## Making and Checking Inflation

Top-down approach to inflation:

seeks to embed it in fundamental theory

### **Bottom-up approach to inflation:**

reconstruction of acceleration trajectories

Lev Kofman



Workshop on String Vacua and the Landscape ICTP May 31, 2006

Inflation with QF Preheating with QFT Reheating with String Theory Reconstruction of (P)reheating with GW Reconstruction of Inflationary Trajectory



#### **Early Universe Inflation**



## Realization of Inflation



slow roll  $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$ 



#### Inflation in the context of ever changing fundamental theory



## 4 dimensional Inflation predicts

 $\dot{O}_{tot} = 1$ 

- No classical inhomogeneities from the past  $C_{\ddot{o}+\dot{u}\hat{u}} = 0$
- Scale free gaussian fluctuations of all light scalars îÿ<sub>k</sub>(t)e<sup>i</sup><sup>™</sup>
- No vector perturbations  $A_{\ddot{o}} = 0$
- Scalar (almost scale free gaussian) metric perturbations  $D \mid D_k(t)e^{ik*}$
- Tensor metric perturbations
   h<sub>ik</sub> ! h<sub>k</sub>(t)e<sup>ik\*</sup>e
- Creation of all SM particles in preheating/thermalization Treb

#### **Early Universe Inflation**



#### **Realization of Inflation**



$$p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V$$
  
$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V$$



Light field at inflation

 $\hat{i}\ddot{y} = {}^{\kappa}d^{3}k(a_{k}\ddot{y}_{k}(t)e^{ik} + h:c)$ 

$$\ddot{\chi}_k + 3H\dot{\chi}_k + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\chi_k = 0$$







