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## 1 Motivations

### 1.1 Sub-critical Elliptic equations on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

To prove existence of solutions of elliptic problems on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ one of the main difficulties is the lack of compactness.

For ex., the functional

$$
I_{0}(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right] d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{p+1} d x, \quad u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

does not satisfy the Palais-Smale (PS) compactness condition.
Actually, it is easy to see that there exists a unique positive, radially symmetric function $U \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
-\Delta U+U=U^{p}
$$

Then for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, any $U(x-\xi)$ is a solution of

$$
-\Delta u+u=u^{p}, \quad u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

and hence a critical point of $I_{0}$.
Remark. The lack of (PS) is closely related to the fact that the embedding of $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is not compact, even if $p+1<2^{*}$.

On the other hand, a classical result by W. Strauss states that the subspace

$$
W_{r}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): u \text { is radial }\right\}
$$

is compactly embedded in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ when $1<q<2^{*}$.
This allows us to show that $I_{0}$ restricted to $W_{r}^{1,2}$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Moreover, it is immediate to check that $I_{0}$ has the Mountain-Pass geometry, namely
(i) $u=0$ is a strict local minimum of $I_{0}$, and
(ii) there exists $e \in W_{r}^{1,2}$ such that $I_{0}(e)<I_{0}(0)=0$.

Thus $I_{0}$ has a M-P critical point which is nothing but $U$.
More in general, consider the b.v.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=b(x) u^{p}, \quad u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} b(x)=b_{\infty}>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To simplify the notation we will take $b_{\infty}=1$.
The corresponding functional is given by

$$
I_{b}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} b(x)|u|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Let us introduce its limit at infinity, obtained substituting $b$ with $b_{\infty}=1$, namely

$$
I_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Let $c_{0}$ denote the M-P critical level of $I_{0}$ (one has $c_{0}=I_{0}(U)$ ) and let us set

$$
S_{p+1}=\inf \left\{\|u\|^{2}: u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{p+1} d x=1\right\} .
$$

It is well known that $S_{p+1}>0$ and is achieved at some $u^{*}$ such that $\left\|u^{*}\right\|^{2}=S_{p+1}$. Notice that $S_{p+1}$ is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p+1}}^{2} \leq S_{p+1}^{-1}\|u\|^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have that $U=S_{p+1}^{1 /(p-1)} u^{*}$ satisfies $-\Delta U+U=U^{p}$ and hence

$$
c_{0}=I_{0}(U)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)\|U\|^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\right) S_{p+1}^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}
$$

Lemma 1.1 Suppose that $b$ satisfies (1), with $b_{\infty}=1$. Then $I_{b}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ for any $c<c_{0}$.

It is easy to check that the assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x) \geq b_{\infty}(=1) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that the M-P level $c_{b}$ of $I_{b}$ satisfies $c_{b} \leq c_{0}$, with strict inequality provided $b \not \equiv b_{\infty}(=1)$ (if $b \equiv 1$ one has that $I_{b} \equiv I_{0}$ ).

Then the previous Lemma implies that $I_{b}$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ at $c=c_{b}$ and hence $I_{b}$ has a M-P critical point. Thus

Theorem 1.2 If (1) and (3) hold, $I_{b}$ has a Mountain Pass critical point and hence the problem $\left(P_{b}\right)$ has a (positive) solution.

More in general, using the P.L. Lions Concentration-Compactness Principle, one can prove:

Theorem. (A. Bahri - P.L. Lions) Let $1<p<\frac{n+2}{n-2}$ and suppose that $b \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfies
(a) $b>0$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} b(x)=b_{\infty}>0$;
(b) there exist $R, C, \delta>0$ such that

$$
b(x) \geq b_{\infty}-C \exp (-\delta x), \quad \text { for }|x| \geq R
$$

Then the problem $\left(P_{b}\right)$ has a positive solution.
Let us consider now the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+u=(1+\varepsilon h(x)) u^{p}  \tag{4}\\
u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h(x)$ is a bounded function.
Question: Does equation (4) possess positive solutions for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small?
We will show that, under suitable, natural assumptions on $h$ there exists $\bar{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that (4) has a solution $u_{\varepsilon} \sim U(\cdot-\bar{\xi})$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough.

Roughly, the new feature is that we do not need to compare $h$ with its limit at infinity.

