



2267-11

Joint ITER-IAEA-ICTP Advanced Workshop on Fusion and Plasma Physics

3 - 14 October 2011

Progress towards a numerical tokamak

JENKO Frank

Max Planck Institut fuer Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstrasse 2 D-85748 Garching bei Muenchen GERMANY

Frank Jenko

Progress towards a numerical tokamak

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching Universität Ulm

Advanced Workshop on "Fusion and Plasma Physics" October 3 – 14, 2011 Trieste, Italy

Some introductory remarks

Building on the previous two lectures, it is finally time to address some key issues concerning the ITER project

I will attempt to present the material in an accessible way

Please feel free to interrupt me if you have a question

ITER and the quest for fusion energy

Schematic of a fusion power plant



Fusion research: Towards ignition

 α heating must compensate energy losses: ITER 10²² Electromagnetic radiation Ignitio • Turbulent transport Break-even 10²¹ **Key requirements:** TFTR 🤇 10²⁰ • Alcator C-mod ո.T.τ [m⁻³keV s] ASDEX Upgrade Tore Supra JT 60 • Large central pressure 10 ¹⁹ 1 LHD 🔿 🔵 ASDEX Neutron Deuterium (limited by onset of ASDEX Ρ large-scale instabilities) T 10 🔴 Fusion **O** W 7-AS 10¹⁸ • Pulsator O W 7-A Large energy confinement time (limited by small-scale Т З 🧲 Tritium Helium 10¹⁷ (instabilities, i.e. turbulence) Tokamaks O Stellaratoren $\tau_{\rm E} = E_{\rm plasma} / P_{\rm loss}$ 🕨 Т З 10¹⁶ (10 100 1000

T [million degrees]

Progress in fusion



Development of the tokamak line



"ASDEX Upgrade" at IPP Garching



JET – The world's largest tokamak



Joint European Torus

Located near Oxford, UK

World record: 16 MW of fusion power (1997)

ITER: The final step towards DEMO (a demonstration fusion power plant)



ITER is one of the biggest and most challenging scientific projects of mankind

Goal: 500 MW of fusion power

www.iter.org

The resources for fusion energy are practically unlimited



Deuterium in a bath tub full of water and Lithium in a used laptop battery suffice for a family over 50 years

Basic research in support of ITER

Three key themes of fusion physics



The multi-scale challenge





Multiple scales in Plasma microturbulence



• ITG/TEM and ETG scales separated by

$$\sqrt{\frac{m_i}{m_e}}$$

• TEM may transition smoothly to ETG



High-k turbulence GENE simulations

(Pure) ETG turbulence can induce significant electron heat transport:

 $\chi_{e}^{E T G} \gg \frac{\rho_{e}^{2} v_{te}}{L_{T_{e}}}$ is possible (Jenko, Dorland, Rogers & Kotschenreuther, PoP 2000) For comparison: $\chi_{i}^{ITG} \approx 0.7 \frac{\rho_{s}^{2} c_{s}}{L_{T_{i}}}$ (Cyclone base case)

Confirmed, e.g., by (Idomura *et al.*, NF 2005), (Nevins *et al.*, PoP 2006), and (Bottino *et al.*, PoP 2007)

ETG turbulence in concert with longer wavelengths (ITG, TEM etc.)?



Coexistence of ITG and ETG modes



<u>ITG/TEM/ETG turbulence</u>: Large fraction of electron heat transport is carried by electron scales (cmp. recent experiments).

High-k turbulence in NSTX



FIG. 7. Time evolution of measured gradient R/L_{T_e} (squares) and GS2 critical gradient $(R/L_{T_e})_{crit}$ for the onset of the ETG mode (triangles). The dashed line is the critical gradient from Ref. [19].

High-frequency density fluctuations are detected; their amplitude is correlated with the threshold distance Mazzucato et al., PRL 2008 Smith et al., PRL 2009

ETG modes are linearly unstable



FIG. 3 (color). Logarithmic contour plot of spectral density of fluctuations with $k_{\perp}\rho_e = 0.2-0.4$ at R = 1.2 m. Negative frequencies correspond to wave propagation in the electron diamagnetic direction.

Finite system size: Local limit recovered

Simulations of **gradient-driven ITG turbulence** (adiabatic electrons) with GENE and ORB5 show that the local limit is recovered, provided the geometry is treated consistently, settling a long-standing debate.



Finite system size: Profile shape matters



Both codes also show that it is the parameter

$$ho_{
m eff}^* =
ho^* / \Delta_r$$

which really matters – this should be kept in mind when dealing, e.g. with Internal Transport Barriers.

Global gyrokinetics: Established e-ITBs



Global GENE simulations (with quite comprehensive physics) for e-ITBs in TCV tokamak "reproduce" experimental fluxes

Multiscale simulations of e-ITBs



GENE simulations suggest that the slope of the electron temperature profile is limited by the onset of ETG turbulence

High-k gyrokinetics for edge barriers



High-wavenumber ETG turbulence is able to explain the residual electron heat transport in H-mode edge plasmas Turning now back to the question of core transport...

Heat flux avalanches are quasi-local (!)

Global ITG turbulence simulations (adiabatic e) with GENE: Radial extent and propagation speed do not depend much on ρ^*



Weak / no avalanches in "better" models

ITG turbulence (adiabatic electrons)



Avalanches are **not** inherently nonlocal

ITG turbulence (kinetic electrons)



ETG turbulence (adiabatic ions)

TEM turbulence





Coupling GENE and TRINITY



0.5

0.0

Final remarks

The new frontier: Multiscale gyrokinetics

- From the system size to the electron gyroradius
- Integration of turbulence, neoclassics, and MHD

Vision:

Predictive capability for tokamaks (as well as other fusion devices)

Outstanding open problem:

Physics of transport barriers



More info: http://gene.rzg.mpg.de

ASDEX Upgrade