THEORETICAL MODELS FOR PROTON TRANSFER IN CONDENSED MEDIA



Alexander M.Kuznetsov,

The A.N.Frumkin Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia theor@elchem.ac.ru

Overview

Introduction

PT between a donor and an acceptor in polar media

PT in the hydrogen bonded systems, water

Comment on the relationship with MDS

Surface conductivity

Summary

Introduction

Two main problems in proton transfer.

- 1. Proton transport from one region of the medium to another : macroscopic equations (diffusion coefficient, mobility)
- Elucidation of the transfer mechanism and calculation of kinetic coefficients (diffusion coefficient, mobility): microscopic problem related with the calculation of the probabilities of proton jumps

The <u>second</u> problem will be discussed. First theories: R.P.Bell, St.Christov (weak interaction with the medium, only proton motion in a potential) Strong coupling with the medium (R.R.Dogonadze, A.M.Kuznetsov et al., J.T.Hynes et al., L.I.Trakhtenberg et al., Sh.Hammes-Schiffer et al., G.A.Voth et al., A.Warshel et al., D.Truhlar et al.)

Proton transfer between two sites (species) in a polar medium (i)



Proton transfer between two sites (species) in a polar medium (f)



Reactive modes

Points of importance:

- 1. Proton strongly interacts with the medium polarization and in general with some local vibrational modes
- 2. All these degrees of freedom constitute **REACTIVE MODES**
- 3. Equilibrium configurations of reactive modes depend on the proton localization



Classical and quantum degrees of freedom

Classical modes: most of vibrational degrees of freedom in the molecular environment (solvent polarization, intermolecular vibrations, soft local modes)

Quantum subsystem: electrons, proton, some high-frequency vibrational modes

Proton is a quantum particle:(a) discrete vibrational energy levels, vibrational energy quantum is larger than

thermal energy

 $\Omega > k_{\rm B}T$

(b) tunneling



A generalized Franck – Condon principle





• Saddle point corresponds to minimum free energy and determines the activation free energy

$$W = \left\langle \varphi_{i} \middle| V_{\text{int.}} \middle| \varphi_{f} \right\rangle^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\hbar^{2} E_{r} k_{B} T}} e^{-\sigma} e^{-\frac{[E_{r} + \Lambda F]^{2}}{4E_{r} k_{B} T}}$$

$$F_{a} = U_{0}^{r} \left(q^{*} \right) - U_{0}^{r} \left(q_{0i} \right)$$

$$F_{a} = [E_{r} + \Delta F]^{2} / 4E_{r}$$

$$E_{r} \text{ is the reorganization energy of the solvent polarization and other classical modes}$$

$$\Delta F = J_{f0} - J_{i0} + E_{f0}^{p} - E_{i0}^{p}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{E_{r}^{p}}{\hbar\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{p}\Omega}{\hbar} \left(r_{oi} - r_{of} \right)^{2}$$
Zero-point energies

Criteria

Characteristic electron time $\tau_{e(p)}$ Characteristic proton times, $\tau_{p(e)}$, $\tau_{p(s)}$ Characteristic "solvent" time τ_s

Totally nonadiabatic transitions: $\tau_{e(p)} > \tau_{p(e)}$

 $\tau_{p(s)} >> \tau_s$



Physical mechanism of the transition





Activation free energy and pre-exponential factor are determined by potential barriers along different coordinates: F_a – along the coordinates of the solvent polarization, the transmission coefficient – along the proton coordinate.

Electronically adiabatic transitions

Partially adiabatic transitions:

$$\tau_{e(p)} << \tau_{p(e)}; \tau_{p(s)} >> \tau_{s}$$

Partially adiabatic transitions



$$\frac{\omega}{2\pi} \kappa_{n.ad.}^{partially} = \left(\Delta E / 2\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\hbar^2 E_r k_B T}}$$



 q_r is the reaction coordinate

M.A.Vorotyntsev, R.R.Dogonadze A.M.Kuznetsov, 1973

Totally adiabatic reactions

Electron can follow the motion of the proton, and the proton in its turn also adjusts its state to the motion along the medium coordinates.