### 1.2 Equations with critical exponent

We will consider problems like

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=(1+\varepsilon k(x)) u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad u>0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations of this type arise in Differential Geometry.
The new feature is that the unperturbed problem
(6)

$$
-\Delta u=u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad u>0
$$

is invariant by translation (like in the subcritical case) and by dilations.
The fundamental solution $U$ of (6) has the form (up to a constant)

$$
U(x)=\left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}
$$

and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mu>0$,

$$
z_{\mu, \xi}(x)=\mu^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\mu}\right)
$$

is a solution of (6).


Finding solutions of (5) is a delicate matter. For example, if $k(x)$ is positive, radial, has a unique maximum at $x=0$ and decays to zero at infinity, (5) does not possess any positive solution in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

However, we will show that a solution exists provided $k$ satisfies, in addition to some technical conditions, the following hypotheses
(a) $k$ has a finite number of stationary points and $\Delta k(\xi) \neq 0, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\nabla k(\xi)=0$.
(b) if $i\left(k^{\prime}, x\right)$ denotes the index (namely the local degree) of $k^{\prime}$ at $x$, there holds

$$
\sum_{\nabla k(\xi)=0, \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, x\right) \neq(-1)^{n} .
$$

### 1.3 Semiclassical standing waves of NLS

In Quantum Mechanics the behavior of a single particle is governed by the linear Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\hbar^{2} \Delta \psi+Q(x) \psi
$$

where $i$ is the imaginary unit, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \Delta$ denotes the Laplace operator and $\psi=\psi(t, x)$ is a complex valued function. Differently, in the presence of many particles, one can try to simulate the mutual interaction effect by introducing a nonlinear term. Expanding this nonlinearity in odd power series

$$
a_{0} \psi+a_{1}|\psi|^{p-1} \psi+\cdots, \quad(p \geq 3)
$$

and keeping only the first nonlinear term, one is led to a nonlinear equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\hbar^{2} \Delta \psi+\left(a_{0}+Q(x)\right) \psi+a_{1}|\psi|^{p-1} \psi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A stationary wave of (7) is a solution of (7) of the form

$$
\psi(t, x)=\exp \left(i \alpha \hbar^{-1} t\right) u(x) \quad u(x) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u>0
$$

Thus, looking for solitary waves of (7) is equivalent to find an $u>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\hbar^{2} \Delta u+\left(\alpha+a_{0}+Q(x)\right) u=u^{p} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an $u$ will be called a standing wave. A particular interest is given to the so called semiclassical states that are standing waves existing for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Setting $\hbar=\varepsilon$ and $V(x)=\alpha+a_{0}+Q(x)$, we are finally led to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u=u^{p},  \tag{9}\\
u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u>0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the condition $u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is added in order to obtain bound states, namely solutions with finite energy.

To obtain a perturbation problem like the preceding ones, it is convenient to make the change of variables $x \mapsto \varepsilon x+x_{0}$, where $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ will be chosen in an appropriate way, that leads to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{0}\right) u=u^{p},  \tag{10}\\
u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad u>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solutions of (10) are the critical points $u>0$ of the functional

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{0}\right) u^{2}\right] d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{p+1} d x, u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

This functional is perturbative in nature: the unperturbed functional is

$$
I_{0}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+V\left(x_{0}\right) u^{2}\right] d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

while the perturbation term is given by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[V\left(\varepsilon x+x_{0}\right)-V\left(x_{0}\right)\right] u^{2} d x
$$

The unperturbed equation $I_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0$ becomes:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+V\left(x_{0}\right) u=u^{p},  \tag{11}\\
u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad u>0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $V\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, (11) possesses as before a unique radial solution $U_{0}>0$. Moreover, any $U_{0}(\cdot-\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is also a solution of (11).

It will be shown that if $x_{0}$ is stationary point of the potential $V$ which is stable (in a suitable sense specified later on), then (NLS) has for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small a solution of the form

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \sim U_{0}\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

hence a solution that concentrates at $x_{0}$.
This kind of solutions are called spike layers or simply spikes.
From the physical point of view, spikes are important because they show that (focusing) NLS of the type (11) are not dispersive but the energy is localized in packets.

### 1.4 Neumann singularly perturbed problems

Another example is given by elliptic singularly perturbed problems with Neumann boundary conditions like

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+u=u^{p}, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{12}\\
u>0, \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\nu$ denotes the unit outer normal at $\partial \Omega$. As before, we take $1<p<\frac{n+2}{n-2}$. Problems like (12) arise in the study of some reaction-diffusion systems in biology.

The specific feature of (12) is to possess spike layer solutions.
The role of the potential $V$ in the NLS is played here by the curvature of the boundary, in the sense that there exist solutions concentrating at stable stationary points of the mean curvature $H$ of $\partial \Omega$.

