

SMR1486/11

**Workshop and Conference on  
Recent Trends in  
Nonlinear Variational Problems  
(22 April - 9 May 2003)**

---

**Topology and Sobolev spaces  
Part II: Higher dimensions**

**P. Mironescu**

Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
Université Paris-Sud  
Bâtiment 425  
F-91405 Orsay  
France

---

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants



**Topology and Sobolev spaces**  
**Part II : Higher dimensions**  
**Petru MIRONESCU**

**Abstract.** This course further continues the study of  $S^1$ -valued maps. Two questions are detailed : existence of a lifting and density of smooth maps.

### I. The main problems

We consider maps from a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , into the unit circle  $S^1$ . To start with, we consider the simplest possible domains, e.g., balls or cubes. More complicated domains will be examined later. However,  $\Omega$  will always be assumed **connected**. We consider that these maps have some Sobolev regularity, i.e., that they belong to some (integer or fractional) Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ ,  $0 < s < \infty$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  (for the definition of these spaces when  $s$  is not an integer, see [1]). We address two questions :

- (i) (**Lifting**) Given an  $S^1$ -valued map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ , can one find a **real**-valued map  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$  ? If so, is  $f$  **unique** modulo constants in  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  ?
- (ii) (**Density**) Given an  $S^1$ -valued map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ , can one find a sequence of smooth maps  $(u_n) \subset C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{s,p}$  ?

### Comments

a) These questions have been completely settled in the papers [2] and [3]. See the references therein for previous results concerning the same questions. We will sketch below part of the proofs. Sections II-VI deal with the relatively simple cases. The delicate cases are discussed, without proofs, in Sections VII-VIII. Some details about how the proof goes in these cases will be given during the lecture.

b) Question (i) for **continuous** maps is a well known exercise. When  $\Omega$  is, e.g., a ball (or, more generally, a simply connected domain), the answer is yes. However, for a general  $\Omega$ , the answer may be no : consider, e.g., the case where  $\Omega$  is a 2D-annulus. Thus, one may expect (and this turns out to be true), the answer to depend on the topology of  $\Omega$ .

c) The key point in question (ii) is that we ask the maps  $u_n$  to be  $S^1$ -valued. Indeed, any map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be approximated by smooth maps : e.g., we mollify  $u$ . However, the sequence of smooth maps converging to  $u$  obtained in this way **needs not** be  $S^1$ -valued. Actually, we will see that, in general, the answer is **no**.

### II. Uniqueness

Assume we may write  $u = e^{if} = e^{ig}$ , with  $f, g \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Thus the map  $k = (f - g)/(2\pi)$  belongs to  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and it is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued a.e. Therefore, uniqueness is equivalent to the following

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

**Question.** Is every map  $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$  constant a.e. ?

When  $sp < 1$ , the answer is **no**. Indeed, take  $Q$  any cube properly contained in  $\Omega$ . It is easy to see that the map  $k = \chi_Q$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued, not constant a.e., and belongs to  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ . However, this is the only case of nonuniqueness.

**Lemma 1.** Assume  $sp \geq 1$  and  $\Omega$  connected. Then every map  $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$  constant a.e.

**Proof.** Start with  $N = 1$ . Then, by the Sobolev embeddings,  $W^{s,p} \subset W^{1/p,p} \subset \text{VMO}$ . Approximate  $k$  by smooth (not necessarily  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued) maps, by mollifying  $k$ . The argument used in the Part I of this course for  $\text{VMO}(S^1; S^1)$  maps shows that, for large  $n$ ,  $k_n$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued, i.e.,  $\text{dist}(k_n(x), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $x$ . Take  $n_0$  such that, for  $n \geq n_0$ , this distance is uniformly less than  $1/3$ . Thus, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $k_n$  takes values into  $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m - 1/3, m + 1/3)$ . Since  $k_n$  is continuous, there must be some integer  $m_n$  such that  $k_n$  takes its values into  $(m_n - 1/3, m_n + 1/3)$ . The sequence  $(m_n)$  is bounded. Indeed, on the one hand we have  $m_n - 1/3 \leq \int k_n \leq m_n + 1/3$ . On the other hand, we have  $\int k_n \rightarrow \int k$ , since convergence in  $W^{s,p}$  implies convergence in  $L^1$ . Up to a subsequence, we may thus assume  $m_n \equiv m$ . Since  $k_n \rightarrow k$  a.e., we find that  $k(x) \in (m - 1/3, m + 1/3)$  a.e., so that  $k \equiv m$  a.e.

