



2038-6

#### Conference: From DNA-Inspired Physics to Physics-Inspired Biology

1 - 5 June 2009

Generalizations and ramifications induced by counterion structure in the strong and weak-coupling electrostatic interactions between macromolecules

Rudi PODGORNIK

University of Ljubljana, J. Stefan Institute, Slovenia & National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA

Generalizations and ramifications induced by counterion structure in the strong and weak-coupling electrostatic interactions between macromolecules

Rudi Podgornik



Department of Physics Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana Department of Theoretical Physics J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana Slovenia





Laboratory of Physical and Structural Biology National Institute of Child Health and Human Development National Institutes of Health Bethesda, MD



A. Naji (UCSB postdoc)Y. S. Jho (UCSB postdoc)M. Kanduč (U-LJ PhD)M. Ličer (U-LJ PhD)

P. A. Pincus (UCSB)

Part of a broader study of image and counterions structure effects.

M. Kanduč and R. Podgornik: Electrostatic image effects for counterions between charged planar walls, EPJ E - Soft Matter (2007).
Y. S. Jho, M. Kanduč, A. Naji, R. Podgornik, M. W. Kim, and P. A. Pincus: Strong-Coupling Electrostatics in the Presence of Dielectric Inhomogeneities, PRL 101, 188101 (2008).
M. Kanduč, A. Naji, Y.S. Jho, P.A. Pincus, R. Podgornik: Role of Multipoles in Counterion-Mediated Interactions between Charged Surfaces: Strong and Weak Coupling (in press 2009).

# Outline:

- 1. Counterions and condensation of biomolecules
- 2. Weak and strong ES coupling dichotomy
- 3. Stiff multipoles with weak and strong ES coupling
- 4. Flexible polyelectrolytes
- 5. Polyelectrolyte bridging interactions

# **DNA-INSPIRED ELECTROSTATICS**

Not just the repository of our genetic information, DNA is also a fascinating, shape-shifting molecule whose behavior in solution counters our intuition and challenges our physical understanding.

William M. Gelbart, Robijn F. Bruinsma, Philip A. Pincus, and V. Adrian Parsegian

# PHYSICS TODAY

#### SEPTEMBER 2000



#### Let us approximate the free energy of a solution of macrotions, counterions, and added salt by the following simple functional of the ion concentrations:

$$F_{PB}(\{n_i\}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rho \Psi + kT \sum_i n_i \ln(n_i / n_0) \right\}.$$

The second term is the mean-field entropic free energy of the ions, with n being the concentration of the *i*th ion species carrying charge  $z_{\ell}$  ( $n_0$  sets the zero of the potential—see the following). The first term is the electrostatic energy, with the charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  being the sum of charge densities of the macro-ions and the mobile ions:

$$p(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{\text{macro}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{i} z_{i} e n_{i}(\mathbf{r}).$$
 .

The local electrostatic potential is  $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ . The charge density and the potential are related by Poisson's equation,  $-\nabla^{p}\Psi = (4\pi/e)\rho(\mathbf{r})$ , where e is the dielectric constant of the continuum—the aqueous medium in which the ions are dissolved. Minimization of equation 1 with respect to the ion concentrations leads to the condition that they obey the Boltzmann distribution. More explicitly, using Poisson's equation, we obtain the relation

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = -\frac{4\pi e n_0}{e} \sum_i z_i \exp(-z_i e \Psi/kT)$$

for the potential outside the surface of the macro-ions. This nonlinear differential equation, which is known as the Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) equation, must be solved under the boundary condition (Gauss's law) that the electric field  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Psi$  at the surface of a macro-ion be consistent with its fixed charge density  $\sigma$ . That is,  $-\nabla \Psi = (4\pi/e)\sigma$ .

The electrostatic self-energy of a macro-ion is computed by inserting the solution of the PB equation into equation 1 for an isolated macro-ion, and then subtracting the free energy with all charges set equal to zero. When this calculation is carried out

### Poisson-Boltzmann Mean-Field Theory of Macro-Ions

(1)

(2)

for a charged rod, the self-energy is found to be positive; the increase in entropic free energy induced by the confinement of the ions near the rod exceeds the lowering of their electrostatic energy.