Final Remark. In all the preceding examples we look for critical points of a perturbed functional

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=I_{0}(u)+\varepsilon G(u), \quad u \in \mathcal{H}
$$

with the feature that the unperturbed functional $I_{0}$ has a finite dimensional manifold $Z$ of critical points.

For example, for subcritical problems on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
Z=\left\{U(\cdot-\xi): \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

while in the critical case

$$
Z=\left\{\mu^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{\cdot-\xi}{\mu}\right): \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mu>0\right\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

## 2 Abstract setting: critical points of perturbed functionals

We consider a class of functionals of the form

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=I_{0}(u)+\varepsilon G(u) .
$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, $I_{0} \in C^{2}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ plays the role of the unperturbed functional and $G \in C^{2}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ is the perturbation.

We will always suppose that there exists a $d$-dimensional smooth, say $C^{2}$, manifold $Z, 0<d=\operatorname{dim}(Z)<\infty$, such that all $z \in Z$ is a critical point of $I_{0}$. The set $Z$ will be called a critical manifold (of $I_{0}$ ). Let $T_{z}$ denote the tangent space to $Z$ at $z$. If $Z$ is a critical manifold then

$$
I_{0}^{\prime}(z)=0, \quad \forall z \in Z
$$

Differentiating the identity $I_{0}^{\prime}(z) \equiv 0$, we get

$$
\left(I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v] \mid \phi\right)=0, \quad \forall v \in T_{z}, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

It follows that all $v \in T_{z}$ is a solution of the linearized equation $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v]=0$, namely $v \in \operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]$.

Thus

$$
T_{z} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]
$$

In particular, $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)$ has a non trivial Kernel (whose dimension is at least $d$ ) and hence all the $z \in Z$ are degenerate critical points of $I_{0}$. We shall require that this degeneracy is minimal. Precisely we will suppose that
(ND) $\quad T_{z}=\operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right], \quad \forall z \in Z$.
So, proving that $Z$ satisfies $(N D)$ is equivalent to show that $\operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right] \subseteq T_{z}$, namely that every solution of the linearized equation $I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v]=0$ belongs to $T_{z}$.

In addition to $(N D)$ we will assume that
(Fr) for all $z \in Z, I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)$ is an index 0 Fredholm map.

Definition. A critical manifold $Z$ will be called non degenerate, $N D$ in short, if (ND) and (Fr) hold.

### 2.1 A finite dimensional reduction

Let $W=\left(T_{z}\right)^{\perp}$ and let $P: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow W$ denote the orthogonal projection onto $W$
We look for critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$ in the form $u=z+w$ with $z \in Z$ and $w \in W$.
The equation $I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z+w)=0$ is equivalent to the following system

$$
\begin{cases}P I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z+w)=0, & \text { (the auxiliary equation) }  \tag{13}\\ (I d-P) I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(z+w)=0, & \text { (the bifurcation equation) }\end{cases}
$$

Let first solve the auxiliary equation, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
P I_{0}^{\prime}(z+w)+\varepsilon P G^{\prime}(z+w)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by means of the Implicit Function Theorem.
Let $F: \mathbb{R} \times Z \times W \rightarrow W$ be defined by setting

$$
F(\varepsilon, z, w)=P I_{0}^{\prime}(z+w)+\varepsilon P G^{\prime}(z+w)
$$

$F$ is of class $C^{1}$ and one has $F(0, z, 0)=0$, for every $z \in Z$.
Lemma 2.1 If (ND) and (Fr) hold, then $D_{w} F(0, z, 0)$ is invertible as a map from $W$ into itself.

Proof. The map $D_{w} F(0, z, 0)$ is given by

$$
D_{w} F(0, z, 0): v \mapsto P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v] .
$$

Since $P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v]=I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v]$, the equation $D_{w} F(0, z, 0)[v]=0$ becomes

$$
I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[v]=0
$$

Thus $v \in \operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right] \cap W$ and from (ND) it follows that $v=0$, namely that $D_{w} F(0, z, 0)$ is injective. Using ( Fr ) we then deduce that $D_{w} F(0, z, 0): W \rightarrow W$ is invertible.

