



2150-17

Summer School and Conference on Hodge Theory and Related Topics

14 June - 2 July, 2010

Algebraic cycles

J. Carlson Clay Mathematics Institute Cambridge MA USA

Strada Costiera II, 34151 Trieste, Italy - Tel.+39 040 2240 III; Fax +39 040 224 163 - sci_info@ictp.it

Principles of Algebraic Geometry for the complex algebraic geometry. Of course we only assume the basics from thuse books. At the end of each lecture over the more specific References for that lecture (lecture I and II are combined).

Lecture I (outline) Chaur groups.

ア・1

In lecture I and I be is an algebraically closed field [otherwise arbitrary]. We work with algebraic varieties X, Y, etc. defined own & (i.e. k-schemes which are reduced). We assume moreover (unless otherwise stated) that our varieties AZE Smosth, abasi- projective and izzeducible. If X is such a variety, let d = dim X: in the following We offen write for such a variety should Xd. A) Algebraig cycles. Let X, be such a variety, Litosisd and put g=d-i. Let Z (X) = Z '(X) be the group of algebraic cyches of ding on X, i.e. the free abilian group generated by the Kirreducible subvarrihis or W of dimension on X of demension g. Explicitly : ZZ(X)=Z(X)= {Z=Z n, W, W, cX inducible, dem W=q} To be precise : here we assume Wa a X to be k-creeducith but not necessarily smooth

 $\frac{E \times am / b \ln S}{1 \cdot Z^2 / X} = Div (X) the group of (With) divisors, on X$ $2. <math>Z^d(X) = \overline{Z}(X)$ the group of o-dimensional cycles on X i.e. $Z = \sum n_d P_d$ with \overline{P}_d points on X. Put deg(Z) = $\sum n_d$. 3. $\overline{Z}_1(X_3) = \overline{Z}^2(X_3)$ the group of curves on the threefold X_3 .

1-2

Operations on algebraic cycles There are 3 basic aperations: 1. Cartesion product of cycles push forward intersection product 3____ 1 - Cartestan product $\mathcal{Z}_{g_i}(X_i) = \mathcal{Z}_{i}(X_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{i+g_2}(X_i = X_2)$ war W x V - WrV for rond. subver., futher by linearity 2 Push-forward of cycles (IF], p.11) Given of X -> Y $f_* = \overline{f_1}(X) \longrightarrow \overline{f_2}(Y)$ By timesty sufficient to define fr (W) if WCX is gadimensional itreducible subveriety on X The set theoretical mase of (W) is algebrain subversity of Y. Rand dim f(W) 1 dim W of dim flow) & dim W $D = f = f(W) = \{ [k(W) : k(f(W))], f(W) \}$ if dim f low)= dim W Where K(W), cts. is the function Jula of W for: the field of rational junctions on W) 3. Jaken section product / A Not always defind) Let V C X (msp W C X) of codim i (rup).). Facts : setthiontically VAW = U Az with Az conducible subvarieties of X of codimension (in X) Sites. Def , Intersection of Vena W at Ag (or in Ag) is proper good if codim Ap = ity. Then define: dimX i(V.W; Ap) = Z (i) length { Tor (0/3(v) / 3(w))},

where O= O X A, and J(V), up J(W), is the ional defined defining V (215/W) in O (see [5], ping or [H7, 6427) ilv.w; Ap) is the intersection multiplicity of Ap in Vow Now of all inhusichons Ap of Vow are good define V.W: = [i(V.W; Ae) Ae E Z (X) Next define Z. Z. for ZEZ(X), ZEZ(X) by linearity Now using these lasir sperations we define fur ther . 4. Pull-back of cycles (A Not - Luces defined) Sinn f. X -> Y $f^*: \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\epsilon}(X)$ dyind as follows: for ZEZi(Y) $Def f'(Z) := b_{\overline{X}} [T_{\overline{Y}} (X - Z)]$ Fgraph of f provided Tp. (X=Z) defined is defined Remark VAR is OK if f. Fat ([F], p.18) 5. Operation of currespondences on algebraic cycles (7 partially TEZ"(XXXYE) is called correspondence from X to Y. (and the transpose is denoted by "TE Z"(YXX)) Define a homomorphism Ti Z' (Xa) ~> Z C+n-e(Ye) by the formula $T(Z) := \begin{pmatrix} br \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{x} \{T. (Z \times Y)\}$ on the subgroup Z'(X) ~ Z'(X) of those ZE K'(X) for which T. (ZxY) is defined (on XxY). (Noh for fix -> ter we get for T = If the fe and for T = "To the ft).