The force acting between macro-ions is found by integrating the stress tensor

$$\sigma_{ij} = -kT \left(\sum_{i} n_i\right) \delta_{ij} + (\epsilon/4\pi) \{E_i E_j - (E^4/8)\delta_{ij}\}$$

across a surface surrounding each macro-ion. For large distances r, the dimensionless electrostatic potential  $e\Psi(\mathbf{r})/kT$  in between the macro-ions is small compared to one and the PB equation reduces to the well-known Debye–Hückel (DH) equation:

$$∇2Ψ = z3κ3Ψ.$$
 (4)

The Debye screening length is  $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi\lambda_n n_c)$ ,  $\lambda_n \equiv e^2/ekT$  is the Bjerrum length, and z is the magnitude of the z. It is straightforward to solve equation 4 for two parallel line charges each with a charge per unit length  $\lambda$  and separated by a distance r. We can then use this solution to compute the force on a rod provided we integrate the stress tensor over a cylindrical surface located outside the rod with a radius big enough compared to  $\kappa^{-1}$  for the DH approximation to be valid. The effective interaction computed in this way is  $V(r) \approx (2\lambda^2/e)(\pi/2\kappa r)^{1/2} \exp( \kappa r$ ),  $\kappa r \gg 1$ . Here,  $\lambda'$  is an effective or renormalized charge per unit length whose relation to the bare charge density  $\lambda$  must come from a complete solution of the PB equation. For  $b < \lambda_a$ ,  $\lambda^*/\lambda$  is found to equal the Manning-Oosawa parameter  $\xi \equiv$  $\lambda_{a}/b$  and to equal one otherwise (b is the distance between fixed charges on the rod). A similar calculation for spherical macroions shows that the effective charge z'e of an isolated sphere equals the bare charge ze, consistent with there being no counterion condensation in this case. For any nonzero concentration of spheres, however, z'e is of order  $R/\lambda_{a}$ , with R being the sphere radius. For charged planar surfaces, on the other hand, the renormalized charge per unit area is effectively zero.

It is also known that DNA condensation is effectively driven by short stiff polyamines such as spermine and spermidine which can be viewed as rodlike ions. In this respect, ion structure is anticipated to play a crucial role in the interactions between charged bodies.



Putrescine (charge 2+)

The DNA-DNA interactions can be measured directly in osmotic stress experiments.

Attraction energies:  $\sim 0.1$  kT/ base pair.



Rau et al., 1997.

# Thomas E. Angelini, Hongjun Liang, Willy Wriggers, and Gerard C. L. Wong, PNAS July 22, 2003 vol. 100 8634 – 8637



Schematic representations of uncondensed and condensed F-actin. (A) At low multivalent ion concentrations, two F-actin filaments maintain their native 13/6 symmetry and are unbound. (B) At high multivalent ion concentrations, the ions collectively form a CDW and bundle F-actin filaments. Moreover, the CDW forms a coupled mode with torsional distortions of the F-actin and has overtwisted it by 3.8° per monomer to a new 36/17 symmetry.

Polyelectrolyte condensation using the anionic rodlike M13 virus, and a series of "tunable" divalent cat ions, H3N- (CH2)n-NH3, where n = 1, 2, 3, 4. These diamine ions consist of two amine groups separated by n CH2 groups (for n =1 and 2 the size is 0.29 and 0.37 nm).



John C. Butler, Thomas Angelini, Jay X. Tang, and Gerard C. L. Wong, PRL 91, 028301-1 (2003).

The surface of each filamentous bacteriophage M13 virus is comprised of approximately 2700 copies of a major coat protein which package the single-stranded circular viral DNA into a rod (persistence length of 2 microns) with a total length of 880 nm and a diameter of 6.6 nm. This major coat protein is a charged helix consisting of 50 residues, and constitutes the bulk of the total charge on the virus. Smooth charge density compared to other biopolymers such as DNA and F-actin.



Complexes of DNA and cationic PE. poly-L-lysine (PL), poly-L-arginine (PA), spermine (Sp), and linear and branched polyethyleneimine (IPEI and bPEI). SAXS on PL-DNA and PA-DNA. Tight bundle, loose bundle and isotropic. de Rouchey et al. 2004.