We now consider the case  $N = 2$ ; the case  $N \geq 2$  is identical. Since  $\Omega$  is connected, it suffices to prove that  $k$  is locally constant a.e. We may thus assume  $\Omega$  to be a square, c.g. the unit square  $(0, 1)^2$ . For a.e.  $x, y \in (0, 1)$ , the maps  $u(x, \cdot)$  and  $u(\cdot, y)$  belong to  $W^{s,p}$  (see [1]). Thus, for any such  $x$  or  $y$ , these maps are constant a.e., by the case  $N = 1$ . Now write

$$|k(a, b) - k(c, d)| \leq |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| + |k(a, d) - k(c, d)|$$

and integrate this inequality over  $a, b, c, d$ . For a.e.  $a$ , we have

$$\int \int |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| db dd = 0,$$

so that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a, b) - k(a, d)| da db dc dd = 0.$$

Using a similar argument, we find that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a, b) - k(c, d)| da db dc dd = 0,$$

so that  $k$  is constant a.e.

**Final conclusion.** Uniqueness holds if and only if  $sp \geq 1$ .

### III. Case of continuous maps : $sp > N$

Recall that, when  $sp > N$ , then  $W^{s,p} \subset C^0$ , by the Sobolev embeddings. In particular, this implies the following simple

**Lemma 2.** Assume  $sp > N$ . Then smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

**Proof.** Approximate  $u$  by mollifying it. The sequence  $(u_n)$  obtained in this way converges to  $u$  in  $W^{s,p}$ , and in particular in  $C^0$ . Thus, in particular,  $|u_n| \rightarrow |u| = 1$  uniformly. Consider the map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\Phi(z) = z/|z|$ . Then  $\Phi$  is smooth and, for large  $n$ ,  $\Phi(u_n)$  is well-defined. Using the following general result, due to Peetre [4],

Let  $\Phi \in C^\infty$ ,  $sp > N$ . If  $u \in W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(u) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow \Phi(u)$  in  $W^{s,p}$

we find that the sequence of smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps  $(\Phi(u_n))$  converges to  $u$ .

Concerning the existence of a lifting, it is easy to see that in general the answer is **no**.

**Example.** Take  $\Omega = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u(z) = z/|z|$ . Let any  $s, p$  be such that  $sp > 2$ . There is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$ .

**Proof.** First of all,  $u \in W^{s,p}$ , since  $u$  is smooth. For the nonexistence of  $f$ , argue by contradiction. Then  $f$  is continuous. Since  $u = e^{if}$  a.e, we actually have  $u = e^{if}$  everywhere. In particular  $u$  has a continuous lifting. But this is well known to be false.

However, we have

**Lemma 3.** Assume  $\Omega$  **simply connected**. Let  $sp > N$ . Then every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  has a lifting  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** For simplicity, we prove the fact that  $f \in W^{s,p}(K)$  for each  $K$  compact in  $\Omega$ . By adapting the argument below, one may obtain the full Lemma. Recall that  $u$ , being continuous and  $S^1$ -valued on a simply connected domain, has a **continuous** lifting  $f$ . We claim that this  $f$  is actually in  $W^{s,p}$ . Indeed, pick some  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Assume, e.g.,  $u(x_0) = 1$ . There is a ball  $B \ni x_0$  such that  $|u(x) - 1| \leq 1$  for  $x \in B$ . Thus  $-i \log u$  is well-defined, continuous, and clearly is a lifting of  $u$  in  $B$ . Therefore, up to a multiple of  $2\pi$ , we have  $f = -i \log u$  in  $B$ . By Peetre's result, we find that  $f \in W^{s,p}(B)$ . Thus  $f$  is locally in  $W^{s,p}$ .

#### IV. The bad case : $1 \leq sp < 2$

This is the **no** situation : in **any** domain, there is, for a general  $u$ , no lifting, and smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are not dense. The following example concerns a specific domain. However, it can be adapted to the general case.

**Example.** Let  $\Omega$  be the unit disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $1 \leq sp < 2$ . Let  $u(z) = z/|z|$ . Then  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ . However,

- a) there is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$  ;
- b)  $u$  cannot be approximated by smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps.

