

(D)

international atomic energy agency the abdus salam

international centre for theoretical physics

SMR1486/11

# Workshop and Conference on Recent Trends in Nonlinear Variational Problems

(22 April - 9 May 2003)

## Topology and Sobolev spaces Part II: Higher dimensions

### P. Mironescu

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Université Paris-Sud Bâtiment 425 F-91405 Orsay France

These are preliminary lecture notes, intended only for distribution to participants

strada costiera, 11 - 34014 trieste italy - tel. +39 0402240111 fax +39 040224163 - sci\_info@ictp.trieste.it - www.ictp.trieste.it

#### Topology and Sobolev spaces Part II : Higher dimensions Petru MIRONESCU

**Abstract.** This course further continues the study of  $S^1$ -valued maps. Two questions are detailed : existence of a lifting and density of smooth maps.

#### I. The main problems

We consider maps from a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , into the unit circle  $S^1$ . To start with, we consider the simplest possible domains, e.g., balls or cubes. More complicated domains will be examined later. However,  $\Omega$  will always be assumed **connected**. We consider that these maps have some Sobolev regularity, i.e., that they belong to some (integer or fractional) Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ ,  $0 < s < \infty$ , 1 (for the definition of thesespaces when s is not an integer, see [1]). We address two questions :

(i) (Lifting) Given an  $S^1$ -valued map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ , can one find a real-valued map  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$ ? If so, is f unique modulo constants in  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ ?

(ii) (**Density**) Given an  $S^1$ -valued map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ , can one find a sequence of smooth maps  $(u_n) \subset C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$  such that  $u_n \to u$  in  $W^{s,p}$ ?

#### Comments

a) These questions have been completely settled in the papers [2] and [3]. See the references therein for previous results concerning the same questions. We will sketch below part of the proofs. Sections II-VI deal with the relatively simple cases. The delicate cases are discussed, without proofs, in Sections VII-VIII. Some details about how the proof goes in these cases will be given during the lecture.

b) Question (i) for **continuous** maps is a well known exercice. When  $\Omega$  is, e.g., a ball (or, more generally, a simply connected domain), the answer is yes. However, for a general  $\Omega$ , the answer may be no : consider, e.g., the case where  $\Omega$  is a 2D-annulus. Thus, one may expect (and this turns out to be true), the answer to depend on the topology of  $\Omega$ .

c) The key point in question (ii) is that we ask the maps  $u_n$  to be  $S^1$ -valued. Indeed, any map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be approximated by smooth maps : e.g., we mollify u. However, the sequence of smooth maps converging to u obtained in this way **needs not** be  $S^1$ -valued. Actually, we will see that, in general, the answer is **no**.

#### **II.** Uniqueness

Assume we may write  $u = e^{if} = e^{ig}$ , with  $f, g \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Thus the map  $k = (f - g)/(2\pi)$  belongs to  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and it is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued a.e. Therefore, uniqueness is equivalent to the following

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\!\mathcal{S}\text{-}T_{\mathrm{E}}\!X$ 

**Question.** Is every map  $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$  constant a.e. ?

When sp < 1, the answer is **no**. Indeed, take Q any cube properly contained in  $\Omega$ . It is easy to see that the map  $k = \chi_Q$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued, not constant a.e., and belongs to  $W^{s,p}(\Omega;\mathbb{Z})$ . However, this is the only case of nonuniqueness.

**Lemma 1.** Assume  $sp \ge 1$  and  $\Omega$  connected. Then every map  $k \in W^{s,p}(\Omega;\mathbb{Z})$  constant a.e.