# Coulomb and BoltzmannBjerrum length $V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{e_1 \ e_2}{4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|},$ Gouy - Chapman length $\ell_B = e_0^2/4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0 kT.$ Coulomb's law<br/>and<br/>kT $\lambda_{GC} = \frac{2 \ kT \ \epsilon\epsilon_0}{e_0 \ \sigma}.$

Ratio between the Bjerrum and the Gouy - Chapman lengths. Bulk versus surface interactions.

Weak coupling limit (Poisson - Boltzmann) Ξ→ 0

## Coupling parameter

$$\Xi=2\pi Z^3\ell_B^2\sigma$$

Strong coupling limit (Netz - Moreira)  $\Xi \rightarrow \infty$ 



Collective description (Poisson - Boltzmann "N" description) Screened Debye-Hueckel

vs. Single particle description (Strong Coupling "1" description)



# The weak coupling (PB) theory: collective description



Non-equilibrium free energy = (electrostatic energy) - k (ideal gas entropy)



$$\mathcal{F} = \Delta \mathcal{E} - T \Delta \mathcal{S}$$

Electrostatic energy: collaps to the surface Entropy: uniform distribution of charges The two together: non-homogeneous ionic profile

Weak coupling limit = minimization of the collective free energy.

# Strong coupling theory: one particle description







Electrostatic energy without mobile counterions

Electrostatic energy of a single counterion

Electrostatic energy of two counterions



Electrostatic energy of a single counterion plus entropy of a trapped counterion.

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_0 - kT \equiv N \log \int_{(V)} d^3 \mathbf{r} \exp -\frac{\mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{r})}{kT}.$$

Netz (2000)

Strong coupling limit = virial expansion to first order.

# Weak and strong coupling theory: multipoles and polyelectrolytes

We investigate completely general distribution of charges in counterions.



From stiff to flexible counterions.

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R}_i,\boldsymbol{\omega}_i) = e_0 q \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) - p_0(\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) + t_0(\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla})^2 \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) + \cdots,$$

When the multipoles become large enough the flexibility effects can not be ignored any more. multipolar effects should merge into polyelectrolyte effects.

## Later we will also deal with flexible counterions.

large stiff multipoles

long flexible polyelectrolytes

Both will be analysed.

For certain multivalent ions, the separations between charges in an ion are too large to be ignored, leading to breakdown of the pointlike picture of ions assumed in the PB theory.

Yong Woon Kim, Juyeon Yi and P. A. Pincus PRL 101, 208305(2008)



Pressure as a function of the plate separation D (a) for small dumbbell ions of d 0:5 with various values of Xi. Solid and dashed lines denote SC predictions for respective dumbbell sizes. For comparison, pressures for the equivalent system consisting of pointlikeions and having the same surface charge are plotted together in (a): MC simulations(filled symbols), PB (solid),and SC (dashed) solutions.

## Yong Woon Kim, Juyeon Yi and P. A. Pincus PRL 101, 208305(2008)



Pressure as a function of the plate separation D for large dumbbell ions at 0:05 (open symbols) and at 10 4 (filled symbols). Solid and dashed lines denote PB and SC predictions for respective dumbbell sizes. For comparison, pressures for the equivalent system consisting of pointlikeions and having the same surface charge are plotted together: MC simulations(filled symbols), PB (solid),and SC (dashed) solutions.

# Multipolar counterions

A reformulation of the model of the electrostatic interations between (bio)colloids.



Multipole expansion for charge density of pointlike counterins (plus dielectric inhomogeneities):

$$\hat{
ho}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{R}_i,\boldsymbol{\omega}_i) = e_0 q \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) - p_0(\mathbf{n}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) + t_0(\mathbf{n}_i\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})^2\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_i) + \cdots,$$

 $t_0 = e_0 q l^2 / 24.$  (quadrupolar moment for a rod)

First part is just the standard primitive model. Point counterions.

Dipolar part: Abrashkin A, Andelman D and Orland H, PRL 99 077801 2007. Quadrupolar part: Maset S and Bohinc K J. Phys. A 40 11815 2007.

Interactions and dielectric images.

