



1944-1

Joint ICTP-IAEA Workshop on Nuclear Reaction Data for Advanced Reactor Technologies

19 - 30 May 2008

Gas-Cooled Reactors – International Reactor Physics Experimental Benchmark Analysis.

> J.M. Kendall Global Virtual LLC Prescott USA

Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy - Tel. +39 040 2240 111; Fax +39 040 224 163 - sci_info@ictp.it, www.ictp.it



IAEA-ICTP Workshop on Nuclear Reaction Data for Advanced Reactor Technologies

ICTP - Trieste, Italy, 18-30 May 2008

Gas-Cooled Reactors – International Reactor Physics Experimental Benchmark Analysis

> J. M. Kendall Global Virtual LLC



Benchmark Activities

IAEA-TECDOC-1249

- PROTEUS (Pebble Bed) Critical Facility
- VHTRC (Prismatic Block) Critical Facility

IAEA-TECDOC-1382

- HTR-10 (Pebble Bed) Core Physics
- HTTR (Prismatic Block) Core Physics

http://www.iaea.org/inisnkm/nkm/aws/htgr/abstracts/index.html



12:54 PM (<)





- Critical Balances
- Reaction rate ratios and distributions
- Control rod worths
- Water ingress effects
- Reactivity of small samples
- Kinetic parameter (β/Λ)









-6- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



| CORE | DATES | F:M | PACKING | COMMENTS |
|----------|--------------------------|-----|---------|---|
| Gl | 3/92-5/92 | - | - | ONLY PNS MEASUREMENTS , NO FUEL IN CAVITY, WITH AND WITHOUT MODERATOR PEBBLES. ZEBRA RODS IN-SITU |
| 1 | 7/92-6/93 | 2:1 | HCP | ONLY CORE WITH ZEBRA RODS |
| 1A | 6/93-8/93, 2/94-3/94 | 2:1 | НСР | CORE 1 WITH ZEBRA RODS REPLACED BY CONVENTIONAL CONTROL RODS |
| 2 | 8/93-10/93 | 2:1 | HCP | CORE 1A WITH FIVE FUELED LAYERS REPLACED BY MODERATOR PEBBLES - "CAVITY EFFECT" |
| G2 | 10/93 | - | - | PNS MEASUREMENTS WITHOUT FUEL IN CAVITY, ZEBRA RODS COMPLETELY REMOVED |
| 3 | 10/93-2/94 | 2:1 | HCP | CORE 1A WITH SIMULATED WATER INGRESS - EVERY AVAILABLE VERTICAL CHANNEL CONTAINED A 9mm CH2 ROD |
| 4(1,2,3) | 3/94-6/94 | 1:1 | RANDOM | THIS CONFIGURATION REPEATED THREE TIMES |
| 5 | 7/94-4/95, 11/95-1/96 | 2:1 | P-O-P | FIRST COLUMN HEX LOADING |
| 6 | 4/95-5/95 | 2:1 | P-O-P | CORE 5 WITH MAXIMUM CH2 LOADING, COMPENSATED WITH COPPER WIRE |
| 7 | 5/95-10/95 | 2:1 | P-O-P | CORE 5 WITH MAXIMUM CH2 LOADING, COMPENSATED BY REDUCING CORE HEIGHT |
| 8 | 1/96-2/96 | 2:1 | P-O-P | CORE 5 WITH EVERY VERTICAL CHANNEL CONTAINING A 15cm LONG TRIANGULAR CH2 ROD |
| 9 | 2/96-5/96 | 1:1 | P-O-P | CORE 5 REPEATED WITH F:M OF 1:1 |
| 10 | 5/96-6/96 | 1:1 | P-O-P | CORE 9 WITH MAXIMUM CH2 LOADING, COMPENSATED BY REDUCING CORE HEIGHT |

