



2150-18

Summer School and Conference on Hodge Theory and Related Topics

14 June - 2 July, 2010

Cycle map

J. Carlson Clay Mathematics Institute Cambridge MA USA

Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy - Tel.+39 040 2240 111; Fax +39 040 224 163 - sci_info@ictp.it

(

Construction of
$$\xi_{\mathbf{z}}$$
 (outline, $\mathbf{b} \vee \mathbf{J}$, $\mathbf{j} \geq 52$) $\overline{\mathbf{z}} - 2$
By Encertify we concrete it is if ice to consider the
Car that $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{is}$ a classe subwriting $\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{z}$.
Which $U = X - \mathbf{Z}$ and use the exact sequence
 $\Rightarrow H^{\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Z}) \Rightarrow H^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Z})$
There put $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$
Need in order to zelete it to the Hedge decomposition
we must use the ode Rhom isomorphism
 $H_{sing}^{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{C}) \cong H^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{X}) = \bigoplus H^{\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{X})$
Bond using this one take the
Lemma $\mathbf{J} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (\mathbf{Z}) \in H^{\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G})$
(to be proved in the lecture) from where \mathbf{u} get the uniform
 $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{U}$:
 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) \in Hdg^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{X})$
(E) Hodge cycles $Hodge$ Caujectuze.
So recell:
 $Hdg^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{X}) := \{\mathbf{\eta} \in H^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T}) : \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{\eta}) \in H^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X}) \in H^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{G})\}$
With $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}} = H^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Z}) \Rightarrow H^{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{C})$ the metured map.
The element of $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} =$

(

In the original form Hodge conjectured that the Map & 13 surjective _ Theretor (integral Hodge conjectur), however Atiyah-HirzeBruck shower in 1962 that for \$71 this integral form is not true (taker more Counterexamples by Koller and Totaro). Therefore the conjecture has to be modified to: Hudge conjecture (HC) Z^P(X) & Q ~ Hdg^P(X) & Q onto? H²(X,Q) ~ H^t(X)

For divisors then is the famous Lefichen (1,1) - theorem X_Z : Div(X) -> Hidg²(X) is onto. X_Z : Div(X) -> Hidg²(X) is onto. (ii) here been in hope to outline the modern proof (iii) here been in hope to outline the modern proof (iii) here been in the hope to outline the modern proof Kodowra-Spencer & hsing sheef. Theory and theof Kodowra-Spencer & hsing sheef. Theory and theexponential sequence).For cycles of codimension <math>p>1 ($p \neq d-1$) the For cycles of codimension p>1 ($p \neq d-1$) the Hodge conjecture is wide open and one of the most famous Hodge conjecture is wide open and one of the hodge. Confectures in mathematics ((for p = d-1 the hodge. Confectures in the Q-conffricture is true : it follows from Conjecture with Q-conffricture is true : it follows from the case p=1 and the so-called strong Le/schete theorem.)

(C) Intermediate Jacobiers
$$III - 4$$

 $Hiways X smooth, project, instancish own C, $X = X_{an}$
denotes also the corresponding complex (compact) manifere.
 $Recall ke Hodge decomposition:$
 $H^{i}(X, C) = (D) H^{i,3}(X)$ (and $H^{SF} = \overline{H}^{i,5})$
and the corresponding Hodge filtration.
 $F^{i}H^{i}(X, C) = \oplus H^{i,c-r}(X)_{\Xi} H^{i,0} + H^{i,c+1} + H^{i,c+1}$
 $F^{i}H^{i}(X, C) = \oplus H^{i,c-r}(X)_{\Xi} H^{i,0} + H^{i,c+1} + H^{i,c+1}$
So we have a descending filtration:
 $F^{0} = H^{i}(X, C) \ge F^{i} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F^{i} \supseteq F^{i+1} = 0$
Definition
 $\overline{The} p-th intermediate facebran (of Griffiths) is$
 $T^{b}(X) = H^{2p-1}(X, C) / F^{i}H^{2p-1} + H^{2p-1}(X, Z)$
 $= (H^{b-1}b_{+} \dots + H^{0,2p-1}) / H^{2p-1}(X, Z)$$