The interaction potential is:

$$u(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = u_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') + u_{\mathrm{im}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}').$$

And contains the bare as well as the image contributions due to dielectric inhomogeneity.

## Planar Green's function.

$$\begin{split} u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} \left[ \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{\Delta^{|n|}}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r} - 2na\,\hat{\mathbf{k}}|} + \right. \\ &+ \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{\Delta^{|n|}}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r} + (2na - 2z')\,\hat{\mathbf{k}}|} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta = (\varepsilon - \varepsilon')/(\varepsilon + \varepsilon').$$

The external charge is assumed to be of the form:

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma \delta(a-z) + \sigma \delta(a+z).$$



There are two types of effects: those due to the multipolar charge disstribution of counterions, the entropy effects of finite size of the counterions, image effects of the dielectric discontinuities in the system.

# The Poisson - Boltzmann theory

The generalized PB equation for the case of counterions with multipolar structure.

$$\psi'' = -rac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \mathrm{d}x \,\,\Omega(x,z) \left( u(z) - pxu'(z) + tx^2 u''(z) 
ight), \qquad u(z) = C \exp\left( -\psi - px\psi' - tx^2 \psi'' 
ight)$$

$$p = p_0/e_0 q \mu$$
 and  $t = t_0/e_0 q \mu^2$ ,

Just as in the standard PB theory there exists a pressure equation (first integral of the B equation)

## Positional as well as orientational profile:

Orientational OP.

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \left( 3 \left\langle x^2 \right\rangle - 1 \right).$$
  $\left\langle x \right\rangle$ 

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{\int x^2 u(x) \mathrm{d}x}{\int u(x) \mathrm{d}x}.$$

$$x = \cos \theta$$
,

$$S = -1/2$$
  $-1/2 < S < 0$   $S = 0$   $0 < S < 1$   $S = 1$ 

# The Poisson - Boltzmann theory: numerics

An approximate (perturbation) solution.





Mean-field results for various t in first-order approximation. (a) Counterion density profile. By increasing t in the mean-field limit counterions are depleted from the center toward both surfaces. (b) Order parameter profile.



(c) Dimensionless
 pressure-distance curves
 obtained from the first order approximation.
 Quadrupolar contributions
 are attractive. No image
 effects! (planar geometry)

This is all for point quandrupoles. Thus only multipolar and not steric and entropic effects which are easy to understand in terms of bridging and steric hindrance (Maset S and Bohinc K J. Phys. A 40 11815 2007).

# The strong coupling theory

The free energy for multipoles with dielectric images derived exactly.

$$ilde{F}/ ilde{A} = 2 ilde{a} - 2\ln\int_{- ilde{a}}^{ ilde{a}}\mathrm{d} ilde{z}\int_{-1}^{1}\mathrm{d}x\,\exp\Bigl(-\sum_{i=1}^{9} ilde{w}_{i}( ilde{z},x)\Bigr).$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{w}_{1}(\tilde{z},x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Xi\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{n\tilde{a}\Delta^{n}}{(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}} - \frac{\Xi}{4\tilde{a}} \ln(1 - \Delta^{2}), \\ \tilde{w}_{2}(\tilde{z},x) &= \frac{1}{2}\Xi p \, x\tilde{z} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{n\tilde{a}\Delta^{n}}{[(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}]^{2}}, \\ \tilde{w}_{3}(\tilde{z},x) &= -\frac{1}{8}\Xi t(1 - 3x^{2}) \left\{ \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{\Delta^{n}}{(n\tilde{a})^{3}} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}} n\tilde{a} \frac{(n\tilde{a})^{2} + 3\tilde{z}^{2}}{[(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}]^{3}} \Delta^{n} \right\}, \\ \tilde{w}_{5}(\tilde{z},x) &= \frac{1}{8}\Xi p^{2} \left\{ (1 - 3x^{2}) \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{\Delta^{n}}{(n\tilde{a})^{3}} + (1 + x^{2}) \sum_{n \text{ odd}} n\tilde{a} \frac{(n\tilde{a})^{2} + 3\tilde{z}^{2}}{[(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}]^{3}} \Delta^{n} \right\}, \\ \tilde{w}_{6}(\tilde{z},x) &= \frac{3}{4}\Xi pt(1 + x^{2})x \,\tilde{z} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} n\tilde{a} \frac{(n\tilde{a})^{2} + \tilde{z}^{2}}{[(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}]^{4}} \Delta^{n}, \\ \tilde{w}_{9}(\tilde{z},x) &= \frac{3}{32}\Xi t^{2} \left\{ (3 - 30x^{2} + 35x^{4}) \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{\Delta^{n}}{(n\tilde{a})^{5}} + (3 + 2x^{2} + 3x^{4}) \sum_{n \text{ odd}} n\tilde{a} \frac{(n\tilde{a})^{4} + 10(n\tilde{a}\tilde{z})^{2} + 5\tilde{z}^{4}}{[(n\tilde{a})^{2} - \tilde{z}^{2}]^{5}} \Delta^{n} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Without the images higher order multipoles thus make NO direct contribution to the strong coupling interaction free energy or forces between the bounding charged surfaces without dielectric inhomogeneities!