HCP = hexagonal close packed

P-O-P = point-on-point (column hexagonal),

F:M = fuel-to-moderator ratio indicates simulated water ingress in this core

-7- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



| | CRITICAL LOADING | Σ _a | SUBCRIT CORE | SHUTI RO | DOWN DS | CON RO | TROL DS | UPPER REFL. | β/A | MEAS. RODS | CENT. CONT. ROD | TEMP. COEFF | COM- PONENT WORTHS | MISC. |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| METHOD CORE | PEBBLE COUNT | PNS | PNS | PNS | IK | SP | PNS | PNS (SP) | PNS | PNS | PNS | COMP | COMP | - |
| G1 | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | \checkmark | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | |
| 1A | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | ✓ | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | \checkmark | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| G2 | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | \checkmark | | ~ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | | | | |
| 1A | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | | ✓ | | | | | | | | |
| 4(1) | \checkmark | | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| 4(2) | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| 4(3) | ~ | | | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | \checkmark | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | \checkmark | ~ | water/ CH ₂ CH ₂ in lower axial reflector |
| 6 | \checkmark | | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | ~ | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | water/ CH ₂ CH ₂ in lower axial reflector |
| 8 | \checkmark | | | | | | \checkmark | | | | | | | |
| 9 | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | ~ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | |
| 10 | ~ | | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ | | | | subcriticalit y with CH ₂ removed |

- -8- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



Methods - Critical Balances Including Streaming

- At PSI: The TWODANT [8.1] transport theory code with cross sections obtained from the MICROX-2 [8.2] cell calculation code, using JEF-1 based nuclear data, as well as the MCNP-4B [8.3] Monte Carlo code using an ENDF/B-V based continuous cross-section data library.
- At IRI: The DORT [8.4] transport theory and the BOLD-VENTURE [8.5] diffusion theory codes with inputs processed by the INAS code system (IRI-NJOY-AMPX-SCALE) [8.6] using JEF-2.2 basic nuclear data files, as well as the multigroup Monte Carlo code KENO-Va.
- At JAERI: The SRAC95 [8.7] code system with its library based on both ENDF/B-IV and JENDL-3.2.
- At KFA: The CITATION diffusion calculation code, part of the VSOP [8.8] code system using JEF-1 and ENDF/B-V based libraries.
- At INET: The VSOP computer code system.

 -9- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



Experimental and Calculated Critical Balances Cores 1A, 2, 3 and 4.3

| | Core 1A | Core 2 | Core 3 | Core 4.3 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| experimental | 1.0147±0.0006 | 1.0106±0.0006 | 1.0033±0.0004 | 1.0132±0.001 |
| VSOP (INET) | 1.01299 | 1.00389 | 1.01333 | 1.00512 |
| C/E | 0.9983±0.0006 | 0.9934±0.0006 | 1.0100 ± 0.0004 | 0.992 ± 0.001 |
| VSOP (KFA) | - | - | - | 1.0246 |
| C/E | - | - | - | 1.011 ± 0.001 |
| SRAC-ENDF/B-IV (JAERI)* | 1.0384 | 1.0376 | - | - |
| C/E | 1.0234 ± 0.0006 | 1.0267 ± 0.0006 | - | - |
| SRAC-JENDL-3.2 (JAERI) | 1.0427 | 1.0412 | - | - |
| C/E | 1.0276±0.0006 | 1.0303 ± 0.0006 | - | - |

