



2015-20

Joint ICTP/IAEA Workshop on Advanced Simulation and Modelling for Ion Beam Analysis

23 - 27 February 2009

Introduction to Ion Beam Analysis: General Physics

M. Mayer Max-Planck-Institut fuer Plasmaphysik Germany



Introduction to Ion Beam Analysis: General Physics

M. Mayer

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Euratom Association,

Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

Matej.Mayer@ipp.mpg.de

- History of IBA
- Scattering kinematics
- Rutherford cross-section and beyond
- Stopping
- Energy spread:
 - Detector resolution
 - Energy straggling
 - Multiple scattering
- Depth resolution

Introduction



IBA – Ion Beam Analysis

- Group of methods for the near-surface layer analysis of solids
- Elemental composition and depth profiling of individual elements
- Quantitative without reference samples
- No (or small) matrix effects
- Non-destructive
- Analysed depth: few 100 nm (heavy ions) to 40 μm (protons, high energy NRA)
- High sensitivity \approx ppm



IBA methods: Detection of charged particles



- \Rightarrow Methods can often be used in the same experimental setup
- \Rightarrow Methods can sometimes be used simultaneously



IBA methods: Detection of photons





Ion beam Analysis (IBA) acronyms: G. Amsel, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B118 (1996) 52

History



Sir Ernest Rutherford (1871 - 1937)

● 1909: Rutherford's scattering experiments: ⁴He on Au
 ⇒ Atomic nucleus, nature of the atom





 1957: S. Rubin, T.O. Passell, E. Bailey, "Chemical Analysis of Surfaces by Nuclear Methods", *Analytical Chemistry* 29 (1957) 736

"Nuclear scattering and nuclear reactions induced by high energy protons and deuterons have been applied to the analysis of solid surfaces. The theory of the scattering method, and determination of O, Al, Si, S, Ca, Fe, Cu, Ag, Ba, and Pb by scattering method are described. C, N, O, F, and Na were also determined by nuclear reactions other than scattering. The methods are applicable to the detection of all elements to a depth of several μ m, with sensitivities in the range of 10⁻⁸ to 10⁻⁶ g/cm²."

History (2)



- 1970's: RBS becomes a popular method due to invention of silicon solid state detectors (J.W. Mayer and B.R. Gossick 1956)
- 1976: J. L'Écuyer et al.

Invention of ERDA

 1977 - 1979: Compilations of stopping power and cross-section data H.H. Andersen and J.F. Ziegler, Stopping Powers of H, He in All Elements R.A. Jarjis, Nuclear Cross Section Data for Surface Analysis

 1977: J.W. Mayer and E. Rimini Ion Beam Handbook for Materials Analysis

• 1985: M. Thompson

Computer code RUMP for analysis of RBS spectra

•since 1997: M. Mayer, N.P. Barradas

Advanced computer codes for analysis of RBS, ERDA, NRA spectra

 since 2003: Ion beam analysis nuclear data library (IBANDL) http://www-nds.iaea.org/ibandl/

Scattering Geometry







IBM geometry

Incident beam, exit beam, surface normal in one plane $\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta + \theta = 180^{\circ}$ Advantage: Simple

Cornell geometry

Incident beam, exit beam, rotation axis in one plane $\Rightarrow \cos(\beta) = -\cos(\alpha)\cos(\theta)$

Often used in RBS Advantage:

large scattering angle and grazing incident + exit angles good mass and depth resolution simultaneously

General geometry

 α, β, θ not related





 \Rightarrow Decreased mass resolution for heavier elements

Rutherford Cross Section





- Neglect shielding by electron clouds
 Distance of closest approach large enough that nuclear force is negligible
 - \Rightarrow Rutherford scattering cross section

$$\sigma_{\rm R}({\rm E},\theta) = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{4{\rm E}}\right)^2 \\ \times \frac{4\left[\left(M_2^2 - M_1^2 \sin^2\theta\right)^{1/2} + M_2 \cos\theta\right]^2}{M_2 \sin^4\theta \left(M_2^2 - M_1^2 \sin^2\theta\right)^{1/2}}$$

Note that:
$$\sigma_R \propto \frac{Z_1^2 Z_2^2}{E^2}$$

- Sensitivity increases with
- increasing Z_1
- increasing Z₂
- decreasing E

Shielded Rutherford Cross Section



Shielding by electron clouds gets important at

- low energies
- low scattering angles
- high Z₂

Shielding is taken into account by a shielding factor $F(E, \theta)$

 $\sigma(E,\theta) = F(E,\theta) \,\sigma_{R}(E,\theta)$

 $F(E, \theta)$ close to unity

 $F(E, \theta)$ is obtained by solving the scattering equations for a shielded interatomic potential:

$$V(r) = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r} \varphi(r/a)$$

 φ : Screening function

Use Thomas-Fermi or Lenz-Jenssen screening function

a: Screening radius
$$a = 0.885a_0 (Z_1^{2/3} + Z_2^{2/3})^{-1/2}$$

 a_0 : Bohr radius

Shielded Rutherford Cross Section (2)





