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USGS Mission Areas

- Climate and Land Use Change
- Core Science Systems
- Ecosystems
- Energy and Minerals
- Environmental Health
- Natural Hazards
- Water
The USGS undertakes scientific research, monitoring, remote sensing, modeling, synthesis, and forecasting to address the effects of climate and land use change on the Nation’s resources. The resulting research and products are provided as the scientific foundation upon which policymakers, natural resource managers, and the public make informed decisions about the management of natural resources on which they and others depend.

Help shape the future of USGS science by weighing in on our Science Strategy planning process.

Climate and Land Use Change Programs

**Carbon Sequestration**

Scientists at the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) are working to assess both the potential capacities and the potential limitations of the various forms of carbon sequestration and to evaluate their geologic, hydrologic, and ecological consequences. In accordance with the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the USGS has developed scientifically based methods for assessment of biologic and geologic carbon sequestration.

**Earth Resources Observation and Science Center (EROS)**

The USGS Earth Resources Observation and Science Center (EROS) contributes to the Climate and Land Use Change Mission Area through research and operational activities that enable the understanding of local to global land change. The EROS multidisciplinary staff uses their unique expertise in remote sensing-based science and technologies to carry out basic and applied research, data acquisition, systems engineering, information access and management, and archive preservation to address the Nation’s most critical needs.

**Geographic Analysis and Monitoring (GAM)**

The goal of the USGS Geographic Analysis and Monitoring (GAM) Program is to understand the patterns, processes, and consequences of changes in land use, land condition, and land cover at multiple spatial and temporal scales, resulting from the interactions between human activities and natural systems.

**Land Remote Sensing (LRS)**

The Land Remote Sensing Program operates the Landsat satellites and provides the Nation’s portal to the largest archive of remotely sensed land data in the world, supplying access to current and historical images. These images serve many purposes from assessing the impact of natural disasters to monitoring global agricultural production.

**National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center (NCCWSC)**

The National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center responds to the research and management needs of partners and provides science and technical support regarding the impacts of climate change on fish, wildlife and ecological processes. The Center is taking the lead on establishing the Department of the Interior regional Climate Science Centers.

**Research and Development Program (R&D)**

The USGS Climate and Land Use Change Research and Development Program supports fundamental scientific research to: 1) understand processes controlling Earth system responses to global change over broad temporal and spatial scales; and 2) understand and model impacts of climate and land-cover change on ecosystems and other natural resources.
Land Remote Sensing Program

• Satellite and airborne remote sensing (RS) systems
  – providing geospatial information and data
• RS data serve many purposes, such as:
  – monitoring natural hazards,
  – assessing the impact of natural disasters,
  – monitoring global agricultural production, and
  – monitoring the impact of climate changes.
• USGS operates the RS satellites Landsat
  – **Landsat 8** – scheduled for launch in January 2013
  – Free access to 40+ years of archived Landsat data
• USGS archives RS data many sources
  – current and historical
USGS Remote Sensing Technologies Project
Earth Resources Observation & Science (EROS) Center
http://eros.usgs.gov/

- Provide technical expertise and services
- Satellite digital imagery
- Aerial digital imagery
- Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data
- Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data

Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA
Geodesy and Remote Sensing
Natural Hazards Program

• Natural hazards program - research in earthquakes, volcanoes, landslides, subsidence, etc.

• Technologies
  – Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS)
    • Global Positioning System (GPS)
  – LiDAR – airborne and ground based tripod
  – Digital photography – airborne and spaceborne
  – Radar - InSAR and PSInSAR
  – Gravity – absolute, relative and airborne

• Applications
  – High-precision LiDAR mapping of the San Andreas Fault
  – Monitoring land surface deformation; landslides
  – Volcanoes
  – Measurements of water level changes
  – Subsidence measurements
GPS is used to provide precise positions of airborne sensors so that highly accurate base geospatial data products such as high resolution terrain (elevation) data and orthorectified imagery can be produced efficiently.

Highly accurate terrain elevation data is replacing older, lower resolution data

Example of high resolution orthorectified imagery acquired in Partnership between USGS and other Fed, state, and local Govt agencies
GPS Dependent Airborne LiDAR Mapping Enables Understanding of Coastal Change Hazards
GPS enables ultra-high-precision geo-ref for fault mapping using repeat-pass imagery
- LiDAR
- 3D stereo
“B4” Project Objectives

- Aerial survey and map the southern San Andreas Fault, as well as the San Jacinto and Banning faults, using integrated GPS-aided Inertial LIDAR & digital camera systems

- Validate the instrumentation and procedure for applying direct geopositioning data in the navigation-based photogrammetric process

- Assess the potential of these systems for post-event earthquake emergency response
Motivation

- Densify observations along fault corridor by high accuracy topographic mapping of surface ruptures and active fault scarps
  - slip models for prehistoric events
  - rapid assessment of surface slip and damage patterns after large events
  - source physics experiment to observe slip heterogeneity
Quantifying Fault Slip and Rupture

Representation of actual fault ruptures recorded and preserved in unprecedented detail for use by future earthquake researchers.