Not corrected for streaming effect

⁻¹⁰⁻ IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



Experimental and Calculated Critical Balances Cores 5, 7, 9 and 10

| | Core 5 | Core 7 | Core 9 | Core 10 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| experimental | 1.0112±0.0005 | 1.0067±0.0004 | 1.0142±0.0007 | 1.0075±0.0001 |
| TWODANT (PSI) | 1.0218 | 1.0384 | 1.0262 | 1.0330 |
| C/E | 1.0105 ± 0.0005 | 1.00315 ± 0.0004 | 1.0118 ± 0.0007 | 1.0253 ± 0.0001 |
| DORT (IRI) | 1.03126 | 1.03962 | 1.03017 | 1.03395 |
| C/E | 1.0198±0.0005 | 1.0327 ± 0.0004 | 1.0157 ± 0.0007 | 1.0263 ± 0.0001 |
| BOLD-VENTURE (IRI) | 1.03147 | 1.03600 | 1.03018 | 1.03138 |
| C/E | 1.0200 ± 0.0005 | 1.0291 ± 0.0004 | 1.0158 ± 0.0007 | 1.0237 ± 0.0001 |
| SRAC-ENDF/B-IV (JAERI) | 1.0358 | 1.0515 | - | - |
| C/E | 1.0243±0.0005 | 1.0445 ± 0.0004 | - | - |
| SRAC-JENDL-3.2 (JAERI) | 1.0394 | 1.0545 | - | - |
| C/E | 1.0289 ± 0.0005 | 1.0475 ± 0.0004 | - | - |
| VSOP (KFA) | 1.0379 | 1.0446 | - | - |
| C/E | 1.0264±0.0005 | 1.0376 ± 0.0004 | - | - |
| KENO (IRI) | 1.03125±0.0007 | 1.03881±0.0006 | 1.02933±0.0005 | 1.03222±0.0005 |
| C/E | 1.0198±0.0009 | 1.0319 ± 0.0007 | 1.0149 ± 0.0009 | 1.0245 ± 0.0005 |

-11- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



Reactivity vs. Percentage of Polyethylene Rods in Core 10



⁻¹²⁻ IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.





-13- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.





Circles in core and reflector indicate graphite rods. The rods in core can be replaced with fuel rods, while those in reflector can be replaced with heater rods.

-14- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



Unit : mm



-15- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.



| | | Resonance c | alculation | Ce | il calculati | ion | Whole re- | actor cale | ulation |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|
| Institute | Nuclear data | Code | Group | Code | Group | Geometry | Code | Group | Geometry |
| GA | ENDF / B-V | GAR | 9k-15k | GAM GATHER MICROX | 92 101 92+101 | Coaxial cylinders | DIF3D | 9 | 3D(T-Z) |
| INET | ENDF / B-IV | ZUT | | GAM THERMOS | | Cylinder | CITATION | 4 | 2D(R-Z) |
| JAERI | ENDF / B-IV ENDF / B-III | PEACO | 4.6k | [SRAC] PIJ | 21+41 | Hexagonal cylinder | [SRAC] CITATION | 25 | 3D(T-Z) |
| KFA | ENDF / B-V JEF-1 | ZUT-DGL | | [VSOP] GAM THERMOS | | Cylinder | [VSOP] CITATION | 5 | 2D(R-Z) |
| KI | Domestic | Experiment correlated | | FI.Y | 26+77 | Cylinder | CONSUL (Diffusion) | 4 | 3D(T-Z) |
| оквм | Domestic UKNDL | NEKTAR (plane) | | WIMS-D4 THERMOS | 67 | Cylinder | JAR (Diffusion) | 2 | 3D |
| ORNL | ENDF/B-V | [MCNP] V 4.X | Conti | [MCNP] V 4.X | Cont. | Hexagonal cylinder | [MCNP] V 4 X | Cont. | 3D(xyz) |

Cont. : Continuous energy

-16- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.





OKBM* - Macroscopic cross sections by WIMS-D4/UKNDL

-17- IAEA-TECDOC-1249, Critical experiments and reactor physics calculations for low enriched high temperature gas cooled reactors, October 2001.





-18- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.





Core physics calculation Geometric model

-19- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



| | HTR-10 Benchmark Problems | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| B1 | Initial Criticality | Pebble loading height for k _{eff} = 1.0 with no control rods inserted | | | | |
| B2 | Temperature Coefficient | k _{eff} of the full core (5 m ³) at 20°C (B21), 120°C (B22), and 250°C (B23) with no control rods inserted | | | | |
| B3 | Control Rod Worth, Full Core | Δk_{eff} for ten (B31) and one (B32) fully inserted control rods, with other rods in withdrawn position, full core (5 m ³) at 20°C | | | | |
| B4 | Control Rod Worth, Initial Core | Δk_{eff} for ten (B31) and one (B32) fully inserted control rods, with other rods in withdrawn position, core loading height of 126 cm at 20°C | | | | |
| All c | ases to assume helium | atmosphere | | | | |