B) Equivalence relations One wants to introduce on the groups of algebraic cycles aquivalence relations Such that - in pertocular - the above operations are defined on the corresponding classes Samuel introduces in 1958 the notion of "adiquate Equivalence relation " We shall discuss this concept in the before Such an equivalence relation is defined via subgroups Z (X) < Z (X) and for the corresponding quotient groups ("(X) = Z'(X)/Zi (X) the above reperations are dufind Ja particular dim X (X)= () (X) it a zing w.r.t. the intersection product. We shall discuss: . Rational equivalence (Samuel, Char 1956) 2. Algebraiz equivalence (Weil, 1952) 3. Smash-hilpotent equivalence (Vorvadsky 1995) 4. Homological equivalence 5. Numerical equivalence.

I-4

I-5 C) Rational equivalence. Chow groups Radional equivalence, introduced independently in 1956 by Samuel and Chow, is a generalization of the concept of linear equivalence for divisors. CI: Linear equivalence for divisors Let X = X a but not massarily smooth for the moment for technical reasons). Let inc tivit have Let $\varphi \in k(X)^*$ be a retional function on X Recall " divep) := 2 ord lp). Y codim 1 where ord (φ) is defined as: a) if $\varphi \in O_{X,Y}$ thin ord (φ) := length $O_{X,Y}$ X,Y/b) otherwise write $\varphi = \varphi_1 / \varphi_2$ with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in O_{X,Y}$ and and $(\varphi) = ord (\varphi_1) - ord (\varphi_2)$ (will-definite !) Note: if X is smooth at Y then Oy is a discrete Valuation zing and ond (q) = val (p) Nous divig) is a Weil divisor and put Div (X) & Div (X) for the subgroup $Dry[X] = \{ D = drv(\varphi); \varphi \in h(X) \}$ and $CH^{2}(X) := \frac{Div(X)}{Div_{p}(X)}$ in The group of divisor classes (w.r.t. linear equiv.) (Su lect I for further discussion B: CH'(X) is isomorphic to the Ticard group) -

100 Rational equivalence (see [F], chap.1) Let X = X be as before (smooth, q. - projective, irridingible and defined own k = k). let o sisd, put g= d-i. Frac(X): = Zg(X) - Zg(X) is defined as the subgroup generated by those Z & Rg (X) which are of the following type: Z= div(p) with pEk(Y)* with YaX an irreducible subvariably of X of dimension (9+1) and defined over k, but Y is not mucessarily smooth. Equivalently : Xq (X) = {Z∈ Zq (X); I finite collection (Yx, φ) with Yx CX iered, (not nec. smooth) of dimension (9+1) and $\varphi_{x} \in k(Y_{x})^{*}$ and $Z = \sum_{\alpha} div(\varphi)$ Clearly Zral(X) = Zhin (X) = Dev (X), in Cational equivalence in codimension 1, is linear equivalence. Thue is an alturation definition for rational equivalence Lemma ([F], p. 15) Ze Zg (X). Equivalent conditions: il Zno rational equivalence ii) F T C RELET 7 two points a, be RA such H.1 $(\mathbb{P}^{2} \times X)$ and T(a) = Z and T(E) = 0 Hucall T(t) = (T, (t X)) for $t \in \mathbb{P}^2$.

1-7 (B3. Properties of rational equivalence Proposition Rational aquivalence is an adaquate equivalence relation. Jus particular these is the important Moving lemma X = X & smooth, quase- projection Given ZE ZE(X) and a finite number of subvariables Wa < X, then there exists Z' E R'(X) such that and such that all ZaWa are proper. Z~Z (4. Chow groups $R_{i+} CH^{*}(X) = \frac{\mathcal{F}^{*}(X)}{\mathcal{F}^{*}} (X)$ i-K Chow group ef X and CH(X) = (CH'(X) Theorem (Chow, Samuel 1956) Let Xd, Ye be smooth, projective (readers the) varieties Then i) CH(X) is a ring with respect to the intersection product. I' For fox >> Y proper we have addition to momorphoms $f_* : CH_q(X) \longrightarrow CH(Y)$ in For f: X -> Y arbitrary we have addetin homomorphisms f*: CH'(Y) -> CH'(X) Which in fact (but together) gron a ring humurkturen f*: CH(Y) -> CH(X).