$$\Delta E > k_B T \longrightarrow \kappa_{ad.} = 1$$

$$U^{r} \longrightarrow F_{a} \longrightarrow$$

Physical mechanism



Proton remains in its ground vibrational state

Promoting modes



$$W \approx \Delta R_p W^p e^{-U(R_p^*)k_B T}$$

$$U(R) = \frac{1}{2}K(R-R_0)^2$$

$$R_p^* = R_0 / \left(1 + 2k_B T \alpha_p / K \right)$$

$$\frac{k_{H}}{k_{D}} = \frac{\Delta R_{H}}{\Delta R_{D}} \frac{W_{00}^{H}}{W_{00}^{D}} e^{\left[U(R_{D}^{*})-U(R_{H}^{*})\right]/k_{B}T} = \frac{\Delta R_{H}}{\Delta R_{D}} e^{\sigma_{00}^{D}-\sigma_{00}^{H}} e^{\left[U(R_{D}^{*})-U(R_{H}^{*})\right]/k_{B}T}$$

$$R_{H}^{*} > R_{D}^{*};$$
$$U\left(R_{H}^{*}\right) < U\left(R_{D}^{*}\right)$$

Proton transfer in hydrogen bonded complexes

SN_2 - mechanism



"Synchronous" shift of the molecules S_1 and S_2

Long hydrogen bonds with a double-well potential for the proton



- 1. Main role belongs here to short-range interactions with nearest molecular environment.
- 2. The solvent reorganization energy is small or zero here.

A.M.Kuznetsov, J.Ulstrup, 2005

Short hydrogen bonds with a single-well potential for the proton



A.M.Kuznetsov, J.Ulstrup, 2005

Initial state of the complex with short hydrogen bond with a single-well potential for the proton



Initial and transition states of the short-hydrogen bondcomplex with a single-well potential for the proton



A scheme of the transition (SN2-mechanism)



Activation free energy and kinetic isotope effect

Adiabatic free energy surface

$$U(s) = V_{sc}(s) + E_{p}(s)$$

M.A.Vorotyntsev, R.R.Dogonadze A.M.Kuznetsov, 1973 A.M.Kuznetsov, 1997 P.M.Kiefer,J.T.Hynes,2003

Activation free energy

$$G^{\neq} = V_{\rm sc}(s^{*}) - V_{\rm sc}(s_{\rm 0i}) + \left[E_{\rm p}(s^{*}) - E_{\rm p}(s_{\rm 0i})\right]$$

Kinetic isotope effect

$$\frac{k_{\rm H}}{k_{\rm D}} \approx \frac{\omega_{\rm H}}{\omega_{\rm D}} \exp\left\{\frac{\left[E_{\rm D}\left(s^*\right) - E_{\rm D}\left(s_{\rm 0i}\right)\right] - \left[E_{\rm H}\left(s^*\right) - E_{\rm H}\left(s_{\rm 0i}\right)\right]}{k_{\rm B}T}\right\}$$

Proton transfer in water





Two major transfer mechanisms: Classical diffusion of the hydroxonium ions Structural diffusion of clusters

A scheme of proton transfer between two water molecules



A scheme of proton transfer with the formation of the nearby Zundel complex

A scheme of proton transfer via the transition of two protons in a molecular triad



Distances between oxygen atoms r_{12} , r_{23} Initial complex



Distances between oxygen atoms r₁₂, r₂₃ Adjacent complex



Coordinates for the transitions between remote Zundel complexes

Definitions of the distances r₁₂, r₂₃ and r₃₄



Relationship with MDS

1. The estimation of the time when the distance between the proton and some oxygen atom becomes located within a certain interval of values is insufficient for the conclusions on the mechanism of the mobility and its value.

2. A more consistent way is the calculation of the probability of formation of statistically equivalent structures of heavy atoms (with an excess proton in a certain region) since the translocation of the proton in such systems is entirely determined by structural fluctuations of heavy atoms of the nearest molecular environment.

3. The approach of an excess proton to one of the oxygen atoms in the situation where other atoms do not form appropriate configuration does not result in irreversible proton transfer and overestimates the mobility. This event is followed by fast reversed transition of the proton. (S.Walbran & A.A.Kornyshev, 2001, A.A.Kornyshev, A.M.Kuznetsov, E.Spohr, J.Ulstrup, 2003)

Zundel-to-Zundel transitions (the O-O distances r_{12} , r_{23} and r_{34})



Probability distributions

Probability distribution



A.A.Kornyshev, A.M.Kuznetsov, E.Spohr, J.Ulstrup, J.Phys.Chem.B, 107 (2003) 3351-3366

Concluding remarks

- 1. Existing theoretical models shed light on the microscopic mechanisms of elementary proton transitions which may take place in various physical situations and in combination with realistic potentials (e.g. extracted from *ab initio* calculations) may serve as a basis for quantitative estimations of proton mobility. Computer simulations may allow to discriminate between different limitingsituations which are the subject of the models.
- 2. Proton transfer in hydrogen bonded systems proceeds by "SN2-mechanism" and is determined mainly by structural fluctuations in the vicinity of the proton transfer complex. The reorganization of macroscopic polarization of the medium plays minor role in these systems.

Acknowledgements

A.A.Kornyshev (Imperial College)M.Eikerling (Simon Fraser Univ.)E.Spohr (Forschung Zentrum Juelich)

J.Ulstrup (Technical Univ.of Denmark)

Russian Foundation for Basic Research