



### SMR/1845-1

#### Conference on Structure and Dynamics in Soft Matter and Biomolecules: From Single Molecules to Ensembles

4 - 8 June 2007

Downhill folding: Evolution meets physics

Martin GRUEBELE University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Chemistry 600 South Mathews Ave., P.O. Box 5-6 IL 61801 Urbana









Interest:

-ability to simulate folding by molecular dynamics (speed limited by diffusion)

-if physics is barrier-free, what causes barriers?

## Thermodynamic measurements may not be sufficient: Downhill folding usually disappears under stress (denaturation)

ex:  $\lambda_{6-85}$ 



**Tuning** the kinetics can provide the necessary proof:

At  $T_3$ , kinetics interconvert 2 states: single exponential

At  $T_1$ , kinetics are diffusive (could be single exponential for simple diffusion, or stretched for more complex diffusion

At  $T_2$ , see a mixture of the two and get the most complex kinetics.

Of course, folding speeds up from  $T_3$  to  $T_1$ 

### How do you measure such fast kinetics: relaxation à la Manfred Eigen



Fluorescence lifetime analysis (14 ns dead time, 250 ps time resolution)

Fluorescence intensity analysis

(14 ns dead time)

Fluorescence spectral analysis

(SVD; 300 ns dead time)

Infrared spectral analysis (SVD; 300 ns dead time)



Time course analyzed by singular value decomposition or nonorthogonal linear decomposition

# The full range: **exponential to complex to simple** as thermodynamic stress is relieved by T, mutation or solvent :





Distinguishing intermediates from downhill folders: **smooth correlation** of the molecular Phase  $k_m$  and the activated phase  $k_a$ :



Experiment:

Liu & Gruebele, JMB 2007

Ma & Gruebele, JCC 2006

Theory:

Another key behavior: the faster the dynamics becomes, the more it shows only a viscosity-dependence:





Thus, in the BO&W scenario, downhill folding turns to 2-state folding under stress.

Possible reasons: energy required to expel a sheet of water upon hydrophobic core formation, or nonadditive forces? [see posters by Badasyan & Ferguson from Chan group!]

Possible 'cure': weakening the hydrophobic core/packing while maintaining very fast folding:



Whether protein folding barriers are absent, or merely small, the consequence is the same:



We have also tuned through all possible scenarios with a beta sheet protein, WW domain. (Liu & Gruebele, tbs 2007)

 $\exp[-G(x)/k_BT]$  is a sensitive function of G(x) !

Makes sense from a perspective of evolutionary robustness

We have seen this smooth tuning behavior and speedup of folding for several proteins,  $\alpha$ -helical,  $\alpha / \beta$  and  $\beta$ -sheet.

Physical principles allow the same fold to form witout a TS, with 1 TS, or with many TS...

### ..how come natural globular protein domains fold over a barrier? Answer: evolution for function and against aggregation

Reason # 1: Energetic frustration caused by evolution for function (Gruebele, COSB 2002)



Jäger et al. JMB 2001; collaboration with Kelly/Noel groups at Scripps

Crystal structure				
	1 vs. 1	2		1 vs. 7
Varia	$\beta_1$	β2	β <sub>3</sub>	
1	KLPPGWEKRMSR:	SSGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(4:6 loop)
2	KLPPGWEKRMS-	DGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(3:5 loop)
3	KLPPGWEKRMS-	RDGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(3:5 loop)
4	KLPPGWEKRMS-	ARGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(3:5 loop)
5	KLPPGWEKRMS-	SSGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(3:5 loop)
6	KLPPGWEKRMSR:	SS-RVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(3:5 loop)
7	KLPPGWEKRMS-	-NGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(2:2 loop)
8	KLPPGWEKRMS-	-RGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(2:2 loop)
9	KLPPGWEKRMS-	SGRVYYFNHIT	NASQWERPSG	(2:2 100p)
10	SEWTERKT-	ADGKTYYYNNRT	LESTFERP	(3:5 Toob)
11	SEWTERKSR	SGRTYYYNNRT	LESTFERP	(4:6 Loop)



# Biology is back in the picture: function causes energetic frustration

### Function



Jäger et al., PNAS 2006 (with Kelly and Noel groups) Reason # 2 (F X Schmid, M Oliveberg, C Dobson,...):



And we find? The more stable the 'downhill' folder, the more it aggregates



Biology is back in the picture: Proteins that fold faster also unfold faster and are more prone to aggregation. For proteins with sizeable hydrophobic cores, there is no thermodynamic signature of downhill folding near the melting transition

The kinetic signature of downhill folding is **tuning** from simple (one phase for all probes) to complex (multiple phases, differing for each probe) back to simpler kinetics, as thermody-namic stress is removed and the protein is biased towards the native state

A hierarchical energy landscape supports many mechanism(s), separated by just a few kT. The reason there seems to be a single mechanism for a given protein mutant/environment: population ~ exp[- $\Delta G^{\dagger}/kT$ ]

The real reason for a dominant barrier and activated kinetics: Evolutionary pressure

Barrier-free folding is difficult to reconcile with protein function, and can facilitate disadvantageous aggregation