**Proof.** The fact that  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be checked directly from the definition of  $W^{s,p}$  (it is a rather long computation). To prove a), argue by contradiction. Then, for a.e.  $1/2 < r < 1$ , we have that both  $u|_{C_r}$  and  $f|_{C_r}$  belong to  $W^{s,p}(C_r)$  and that  $u = e^{if}$  a.e. on  $C_r$ . Pick any such  $r$ . Then, on  $C_r$ , the VMO map  $u(z) = z/|z|$  has a VMO lifting  $f$ . Cf Part I, this contradicts the fact that, on  $C_r$ , we have  $\deg u = 1$ .

The proof of b) follows also by contradiction. Assume that there is a sequence  $(u_n)$  of smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps approximating  $u$ . Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that, for a.e.  $r$ , we have  $u_n|_{C_r} \rightarrow u|_{C_r}$  in  $W^{s,p}(C_r)$ . Pick any such  $r$ . Then, in particular,  $u_n|_{C_r} \rightarrow u|_{C_r}$  in VMO  $(C_r; S^1)$ , so that  $\deg(u_n|_{C_r}) \rightarrow \deg(u|_{C_r})$ . On the one hand, recall that  $\deg(u|_{C_r}) = 1$ . On the other hand, we claim that  $\deg(u_n|_{C_r}) = 0$ . This will lead to a contradiction. To justify the claim, note that  $\Omega$  is simply connected, so that we may write  $u_n = e^{if_n}$  for some smooth  $f_n$ . Taking restrictions to  $C_r$ , we find that  $u_n|_{C_r}$  has a continuous lifting, so that it has degree 0.

## V. At least one derivative : $s \geq 1, sp \geq 2$

We start with the case of a **simply connected domain**  $\Omega$ . We will turn later to the general case.

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $\Omega$  simply connected,  $s \geq 1, sp \geq 2$ . Then :

- a) Every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  ;
- b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The proof is rather technical. However, the main idea, which originated in [5], is simple. We present it when  $s = 1$ . The general case is more involved.

**Proof of a) when  $s = 1$ .** The idea is to assume that  $f$  is known and to derive some consequences. Writing  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ , with  $u_1 = \cos f$  and  $u_2 = \sin f$ , we have

$$Du_1 = -(\sin f)Df = -u_2Df$$

and

$$Du_2 = (\cos f)Df = u_1Df.$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad Df = u_1Du_2 - u_2Du_1.$$

The strategy is now to find  $f$  by solving (1) with the help of a generalized form of Poincaré's lemma,

**Lemma 4.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . The following properties are equivalent:

a) there is some  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$F = Df,$$

b) one has

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} \quad \forall i, j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

in the sense of distributions, i.e.,

$$\int F_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} = \int F_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

We emphasize that the assumption that  $\Omega$  is simply connected is needed in this lemma.

**Proof of Lemma 4.** The implication  $a) \Rightarrow b)$  is obvious. To prove the converse, let  $\bar{F}$  be the extension of  $F$  by 0 outside  $\Omega$  and let  $\bar{F}_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon \star \bar{F}$  where  $(\rho_\varepsilon)$  is a sequence of mollifiers. The  $\bar{F}_\varepsilon$ 's satisfy (2) on every compact subset of  $\Omega$  (for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small). In particular, on every smooth simply connected domain  $\omega \subset \Omega$  with compact closure in  $\Omega$ , there is a function  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$  such that

$$D\tilde{f}_\varepsilon = \bar{F}_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \omega$$

(Here we have used the standard Poincaré lemma). Passing to the limit we obtain some  $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$  such that  $D\tilde{f} = F$  in  $\omega$ . Finally, we write  $\Omega$  as an increasing union of  $\omega_n$  as above and obtain a corresponding sequence  $f_n$ . In the limit we find some  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  with  $Df = F$  in  $\Omega$ . Using the regularity of  $\Omega$  and a standard property of Sobolev spaces (see e.g. Maz'ja [6], Corollary in Section 1.1.11) we conclude that  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof of a) for  $s = 1$  completed.** We will first verify condition b) of the lemma for

$$(3) \quad F = u_1 Du_2 - u_2 Du_1$$

i.e.,

$$F_i = u_1 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - u_2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}.$$

Formally, property (2) is clear. Indeed, if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are smooth, then

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} = 2 \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

On the other hand, if we differentiate the relation

$$|u|^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1$$

we find

$$(4) \quad u_1 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} + u_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the vector  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$  is orthogonal to  $(u_1, u_2)$ . It follows that the vectors  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$  and  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j})$  are colinear and therefore

$$(5) \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0.$$

Hence (2) holds. To make this argument rigorous we rely on the density of smooth functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ : there are sequences  $(u_{1n})$  and  $(u_{2n})$  in  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u_{1n} \rightarrow u_1$  and  $u_{2n} \rightarrow u_2$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and  $\|u_{1n}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \|u_{2n}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ .

**[Warning:** We do not claim that  $u_n = (u_{1n}, u_{2n})$  takes its values in  $S^1$ .]

Set

$$F_n = u_{1n} D u_{2n} - u_{2n} D u_{1n},$$

so that

$$F_n \rightarrow F \quad \text{in } L^p$$

and

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial F_{in}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_{jn}}{\partial x_i} = 2 \left( \frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

converges in  $L^{p/2}$  to  $2 \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right)$ . Multiplying (6) by  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , integrating by parts and passing to the limit (using the fact that  $p \geq 2$ ) we obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} (f_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} - f_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}) = 2 \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \right) \psi.$$



On the other hand (4) and (5) hold a.e. (even for any  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). It follows that  $F$  satisfies b) of Lemma 3, and therefore there is some  $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$F = Df.$$

We will now prove that this  $f$  is essentially the one we are looking for.

Recall that if  $g, h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $gh \in W^{1,p}$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(gh) = g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} + h \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

Set

$$v = ue^{-if},$$

so that  $v \in W^{1,p}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} Dv &= e^{-if}(Du - iDf) = ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iDf) \\ &= ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iF) = ue^{-if}(u_1Du_1 + u_2Du_2) = 0 \quad \text{by (4)}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $v$  is a constant and since  $|v| = 1$  we may write  $v = e^{iC}$  for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $u = e^{i(f+C)}$  and the function  $f + C$  has the desired properties.

**Idea of the proof of a) for a general  $s \geq 1$ .** The strategy is the same, i.e., we consider the same vector field  $F$ . Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, one may see that  $F$  verifies condition b) of Lemma 4. Moreover (this is the key and more delicate point),  $F$  belongs to  $W^{s-1,p} \cap L^{sp}$ . A variant of Lemma 4 implies that we may write  $F = Df$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ . As above, this  $f$  is essentially the one needed. This proof yields thus the following refined version of a)

**Part a) sharpened.** Any  $u$  has a lifting in  $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ .

**Proof of b) when  $s = 1$ .** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  and let  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  be a lifting of  $u$ . Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of smooth real functions such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Using the following standard simple property

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^1$  functions such that  $\Phi'$  is bounded. If  $u \in W^{1,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{1,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^{1,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{1,p}$

it is obvious that the sequence  $(e^{if_n})$  of smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps approximates  $u$  in  $W^{1,p}$ .

**Idea of the proof of b) for a general  $s \geq 1$ .** Big problem ! When  $f$  belongs to  $W^{s,p}$ ,  $\Phi(f)$  need not belong to  $W^{s,p}$ , even for very nice maps  $\Phi$ . In particular, one can not use

Part a) anymore in order to prove Part b). Instead, one has to rely on the following much more delicate result ([7])

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^\infty$  function with bounded derivatives and let  $s \geq 1$ . If  $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{s,p}$

Thus Part b) follows from Part a) sharpened.

**General domains.** In general, one can not expect existence of a lifting. Consider, e.g., the 2D-annulus  $\Omega' = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2}$  and the smooth map  $u(z) = z/|z|$ . Assume by contradiction that  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R})$ . Then for a.e.  $r$  with  $1/2 < r < 1$  we have  $u|_{C_r} = e^{if|_{C_r}}$  and, on  $C_r$ ,  $u$  and  $f$  belong to  $W^{s,p}$ . For any such  $r$ ,  $u|_{C_r}$  has thus a continuous lifting. This contradicts the fact that  $u|_{C_r}$  has degree 1. In higher dimension, a similar counterexample holds : consider, on  $\Omega' \times (0, 1)^{N-2}$ , the map  $u(z, x) = z/|z|$ . Then  $u$  has no lifting in  $W^{s,p}$ .