Let $Z_{c}$ be a compact subset of $Z$. Lemma 2.1 allows us to apply the Implicit Function Theorem to $F(\varepsilon, z, w)=0$ yielding:
Lemma 2.2 $\exists \varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\forall|\varepsilon|<\varepsilon_{0}, \forall z \in Z_{c}$, the auxiliary equation (14) has a unique solution $w_{\varepsilon}=w_{\varepsilon}(z) \in W$, with
(a) $w_{\varepsilon}(z) \in W=\left(T_{z} Z\right)^{\perp}$ and $w_{\varepsilon}(z) \rightarrow 0$, as $|\varepsilon| \rightarrow 0$;
(b) $w_{\varepsilon}$ is of class $C^{1}$ w.r. to $z \in Z_{c}$ and $w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\varepsilon| \rightarrow 0$;
(c) $\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|=O(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for all $z \in Z_{c}$.

Proof of (b). $w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[q+w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]+\varepsilon P G^{\prime \prime}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}\right)\left[q+w_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]=0, \quad\left(q \in T_{z} Z\right)
$$

Then for $\varepsilon=0$ we get $P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\left[q+w_{0}^{\prime}\right]=0$. Since $q \in T_{z} Z \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]$, then $P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)[q]=0$, and this implies $w_{0}^{\prime}=0$.

### 2.2 Existence of critical points

To solve the bifurcation equation, let us define the reduced functional $\Phi_{\varepsilon}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3 Let $I_{0}, G \in C^{2}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that $I_{0}$ has a smooth ND critical manifold $Z$. If $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ has, for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, a critical point $z_{\varepsilon} \in Z_{c}$, then $u_{\varepsilon}=z_{\varepsilon}+w_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a critical point of $I_{\varepsilon}=I_{0}+\varepsilon G$.

Sketch of the proof. Consider the manifold $Z_{\varepsilon}=\left\{z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\}$. Since $z_{\varepsilon}$ is a critical point of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$, it follows that $u_{\varepsilon} \in Z_{\varepsilon}$ is a critical point of $I_{\varepsilon}$ constrained on $Z_{\varepsilon}$ and thus $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies $I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \perp T_{u_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover from $P I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$, it follows that $I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right) \in T_{z} Z$. In particular, $I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \in T_{z_{\varepsilon}} Z$. Since, for $|\varepsilon|$ small, $T_{u_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\varepsilon}$ and $T_{z_{\varepsilon}} Z$ are close, see Lemma 2.2, it follows that $I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$.

When $Z$ is compact the preceding result immediately implies
Corollary 2.4 If, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, the critical manifold $Z$ is compact, then for $|\varepsilon|$ small enough, $I_{\varepsilon}$ has at least Cat $(Z)$ (the LusternikSchnierelman category of $Z$ ) critical points.

In order to use Theorem 2.3 it is convenient to expand $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$.
Lemma 2.5 One has:

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=c_{0}+\varepsilon G(z)+o(\varepsilon), \quad \text { where } c_{0}=I_{0}(z) .
$$

Proof. Recall that

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=I_{0}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)+\varepsilon G\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right) .
$$

Let us evaluate separately the two terms above. First we have

$$
I_{0}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)=I_{0}(z)+\left(I_{0}^{\prime}(z) \mid w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)+o\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right) .
$$

Since $I_{0}^{\prime}(z)=0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)=c_{0}+o\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(z+w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right) & =G(z)+\left(G^{\prime}(z) \mid w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)+o\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right) \\
& =G(z)+O\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting together (16) and (17) we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\varepsilon}(z)=c_{0}+\varepsilon\left[G(z)+O\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right)\right]+o\left(\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|w_{\varepsilon}(z)\right\|=O(\varepsilon)$, see Lemma 2.2-(c), the result follows.
The preceding lemma, jointly with Theorem 2.3 yields

Theorem 2.6 Let $I_{0}, G \in C^{2}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $I_{0}$ has a $N D$ smooth critical manifold $Z$. Moreover, setting $\Gamma:=G_{\mid Z}$, we assume and that there exists a critical point $\bar{z} \in Z$ of $\Gamma=G_{\mid Z}$ satisfying
$\left(G^{\prime}\right) \quad \exists \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ open bounded such that the topological degree $d\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}, 0\right) \neq 0$.
Then for $|\varepsilon|$ small the functional $I_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point $u_{\varepsilon}$ and there exists $\hat{z} \in \mathcal{N}, \Gamma^{\prime}(\hat{z})=0$, such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \hat{z}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore if, in addition, $\mathcal{N}$ contains only an isolated critical point $\bar{z}$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \bar{z}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Remark. Examples in which condition $\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ holds are:
(i) $\bar{z}$ is a strict local maximum (or minimum),
(ii) $\bar{z}$ is any non-degenerate critical point $\bar{z}$.