Further properties of Chow groups Theorem (localization sequence) (IF], p.21) Let Y C> X be a closed (arbitrary) subvariety , fout j: U = X for U= X-Y. Then the following sequence is exact : $CH_{q}(Y) \xrightarrow{i} CH_{q}(X) \xrightarrow{i} CH_{q}(U) \xrightarrow{i} O$ Theorem (homotopy property) X smooth, projection and A affire nispace. bet p: X × A -> X be the projection. Than pr: CHi(X) -> CHi(X × A") is an isomorphism. Remark ([F], p.22) This holds in fact for X arbitrary $p^* : CH_2(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} CH_{g+n}(X \times A^{-})$ Mote that & is flat.

I-8

C

a.,

$$\begin{split} \hline Smosh-Nilpotent equivalence II-2 \\ \hline Verwesslow introduced [$\pm 1995] the notion off \\ \hline Smosh_nilpotence alto denote by @. without and \\ (See [A]) \\ \hline Ze Z(X) is is called sinosh-without to zero on X \\ if the exists an integer N>0 sack that $Z \times Z \times ... \times Z \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netimes) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netions) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netions) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netions) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netions) is zeptianal equivalent to zero on X × X × ... × X. \\ \hline (Netion (Voisin, Vervoosky)) \\ \hline Triation (Voisin, Vervoosky) \\ \hline \hline (Netion = Kor is the above theorem. \\ \hline (Netion figure equivalence) \\ \hline (Netion = Kor is the above theorem. \\ \hline (Houndagical equivalence) \\ \hline (Let H(X) he algoon''' (= so-called Mult -) cohomedasy \\ \hline (Netiony ... For istimum if the C in cauld take H(X) = H(Xan, P) \\ \hline (Netiony ... For istimum if the C in cauld take H(X) = H(Xan, P) \\ \hline (Netiony ... for istimum if the C in cauld take H(X) = H_{(X)} (X + ... P_{(X)}) \\ \hline (me can take the itale order of Xan i for arbitrary theory on the distribut istale cohomedasy H(X) = H_{(X)} (X + ... P_{(X)}) \\ \hline (Netionshift) (Returnet : the always eristion h = T, so in \\ \hline (Let helle) (Returnet : the always eristion h = T, so in \\ \hline (Let helle) (Returnet : the always eristion h = Si = Sime h = T, so in \\ \hline (Let helle) (Returnet : the always eristion h = Si = Sime h = T, so in \\ \hline (Let helle) (Returnet : the always eristion hell (Si = Si = Sime helle) (Sime history$$$

C

C

Dif Let Z C Z'(X), then Z is homologically aquivalent
to Zim if
$$V_X(Z) = 0$$
. Let $T_{kom}(X) \subset T'(X)$ to the
subgroup generated by cycles homologically equivalent to zero.
This gives induce again an adagent equivalent to zero.
This gives induce again an adagent equivalent relation.
Remarks
I. This Zhow(X) defineds - at least i - priori - on the choru
of the cohomology theop $H(X)$.
2. Cherry in how $Z_{elg}(X) \subseteq T_{hom}(X)$. For divisors
by here for $\Xi 1 < i < d$ in here in general
 $Z_{elg}(X) \subseteq Z_{flow}(X)$ by a famous theorem of Suffich:
 $Z_{elg}(X) \subseteq Z_{flow}(X) = d under in general(Sur better 4)3. King the trianed theorem for cohomodogy one can see $T_{elg}(X) = T_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured $T_{elg}(X) = Z_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured $T_{elg}(X) = Z_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured(Sur better 4)3. King the trianed theorem for cohomodogy one can see $T_{elg}(X) = Z_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured $T_{elg}(X) = Z_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured(Winter for $\Xi 1 < i < d$ is independent of the choire of $H(X)$).
(Definition of G is independent of the choire of $H(X)$).
(Definition $T_{elg}(X) = Z_{hom}(X) = (Winvodsky conjectured(Windependent) is independent of the choire of $H(X)$).$$$$$$$

() Nonmirical Equilibrium (or projection (or profer))
Let X be shouth, some we have been (X) we have that
Let Ze Z(X), then for WE Z^{ani}(X) we have that
the intersection product V = Z.W (provided defined, but
this is always the case if we take their classes in the
this is always the case if we take their classes in the
Chow group CH(X)) is a Zeracych R in Zo(X), i.e.
Chow group CH(X)) is a Zeracych R in Zo(X), i.e.
$$V = \sum_{a} P_{x}$$
 with P_{a} points on X and we have a deque
 $V = \sum_{a} P_{x}$.