This time, the existence of a lifting is related to topological properties of  $\Omega$  :

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $s \geq 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $sp \geq 2$ . Then :

- a) Every map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  has a lifting  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  if and only if every continuous map  $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}; S^1)$  has a continuous lifting  $f \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$  ;
- b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2 when  $s = 1$ .** The main tool is the following

**Lemma 5.** Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then every  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = ve^{if}$  for some  $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$  and  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof of Lemma 5.** Consider again the vector field  $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $f$  be the solution of

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div} F \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  (see [8]). We claim that  $v = ue^{-if} \in C^\infty$ . Indeed, recall that, by the proof of Theorem 1, we may write, on each ball  $B \subset \Omega$ ,  $u = e^{ig}$ , for some  $g \in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $Dg = F$  on  $B$ . Then, in  $B$ , we have  $v = e^{i(g-f)}$  and, clearly,  $\Delta(g-f) = 0$  in  $B$ . Thus  $g-f \in C^\infty$ , by Weyl's Lemma. It follows that  $v \in C^\infty$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2 when  $s = 1$  completed.** " $\Rightarrow$ " Take  $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}; S^1)$ . By mollifying  $u$ , we may find some  $v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; S^1)$  such that  $|u\bar{v} - 1| < 1$ . Thus we may write  $u\bar{v} = e^{ik}$ , wher  $k$  is the continuous map  $\operatorname{Arg} u\bar{v}$ . On the other hand,  $v = e^{ig}$  for some  $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Take  $B$  any ball in  $\Omega$ . Then, on  $B$ , we may write the smooth map  $v$  as  $v = e^{ih}$  for some smooth  $h$ . Thus, in  $B$ , the difference  $g-h$  is  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued and belongs to  $W^{1,p}$ . By Lemma

1, this difference must be constant a.e. Therefore,  $g$  is smooth. Finally,  $u = e^{i(g+k)}$ , with  $g + k$  continuous.

" $\Leftarrow$ " We will make use of the following intuitively clear geometric property:

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, the domains  $\bar{\Omega}$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$  are diffeomorphic through some smooth diffeomorphism  $\Phi_\varepsilon$ . Moreover, assume, e.g.  $0 \in \Omega$ . Then we may construct  $\Phi_\varepsilon$  such that  $\Phi_\varepsilon(0) = 0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover, we may construct  $\Phi_\varepsilon$  in order to have the additional properties  $\Phi_\varepsilon|_{\Omega_{2\varepsilon}} = \text{id}$  and  $\|D\Phi_\varepsilon - \text{id}\| \leq C\varepsilon$

Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  and write  $u = ve^{if}$  as in Lemma 5. Since  $v_\varepsilon = v|_{\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon} \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$  is  $S^1$ -valued and continuous, we may write  $v_\varepsilon = e^{ig_\varepsilon}$  for some continuous  $g_\varepsilon$ . Assume, e.g.,  $v(0) = 1$ . Then, for small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $v_\varepsilon(0) = 1$  and we may assume  $g_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ . Let now  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$  be sufficiently small. Then clearly on the connected domain  $\Omega_\delta$  we have  $g_\varepsilon - g_\delta \equiv \text{const}$ , and this constant must be 0, by our normalization condition  $g_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ . Thus the map  $g(x) = g_\varepsilon(x)$  if  $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$  is well-defined and continuous, and  $v = e^{ig}$ . Actually, we even have  $g \in C^\infty$ , by an argument already used above. In particular,  $|Dg| = |Dv|$ . On the other hand, recall that  $v = ue^{-if}$ , so that  $|Dv| \leq |Du| + |D(e^{-if})| = |Du| + |Df| \in L^p$ . Therefore,  $g \in W^{1,p}$ . Finally,  $u = e^{i(f+g)}$ , with  $f + g \in W^{1,p}$ .