For all θ : Andersen et al. (1980)





Cross section becomes non-Rutherford if nuclear forces get important

- high energies
- high scattering angles
- low Z_2

Energy at which the cross section deviates by > 4% from Rutherford at $160^{\circ} \le \theta \le 180^{\circ}$ Bozoian (1991)

¹H:
$$E^{NR}_{Lab} = 0.12 Z_2 - 0.5$$
 [MeV]
⁴He: $E^{NR}_{Lab} = 0.25 Z_2 + 0.4$ [MeV]

Linear Fit to experimental values (¹H, ⁴He) or optical model calculations (⁷Li)

Accurate within $\pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}$



J.R. Tesmer and M. Nastasi, Handbook of Modern Ion Beam Materials Analysis, MRS, 1995



M. Mayer ICTP Trieste Feb. 2009

Stopping Power





• Electronic stopping power:

- Andersen, Ziegler (1977):
 - H, He in all elements
- Ziegler, Biersack, Littmarck (1985):
 All ions in all elements
- Several SRIM-versions since then
- Additional work by Kalbitzer, Paul, ...
- Accuracy: 5% for H, He
 10% for heavy ions

• Nuclear stopping power:

- Only important for heavy ions or low energies
- Ziegler, Biersack, Littmarck (1985):
- All ions in all elements using ZBL potential



J.F. Ziegler, Helium - Stopping Powers and Ranges in All Elements, Vol. 4, Pergamon Press, 1977



Stopping in compounds:

consider compound $A_m B_n$, with m + n = 1 S_A is stopping power in element A S_B is stopping power in element B What is stopping power S_{AB} in compound?

Bragg's rule (Bragg and Kleeman, 1905): $S_{AB} = m S_A + n S_B$

Bragg's rule is accurate in:

Metal alloys

Bragg's rule is inaccurate (up to 20%) in:

- Hydrocarbons
- Oxides
- Nitrides
- ...

Other models for compounds

- Hydrocarbons: Cores-and-Bonds (CAB) model Ziegler, Manoyan (1988) Contributions of atomic cores and chemical bonds between atoms
- Large number of experimental data, especially for hydrocarbons, plastics, ...

Silicon Detector Resolution

Principle of operation:

- Creation of electron-hole pairs by charged particles
- Separation of electron-hole pairs by high voltage V
 - \Rightarrow Number of electron-hole pairs \propto Particle energy
 - \Rightarrow Charge pulse \propto Particle energy

Limited energy resolution due to:

- Statistical fluctuations in energy transfer to electrons and phonons
- Statistical fluctuations in annihilation of electron-hole pairs

Additional energy broadening due to:

- Preamplifier noise
- Other electronic noise





Silicon Detector Resolution (2)



Typical values (FWHM):

- H 2 MeV: 10 keV
- He 2 MeV: 12 keV
- Li 5 MeV: 20 keV

Ad-hoc fit to experimental data:

FWHM resolution (keV) = $C_1(Z_1)^{C2}(\ln E_{keV})^{C3} - C_4(Z_1)^{C5}/(\ln E_{keV})^{C6}$ $C_n = 0.0999288, 1.1871, 1.94699, 0.18, 2.70004, 9.29965$

Si Detector Resolution for Heavy Ions Shown are Particle Names Shown are Particle Names Ne C Ne C

J.F. Ziegler, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B136-138 (1998) 141

Better energy resolution for heavy ions can be obtained by:

- Electrostatic analyser
- Magnetic analyser
- Time-of-flight

- (Disadvantage: large)
- (Disadvantage: large)
- (Disadvantage: length, small solid angle)



Slowing down of ions in matter is accompanied by a spread of beam energy

\Rightarrow energy straggling

- Electronic energy loss straggling due to statistical fluctuations in the transfer of energy to electrons
- Nuclear energy loss straggling due to statistical fluctuations in the nuclear energy loss
- Geometrical straggling due to finite detector solid angle and finite beam spot size
- Multiple small angle scattering

Electronic Energy Loss Straggling



Due to statistical fluctuations in the transfer of energy to electrons

 \Rightarrow statistical fluctuations in energy loss

Energy after penetrating a layer Δx : $\langle E \rangle = E_0 - S \Delta x$

<E> mean energy

S stopping power





Electronic Energy Loss Straggling (2)



Shape of the energy distribution?