**Proof.** Start with N = 1. Then, by the Sobolev embeddings,  $W^{s,p} \,\subset W^{1/p,p} \,\subset VMO$ . Approximate k by smooth (not necessarily Z-valued) maps, by mollifying k. The argument used in the Part I of this course for  $VMO(S^1; S^1)$  maps shows that, for large  $n, k_n$  is almost Z-valued, i.e.,  $dist(k_n(x), \mathbb{Z}) \to 0$  uniformly in x. Take  $n_0$  such that, for  $n \geq n_0$ , this distance is uniformly less than 1/3. Thus, for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $k_n$  takes values into  $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m-1/3, m+1/3)$ . Since  $k_n$  is continuous, there must be some integer  $m_n$  such that  $k_n$  takes its values into  $(m_n - 1/3, m_n + 1/3)$ . The sequence  $(m_n)$  is bounded. Indeed, on the one hand we have  $m_n - 1/3 \leq \mathcal{J} k_n \leq m_n + 1/3$ . On the other hand, we have  $\mathcal{J} k_n \to \mathcal{J} k$ , since convergence in  $W^{s,p}$  implies convergence in  $L^1$ . Up to a subsequence, we may thus assume  $m_n \equiv m$ . Since  $k_n \to k$  a.e., we find that  $k(x) \in (m - 1/3, m + 1/3)$ a.e., so that  $k \equiv m$  a.e.

We now consider the case N = 2; the case  $N \ge 2$  is identical. Since  $\Omega$  is connected, it suffices to prove that k is locally constant a.e. We may thus assume  $\Omega$  to be a square, e.g. the unit square  $(0,1)^2$ . For a.e.  $x, y \in (0,1)$ , the maps u(x,.) and u(.,y) belong to  $W^{s,p}$  (see [1]). Thus, for any such x or y, these maps are constant a.e., by the case N = 1. Now write

$$|k(a,b) - k(c,d)| \le |k(a,b) - k(a,d)| + |k((a,d) - k(c,d)|$$

and integrate this inequality over a, b, c, d. For a.e. a, we have

$$\int \int |k(a,b) - k(a,d)| db \ dd = 0,$$

so that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a,b)-k(a,d)| da \ db \ dc \ dd = 0.$$

Using a similar argument, we find that

$$\int \int \int \int |k(a,b) - k(c,d)| da \ db \ dc \ dd = 0,$$

so that k is constant a.e.

**Final conclusion.** Uniqueness holds if and only if  $sp \ge 1$ .

#### III. Case of continuous maps : sp > N

Recall that, when sp > N, then  $W^{s,p} \subset C^0$ , by the Sobolev embeddings. In particular, this implies the following simple

**Lemma 2.** Assume sp > N. Then smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

**Proof.** Approximate u by mollifying it. The sequence  $(u_n)$  obtained in this way converges to u in  $W^{s,p}$ , and in particularly in  $C^0$ . Thus, in particular,  $|u_n| \to |u| = 1$  uniformly. Consider the map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D_{1/2} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\Phi(z) = z/|z|$ . Then  $\Phi$  is smooth and, for large n,  $\Phi(u_n)$  is well-defined. Using the following general result, due to Peetre [4],

Let  $\Phi \in C^{\infty}$ , sp > N. If  $u \in W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(u) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $u_n \to u$  in  $W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(u_n) \to \Phi(u)$  in  $W^{s,p}$ 

we find that the sequence of smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps  $(\Phi(u_n))$  converges to u.

Concerning the existence of a lifting, it is easy to see that in general the answer is no.

**Example.** Take  $\Omega = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and u(z) = z/|z|. Let any s, p be such that sp > 2. The there is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$ .

**Proof.** First of all,  $u \in W^{s,p}$ , since u is smooth. For the nonexistence of f, argue by contradiction. Then f is continuous. Since  $u = e^{if}$  a.e., we actually have  $u = e^{if}$  everywhere. In particular u has a continuous lifting. But this is well known to be false.