Ć

Ć

Is mine

$$J^{1}(X)$$
 is a complex torus
In genund it is not an ablican variety.
Remarks
For $p=1$ $J^{1}(X) = H^{1}(X,C)/H^{1/2} + H^{1}(X,Z)$ Ficard variety of X.
For $p=d$ $J^{2}(X) = H^{2d-1}(X,C)/H^{d,d-1} + H^{2d-1}(X,Z)$
For $p=d$ $J^{2}(X) = H^{2d-1}(X,C)/H^{d,d-1} + H^{2d-1}(X,Z)$
Albeness variety of X.
For X=C curve (in d=1) we get $J'(X) = J^{d}(X) = : J(X)$
Me Jacobian of X=C: variety of C.
The Ficard and Albanese variety are ablican varieties

<u> ///</u> - 5 D Abeh-Jecobi map For ZE ZP(X) we have the cycle map $X_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z) \in H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ which is a topological invariant of Z; when this XZ(Z)=0 WE have an enalyter inversant, namely l'heorem. $\exists a humomorphism AJ^{p}: Z^{p}(X) \longrightarrow J^{p}(X)$ and AJ factors in Jad through CH\$ (X). (AJ is called the Abd Jacobi map) In the lecture on shall discuss the construction. For X = 6 a surve we get the classical Rbol-Jacobi map Div(C) -> JUSI, when Div(a) and the dovisors of degree 0, and factorizing through linear equivalence We get the theorem of Ather- Jacobi CH' (C) > F(E); for X=X2 we get for p=1 the Picard map and for p=d the Albanese map.

亚-6 (E) Deligna cohomology, Deligne cych map In this section X = Xon is the complex analytic manifeld Corresponding to the smooth, projective variety X (meduath defined over E) and as before. He tupday is the classical toplogy on X = Xan. Recall the holomorphic de Rham complex $\mathcal{R}_{\chi}^{*} := \circ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\chi}^{\circ} \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{R}_{\chi}^{1} \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{R}_{\chi}^{2} \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} \cdots$ When Si are the holomorphic differential forms of degue i (Si = Ox) which is by the holomorphic Poincare' lemma ([G-H], buys) a resolution for C, within on C n Rx Now let A e E be a subring (ex. A= Z, Q or TR), white put A(n) = (2mi) A c I as subgroups. Deligne (and Beilinson) introduced the complex (now called Deligne complex) $A(n) := 0 \rightarrow A(n) \rightarrow O_X \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \Omega_X' \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n-1}$ 0 1 2 In degues Which and considered the hypercohomology ([G-H], \$445) of this complex : $D_{1}: H^{i}(X, A(n)) := H^{i}(X, A(n))$ the son called Deligne cohomology with confficients in A(m)).

More generally if
$$Y \subseteq X$$
 is a closed immersion
if analyte manifold one can consider the Deligne-
Boilinson cohomology with support on Y :
 $Dy!: H^{2}_{Y,X}(X, A(n)): = H^{2}_{Y}(X, A(n))$.
 $Ex. Take $A = \mathbb{Z}$
 $Faith A = \mathbb{Z}$
 $Faith Complex Z(n) = H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.
 $Faith Complex Z(n) is genesit-isomorphic ([G-H], b.trd)$
to the complex $O_{X}^{aith} := 1 \rightarrow O_{X}^{aith} \rightarrow 1$ shelfthat
 $fy = 1$, i.e. to $O_{X}^{aith} = 1 \rightarrow O_{X}^{aith} \rightarrow 1$ shelfthat
 $fy = 1$, i.e. to $O_{X}^{aith} = 1 \rightarrow O_{X}^{aith} \rightarrow 1$ shelfthat
 $fy field = e^{i\pi i X}$ $Existinit:$
 $I(i): O \rightarrow 2\pi i \mathbb{Z} I \rightarrow O_{X} \rightarrow 0$
 $fi = 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow O_{X}^{aith} \rightarrow 1$
From this the get:
 $H^{2}_{Z}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \cong H^{2}(X, O_{X}^{aith}) = \operatorname{Pir}(X) = \operatorname{Pir}(X)$
 $fagA$
Now: "the call" (lecture 2) the exact sequence
 $(f) O \rightarrow \operatorname{Pir}^{o}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pir}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{NS}(X) \rightarrow 0$
 $H^{i}_{Z}^{i}(X)$$