Proof of b) Recall that we already proved that  $e^{if}$  can be approximated by smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps. The idea is to make use of the following property of  $W^{1,p}$

If  $f_n \rightarrow f$ ,  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $W^{1,p}$  and  $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ ,  $\|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ , then  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in  $W^{1,p}$

In view of this property, it suffices to write  $u = ve^{if}$  as in Lemma 5 and approximate  $v$  with smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps. [**Warning** :  $v$  need not be smooth up to the boundary.] By the above arguments, we have  $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ . Let  $v_\varepsilon$  be as above, so that clearly  $v_\varepsilon$  is  $S^1$ -valued and smooth up to the boundary. We claim that  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Clearly,  $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  uniformly on compacts and thus in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  (actually, convergence holds also in  $L^1$ , since the maps are uniformly bounded). Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $|Dv_\varepsilon - Dv| \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^p$ . Now clearly

$$\int_{\Omega} |Dv_\varepsilon - Dv|^p dx = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\varepsilon}} |Dv_\varepsilon - Dv|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\varepsilon}} |Dv|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

**Idea of the proof for a general  $s \geq 1$ .** The proof goes along the same lines. One has to use instead of Lemma 5 its following straightforward variant

**Lemma 5'.** Let  $s \geq 1$ ,  $sp \geq 2$ . Then every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = ve^{if}$  for some  $v \in C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$  and  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap W^{1,sp}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

As for the property of products, one has to rely instead on the following variant, usually named " $W^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$  is an algebra" :

If  $f, g \in W^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$ , then  $fg \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$ ,  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $W^{s,p}$  and  $\|f_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ ,  $\|g_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$ , then  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in  $W^{s,p}$

## VI. Lifting when we have less than one derivative : $0 < s < 1$ , $2 \leq sp < N$

**Lemma 6.** Assume  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $2 \leq sp < N$ . Then there is some  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  which can not be lifted, i.e., such that there is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  with  $u = e^{if}$  a.e.

**Proof of Lemma 6.** Assume, e.g., that the unit ball  $B$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . Let  $u(x) = e^{2i\pi/|x|^a}$  in  $B$ , extended with the value 1 outside  $B$ . Here,  $a > 0$  is to be determined later. It is easy to see that  $u \in W^{1,q}$  provided  $(a+1)q < N$ . Using the following

**Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality.** If  $u \in W^{r,q} \cap L^\infty$  and  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $u \in W^{tr,q/t}$

(a proof of the full scale of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities may be found, e.g., in [7]), we find that  $u \in W^{s,q/s}$ . Thus  $u \in W^{s,p}$  as soon as  $(a+1)sp < N$ . On the other hand, a straightforward but long computation shows that the map  $g(x) = 2\pi/|x|^a$  in  $B$ , extended with the value  $2\pi$  outside  $B$ , belongs to  $W^{s,p}$  if and only if  $(a+s)p < N$ . On the other hand, we have  $g \in W_{\text{loc}}^{s,p}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ . Pick now some  $a$  such that  $(a+1)sp < N$ , but  $(a+s)p \geq N$  (there is enough room!) and consider the corresponding  $u$ . We claim that this  $u$  can not be lifted. Argue by contradiction, i.e, assume that  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Take  $Q$  be any cube such that  $\bar{Q} \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, on  $Q$ , we have  $f - g \in W^{s,p}$  is a  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued map. By Lemma 1, this function must be constant a.e. Since  $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$  is connected, we find that  $f \equiv g + \text{const}$  a.e. However, we have  $f \in W^{s,p}$  and  $g \notin W^{s,p}$ . Contradiction!

## VI. Lifting when we have little regularity : $sp < 1$

This is the really difficult case.

**Theorem 3.** Assume  $sp < 1$ . Then :

- a) Every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  ;
- b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The delicate part is a). We refer to [2] for details. Part b) is a trivial consequence of a) and of the following elementary property

Let  $\Phi$  be a Lipschitz map and  $0 < s < 1$ . If  $f \in W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{s,p}$

## VII. Density in the remaining case : $0 < s < 1$ , $2 \leq sp < N$

Recall that in this case there is no lifting, even in simply connected domains. Thus we may not use approximation of the phase  $f$  by smooth functions and some composition property in order to obtain density. However, we have the following

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $sp \geq 2$ . Then smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The proof is delicate ; see [3].

## References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Lifting in Sobolev spaces, *Journal d'Analyse mathématique* 80 (2000), 37-86.
- [3] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, in preparation.
- [4] J. Peetre, Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 12 (1970), 1-20.
- [5] G. Carbou, Applications harmoniques à valeurs dans un cercle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 1992, 359-362.
- [6] V. Maz'ja, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer, 1985.
- [7] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Gagliardo-Nirenberg, composition and products in fractional Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Evolution Equations* 1 (2001), 387-404.
- [8] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 1998.