



1958-7

Summer School and Conference Mathematics, Algorithms and Proofs

11 - 29 August 2008

Krull Dimension

Thierry Coquand

Chalmers University, Computer Science Department,
Gothenberg, Sweden

Krull Dimension

Thierry Coquand

Trieste, August 2008

Zariski spectrum

Any element of the Zariski lattice is of the form $D(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = D(a_1) \vee \cdots \vee D(a_n)$. We have seen that D(a,b) = D(a+b) if D(ab) = 0

In general we cannot write $D(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ as D(a) for *one* element a

We can ask: what is the least number m such that any element of Zar(R) can be written on the form $D(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$. An answer is given by the following version of $Kronecker's\ Theorem$: this holds if $Kdim\ R < m$

Krull dimension of a ring

The Krull dimension of a ring is defined to be the maximal length of proper chain of prime ideals.

In fact, one can give a purely algebraic definition of the Krull dimension of a ring

Inductive definition of dimension of spectral spaces/distributive lattice: Kdim $X\leqslant n$ iff for any compact open U we have Kdim Bd(U)< n (cf. Menger-Urysohn definition of dimension)

To be zero-dimensional is to be a Boolean lattice

Krull dimension of a lattice

If L is a lattice, we say that u_1, \ldots, u_n and v_1, \ldots, v_n are (n-)complementary iff

$$u_1 \vee v_1 = 1, \ u_1 \wedge v_1 \leqslant u_2 \vee v_2, \dots, u_{n-1} \wedge v_{n-1} \leqslant u_n \vee v_n, \ u_n \wedge v_n = 0$$

For n=1: we get that u_1 and v_1 are complement

Proposition: Kdim L < n iff any n-sequence of elements has a complementary sequence

Krull dimension of a lattice

What is important here is the logical complexity

Distributive lattice: equational theory

The notion of complementary sequence is a (first-order) coherent notion

Complementary sequence

If a_1,a_2 and b_1,a_2 have a complementary sequence then so has $a_1\vee b_1,a_2$ and $a_1\wedge b_1,a_2$

If a_1,a_2 and a_1,b_2 have a complementary sequence then so has $a_1,a_2\vee b_2$ and $a_1,a_2\wedge b_2$

In this way to ensure the existence of complementary sequence it is enough to look only at elements in a generating subset of the lattice

Krull dimension of a ring

Kdim R < n is defined as Kdim (Zar(R)) < n

Proposition: Kdim R < n iff for any sequence a_1, \ldots, a_n in R there exists a sequence b_1, \ldots, b_n in R such that, in $\mathsf{Zar}(R)$, we have

$$D(a_1, b_1) = 1, \ D(a_1b_1) \leqslant D(a_2, b_2), \dots, D(a_{n-1}b_{n-1}) \leqslant D(a_n, b_n), \ D(a_nb_n) = 0$$

This is a *first-order* condition in the multi-sorted language of rings and lattices

Example: Kronecker's theorem

Kronecker in section 10 of

Grundzüge einer arithmetischen Theorie der algebraischen Grössen. J. reine angew. Math. 92, 1-123 (1882)

proves a theorem which is now stated in the following way

An algebraic variety in \mathbb{C}^n is the intersection of n+1 hypersurfaces

Theorem: If Kdim R < n then for any b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n there exist a_1, \ldots, a_n such that $D(b_0, \ldots, b_n) = D(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

This is a (non Noetherian) generalisation of Kronecker's Theorem

For each fixed n this is a first-order tautology. So, by the completeness Theorem for first-order logic, it has a first-order proof

It says that if Kdim R < n then we can write any elements of the Zariski lattice on the form $D(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$

In particular if R is a polynomial ring $k[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ with m < n then this says that given n+1 polynomials we can find n polynomials that have the same set of zeros in an arbitrary algebraic closure of k

This concrete proof/algorithm, is *extracted* from R. Heitmann "Generating non-Noetherian modules efficiently" Michigan Math. J. 31 (1984), 167-180

Though seeemingly unfeasible (use of prime ideals, topological arguments on the Zariski spectrum) this paper contains implicitely a clever and simple algorithm which can be instantiated for polynomial rings

Kronecker's Theorem is direct from the existence of complementary sequence

Lemma: If X,Y are complementary sequence then for any element a we have D(a,X)=D(X-aY)

Since we have D(a,X-aY)=D(a,X) it is enough to show $D(a)\leqslant D(X-aY)$

$$D(x_1 - ay_1, x_2 - ay_2) = D(x_1 - ay_1, x_2, ay_2)$$
 since $D(x_2y_2) = 0$

$$D(x_1 - ay_1, x_2, y_2) = D(x_1, ay_1, x_2, y_2) = D(a)$$
 since $D(x_1y_1) \le D(x_2, y_2)$

Forster's Theorem

We say that a sequence s_1, \ldots, s_l of elements of a commutative ring R is unimodular iff $D(s_1, \ldots, s_l) = 1$ iff $R = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_l \rangle$

If M is a matrix over R we let $\Delta_n(M)$ be the ideal generated by all the $n \times n$ minors of M

Theorem: Let M be a matrix over a commutative ring R. If $\Delta_n(M)=1$ and Kdim R < n then there exists an unimodular combination of the column vectors of M

This is a non Noetherian version of Forster's 1964 Theorem

Forster's Theorem

We get a first-order (constructive) proof.

It can be interpreted as an algorithm which produces the unimodular combination.

The motivation for this Theorem comes from differential geometry

If we have a vector bundle over a space of dimension d and all the fibers are of dimension r then we can find d+r generators for the module of global sections

Forster's Theorem

The proof relies on the following consequence of Cramer formulae

Proposition: If P is a $n \times n$ matrix of determinant δ and of adjoint matrix \tilde{P} then we have $D(\delta X - \tilde{P}Y) \leqslant D(PX - Y)$ for arbitrary column vectors X, Y in $R^{n \times 1}$

Corollary: If P P is a $n \times n$ matrix of determinant δ and $X, \tilde{P}Y$ are complementary then $D(\delta) \leqslant D(P(\delta X) - Y)$

Serre's Spliting-Off Theorem

This is the special case where the matrix is idempotent

The existence of a unimodular combination of the column in this case has the following geometrical intuition.

We have countinuous family of vector spaces over a base space. If the dimension of each fibers of a fibre bundle is > the dimension of the base space, one can find a non vanishing section

This is not the case in general: Moebius strip, tangent bundle of S^2

Vector bundles are represented as finitely generated projective modules

Elimination of noetherian hypotheses

Kronecker's Theorem, Forster's Theorem were first proved with the hypothesis that the ring R is noetherian

The fact that we can eliminate this hypothesis is remarkable

An example of a first-order statement for which we *cannot* eliminate this hypothesis is the Regular Element Theorem which says that if $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is regular (that is uI = 0 implies u = 0) then we can find a regular element in I.