Ć

Ex3.
$$n=p$$
 (general case!
 $1 \le p \le d = dem X$)
The following theorem shows that Delegan robourdary
gives a beautiful generalization of (*):
Theorem (Delegan) There is an exact sequence:
 $a \rightarrow J^{p}(X) \rightarrow H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow High(X) \rightarrow 0$
Prod. to be discussed in lecture.
Moreover Delegan constructed also a cycle mob
 $g: \mathbb{Z}^{p}(X) \longrightarrow H^{2p}_{Y}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$
Which "Catcles" both the classical cycle mob and the
Alet - Jacobs' map
Theore is a commutation diagram, with canot was,
 $a \rightarrow J^{p}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{p}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{p}(X) \longrightarrow 0$
AT $\int_{X} \int_{X} \int_$

References for lecture III.

E

For the Basics of complex algebraic geometry see the book of Griffiths Harris [5-H.] The topics discussed in this lecture III are all thoroughly treated in the book of Voisin [V]. For some of the tertion topics one can also hours to some of the lectures in from the CIME lectures in Torino 1993 (Stating [Szen et al], Stringer LNM 1594]. For the Deligne-Beilinson Cohomology on can look also to [E.V]. [5-4] Szilfiks-Harris, Principles of algebrais geometry Wiley & Sons Eshault - Vickoung , Delign - Beilinsun SE.V] cohondagy, in : "Builinson's conjudices on Special Valmis of L. Fanctions", Rapoport, etc. Editors, Perspectives in Math., Vol. 4. Academic Press [Grun et al], Grun, the ... "Abysbrait Gychs and Hodge Theory" Stringer Led. in Mak. vol 1595 Voisin, "Hodge Theory on Complex Algebraic Geometry". [V] Vol I I Combridge In Shudies in advanced mathematics, Vit. 76 and 77.

Lecture IV (outline) 11-1 Algebraic versus homological equivalence. Seiffithes group. In this lecture in discuss the important discovery of Griffiths (1969) that in course for cycles of codimension 71 algebraic and homological convertence are different. This shows that then is a skiking difference between the theory of divisors and the theory of algebraic Lydis of Codimension > 1. (A) The starting point is : Theorem 1 (Guilfiths 1969) Let Y be a smooth, projective, inuducible variety defined own I of even dimension d=2m. Let {X} the a Lefschetz penal of hyperflow sections of Y. Assume that H2m-1(Y)=0 $H^{2m-1}(X_{+}, C) \neq H^{m,m-1}(X_{+}) + H^{m-1,m}(X_{+})$ and the let Ze Zm(Y) be such that ZnXy is algubrascally Equivalent to zero for "very general" to Pa (the parameter space of the pencit), then Z is homologically equivalent to zero on Y. 1. Very general " means here that I SE P' a countable set Remarks. of points and that we must take t \$5. 2. The proof (to be discussion) uses the theory of the intermediate Jacobions and mormal functions on the one hand and also the "classical" Picnon - Lepschertz theory of the Lefschert funcil. A King role is played by the irriducibility of monodromy- represente representation P: TI, (U) -> And H2m-1 (X, D) Where U= St& IP'; Xt is smarth }

ĺ.