However, we have

**Lemma 3.** Assume  $\Omega$  simply connected. Let sp > N. Then every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  has a lifting  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** For simplicity, we prove the fact that  $f \in W^{s,p}(K)$  for each K compact in  $\Omega$ . By adapting the argument below, one may obtain the full Lemma. Recall that u, being continuous and  $S^1$ -valued on a simply connected domain, has a **continuous** lifting f. We claim that this f is actually in  $W^{s,p}$ . Indeed, pick some  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Assume, e.g.,  $u(x_0) = 1$ . There is a ball  $B \in x$  such that  $|u(x) - 1| \leq 1$  for  $x \in B$ . Thus  $-i \log u$  is well-defined, continuous, and clearly is a lifting of u in B. Therefore, up to a multiple of  $2\pi$ , we have  $f = -i \log u$  in B. By Peetre's result, we find that  $f \in W^{s,p}(B)$ . Thus f is locally in  $W^{s,p}$ .

#### IV. The bad case : $1 \le sp < 2$

This is the **no** situation : in **any** domain, there is, for a general u, no lifting, and smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are not dense. The following example concerns a specific domain. However, it can be adapted to the general case.

**Example.** Let  $\Omega$  be the unit disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $1 \leq sp < 2$ . Let u(z) = z/|z|. Then  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ . However,

a) there is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{if}$ ;

b) u cannot be approximated by smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps.

**Proof.** The fact that  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be checked directly from the definition of  $W^{s,p}$  (it is a rather long computation). To prove a), argue by contradiction. Then, for a.e. 1/2 < r < 1, we have that both  $u_{|C_r|}$  and  $f_{|C_r|}$  belong to  $W^{s,p}(C_r)$  and that  $u = e^{if}$  a.e. on  $C_r$ . Pick any such r. Then, on  $C_r$ , the VMO map u(z) = z/|z| has a VMO lifting f. Cf Part I, this contradicts the fact that, on  $C_r$ , we have deg u = 1.

The proof of b) follows also by contradiction. Assume that there is a sequence  $(u_n)$  of smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps approximating u. Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that, for a.e. r, we have  $u_{n|C_r} \to u_{|C_r}$  in  $W^{s,p}(C_r)$ . Pick any such r. Then, in particular,  $u_{n|C_r} \to u_{|C_r}$  in VMO  $(C_r; S^1)$ , so that deg  $(u_{n|C_r}) \to deg (u_{|C_r})$ . On the one hand, recall that deg  $(u_{|C_r}) = 1$ . On the other hand, we claim that deg  $(u_{n|C_r}) = 0$ . This will lead to a contrdiction. To justify the claim, note that  $\Omega$  is simply connected, so that we may write  $u_n = e^{if_n}$  for some smooth  $f_n$ . Taking restrictions to  $C_r$ , we find that  $u_{n|C_r}$  has a continuous lifting, so that it has degree 0.

V. At least one derivative :  $s \ge 1$ ,  $sp \ge 2$ We start with the case of a simply connected domain  $\Omega$ . We will turn later to the general case.

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $\Omega$  simply connected,  $s \ge 1$ ,  $sp \ge 2$ . Then : a) Every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ ; b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The proof is rather technical. However, the main idea, which originated in [5], is simple. We present it when s = 1. The general case is more involved.

**Proof of a) when** s = 1. The idea is to assume that f is known and to derive some consequences. Writing  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ , with  $u_1 = \cos f$  and  $u_2 = \sin f$ , we have

$$Du_1 = -(\sin f)Df = -u_2Df$$

and

$$Du_2 = (\cos f)Df = u_1Df.$$

Hence

(1) 
$$Df = u_1 D u_2 - u_2 D u_1.$$

The strategy is now to find f by solving (1) with the help of a generalized form of Poincaré's lemma,

**Lemma 4.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . The following properties are equivalent:

a) there is some  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$F = Df,$$

b) one has

(2) 
$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} \quad \forall \ i, j, \ 1 \le i, j \le n$$

in the sense of distributions, i.e.,

$$\int F_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} = \int F_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \qquad \forall \ \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

We emphasize that the assumption that  $\Omega$  is simply connected is needed in this lemma.

