write $u = ve^{if}$ as in Lemma 5 and approximate v with smooth S^1 -valued maps. [**Warning** : v need not be smooth up to the boundary.] By the above arguments, we have $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$. Let v_ε be as above, so that clearly v_ε is S^1 -valued and smooth up to the boundary. We claim that $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $W^{1,p}$. Clearly, $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ uniformly on compacts and thus in L^1_{loc} (actually, convergence holds also in L^1 , since the maps are uniformly bounded). Therefore, it suffices to prove that $|Dv_\varepsilon - Dv| \rightarrow 0$ in L^p . Now clearly

$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Dv|^p dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\varepsilon}} |Dv_\varepsilon - Dv|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\varepsilon}} |Dv|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Idea of the proof for a general $s \geq 1$. The proof goes along the same lines. One has to use instead of Lemma 5 its following straightforward variant

Lemma 5'. Let $s \geq 1$, $sp \geq 2$. Then every $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be written as $u = ve^{if}$ for some $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$ and $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap W^{1,sp}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.

As for the property of products, one has to rely instead on the following variant, usually named " $W^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$ is an algebra" :

If $f, g \in W^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$, then $fg \in W^{s,p}$. Moreover, if $f_n \rightarrow f$, $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $W^{s,p}$ and $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, $\|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, then $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$ in $W^{s,p}$

VI. Lifting when we have less than one derivative : $0 < s < 1$, $2 \leq sp < N$

Lemma 6. Assume $0 < s < 1$, $2 \leq sp < N$. Then there is some $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ which can not be lifted, i.e., such that there is no $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ with $u = e^{if}$ a.e.

Proof of Lemma 6. Assume, e.g., that the unit ball B is contained in Ω . Let $u(x) = e^{2i\pi/|x|^a}$ in B , extended with the value 1 outside B . Here, $a > 0$ is to be determined later. It is easy to see that $u \in W^{1,q}$ provided $(a+1)q < N$. Using the following

Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality. If $u \in W^{r,q} \cap L^\infty$ and $0 < t < 1$, then $u \in W^{tr,q/t}$

(a proof of the full scale of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities may be found, e.g., in [7]), we find that $u \in W^{s,q/s}$. Thus $u \in W^{s,p}$ as soon as $(a+1)sp < N$. On the other hand, a straightforward but long computation shows that the map $g(x) = 2\pi/|x|^a$ in B , extended with the value 2π outside B , belongs to $W^{s,p}$ if and only if $(a+s)p < N$. On the other hand, we have $g \in W_{\text{loc}}^{s,p}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$. Pick now some a such that $(a+1)sp < N$, but $(a+s)p \geq N$ (there is enough room!) and consider the corresponding u . We claim that this u can not be lifted. Argue by contradiction, i.e, assume that $u = e^{if}$ for some $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Take Q be any cube such that $\bar{Q} \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then, on Q , we have $f - g \in W^{s,p}$ is a $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued map. By Lemma 1, this function must be constant a.e. Since $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ is connected, we find that $f \equiv g + \text{const}$ a.e. However, we have $f \in W^{s,p}$ and $g \notin W^{s,p}$. Contradiction!

VI. Lifting when we have little regularity : $sp < 1$

This is the really difficult case.

Theorem 3. Assume $sp < 1$. Then :

- a) Every $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ can be written as $u = e^{if}$ for some $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$;
- b) Smooth S^1 -valued maps are dense in $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$.

The delicate part is a). We refer to [2] for details. Part b) is a trivial consequence of a) and of the following elementary property

Let Φ be a Lipschitz map and $0 < s < 1$. If $f \in W^{s,p}$, then $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$. Moreover, if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $W^{s,p}$, then $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$ in $W^{s,p}$

VII. Density in the remaining case : $0 < s < 1$, $2 \leq sp < N$

Recall that in this case there is no lifting, even in simply connected domains. Thus we may not use approximation of the phase f by smooth functions and some composition property in order to obtain density. However, we have the following

Theorem 4. Assume $0 < s < 1$, $sp \geq 2$. Then smooth S^1 -valued maps are dense in $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$.

The proof is delicate ; see [3].

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Lifting in Sobolev spaces, *Journal d'Analyse mathématique* 80 (2000), 37-86.
- [3] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, in preparation.
- [4] J. Peetre, Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 12 (1970), 1-20.
- [5] G. Carbou, Applications harmoniques à valeurs dans un cercle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 1992, 359-362.
- [6] V. Maz'ja, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer, 1985.
- [7] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Gagliardo-Nirenberg, composition and products in fractional Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Evolution Equations* 1 (2001), 387-404.
- [8] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 1998.