In both cases, $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \bar{z}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
If $G(z) \equiv 0$, Theorem 2.6 is useless and we need to evaluate the further terms in the expansion of $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$.

However, it is possible to show that the preceding results still hold true, provided we substitute $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Gamma$ with, resp.

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_{\varepsilon}(z)=c_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(G^{\prime}(z) \mid L_{z} G^{\prime}(z)\right)+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{\prime}(z) \mid L_{z} G^{\prime}(z)\right)
$$

where $L_{z}=\left(P I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)^{-1}$.

## 3 Applications

### 3.1 Subcritical Problems

We will consider the elliptic problem
$\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$

$$
-\Delta u+u=(1+\varepsilon h(x)) u^{p}, \quad u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u>0
$$

where $n \geq 3$ and $p$ is a subcritical exponent, namely

$$
1<p<\frac{n+2}{n-2}
$$

In order to use the techniques discussed before we set $\mathcal{H}=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u_{+}^{p+1} d x-\varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) u_{+}^{p+1} d x,
$$

where, for simplicity, we assume that $h \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Here $\mathcal{H}=W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the usual Sobolev space, endowed with the standard scalar product, resp. norm,

$$
(u \mid v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+u v) d x, \quad\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) d x .
$$

Plainly, $I_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ and solutions of $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ are critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$.
$I_{\varepsilon}$ has the form

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=I_{0}(u)+\varepsilon G(u),
$$

where the unperturbed functional $I_{0}$ is given by

$$
I_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u_{+}^{p+1} d x
$$

and the perturbation is

$$
G(u)=-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) u_{+}^{p+1} d x .
$$

The unperturbed problem $I_{0}^{\prime}(u)=0$ is equivalent to the elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=u^{p}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}, \quad u>0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a unique positive radial solution $U$ which decays exponentially to zero at infinity. Moreover, since (19) is translation invariant, it follows that any $z_{\xi}(x):=$ $U(x-\xi)$ is also a solution of (19). In other words, $I_{0}$ has a (non-compact) critical manifold given by

$$
Z=\left\{z_{\xi}(x): \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Lemma 3.1 $Z$ is non-degenerate.
Proof (Sketch). $v \in \mathcal{H}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(U)\right]$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+v=p U^{p-1}(x) v, \quad v \in \mathcal{H} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
r=|x|, \quad \vartheta=\frac{x}{|x|} \in S^{n-1}
$$

and let $\Delta_{r}$, resp. $\Delta_{S^{n-1}}$ denote the Laplace operator in radial coordinates, resp. the Laplace-Beltrami operator. To find solutions of (20) we recall that every $v \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written in the form

$$
v(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{k}(r) Y_{k}(\vartheta), \quad \text { where } \psi_{k}(r)=\int_{S^{n-1}} v(r \vartheta) Y_{k}(\vartheta) d \vartheta \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

and $Y_{k}(\vartheta)$ are the spherical harmonics satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S^{n-1}} Y_{k}=\lambda_{k} Y_{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues (and their multiplicity) of (21) are known. In particular,
$\lambda_{0}=0$ has multiplicity 1 ,
$\lambda_{1}=n-1$, has multiplicity $n$.
The rest of eigenvalues are given by $\lambda_{k}=k(k+n-2), k=2,3 \ldots$
Substituting $v=\sum \psi_{k} Y_{k}$ into (20) we get the following equations for $\psi_{k}$ :

$$
A_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right):=-\psi_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n-1}{r} \psi_{k}^{\prime}+\psi_{k}+\frac{\lambda_{k}}{r^{2}} \psi_{k}-p U^{p-1} \psi_{k}=0, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

If $k=0, \lambda_{0}=0$ and thus $\psi_{0}$ satisfies

$$
A_{0}\left(\psi_{0}\right)=-\psi_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n-1}{r} \psi_{0}^{\prime}+\psi_{0}-p U^{p-1} \psi_{0}=0
$$

It is possible to show that all the solutions of $A_{0}(u)=0$ are unbounded. Since we are looking for solutions $\psi_{0} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\psi_{0}=0$.