Post-Test Adjustment to Benchmark Problem Assumptions/Conditions

- Density of dummy balls: $1.73 \rightarrow 1.84$ g/cm3
- Boron equivalent of impurities in dummy balls: $1.3 \rightarrow 0.125 \text{ ppm}$
- Core atmosphere: Helium \rightarrow Air
- Temperature: $20^{\circ}C \rightarrow 15^{\circ}C$

-20- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Example Results – Initial Criticality (B1)

| | Original | 1 | Revised (Dev | iated) | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| | Benchmark Pro | oblems | Benchmark Problems | | |
| | Diffusion/Transport | Monte Carlo | Diffusion/Transport | Monte Carlo | |
| China | 125.8 | 126.1 | 122.558 | 122.874 | |
| France ¹ | - | - | - | 115.36 | |
| | | | | 117.37 | |
| Germany ² | 124.2 | - | 121.0 | - | |
| - | 126.8 | | 123.3 | | |
| Indonesia ³ | 107 | - | - | - | |
| | 120 | | | | |
| Japan | 113 | - | - | - | |
| Netherlands | 125.3 | - | 122.1 | - | |
| Russia | 136 | 137.3 | - | - | |
| South Africa | - | - | 122.537 | - | |
| Turkey ⁴ | 119.27 | 129.7 | - | - | |
| | | 135.3 | | | |
| USA ⁵ | - | 127.5 | - | - | |
| | | 128 | | | |

Experimental result of critical loading height: 123.06cm. It is noted that the experimental conditions are those conditions for the revised (deviated) benchmarks except the temperature is 15°C instead of 20°C (or 27°C).

- The first row of data is obtained with simplified PB modeling, and the second row of data with improved PB modeling.
- The first row of data is obtained with 2-dimensional VSOP, and the second row of data with 3dimensional VSOP.
- The first row of data is obtained with the DELIGHT code, and the second row of data with SRAC code.
- 4. The first row of data in the Monte Carlo approach is obtained with the ENDF/B-IV nuclear data set, and the second row of data with ENDF/B-V nuclear data set.
- 5. The first row of data is obtained with the UTXS nuclear data set, and the second row of data with ENDF/B-VI nuclear data set.
 - -21- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Reasons for Differences in Results

- Some control rod cross section cell calculations more appropriate for rod array than single rod worth evaluations
- Uncertainty in the modeling of neutron streaming
- Choice of selected cross section library (JEFF, ENDF, JENDL, etc.)
- Water content of graphite pores
- Library dependent cross-sections for temperature coefficients
- Effective fuel homogenization methods
- Modeling of fuel with Monte Carlo Calculations
 - Explicit geometry (particle distribution in pebble, pebble distribution in core)

-22-

• Modeling of fuel/dummy ball ratio







-23- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Core Cross Section with Fuel Block Loading Order



-24- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.





-25- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.





| | Narrot | Number |
|----------|-----------------|--------|
| \odot | Fuel compact | 14 |
| 0 | Graphite sleeve | 1 |
| 3 | Buffer plate | 2 |
| (| Oraphite plug | 2 |
| 6 | Spacer | 9 |

Note-We recommend to regard buffer plates as word region



Fuel Rod

Fuel Compacts & Burnable



Poison Pellets

-26-

IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Analysis Methods – Diffusion Calculations