1957 Gobi-Altai earthquake surface rupture

Kurushin et al. (GSA Special Paper, 1997)
North America Plate

Pacific Plate

Flight corridors of aerial mapping project

San Andreas Fault

Parkfield

San Jacinto Fault

Bombay Beach

Project Location
NCALM Cessna 337 Skymaster

(University of Florida’s ALTM 1233)
San Andreas Fault:
- Most likely source of future ‘Big Ones’ (M > 7.5)
- 35 mm/yr slip rate;
  - >70% of relative NoAm - Pac plate motion
  - 1680, 1812, 1857 great earthquakes
- Big Bend compression
- SoCal heavily ‘wired’ - seismic & GPS stations
- GPS measures plate motion strain accumulation and large earthquake displacements
- ‘Natural laboratory’ to study earthquakes
- B4 - imaged by high-resolution airborne LiDAR
San Andreas Fault - Research

**B4** - pre-earthquake high-resolution LiDAR; an earthquake source physics experiment (funded by NSF, joint w/ USGS) to image slip variation as a proxy for stress heterogeneity

**SoSAFE** - Southern San Andreas Fault Evaluation (SoSAFE) Project of the Southern California Earthquake Center (SCEC); research to obtain improved data on timing and slip in past earthquakes and fault slip rates (funded by USGS, joint w/ NSF)
Flight corridor #11 with location of base stations & ground control points

In this aerial photo illustration the **yellow line** represents the general direction of the fault, the **red dots** are flight mission waypoints, the **white triangles** are the GPS reference base stations, and the **yellow circles** are supplemental GPS ground control points.

11th 50km SAF
Five passes along each 50 km segment; data then merged
Optech ALTM 3100 LiDAR sample of the San Andreas fault corridor
K. Sieh and R.E. Wallace, 1987

"The SAF at Wallace Creek", GSA Centennial Field Guide
LIDAR determined heights
LIDAR/Camera System Calibration

- Sensor Mount
- CCD
- INS
- GNSS
- Lever Arm Calibration
- CCS Calibration
- INS OTF Calibration
- GNSS Base Stations
- Camera Calibration
- LIDAR
- INS
- Sensor Mount
- Boresight Misalignment
- Scan Angle Correction
- Boresight Misalignment
Performance of LIDAR

The accuracy of LIDAR depends on:

- System parameters: outgoing pulse shape, signal level
- Measurement uncertainties including background solar radiation, thermal noise, speckle noise
- Target signatures (geometry and reflectivity)
- Environmental conditions, e.g. atmospheric delay, cloudiness
- Estimation method used for range measurement
- Accuracy for determining trajectory and attitude of the sensor platform - aircraft
Bainbridge Island, Washington

LIDAR: Revolutionizing hazard mapping in the Pacific Northwest and elsewhere

Islandwood scarp

Toe Jam Hill scarp

Puget Sound
Ground Based Tripod LIDAR

Gerald Bawden, Jim Howle & Sandra Bond
US Geological Survey - Sacramento, California
Randal Osterhuber – Univ. of California Berkeley
Applications of Tripod LIDAR are numerous
  - Augmenting other sensor methodologies

Applications, past few years:
  - postseismic displacement field
  - debris flow hazards; material volume
  - snow pack; snow fall density
  - fault and fracture mapping
  - paleo-seismology
  - landslide hazards,
  - dam failure assessment
  - surface water hydrology-fluvial geomorphology
  - structural engineering
  - mine collapse
  - reservoir volume
  - biomass volume
Ground Based Tripod LiDAR

• Applications - unlimited
  – glacier science
  – levee stability
  – Avalanche
  – land surface processes
  – beach erosion
  – sand dune migration
  – crop health
  – land subsidence
  – coseismic offsets
  – fault and fracture mapping
  – geologic mapping
  – endangered species mapping
  – low tide bathymetry
  – surface water elevation
  – flood measurements
  – ice flow measurements
Harvard Fire -- Burbank, CA
No vegetation + steep terrain + 7.6 cm rain = *Rapid erosion*
InSAR and Applications

Zhong Lu
US Geological Survey
Radar - Radio Detection and Ranging

- In its simplest form, a radar operates by broadcasting a pulse of electromagnetic energy into space – if that pulse encounters an object, then some of that energy is redirected or reflected back to the radar antenna and sensor recording instrument.

- Precise timing of the echo delays allows determination of the distance, or “range”, while measuring the Doppler frequency tells the velocity of the target.