For $k=1$, one has that $\lambda_{1}=n-1$ and we find

$$
A_{1}\left(\psi_{1}\right)=-\psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n-1}{r} \psi_{1}^{\prime}+\psi_{1}+\frac{n-1}{r^{2}} \psi_{1}-p U^{p-1} \psi_{1}=0 .
$$

Let $\widehat{U}(r)$ denote the function such that $U(x)=\widehat{U}(|x|)$. Since $U(x)$ satisfies $-\Delta U+$ $U=U^{p}$, then $\widehat{U}$ solves

$$
-\widehat{U}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n-1}{r} \widehat{U}^{\prime}+\widehat{U}=\widehat{U}^{p}
$$

Differentiating, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\widehat{U}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\frac{n-1}{r}\left(\widehat{U}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{n-1}{r^{2}} \widehat{U}^{\prime}+\widehat{U}^{\prime}=p \widehat{U}^{p-1} \widehat{U}^{\prime} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\widehat{U}^{\prime}(r)$ satisfies $A_{1}\left(\widehat{U}^{\prime}\right)=0$, and $\widehat{U}^{\prime} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.
Let us look for a second solution of $A_{1}\left(\psi_{1}\right)=0$ in the form $\psi_{1}(r)=c(r) \widehat{U}^{\prime}(r)$.
By a straight calculation, we find that $c(r)$ solves

$$
-c^{\prime \prime} \widehat{U}^{\prime}-2 c^{\prime} \cdot\left(\widehat{U}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{n-1}{r} c^{\prime} \widehat{U}^{\prime}=0
$$

If $c(r)$ is not constant, it follows that

$$
-\frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c^{\prime}}=2 \frac{\widehat{U}^{\prime \prime}}{\widehat{U}^{\prime}}+\frac{n-1}{r}
$$

and hence

$$
c^{\prime}(r) \sim \frac{1}{r^{n-1} \widehat{U}^{\prime 2}}, \quad(r \rightarrow+\infty)
$$

This and $U(r) \sim e^{-|r|}|r|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$ imply that $c(r) \sim e^{2 r}$ and therefore $c(r) \widehat{U}^{\prime}(r) \sim$ $-e^{r} r^{(1-n) / 2}$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. From this we infer that $c(r) \widehat{U}^{\prime}(r) \notin W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, unless $c(r)=c s t$. Then $\psi_{1}(r)=\bar{c} \widehat{U}^{\prime}(r)$, for some $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally, one shows that the equation $A_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right)=0$ has only the trivial solution in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, provided that $k \geq 2$.

Conclusion. Any $v \in \operatorname{Ker}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(U)\right]$ has to be a constant multiple of $\widehat{U}^{\prime}(r) Y_{1}(\vartheta)$. Here $Y_{1}$ is such that

$$
-\Delta_{S^{n-1}} Y_{1}=\lambda_{1} Y_{1} .
$$

Recalling that $\lambda_{1}$ has multiplicity $n$ and letting $Y_{1}=\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i} Y_{1, i}$, we find that

$$
v \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\widehat{U}^{\prime} Y_{1, i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{U_{x_{i}}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}=T_{U} Z
$$

This proves that (ND) holds.
Theorem $3.2\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has a solution for $|\varepsilon|$ is small enough, provided one of the following conditions is fullfilled
$\left(h_{1}\right) \quad h \in L^{s}$ with $s=\frac{2^{*}}{2^{*}-(p+1)}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) U^{p+1}(x) \neq 0$,
$\left(h_{2}\right) \quad \exists r \in[1,2]$ such that $h \in L^{s} \cap L^{r}$.
$\left(h_{3}\right) \quad h \in L^{\infty}$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h(x)=0$

The proof in the cases $\left(h_{1}-h_{2}\right)$ is based on the following lemma
Lemma 3.3 Suppose that $h \in L^{s}$. Then

$$
\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(\xi)=0, \quad\left(\Gamma(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) U^{p+1}(x-\xi) d x\right)
$$

To prove the lemma we write, for a suitable $\rho>0$,

$$
\Gamma(\xi)=\int_{|x|<\rho} h(x) U^{p+1}(x-\xi) d x+\int_{|x|>\rho} h(x) U^{p+1}(x-\xi) d x
$$

Let us evaluate separately the two terms (I), (II), in the preceding eq.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(I)| & \leq\left(\int_{|x|<\rho}|h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\int_{|x|<\rho} U^{s^{\prime}(p+1)}(x-\xi) d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\int_{|x|<\rho}|h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\int_{|y+\xi|<\rho} U^{s^{\prime}(p+1)}(y) d y\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c_{1}\left(\int_{|x+\xi|<\rho} U^{s^{\prime}(p+1)}(x) d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $U$ decays exponentially to zero as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, the last integral tends to zero as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty \forall \rho>0$ and hence