**Proof of Lemma 4.** The implication  $a \Rightarrow b$  is obvious. To prove the converse, let  $\overline{F}$  be the extension of F by 0 outside  $\Omega$  and let  $\overline{F}_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} \star \overline{F}$  where  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})$  is a sequence of mollifiers. The  $\overline{F}_{\varepsilon}$ 's satisfy (2) on every compact subset of  $\Omega$  (for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small). In particular, on every smooth simply connected domain  $\omega \subset \Omega$  with compact closure in  $\Omega$ , there is a function  $\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$D\tilde{f}_{arepsilon}=ar{F}_{arepsilon}\quad ext{in }\omega$$

(Here we have used the standard Poincaré lemma). Passing to the limit we obtain some  $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(\omega)$  such that  $D\tilde{f} = F$  in  $\omega$ . Finally, we write  $\Omega$  as an increasing union of  $\omega_n$  as above and obtain a corresponding sequence  $f_n$ . In the limit we find some  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  with Df = F in  $\Omega$ . Using the regularity of  $\Omega$  and a standard property of Sobolev spaces (see e.g. Maz'ja [6], Corollary in Section 1.1.11) we conclude that  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof of a) for** s = 1 completed. We will first verify condition b) of the lemma for

$$F = u_1 D u_2 - u_2 D u_1$$

i.e.,

$$F_i = u_1 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - u_2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}.$$

Formally, property (2) is clear. Indeed, if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are smooth, then

$$\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_i} = 2\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

On the other hand, if we differentiate the relation

$$|u|^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 1$$

we find

(4) 
$$u_1 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} + u_2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \forall \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the vector  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$  is orthogonal to  $(u_1, u_2)$ . It follows that the vectors  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i})$  and  $(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j})$  are collinear and therefore

(5) 
$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} = 0.$$

Hence (2) holds. To make this argument rigorous we rely on the density of smooth functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ : there are sequences  $(u_{1n})$  and  $(u_{2n})$  in  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u_{1n} \to u_1$  and  $u_{2n} \to u_2$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and  $||u_{1n}||_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1, ||u_{2n}||_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$ .

[Warning: We do not claim that  $u_n = (u_{1n}, u_{2n})$  takes its values in  $S^1$ .] Set

$$F_n = u_{1n} D u_{2n} - u_{2n} D u_{1n},$$

so that

 $F_n \to F$  in  $L^p$ 

and

(6) 
$$\frac{\partial F_{in}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial F_{jn}}{\partial x_i} = 2\left(\frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_{1n}}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u_{2n}}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

converges in  $L^{p/2}$  to  $2\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j}\right)$ . Multiplying (6) by  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , integrating by parts and passing to the limit (using the fact that  $p \ge 2$ ) we obtain

$$-\int_{\Omega} (f_i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} - f_j \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}) = 2 \int_{\Omega} (\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_j}) \psi.$$

On the other hand (4) and (5) hold a.e. (even for any  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). It follows that F satisfies b) of Lemma 3, and therefore there is some  $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$F = Df.$$

We will now prove that this f is essentially the one we are looking for.

Recall that if  $g, h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $gh \in W^{1,p}$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(gh) = g\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} + h\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$v = ue^{-if},$$

so that  $v \in W^{1,p}$  and

$$Dv = e^{-if}(Du - iDf) = ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iDf)$$
  
=  $ue^{-if}(\bar{u}Du - iF) = ue^{-if}(u_1Du_1 + u_2Du_2) = 0$  by (4)

We deduce that v is a constant and since |v| = 1 we may write  $v = e^{iC}$  for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $u = e^{i(f+C)}$  and the function f + C has the desired properties.