## The strong coupling theory: numerics An exact solution.







Strong coupling results for  $\Xi$ =50 and  $\Delta$ =0.95 and various t. (a)Counterion density profile. (b) Order parameter profile. Counterions are less ordered at the center. Order is increased with increasing t.

Thus one can conclude at th higher order multipoles are co



(c) Quadrupolar pressure difference. Contribution is repulsive at small separations and becomes attractive at larger separations. At very large separations it goes to zero.

point that in the dielectrically homogeneous case the mpletely irrelevant on the SC level. Only the monopolar term survives in the part tion function. In a dielectrically homogeneous case multipolar moments play absolutely no role in the strong coupling limit!

# Polyelectrolyte bridging

Definition of polyelectrolyte bridging interactions. Flexible counterions.



Electrostatic interactions effect polymer structural parameters (persistence length, stretching modulus) as well as conformations (DNA condensation).

Polyelectrolyte bridging: a case of coupling between conformations and interactions.

Podgornik and Licer (2007)

Model system: a charged flexible chain and oppositely charged macroions.

## Polyelectrolyte chain between charged surfaces



On large lengthscales the mesoscopic Hamiltonian can be taken as Edwards model (flexible chain) plus electrostatic interactions.

$$\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{r}(s),\mathbf{r}_i] = \frac{3}{2\ell} \int_0^L \dot{\mathbf{r}}(s)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^L V\left(|\mathbf{r}(s) - \mathbf{r}(s')|\right) ds ds$$
  
Chain entropy Chain interactions

M. Turesson 
$$L = N\ell$$
.  $V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{e_1 \ e_2}{4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0 |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|},$ 

The WC limit in this case should lead to a form of the PB equation. The polyelectrolyte PB equation (PEPB). What is it?

$$-\epsilon\epsilon_0 \nabla^2 \phi = e_0 n_0 \ e^{-\frac{e_0 \phi}{kT}}. \qquad \epsilon\epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} + \sigma = 0.$$

The standard PB equation for mobile counterions. Is it changed?

## Polyelectrolyte Poisson - Boltzmann theory

The Poisson - Boltzmann equation for PE. Boltzmann distribution is modified by the connectivity of the polycounterion.

$$-\epsilon\epsilon_0 \nabla^2 \phi = \rho_\phi = \langle \exp\left(-\beta e_\tau \phi\right) \rangle$$
 vs.

$$-\epsilon\epsilon_0\nabla^2\phi = e_0n_0 \ e^{-\frac{e_0\phi}{kT}}.$$

Polyelectrolyte PB equation (Podgornik, 1990. Akesson et al. 1989.) Connectivity implies the Edward equation for the monomer density field.

Monomer density.

 $\rho_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) = e_{\tau}\psi(\mathbf{r})^2$ 

... that satisfies ...

Edwards equation.

$$\left[\frac{\ell^2}{6}\nabla^2 + (E_N - \beta e_\tau \phi)\right]\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0.$$

Ground state dominance ansatz for long chains N >> 1.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\phi}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';N) = \psi(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}')e^{-E_NN}$$

Only the first term in eigenfunction expansion.

 $\mathcal{F} \cong k_B T E_0 N.$ 

Free energy.