Def.:
$$Z \in \mathbb{P}^{i}(X)$$
 is unmerically ignivelent to zero
if dig $(Z,W) = \omega$ for all $W \in Z^{d-i}(X)$ (for which
 $Z.W$ is defend) and $Z_{man}^{i}(X) = \mathbb{P}^{i}(X)$ is the subgroup
generation by such cycles.
Remarks
1. deg $(Z.W)$ is celled the intersection number of Z and W
and is sometimes denoted by $\#(Z.W)$
2. Because of the compatibility between the intersection
product of cycles and their cup product of their cycledestes
 $V_X(\alpha, p) = V_X(\alpha) \cup V_X(p)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{i}(X)$, $p \in \mathbb{P}^{d}(X)$
be have $\overline{\mathbb{P}^{i}(X)} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{P}^{i}(X)}$
For divisors on have $Div_i(X) = Div_{norm}(X)$ (theorem of
Matsuseka). It is a fundamental conjecture that the
should have for all i :
 $B(X)$ Conjecture : $\overline{\mathbb{P}^{i}_{Kom}(X) = \overline{\mathbb{P}^{i}_{Kom}(X)$
 $W_{interver}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{i} (X)$
 $W_{interver}(X) = \frac{$

<u>I</u>-5

Résumi of rulations and notations X d smooth, projection, irreducible defined own k=k. We have subgroups: $Z_{rat}^{i}(X) \in Z_{alg}^{i}(X) \subset Z_{point}^{i}(X) \subseteq Z_{point}^{i}(X) \subset Z_{alg}^{i}(X)$ $f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$ Dividing out by Z' (X) we get in the Chow group For any ~ adequate : $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i}(X) := \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{i}(X)}{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i}(X)} \cong \frac{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}^{i}(X)}{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{H}^{i}(X)}$ C(X)= (C'(X) has a zing structure w.z.t. the ontensection product As to @-equivalence with Q-confficients: $\mathcal{F}_{alg}^{i}(X) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{i}_{f} \mathcal{F}_{bloc}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{i}_{f} \mathcal{F}_{bloc}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{i}_{hom} \mathcal{F}_{bloc}^{i} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ Kahn+ Scharbier Ju fact Voevoesky conjectures $Z_{\otimes}^{i}(X) \oplus Q = Z_{hum}^{i}(X) \oplus Q$

卫-6 Lecture It. Part 2 Short survey & for divisors In this part in give a short survey of the main points and main results for divisors (without proofs). We shall see then (in lectures 4 and 5) that for cycles in codimension is I the situation is very different! 1. Cartier divisors (see [H], \$ 140-145) 2. Cartier divisors god West divisors ([H], \$ 141) 3. 7. 3. Invizible sheaves (line bundles) and the Picard group 4. Structure of the CH'(X): Theorem (H' (X) = Pico(X) red Picard voricity CH' (X) = CH' (X) = CH' (X) (Madsusatu) NS(X) := CH'lX)/CH'(X) Neron Serni group alg Irnihly generater In case to = C most of the above result follow from (a) the famous "GAGA" theorem of Serve (comparison between X and the Lomplex manifold Xon) + (6) the exponential sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0_{X} \xrightarrow{exp} 0_{X}^{*} \rightarrow 1$ exact

Ć

II-7

Keptences for lecture I and I.

In the lectures ar assume the "usual" basic knowledge of algebraic geometry which can be found amply (for instance) in Hartsborne's look [H], shap I and parts of Chap 2 and Chap 3.

For the algebraic cycles and Chow groups a good introduction is appendix A of [H]. The basic is the book of Fulton [F], but we need here mostly only chap 1. One can also look in the book of Chaire Voisin [V], part VII (French), part <u>TII</u> vol 2 (English) Voisin [V], part <u>VII</u> (French), part <u>TII</u> vol 2 (English) For the definition of the intersection multiplicities sun [S], chap SC.