## WMAP3 sees 3<sup>rd</sup> pk, B03 sees 4<sup>th</sup>



## CBI combined TT sees 5<sup>th</sup> pk



## **Particlegenesis**



$$\begin{split} e^{-1}\mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{2}M_P^2 \left[ R + \bar{\psi}_{\mu}R^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_{SG,torsion} \right] - g_i{}^{j} \left[ M_P^2(\hat{\partial}_{\mu}z^i)(\hat{\partial}^{\mu}z_j) + \bar{\chi}_j \ \mathcal{P}\chi^i + \bar{\chi}^i \ \mathcal{P}\chi_j \right] \\ &+ (\operatorname{Re} f_{\alpha\beta}) \left[ -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F^{\mu\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}^{\alpha} \ \hat{\mathcal{P}}\lambda^{\beta} \right] + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}(\operatorname{Im} f_{\alpha\beta}) \left[ F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu\beta} - \hat{\partial}_{\mu} \left( \bar{\lambda}^{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{\beta} \right) \right] \\ &- M_P^{-2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathcal{K}} \left[ -3WW^* + (\mathcal{D}^iW)g^{-1}{}_{i}{}^{j}(\mathcal{D}_jW^*) \right] - \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Re} f)^{-1\alpha\beta}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_{\beta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}(\operatorname{Re} f_{\alpha\beta})\bar{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\nu\rho} \left( F_{\nu\rho}^{\alpha} + \hat{F}_{\nu\rho}^{\alpha} \right)\gamma^{\mu}\lambda^{\beta} \\ &+ \left\{ M_P g_j{}^{i}\bar{\psi}_{\mu L} (\hat{\partial}z^j)\gamma^{\mu}\chi_i + \bar{\psi}_R \cdot \gamma \left[ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\lambda_L^{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} + \chi_iY^3M_P^{-4}\mathcal{D}^iW \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Y^3M_P^{-3}W\bar{\psi}_{\mu R}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi_{\nu R} - \frac{1}{4}M_P^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^{i}\bar{\chi}_i\gamma^{\mu\nu}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{-\alpha}\lambda_L^{\beta} \\ &- Y^3M_P^{-5}(\mathcal{D}^i\mathcal{D}^jW)\bar{\chi}_i\chi_j + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}(\operatorname{Re} f)^{-1\alpha\beta}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}M_P^{-1}f_{\beta\gamma}^{i}\bar{\chi}_i\lambda^{\gamma} - 2M_P\xi_{\alpha}{}^{i}g_i{}^{j}\bar{\lambda}^{\alpha}\chi_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}M_P^{-5}Y^3(\mathcal{D}^jW)g^{-1}{}_{j}{}^{i}f_{\alpha\betai}\bar{\lambda}_R^{\alpha}\lambda_R^{\beta} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}M_P^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^{i}\bar{\psi}_R \cdot \gamma\chi_i\bar{\lambda}_L^{\alpha}\lambda_L^{\beta} + \frac{1}{4}M_P^{-2}(\mathcal{D}^i\partial^jf_{\alpha\beta})\bar{\chi}_i\chi_j\bar{\lambda}_L^{\alpha}\lambda_L^{\beta} + \mathrm{h.c.} \right\} \\ &+ g_j{}^{i} \left( \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}\psi_{\rho}\bar{\chi}{}^{j}\gamma_{\sigma}\chi_i - \bar{\psi}_{\mu}\chi^{j}\bar{\psi}^{\mu}\chi_i \right) \\ &+ M_P^{-2} \left( R_{ij}^{k\ell} - \frac{1}{2}g_i{}^{k}g_j^{\ell} \right) \bar{\chi}^{i}\chi^{j}\bar{\chi}_k\chi_\ell \\ &+ \frac{3}{64}M_P^{-2} \left( (\operatorname{Re} f_{\alpha\beta})\bar{\lambda}^{\alpha}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\lambda^{\beta} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{16}M_P^{-2}f_{\alpha\beta}^{i}\bar{\lambda}_L^{\alpha}\lambda_L^{\beta}g^{-1}{}_i{}^jf_{\gamma\delta j}\bar{\lambda}_R^{\gamma}\lambda_R^{\delta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}(\operatorname{Re} f)^{-1\alpha\beta}M_P^{-2} \left( f_{\alpha\gamma}^{i}\bar{\chi}i^{\gamma} - f_{\alpha\gamma i}\bar{\chi}i^{\gamma}\gamma \right) \left( f_{\beta\delta}^{j}\bar{\chi}j\lambda^{\delta} - f_{\beta\delta j}\bar{\chi}j^{\lambda}\delta^{\delta} \right) . \end{split}$$

#### **Output of Preheating**

- Reheat temperature  $T_R$
- Out-of-equilibrium state
- Evolution of EoS
- Number of efolds  $N = 62 - \ln \frac{10^{16} Gev}{V_h^{1/4}} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{V_h}{V_{end}} - \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{V_{end}}{\rho_{rad}}$
- Potential observables

### **Resonant Preheating in Chaotic Inflation**

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \stackrel{\text{o.se}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.a}}{\underset{i \text{ o.e}}{\overset{o.a}}{\overset{o.$$







Felder, LK, Peloso,05



#### Tachyonic Preheating in Hybrid Inflation

$$V(\phi,\sigma) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\sigma^2 - v^2)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2}\phi^2\sigma^2$$









FIG. 10. Deviations from Gaussianity for the field  $\phi$  as a function of time. The solid, red line shows  $3\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle^2/\langle\delta\phi^4\rangle$  and the dashed, blue line shows  $3\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle^2/\langle\delta\phi^4\rangle$ .

**Generation of gravitational waves** 

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 3H\dot{h}_{ij} + k^2/a^2h_{ij} = 16\pi G\Pi_{ij}$$

Probing preheating with stochastic background gravitational radiation

$$\Omega_{gw}h^2 = \Omega_r h^2 \frac{d\rho_{gw}(a_e)}{d\ln\omega} \left(\frac{g_0}{g_*}\right)^{1/3}$$

estimation

$$rac{
ho_{gw}}{
ho_r} \sim (RH)^2$$

size of structures R vs Hubble radius 1/H

$$f \sim \frac{M}{10^{15} Gev} \, 10^8 \, \, {\rm Hz}$$

numerics

$$\frac{dE}{d\Omega} = 2G\Lambda_{ij,lm}\omega^2 T^{ij*}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega)T^{lm}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega)d\omega$$



FIG. 1: The gravitational spectrum for the  $\lambda \phi^4$  model with  $\lambda = 10^{-14}$  and  $g^2/\lambda = 1.2$  (dash line) and 120 (full line) respectively. As expected, it is peaked around  $10^7 \sim 10^8$  Hz and spans about 2 decades. The horizon size at the time of preheating imposes the low frequency cut-off, while the high frequency cut-off is due to the fact that high momentum  $\chi$  particles are energetically too expensive to be created. Notice that the power is roughly inversely proportional to  $g^2$ .