$$
\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|<\rho} h(x) U^{p+1}(x-\xi) d x=0, \quad \forall \rho>0 .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(I I)| & \leq\left(\int_{|x|>\rho}|h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\int_{|x+\xi|>\rho} U^{s^{\prime}(p+1)}(x) d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{|x|>\rho}|h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} U^{s^{\prime}(p+1)}(x) d x\right)^{1 / s^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\int_{|x|>\rho}|h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, given any $\eta>0$ there exists $\rho>0$ large enough, in such a way that $|(I I)| \leq \eta$. Thus $(I)+(I I) \rightarrow 0$, proving the lemma.
The condition $\left(h_{1}\right)$ says that $\Gamma(0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(x) U^{p+1}(x) \neq 0$, hence $\Gamma \not \equiv 0$.
From the lemma it follows that $\Gamma$ achieves a strict (global) maximum or minimum.

From the abstract setting it follows that $I_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point which gives rise to a solution of $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for $|\varepsilon|$ is small enough.

Condition $\left(h_{2}\right)$ replaces $\left(h_{1}\right)$ and allows us to show that $\Gamma \not \equiv 0$ whenever $h \not \equiv 0$.
The case $\left(h_{3}\right)$ is handled by proving that $\lim _{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\xi)=0$. Then $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point and we can use once more the abstract setting.

### 3.2 The case of the critical exponent

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=(1+\varepsilon k(x)) u^{(n+2) /(n-2)}, \quad u>0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will work in $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the space of $u \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, endowed with scalar product and norm, respectively

$$
(u \mid v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x, \quad\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Solutions of (23) are the critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u_{+}^{2^{*}} d x-\varepsilon \frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} k(x) u_{+}^{2^{*}} d x
$$

where $u_{+}$denotes the positive part of $u$.
As before, we need to consider the unperturbed problem

$$
-\Delta u=u^{(n+2) /(n-2)}, \quad u>0, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}
$$

which possesses the following family of solutions, depending on $(n+1)$ parameters $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
z_{\mu, \xi}(x)=\mu^{-(n-2) / 2} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\mu}\right)
$$

where

$$
U(x)=[n(n-2)]^{(n-2) / 4}\left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^{2}}\right)^{(n-2) / 2}
$$

Correspondingly, we have an ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ )-dimensional manifold of solutions given by

$$
Z=\left\{z=z_{\mu, \xi}: \mu>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}
$$

It is possible to show that $Z$ is ND.
According to the general theory, we have to study the finite dimensional functional

$$
\Gamma(\mu, \xi):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} k(x) z_{\mu, \xi}^{2^{*}}(x) d x
$$

We will make the following assumptions on $k(x)$. Let $C r[k]$, denote the set of critical points of $k$.
(k.0) $\quad k \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \cap C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) ;$
$(k .1) \quad C r[k]$ is finite and $\Delta k(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in C r[k]$.
(k.2) $\quad \exists \rho>0$ such that $\left\langle k^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle<0, \forall|x| \geq \rho$
$(k .3) \quad\left\langle k^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\langle k^{\prime}(x), x\right\rangle d x<0 ;$
From ( $k .1$ ) it follows that for every $x \in C r[k]$ the index $i\left(k^{\prime}, x\right)$ (namely the local degree) of $k^{\prime}$ at $x$ is well defined.

Theorem 3.4 Let $(k .1-3)$ hold and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in C r[k], \Delta k(x)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, x\right) \neq(-1)^{n} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (23) has at least a solution, provided $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$.
$\Gamma$ takes the form

$$
\Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\mu^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} k(x) U^{2^{*}}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\mu}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} k(\mu y+\xi) U^{2^{*}}(y) d y
$$

By a straight calculation we find

$$
\lim _{\mu \downarrow 0} \Gamma(\mu, \xi)=a_{0} k(\xi), \quad a_{0}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} U^{2^{*}}(y) d y
$$

Moreover, from $D_{\mu} \Gamma(\mu, \xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\langle k^{\prime}(\mu y+\xi), y\right\rangle U^{2^{*}}(y) d y$ and since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} y_{i} U^{2^{*}}(y) d y=$ 0 , it follows

$$
\lim _{\mu \downarrow 0} D_{\mu} \Gamma(\mu, \xi)=0
$$

As a consequence, we can extend $\Gamma$ to all of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by setting $\widetilde{\Gamma}(0, \xi)=a_{0} k(\xi)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\mu, \xi)=\Gamma(-\mu, \xi)$ if $\mu<0$. The extended function is of class $C^{1}$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} \widetilde{\Gamma}(0, \xi)=0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \in C r[k] \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(0, \xi) \in C r[\widetilde{\Gamma}] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we evaluate the second derivatives of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. We find