- All-weather, day-and-night imaging

Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)

- An advanced radar system that utilizes image processing techniques to synthesize large antenna to achieve higher spatial resolution.
Remote Sensing: Optical vs. Radar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Optical Sensor System</th>
<th>Radar System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength Region</td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>Thermal infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>Thermal radiation (temperature, emissivity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electromagnetic Spectrum</td>
<td>Visible (0.4 (\mu m), 0.7 (\mu m))</td>
<td>Thermal infrared (3.0 (\mu m), 10 (\mu m))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>Radar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• passive sensor</td>
<td>• active sensor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• reflectance</td>
<td>• backscatter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• day time acquisition</td>
<td>• day and night</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• cloud problem</td>
<td>• all weather</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• usually nadir</td>
<td>• side looking geometry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• measure chemical composition and thermal properties</td>
<td>• measure target physical properties (roughness &amp; dielectric constant)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
InSAR Applications

Earthquake deformation

Volcano inflation

Land subsidence

Glacial movement
Deformation interpretation

[Map depicting deformation with labeled values: 0.0 cm, 2.8 cm, -5.6 cm, -8.4 cm, -11.2 cm, -14.0 cm, 0 cm, 2.83 cm]
Deformation of Aleutian Volcanoes from InSAR

- Shishaldin
- Augustine
- Tanaga
- Korovin
- Okmok
- Aniakchak
- Peulik
- Seguam
- Makushin
- Akutan
- Westdahl
- Kiska
- Peulik
- Okmok

Major eruption within last:
- 200 years
- 18,000 years
- 2,500,000 years

References:
- Lu et al. 2000a, 2003c, 2005a; Mann et al. 2002; Patrick et al., 2003
- Lu et al. 2002b
- Lu et al. 2003a
- Masterlark & Lu, 2004
- Lu et al. 2002c
- Lu et al. 2000c, 2005b
- Lu et al. 2000b, 2003b, 2004
Subsidence in area of underground mines, Utah

InSAR Image of 12/2006 – 06/2007 5 km

Subsidence of > 60 cm

Subsidence of ~5 cm

Subsidence of > 30 cm
Land Subsidence mapping over coastal China

Landsat-7 image, Oct 2000

Subsidence was up to 8 cm/year

Lu, in prep
Sensor and Image Fusion: **Motivation**

Growing requirements for surface data

- **LiDAR**: airborne and terrestrial
- **IfSAR**
- **Digital camera imagery**: satellite and airborne
- **Sensor integration** (GNSS/IMU/Camera/LiDAR)
- **Large investment underway in automated surface extraction techniques**
- **Increasing requirements for near real-time data**
Image/Surface Fusion

- Digital camera
- LIDAR
- InSAR
- GIS data (legacy sensor)

Spectral information

Object information

Surface information
Tools or techniques for high-accuracy geodetic observations and measurements

- **GNSS**
  - GPS, GLONASS, GALILEO, COMPASS, QZSS, etc.

- **Remote Sensing**
  - **LiDAR** – airborne and spaceborne
    - Ground based tripod LiDAR
  - **Radar** – InSAR and PSInSAR
  - **Photography**, high resolution and multispectral – ground based, airborne, and spaceborne
  - **Gravity** – absolute and relative – ground, airborne and spaceborne
Successful use of geodetic measurement tools requires appropriate access to and use of GNSS

- **Geodetic infrastructure** - linked to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) at the centimeter-level. Critically important for:
  - Regional and global consistency
  - Long-term monitoring for change

- Use of GNSS is simplified by having access to data acquired at **Continuous Operating Reference Stations (CORS)**
  - Efficient use is dependent on application of international adopted standards for data formats, such as RINEX for GNSS
Thank you
Measuring Changes in Height
Geometric with GNSS vs. Absolute Gravity

Case example

- **Location:** Christchurch, New Zealand
- **Event:** Pre- and Post Earthquake, February 2011
- **Stations:** Absolute Gravity (AG) and GNSS/GPS
- **Difference in Pre and Post Measurements:**
  - AG = -6 µgal
  - GNSS = 16 millimeters (mm)
  - Vertical gravity gradient at this location is
    - -3.6 µgal/cm or 2.8 mm/µgal
  - Difference: AG - GNSS = 16.8 - 16 = < 1 mm

Thus, detected change in height by use of absolute gravity that agreed to within 1 mm of change measured with GNSS.
POLENET
http://www.polenet.org

Polar Earth Observing Network

Principal Investigator: Dr. Terry Wilson, School of Earth Sciences, Ohio State University, Columbus
Polar Earth Observing Network

Geophysical Observatories

- GNSS (GPS+)
- Seismic
- Gravity: absolute and relative
  - Tide Gauges
- Geomagnetic
- Multisensor deep-sea observatories
- Space and airborne remote sensing measurements