Idea of the proof of a) for a general  $s \ge 1$ . The strategy is the same, i.e., we consider the same vector field F. Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, one may see that Fverifies condition b) of Lemma 4. Moreover (this is the key and more delicate point), Fbelongs to  $W^{s-1,p} \cap L^{sp}$ . A variant of Lemma 4 implies that we may write F = Df for some  $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ . As above, this f is essentially the one needed. This proof yields thus the following refined version of a)

**Part a) sharpened.** Any u has a lifting in  $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ .

**Proof of b) when** s = 1. Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  and let  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  be a lifting of u. Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of smooth real functions such that  $f_n \to f$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Using the following standard simple property

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^1$  functions such that  $\Phi'$  is bounded. If  $u \in W^{1,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{1,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \to f$  in  $W^{1,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{1,p}$ 

it is obvious that the sequence  $(e^{if_n})$  of smooth S<sup>1</sup>-valued maps approximates u in  $W^{1,p}$ .

Idea of the proof of b) for a general  $s \ge 1$ . Big problem ! When f belongs to  $W^{s,p}$ ,  $\Phi(f)$  need not belong to  $W^{s,p}$ , even for very nice maps  $\Phi$ . In particular, one can not use

Part a) anymore in order to prove Part b). Instead, one has to rely on the following much more delicate result ([7])

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function with bounded derivatives and let  $s \geq 1$ . If  $f \in W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \to f$  in  $W^{s,p} \cap W^{1,sp}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{s,p}$ 

Thus Part b) follows from Part a) sharpened.

**General domains.** In general, one can not expect existence of a lifting. Consider, e.g., the 2D-annulus  $\Omega' = D_1 \setminus D_{1/2}$  and the smooth map u(z) = z/|z|. Assume by contradiction that  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R})$ . Then for a.e. r with 1/2 < r < 1 we have  $u_{|C_r|} = e^{if_{|C_r|}}$  and, on  $C_r$ , u and f belong to  $W^{s,p}$ . For any such r,  $u_{|C_r|}$  has thus a continuous lifting. This contradicts the fact that  $u_{|C_r|}$  has degree 1. In higher dimension, a similar counterexample holds : consider, on  $\Omega' \times (0, 1)^{N-2}$ , the map u(z, x) = z/|z|. Then u has no lifting in  $W^{s,p}$ .

This time, the existence of a lifting is related to topological properties of  $\Omega$ :

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $s \ge 1$ ,  $1 , <math>sp \ge 2$ . Then : a) Every map  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  has a lifting  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  if and only if every continuous map  $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$  has a continuous lifting  $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$ ; b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2 when** s = 1. The main tool is the following

**Lemma 5.** Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then every  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = ve^{if}$  for some  $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; S^1)$  and  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof of Lemmma 5.** Consider again the vector field  $F \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let f be the solution of

$$\Delta f = \operatorname{div} F \quad \operatorname{in} \Omega, \quad f = 0 \quad \operatorname{on} \partial \Omega.$$

Then  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  (see [8]). We claim that  $v = ue^{-if} \in C^{\infty}$ . Indeed, recall that, by the proof of Theorem 1, we may write, on each ball  $B \subset \Omega$ ,  $u = e^{ig}$ , for some  $g \in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbb{R})$  such that Dg = F on B. Then, in B, we have  $v = e^{i(g-f)}$  and, clearly,  $\Delta(g-f) = 0$  in B. Thus  $g - f \in C^{\infty}$ , by Weyl's Lemma. It follows that  $v \in C^{\infty}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2 when** s = 1 completed. " $\Rightarrow$ " Take  $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$ . By mollifying u, we may find some  $v \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; S^1)$  such that  $|u\overline{v} - 1| < 1$ . Thus we may write  $u\overline{v} = e^{ik}$ , wher k is the continuous map Arg  $u\overline{v}$ . On the other hand,  $v = e^{ig}$  for some  $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Take B any ball in  $\Omega$ . Then, on B, we may write the smooth map v as  $v = e^{ih}$  for some smooth h. Thus, in B, the difference g - h is  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued and belongs to  $W^{1,p}$ . By Lemma

1, this difference must be constant a.e. Therefore, g is smooth. Finally,  $u = e^{i(g+k)}$ , with g + k continuous.