#### **Reheating after String Theory Inflation**

Barnaby, Burgess, Cline, hep-th/0412095

LK, Yi, hep-th/0507257

Frey, Mazumdar, Myers, hep-th/0508139

Chialva, Shiu, Underwood, hep-th/0508229

Chen, Tye, hep-th/05120000

### **Realization of String Theory Hybrid Inflation**





Open strings ×~~×

between branes are unstable

#### Cascading Energy from Inflaton to Radiation



Figure 2: Identifying the channels of D-brane decay







$$h_{AB}(x,y) = \sum_{m} h^{(m)}(x) f_m(y)$$

m = 0: usual 4 dim gravitons  $\Omega_{GW} \simeq e^{-2A}$ 

other *m*: modes  $m_{KK} \simeq e^{-A}/R$ 

KK particles are thermalized first SM particles are thermalized much later



KK from M with isometries are stable

No complete decya

KK particles freeze out



#### resolution?

- Attachment of KS throat to a compact CY Induces symmetry breaking perturbations.
- Tip of KS throat is a particular case of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. There are asymmetric SE manifolds, but no examples of asymmetric throats



#### Modulated fluctuations in Chaotic Inflation



equation of state  $\frac{p}{\epsilon}$ 

varying 
$$g^2 = 10^{-7}$$
 by 5%

Generation of metric fluctuations  $\delta\chi_k \to \delta g^2 \to \Phi_k$ 



#### modulated fluctuations

## scalar field associated with angular position at $S^3$



## Ensemble of Inflationary trajectories

#### **String theory lanscape**





# $V(\phi_1, ..., \phi_{1000}, ...)_{10^{500}}$ vacua





- renormalizable
- different choices of parameters give quite of powerspectra
- but also different shape potential:
  - exponential
  - SUGRA
  - • •

#### **Chaotic Inflation with many scalars**

VEV of scalar fields do not exceed Mp

Many scalar fields



**Assisted Inflation** 

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{M_p^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum \dot{\phi}_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum m_j^2 \phi_j^2 \right)$$
$$\ddot{\phi}_j + 3H\dot{\phi} + m_j\phi_j = 0$$

Corresponds to a single inflaton field (collective coordinate) With complicated effectice potential

Kahler/axion moduli Inflation Conton & Quevedo hep-th/0509012









#### The Eye Of The Needle reconstruction Powerspectrum Acceleration 400 10+09 10+09 350 H(N)10+07300 10+06 250 100000 Ê പ് 200 10000 150 100 100 50 70 10 20 30 60 80 40 50 30 70 $H^2$ map trajectory H(N) $P_S =$ (natural object from (mildly broken Hamilton-Jacobi formalism) scale invariance)

Scanning inflation

Bond, LK, Contaldi, Vandrevange 06

#### Bottom-up

## **Scanning Inflation**



 $P_s(k) = A_s k^{n_s - 1}, r = P_{GW}/P_s$ RG flow method

Small slow roll parameters 
$$\begin{split} &\epsilon = \frac{M_p^2}{4\pi} \frac{H'^2}{H^2} \ , \eta = \frac{M_p^2}{4\pi} \frac{H''}{H^2} \ , \xi \sim H''' \ \text{etc.} \\ &\text{Flow eqs.} \\ &\frac{d\epsilon}{dN} = \epsilon(\sigma + 2\epsilon) \\ &\frac{d\sigma}{dN} = -5\sigma\epsilon - 12\epsilon^2 + 2\xi \\ &\frac{d\xi}{dN} \sim \text{cubic in } \epsilon, \sigma, \xi + \lambda \\ &\text{etc} \end{split}$$