# Polyelectrolyte Poisson - Boltzmann theory: explicit formulation

Combination of the standard PB equation and the Edwards equation of polymer statmech.



 $\epsilon\epsilon_0 \frac{d^2\phi(z)}{dz^2} + e_\tau \psi(z)^2 = 0.$  $\frac{\ell^2}{6} \frac{d^2 \psi(z)}{dz^2} + (E_N - \beta e_\tau \phi) \psi(z) = 0.$ 

## A coupled set of two equations.

Podgornik 1991, Varoqui 1993, Chattelier and Joanny, 1996, Borukhov et al. 1998

$$\epsilon\epsilon_0 \frac{d\phi(z=-a)}{dz} = \sigma \qquad \epsilon\epsilon_0 \frac{d\phi(z=0)}{dz} = 0. \qquad \qquad \int_{-a}^{+a} dz \psi^2(z) = \frac{N}{S}$$

Boundary condition, symmetry condition (just like PB) plus total number of polymer units.

# Single polyelectrolyte chain: solutions

Can be done explicitly and analytically.

Small separation limit:

$$E_N = \frac{\ell^2}{6} \left( \left( \frac{\pi^2}{2a} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_B a \right)$$

Large separation limit:

$$E_N = \frac{\ell^2}{6} \left( -\lambda_B^{1/3} a + ai_0 - \frac{1}{2}C(ai_0) \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}\sqrt{\lambda_B a^3}\right) \right)$$

## Interaction pressure and monomer density distribution.



Monomodal-bimodal transition

Coupling between chain conformation and interactions: bridging - no-bridging.

# PE chain plus mobile salt ions

Uni valent salt plus polyelectrolyte chains. A lot richer and more complicated.



# Polyelectrolyte bridging with salt ions

Competition between two types of electrostatic interactions. Multiple phase equilibria.



 $\Gamma = 5.45$ , I = 2.5 (corresponding to 1 charge per 2 nm<sup>2</sup> and Debye length of 1.2 nm).

Polyelectrolyte conformation and interactions are not directly correlated. Bridging attraction has to compete with PB repulsion.

PE chains in equilibrium with a bulk reservoir, interacting with Coulomb as well as hard core potentials. Borukhov, Andelman, Orland (1999)

# Charged surfaces with PE chains (SFA between mica surfaces)

Experimental results with poly acrylic acid.



Abraham et al. (2001) 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> salt (promotes adsorption of PAA onto mica) with low (squares) and high (triangles) concentration of PAA.

PAA (polyacrylic acid) and mica. Small concentration of PAA gives long range attractive bridging interaction. Qualitatively described by the PE-PB theory.

# Charged surfaces with PE chains (AFM between silica particles)







Figure 4. Manazared Knocks (FW) as a function of datasets of aspiration (2) induces free silve surfaces in a sign and on containing 2 and HX Xii at p1 = 16.3 of systems AMAC-18 constraints/momentation-done-text perform a - emission (see and data section request section aspective). Name (2) 4: (2) in a data (N, N) (1) (1) = 0.114 (2) = 0.114 (2) = 0.114 (2) = 0.014 (2) =

pure 5. Measured forces (FVR) as a function of distance of separation (2) between two allos austiaons in an aqueous particular containing 20 mH KC1 at pH - 8.0 at various AMMC-40 concentrations. Instructions for policy on well by (an (2) ar pH - 8.0 at various AMMC-40 concentrations. Instructions for policy on well by (an (2) and (2) at various AMMC-40 concentrations. Instructions for policy on well by (an (2) at various AMMC-40 concentrations. Instructions for policy on well by (an (2) at various AMMC-40 concentrations. Instructions for policy on the second sec

We assume for part (FW) as a function of defaurce of sequencing (2) between two sites and one is an approximation (2) between two sites and one of the sequence and the sequence of the seque

AMAC-14

## AMAC-40

## AMAC-70



SCF result (Podgornik and Licer 2007).

AMAC random copolymer of neutral acrylamide and positively charged acrylic sedments.Control the linear charge density (14, 40 and 70% charged). Abraham et al. (2004).



two silica surfaces in an aqueous solution containing 20 mM KCl at pH ~ 8.0 at 50.0 ppm polymer concentration.

# FINIS