" $\Leftarrow$ " We will make use of the following intuitively clear geometric property:

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, the domains  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist } (x, \partial \Omega) \leq \varepsilon \}$  are diffeomorphic through some smooth diffeomorphism  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ . Moreover, assume, e.g.  $0 \in \Omega$ . Then we may construct  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover, we may construct  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$  in order to have the additional properties  $\Phi_{\varepsilon|\Omega_{2\varepsilon}} = \text{id and } \|D\Phi_{\varepsilon} - \text{id}\| \leq C\varepsilon$ 

Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  and write  $u = ve^{if}$  as in Lemma 5. Since  $v_{\varepsilon} = v_{|\overline{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon}$  is  $S^1$ -valued and continuous, we may write  $v_{\varepsilon} = e^{ig_{\varepsilon}}$  for some continuous  $g_{\varepsilon}$ . Assume, e.g., v(0) = 1. Then, for small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon}(0) = 1$  and we may assume  $g_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$ . Let now  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$  be sufficiently small. Then clearly on the connected domain  $\Omega_{\delta}$  we have  $g_{\varepsilon} - g_{\delta} \equiv \text{const}$ , and this constant must be 0, by our normalization condition  $g_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$ . Thus the map  $g(x) = g_{\varepsilon}(x)$  if  $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is well-defined and continuous, and  $v = e^{ig}$ . Actually, we even have  $g \in C^{\infty}$ , by an argument already used above. In particular, |Dg| = |Dv|. On the other hand, recall that  $v = ue^{-if}$ , so that  $|Dv| \leq |Du| + |D(e^{-if})| = |Du| + |Df| \in L^p$ . Therefore,  $g \in W^{1,p}$ . Finally,  $u = e^{i(f+g)}$ , with  $f + g \in W^{1,p}$ .

Proof of b) Recall that we already proved that  $e^{if}$  can be approximated by smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps. The idea is to make use of the following property of  $W^{1,p}$ 

If 
$$f_n \to f$$
,  $g_n \to g$  in  $W^{1,p}$  and  $||f_n||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$ ,  $||g_n||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$ , then  $f_n g_n \to fg$  in  $W^{1,p}$ 

In view of this property, it suffices to write  $u = ve^{if}$  as in Lemma 5 and approximate v with smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps. [Warning : v need not be smooth up to the boundary.] By the above arguments, we have  $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; S^1) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ . Let  $v_{\varepsilon}$  be as above, so that clearly  $v_{\varepsilon}$  is  $S^1$ -valued and smooth up to the boundary. We claim that  $v_{\varepsilon} \to v$  in  $W^{1,p}$ . Clearly,  $v_{\varepsilon} \to$  uniformly on compacts and thus in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  (actually, convergence holds also in  $L^1$ , since the maps are uniformly bounded). Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $|Dv_{\varepsilon} - Dv| \to 0$  in  $L^p$ . Now clearly

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} |Dv_arepsilon - Dv|^p dx = \int\limits_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_{2arepsilon}} |Dv_arepsilon - Dv|^p dx \leq C \int\limits_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_{2arepsilon}} |Dv|^p dx o 0 \quad ext{ as } arepsilon o 0.$$

Idea of the proof for a general  $s \ge 1$ . The proof goes along the same lines. One has to use instead of Lemma 5 its following straightforward variant

**Lemma 5'.** Let  $s \ge 1$ ,  $sp \ge 2$ . Then every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = ve^{if}$  for some  $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega; S^1)$  and  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \cap W^{1,sp}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ .

As for the property of products, one has to rely instead on the following variant, usually named " $W^{s,p} \cap L^{\infty}$  is an algebra":

If  $f,g \in W^{s,p} \cap L^{\infty}$ , then  $fg \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \to f$ ,  $g_n \to g$  in  $W^{s,p}$  and  $\|f_n\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$ ,  $\|g_n\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C$ , then  $f_n g_n \to fg$  in  $W^{s,p}$ 

#### VI. Lifting when we have less than one derivative : 0 < s < 1, $2 \le sp < N$

**Lemma 6.** Assume 0 < s < 1,  $2 \leq sp < N$ . Then there is somme  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  which can not be lifted, i.e., such that there is no  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  with  $u = e^{if}$  a.e.

**Proof of Lemma 6.** Assume, e.g., that the unit ball B is contained in  $\Omega$ . Let  $u(x) = e^{2i\pi/|x|^a}$  in B, extended with the value 1 outside B. Here, a > 0 is to be determined later. It is easy to see that  $u \in W^{1,q}$  provided (a+1)q < N. Using the following

**Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality.** If  $u \in W^{r,q} \cap L^{\infty}$  and 0 < t < 1, then  $u \in W^{tr,q/t}$ 

(a proof of the full scale of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities may be foung, e.g., in [7]), we find that  $u \in W^{s,q/s}$ . Thus  $u \in W^{s,p}$  as soon as (a + 1)sp < N. On the other hand, a straightforward but long computation shows that the map  $g(x) = 2\pi/|x|^a$  in B, extended with the value  $2\pi$  outside B, belongs to  $W^{s,p}$  if and only of (a + s)p < N. On the other hand, we have  $g \in W^{s,p}_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ . Pick now some a such that (a + 1)sp < N, but  $(a + s)p \ge N$  (there is enough room !) and consider the corresponding u. We claim that this u can not be lifted. Argue by contradiction, i.e., assume that  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Take Q be any cube such that  $\overline{Q} \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ ). Then, on Q, we have  $f - g \in W^{s,p}$  is a  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ -valued map. By Lemma 1, this function must be constant a.e. Since  $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$  is connected, we find that  $f \equiv g + \text{ const}$  a.e. However, we have  $f \in W^{s,p}$  and  $g \notin W^{s,p}$ . Contradiction !

#### VI. Lifting when we have little regularity : sp < 1

This is the really difficult case.

**Theorem 3.** Assume sp < 1. Then : a) Every  $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = e^{if}$  for some  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ ; b) Smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The delicate part is a). We refer to [2] for details. Part b) is a trivial consequence of a) and of the following elementary property

Let  $\Phi$  be a Lipschitz map and 0 < s < 1. If  $f \in W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f) \in W^{s,p}$ . Moreover, if  $f_n \to f$  in  $W^{s,p}$ , then  $\Phi(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$  in  $W^{s,p}$ 

#### VII. Density in the remaining case : 0 < s < 1, $2 \le sp < N$

Recall that in this case there is no lfting, even in simply connected domains. Thus we may not use approximation of the phase f by smooth functions and some composition property in order to obtain density. However, we have the following

**Theorem 4.** Assume 0 < s < 1,  $sp \ge 2$ . Then smooth  $S^1$ -valued maps are dense in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega; S^1)$ .

The proof is delicate; see [3].

#### References

[1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev spaces, Academic Press, 1975.

[2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Lifting in Sobolev spaces, *Journal d'Analyse mathématique* <u>80</u> (2000), 37-86.

[3] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, in preparation.

[4] J. Peetre, Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces, *Mathematica (Cluj)* <u>12</u> (1970), 1-20.

[5] G. Carbou, Applications harmoniques à valeurs dans un cercle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 1992, 359-362.

[6] V. Maz'ja, Sobolev spaces, Springer, 1985.

[7] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, Gagliardo-Nirenberg, composition and products in fractional Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Evolution Equations* <u>1</u> (2001), 387-404.

[8] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, 1998.