11-2 (B) Griffiths group. $\frac{d}{dr_{i}} = \frac{Z_{hom}^{*}(X)}{Z_{alg}^{*}(X)} = \frac{CH^{p}}{CH^{p}(X)}$ Due to the existence of the so-called "Chow varieties" the Sill P(X) is a contrable group. Guild (X) = 0 and Sulf (X) = 0. However using the above theorem 1 Girffiths proved in 1969: Theorem Consider the question hypersurface in TPS For a "very genere" such quintie hypersurface X = TP4 bre have Sill 2 (X+) @ 4 = 0 #Remarks 1. "Very general" means t & B when B is a unrow of Countably many algebraic subsition of the fearanchishace V= H°(P4 (0 (5)) of such quintie hypersurfaus. 2 The proof of Griffiths uses J2(X2) and in fact he shown that I Z E Z2(X2) s.t. AJ(Z) is not a torsoon point ham on J2(X2) for such very general X2. 3. We mention that amena proved later (1983) that for such X is get more on get dim griff(X, 0) = 00. 4. In the above results a crucial role is played by the fact that IFAT J2(X) to. Howen in 1993 Noni prove that them exists (very general) variaties South that and cycle ZE Z^r(X) for pas such that ATP(Z)=0 but such Ket the image of Z in Griff X los Q is not zero! (su the mico lecture of J. Norgel [N]).

IV-3 5. In the above examples the varieties are always "Very general". However there exists also variations X defined own a number fuld, or even over Q stself, for Which Griff (X) a Q = 0. The first example (to my Knowledge) is due to Bruno Harris. References to becture IV For the recents of Griffiths and related results su Vorsin's book [V] (Vol II, chap 2, section D) or outso her lecture 7 in [Grown at al]. T. T. Sen also J. Nagels's lectures ENJ "Algebraic Cycles and [Gran et al] M.grup,-Hudge theory", Springer LNM, vol 1594 [N] J. Nagel, "Lectures on Nori's connectivity theorem" in Transcendental Aspects of Algebreic Gycles (Proc. Grenoble Summer School 2001) London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 313, Cambridge Univ. Press EV7 C. Vorsin "Hoase Theory and Complex Mgebrain Cambridge Studies in Ardv. Math, Vol. 77 Geometry", Vot II-

Ê

Lecture V (outline) V-1 The Albanese Kernel Results of Mumford, Bloch and Bloch- Srinivas In this lecture we return to the rese of an algebraically closed field be (but otherwise arbitrary). In the following we always assume (unless explicitly stated otherwise) that the varieties are smooth, projective, inducible and defend own k. Let X = X d be such a variety. Recall: CH' (X) ~> Pico(X) , the Preard Varity, an abdian variety (if the C the J²(X)) For zero - cycles in have . homomorphism of: CH^{ed}_{alg}(X) ~>>> Alb(X), He Albanese Varishy again an abelian variety and of is the albances map (if k= C, Alb(X) = Jd(X) and dy = AJ M. Abd Jecob, map), however in general of is not injective (on the contary !) Put T(X): = CHd (X):= Ker (x) the albanyse Kernel (A) Theorem of Mumpord (1969) Let 5 be an algebraic surface / I. Let pg(5): = drm $H^{20}(S) = drm H^{0}(S, \tilde{\Sigma}_{S}^{2})$ (geometric genus of 5). If pg(S) to Ahun T(S) is "infraste dimensional". In the lecture we shall make this precise, but it implies that TIS) can not be represented by (parametrized) by en algebraiz væraty, v.e. T(S) is "vizy large" for such a Surface.