$\Delta E/E_0$		
< 10%	Vavilov theory	Low number of ion-electron collisions Non-Gaussian
10% – 20%	Bohr theory	Large number of ion-electron collisions Gaussian
20% – 50%	Symon, Tschalär theory	Non-stochastic broadening due to stopping Almost Gaussian
50% – 90%	Payne, Tschalär theory	Energy below stopping power maximum Non stochastic squeezing due to stopping Non-Gaussian
		INCIDENT ION SAMPLE -Depth x ₁ Vavilov Depth x ₂ Gaussian
	E	

Vavilov Theory



Vavilov 1957

P.V. Vavilov, Soviet Physics J.E.T.P. 5 (1957) 749

Valid for small energy losses

 \Rightarrow Low number of ion-electron collisions

 \Rightarrow Non-Gaussian energy distribution with tail towards low energies



Mostly replaced by Bohr's theory and approximated by Gaussian distribution

- ⇒ Total energy resolution near the surface usually dominated by detector resolution due to small energy loss straggling
- \Rightarrow Only necessary in high resolution experiments

Bohr Theory



Bohr 1948

N. Bohr, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 18 (1948)

Valid for intermediate energy losses

- \Rightarrow Large number of ion-electron collisions
- \Rightarrow Gaussian energy distribution

Approximations in Bohr's theory:

- Ions penetrating a gas of free electrons
- Ions are fully ionised
- Ion velocity >> electron velocity \Rightarrow stationary electrons
- Stopping power effects are neglected

$$\sigma_{Bohr}^2 = 0.26 Z_1^2 Z_2 \Delta x$$

- $\sigma^2_{\it Bohr}~$ variance of the Gaussian distribution [keV²]
- Δx depth [10¹⁸ atoms/cm²]
- Z₁ atomic number of incident ions
- Z₂ atomic number of target atoms





Improvements to Bohr Theory

Chu 1977

J.W. Mayer, E. Rimini, Ion Beam Handbook for Material Analysis, 1977

Approximations in Chu's theory:

- Binding of electrons is taken into account
- Hartree-Fock electron distribution
- Stopping power effects are neglected

$$\sigma_{Chu}^2 = H\left(\frac{E}{M_1}, Z_2\right)\sigma_{Bohr}^2$$

 \Rightarrow Smaller straggling than Bohr







Additive rule proposed by Chu 1977

J.W. Mayer, E. Rimini, Ion Beam Handbook for Material Analysis, 1977

consider compound $A_m B_n$, with m + n = 1 σ_A^2 is variance of straggling in element A σ_B^2 is variance of straggling in element B

$$\sigma_{AB}{}^2 = \sigma_A{}^2 + \sigma_B{}^2$$

- ${\sigma_{A}}^2~$ Straggling in element A in a layer m Δx
- $\sigma_{\text{B}}{}^2$ $\,$ Straggling in element B in a layer $\,$ n Δx

Propagation of Straggling in Thick Layers



Consider particles with energy distribution

- Energy above stopping power maximum
 higher energetic particles ⇒ smaller stopping
 ⇒ non-stochastic broadening
- Energy below stopping power maximum
 higher energetic particles ⇒ larger stopping
 ⇒ non-stochastic squeezing

C. Tschalär, Nucl. Instr. Meth. **61** (1968) 141 M.G. Payne, Phys. Rev. **185** (1969) 611





Adding stochastic and non-stochastic effects:

• Statistically independent

$$\sigma_f^2 = \left(\frac{S_f}{S_i}\right)^2 \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_{Chu}^2$$



Propagation of Straggling: Examples



$$\sigma_f^2 = \left(\frac{S_f}{S_i}\right)^2 \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_{Chu}^2$$

PD

Multiple and Plural Scattering

Multiple small angle deflections

- Path length differences on ingoing and outgoing paths
 ⇒ energy spread
- Spread in scattering angle
 - \Rightarrow energy spread of starting particles
- P. Sigmund and K. Winterbon, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 119 (1974) 541
- E. Szilagy et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. B100 (1995) 103

Large angle deflections (Plural scattering)

- For example: Background below high-Z layers
- W. Eckstein and M. Mayer, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B153 (1999) 337





500 keV ⁴He, 100 nm Au on Si, $\theta = 165^{\circ}$ Energy (keV)



M. Mayer ICTP Trieste Feb. 2009

Depth resolution

- Distance between 2 layers, so that their energy separation is identical to the energy spread
- Energy spread is measured in FWHM

• Depth resolution Δd :

- \Rightarrow Depth resolution in FWHM
- It is not possible to obtain information about the depth profile better than the depth resolution









Energy

Depth resolution (2)

$$S_{eff} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{dE}{dx}(x) \end{vmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} S_{eff} \\ E: \\ x: \\ \end{array}$$

S_{eff}: Effective stopping power
E: Mean energy in detector
c: Depth

$$\Delta d(x) = \frac{\Delta E(x)}{S_{eff}(x)}$$

∆E: Energy straggling

Summary

- IBA: Group of methods for the near-surface layer analysis of solids Charged particles or photons can be detected
- Scattering kinematics: Mass resolution
- Stopping power: Depth resolution
- Rutherford cross-section: Shielding by electrons; non-Rutherford at high energies
- Silicon detector: Limited energy resolution

 Energy spread due to Energy-loss straggling + propagation in thick layers Multiple small-angle scattering; Plural scattering

• Limited depth resolution due to effective stopping power + energy spread