$$
D_{\mu \mu}^{2} \widetilde{\Gamma}(\mu, \xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum D_{i j}^{2} k(\mu y+\xi) y_{i} y_{j} U^{2^{*}}(y) d y
$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} y_{i} y_{j} U^{2^{*}}(y) d y=0 \Longleftrightarrow i \neq j$, we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu \mu}^{2} \widetilde{\Gamma}(0, \xi)=a_{1} \Delta k(\xi), \quad a_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|y|^{2} U^{2^{*}}(y) d y \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, differentiating (25) with respect to $\xi_{i}$ we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu \xi_{i}}^{2} \widetilde{\Gamma}(0, \xi)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together (27) and (28) one finds that the Hessian matrix $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}(0, \xi)$ at any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the form

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime \prime}(0, \xi)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} k^{\prime \prime}(\xi) & 0  \tag{29}\\
0 & a_{1} \Delta k(\xi)
\end{array}\right)
$$

In particular, $(0, \xi)$ is an isolated critical point of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and, by the multiplicative property of the degree, we have $i\left(\tilde{\Gamma}^{\prime},(0, \xi)\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(\Delta K(\xi)) i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)$. Let us collect the above results in the following Lemma
Lemma 3.5 Let $(k .0)-(k .1)$ hold. Then $(0, \xi)$ is an isolated critical point of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ if and only if $\xi \in C r[k]$. Moreover one has

$$
i\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime},(0, \xi)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right) & \text { if } & \Delta k(\xi)>0 \\
-i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right) & \text { if } & \Delta k(\xi)<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, one proves
Lemma 3.6 Let $(k .2)-(k .3)$ hold. Then $\exists R>0$ such that

$$
\left\langle\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}(\mu, \xi),(\mu, \xi)\right\rangle<0, \quad \forall(\mu, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mu^{2}+|\xi|^{2} \geq R^{2}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n+1}, 0\right)=(-1)^{n+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4 Letting $C_{+}$denote the set of points of $C r[\widetilde{\Gamma}]$ with $\mu>0$, $C_{-}:=\left\{(-\mu, \xi):(\mu, \xi) \in C_{+}\right\}$and $C_{0}=\{(0, \xi): \xi \in C r[k]\}$, one checks that $C r[\widetilde{\Gamma}]=C_{+} \cup C_{0} \cup C_{-}$.

Remark that $C_{0}$ and $C_{ \pm}$are compact.
In order to apply the abstract setting, we will show that for any open bounded set $\mathcal{N} \subset] 0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $C_{+} \subset \mathcal{N}$ one has that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}, 0\right) \neq 0$.

Let us argue by contradiction. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset] 0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open bounded set with $C_{+} \subset \mathcal{O}$ and such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}, 0\right)=0$. Let us introduce the following notation:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{-}=\{(-\mu, \xi):(\mu, \xi) \in \mathcal{O}\}, \quad \mathcal{O}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}_{-}
$$

Since $\Gamma=\tilde{\Gamma}$ in $] 0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, using Lemma 3.6 we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{O}^{\prime}, 0\right)=(-1)^{n+1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the only critical points of $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ in $B_{R}^{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ are those in $C_{0}$ and taking into account that $C_{0}$ consists of isolated points, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{O}^{\prime}, 0\right) & =\sum_{\xi \in C r[k]} i\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime},(0, \xi)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)>0} i\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime},(0, \xi)\right)+\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime},(0, \xi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{O}^{\prime}, 0\right)=\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)>0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)-\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)
$$

$\operatorname{By}(30)$ we have that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n+1} \backslash \mathcal{O}^{\prime}, 0\right)=(-1)^{n+1}$ whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)>0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)-\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)=(-1)^{n+1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (k.2) it immediately follows that $\operatorname{deg}\left(k^{\prime}, B_{R}^{n}, 0\right)=(-1)^{n}$ and hence

$$
\sum_{\xi \in C r[k]} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)=\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)>0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)+\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)=(-1)^{n}
$$

This and (31) imply

$$
\sum_{\xi \in C r[k], \Delta k(\xi)<0} i\left(k^{\prime}, \xi\right)=(-1)^{n},
$$

a contradiction to the assumption (24).

This proves that, for any open bounded set $\mathcal{N} \subset] 0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $C_{+} \subset \mathcal{N}$, one has

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}, 0\right) \neq 0
$$

Now we can apply the abstract results yielding a critical point of $I_{\varepsilon}$ and hence a solution of (23).
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