V-2 (B) Generalization and reformulation by Block. The notion "mifinite dimensional" is closely related to this concept "weakly representable" introduced and used by Bloch. het SI = k be a so. celler "universal domain", i.e. St rs an algebraically closed field of infinite transcendence degree over k, hence every Lok of finite transcendence degree over k can be embraded in St, re. KchcSt (ex. k= \$\$, \$\$= \$C). Definition. X/k A subgrap A c CHd (X) (for instance CHd (X)) is weakly ruprisintable if this exist a curve C smooth, but not meassarily isreducible, and a cycle class TE CHO(CrX) such that the corresponding morphism Tx: CH (CL) -> AL is surjective for all hele D (To be more specific : say A = CHit (X); assume now that we have choosen on each component of C a point RE (Ck) and Mormalized such that I Ce) = 0 then we require that Tx: CHo,alg (G) -> CHId (XL) is surjection) for all fields to L with to Less and transc. des L/A finite)-Now returning to Xd / R, assume that we have choosen a Weil sohemology theory with confirment field F > Q (for instance F=Q if X/C or F=Qp if H(X) = Het (XE)); Consider NS(X) = H²(X), put H²(X) alg for Murimage and H²(X) = H²(X)/H²(X) alg

*) i.e. the subgroup generated by the images of Tx is CHIP(X) itseed

)

Now Block prove the following theorem V-3
Theorem (see [B],
$$p \ge 2\cdot 2q$$
).
Let S the an adjubrance surface ($k = h$. Plssume that
 $H^2(S) \neq 0$, then $CH^{2}_{klg}(S)$ is not wrakly represented
to be get the result of Theorem of we take the C and
mate R is $\frac{1}{2}(S) = dim H^2(S)$ such in K is a case
 $M^2(S)_{kl} = H^{20}(S) = H^0(S, S^{2}_{S})$.
The proof (to be descensive in the locker) goes one the
theorem in (C) takes.
Theorem (Block Services)
Theorem (Block Services)
Theorem (Block Services)
Now consider the dagened.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Now consider the dagened $\Delta(X) = X \times X$.
Then there is the set $D = X$ and two descentions is and $f_2 < X \times D$.
The theorem obout follows from there same of $X = S$ and
 Γ_1 and Γ_2 and Γ_2 and be the same of $X = S$ and
 I . The theorem obout follows from the same f_1 (wall)?
Chains the term of the same there is the field theory of the same
 I . The theorem of the same for K and L and L and K and K

C

V-4 2. The above theorem has important consequences V-4 on the CH2 (X) (tobe discussed if time permits). (D) Block conjecture Let 5 be again such an algebraic surface. Black Conjectures that conversably, if HES) += o that (H2(Salg) is Weakly supresurfable. Based up Using the classification of surfaces this can te provid for surfaces with "Kodasta diminision" XCS) <2. For surfaces of "general type" (ie. kc(5) = 2) it has been for surfaces of "general type" (ie. kc(5) = 2) it has been prove for some special pases (like Sodemic Suofous), but atterwise it is writte ohren But otherwise it is with open $\frac{1}{Jn his book [B], heth p. I-12 Bloch stressed the$ Jn his book [B], heth p. I-12 Bloch stressed theCH2(5) nemelyexistence of a filledown on CH2(5) nemely $<math>F^{0} = CH^{2}(5) \supset F' = CH^{2}(5) = (H^{2}(5) \supset F^{2} = 7/5] = CH^{2}(5) \supset F^{2} = 0$ $F^{0} = CH^{2}(5) \supset F' = CH^{2}(5) = (H^{2}(5) \supset F^{2} = 7/5] = CH^{2}(5) \supset F^{2} = 0$ (E) Block-Beilinson filhetion Block and Beilinson (and later many others) conjicture What More generally there is some a filhation of a similar nature on the every CH'(X) 2 Q If form permits an shall say something about this in the lecture L'But - in order to avoid confusion - the existing of such a filtration is a conjection, wide open)

V-5 References to bechen 5 [B] S. Bluch, "Lectures on eligibraic cycles" Duka Univ., 1980 [B-Sr7 5. Block - V. Srinives, " Remarks on Corocsforman as and algebraic cycles " Am. 7. of Math. 105 (483) [V] C. Vorsin, "Hody Theory and Algebraic Cyclic", I Cambridge shedua in adv. math. Vol. 77 See especially that III .