| Items | Germany | Russia | Japan | Nethe | rlands |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|
| nems | FZJ | OKBM | HTTR | NRG | IRI |
| Nuc. Data File | JEF-2.2 | ENDF/B6 | ENDF/B-4 | JEF2.2 | JEF2.2 |
| Fuel Cell Code | TOTMOS | WIMS-D/4 | DELIGHT | WIMS | SCALE4 |
| Theory | Col. | S4 | Col. | Col. | Transport |
| Model | Cyl. | Cyl. | Cyl. | Cyl. | Cyl. |
| No. of Groups | 123 | 69 | 40 | 69 | 172 |
| BP Cell Code | TOTMOS DORT | WIMS-D/4 | TWOTRAN | WIMS | SCALE4 |
| Theory | Transport | S4 | Transport | Col. | Transport |
| Model | Cyl. | Cyl. | Cyl. | Hex. | Cył. |
| No. of Groups | 123 | 69 | 6 | 16 | 172 |
| Core Cal. Code | CITATION | JAR-3D | CITATION- 1000VP | PANTHER | BOLD- VENTURE |
| Model | Tri. (24mesh) | Tri. (6 mesh) | Tri. (24mesh) | Hex. | |
| No. of Groups (Fast +Thermal) | 26 | 1 + 1 | 6 (3+3) | 2 | 13 |
| Cut-off Energy (eV) | 1.86 | 0.625 | 2.38 | 2.1 | 2.1 |

Col. = Collision Probability

Cyl. = Cylindrical

-27- IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Analysis Methods – Monte Carlo Calculations

| _ | Rus | ssia | Japan | Netherlands | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| Items | | | | | |
| | RRC KI | IBRAE | HTTR | NRG | IRI |
| Nuc. Data File | DLC/MCUDAT- 1.0 | ENDF/B6 | JENDL-3.2 | | JEF2.2 |
| Energy Struct. | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous | | Group |
| Code | MCU | MCNP 4A | MVP | | KENO V.a |
| History | 200 | 2000 (up to 16000) | 20000 | | 10000 |
| Batches | 5000 | 1000 | 150 | | 200 |
| Skipped- Batches | 1 | 10 | 5 | | 1 |



| | HTTR Benchmark Problems | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| FC | Initial Criticality | Number of fuel blocks in sequenced loading | | | |
| CR | Control Rod Position at Criticality | Control rod insertion depth at criticality for 18, 24 and 30 column core | | | |
| EX | Excess Reactivity | Excess reactivity for an unrodded 18, 24 and 30 core | | | |
| SC | Scram Reactivity | Scram reactivity for 1) all reflector CRs, 2) all CRs in reflector and core (30 column core) | | | |
| тс | Isothermal Temperature Coefficient | Isothermal temperature coefficients at 290, 320, 360, 400, 440 and 470°C for 30 column core with control rod position for criticality at 480°C | | | |





FC - Initial Criticality

Diffusion Calculations

| Member state | Number of fuel columns | Keff | Excess(%dk/k) |
|---------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Japan | 17 | 1.0005 | 0.05 |
| France | 17 | 1.0061 | 0.61 |
| Germany | 18 | 1.008 | 0.79 |
| Indonesia | 18 | 1.0058 | 0.577 |
| Russia (OKBM) | 16 | 1.005 | 0.498 |
| Experimenta1 | 19 | | |
| results | | | |

Monte Carlo Calculations

| Member state | Number of fuel columns | Keff | Excess(%dk/k) |
|------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Japan | 18 | 1.0061 | 0.61 |
| France | 18 | 1.0085 | 0.85 |
| Netherlands(IRI) | 17 | 1.0062 | 0.62 |
| Russia(IBRAE) | 16 | 1.006 | 0.596 |
| Russia(RRCKI) | 17 | 1.004 | 0.398 |
| Turkey | 15 | 1.005 | 0.50 |
| Experimental | 19 | | |
| results | | | |

-30-

IAEA-TECDOC-1382, Evaluation of HTGR performance: Benchmark analysis related to initial testing of the HTTR and HTR-10, November 2003.



Reasons for Differences in Results

- Uncertainties in levels of impurities in dummy blocks, and in water and air or nitrogen in graphite pores
- Monte Carlo model geometry representation
- Choice of selected cross section data library and version (JEF, ENDF, JENDL, etc.)
- Uncertainties in modeling neutron streaming with diffusion methods
- Difficulties in modeling harmonics in thin annular cores with diffusion methods