### Ensemble of Inflationary trajectories



Space of models opens wide



Related methods of trajectory generation

speed  $10^5$  up vs RGF



- N: # of efolds dN = -Hdt
- Constraints during inflation
  - 0 <u>≤</u> ε <u>≤</u> 1 H > 0
- at the end of inflation \u03e9 = 1
- Expansion to arbitrary order

$$H(N) = \sum c_l T_l(x)$$





space opens more with higher order polynoms



comparison of  $c_n$  (red) in our method vs  $c_n$  (black) of Chebyshev transform of trajectories generated with RG flow





$$f(x) = \sum^{j=n} c_j T_j(x)$$

Chebyshev Polynoms Nodal Points Method

$$f(x) = \sum f(x_j) \phi_j^{(n)}(x)$$

Variations

$$f(x) = H, \log H, \epsilon, \log \epsilon, P_s, P_t$$
$$x = N, \log k$$

Chebyshev nodal modes: order 3, 5, 15 (Fourier at high order) Chebyshev modes are liner conbinatin



How far does choice of values at nodal points "feel" out?

#### Displaying Trajectory constraints:

If Gaussian likelihood, compute χ<sup>2</sup> where 68% probability, and follow the ordered trajectories to

In L/L<sub>m</sub> =- 
$$\chi^2$$
 /2,

displaying a uniformly sampled subset.

Errors at nodal points in trajectory coefficients can also be displayed.



 $P_s, P_t(lnaH)/10^{-5}M_p$  Inflation trajctory reconstructed from CMB+LSS data Using Chebyshev mode expansion & MCMC

Expand scalar power in Slope and running index Tensor power in slope (not usual to do both, and Not usual to allow tensor slope to be unslaved)

Parameters come out OK Cf. more restrictive treatment

Nodl points unifrm prior Implies different measures On n\_s, n\_t and running Than usual uniform





#### R\_nodal5\_5\_all\_paramsb.powerspectrum.likestats

-8

-6

ln(

-2

0

Independent expansion (not assuming consistency)

P\_s and P\_t at nodal points

P\_s up to order 5 P\_t to order 5 In k between -17 and 5 Other cosmological parameters varying



# $\epsilon(\ln k)$ reconstructed from CMB+LSS data using Chebyshev expansion (order 15 nodal points)

and Markov Chain Monte Carlo Method. T/S consistency function is imposed Probe of CMB+LSS window only 1- folds





#### WMAP1 (left) WMAP3 (right)



#### **Top-down** approach:

no priors

"Best fit" model is not usual:

features in the potential suppression of scalar mode at large scales large tensor mode mutually (almost) compensated features in  $\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_{tot}^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_s^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_t^2$ 

Bottom-up: personal priors

Non-vanishing probability

#### Degeneracy of the Potential Reconstruction

known  $P_s(k) \rightarrow$  reconstruct  $V(\phi)$ 



$$\phi - \phi_0 = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \int_{\ln k_0}^{\ln k} d\ln k' \frac{\sqrt{P_s(k')}}{H^2(k')} \frac{dH}{d\ln k'} \qquad \qquad \frac{dH}{d\log k} = \frac{H^3}{H^2 - \pi M_p^2 P_s(k)}$$

Degeneracy is lifted by fixing  $P_{GW} = \frac{H_*^2}{M_p^2}$ 

Example 
$$P_s(k) = k^{n_s - 1}$$
  
 $n_s = 0.98$ 



4 dim Inflation in 10dim String Theory predicts

### All what 4 dim inflation predicts

- Creation of non-SM particles (KK modes) in  $T_{KK}$  reheating/thermalization
- Short-wavelength gravitational radiation  $\Omega_{GW} \sim 10^{-8}$
- Scale free gaussian fluctuations of many light scalars îÿ<sub>k</sub>(t)e<sup>ikk</sup>
- Modulated cosmological fluctuations
- String theory Cosmic strings

$$\mu G < 10^{-7}$$