



2354-20

Summer School on Cosmology

16 - 27 July 2012

The Cosmic Microwave Background - Slides

R. Durrer Geneva University

The Cosmic Microwave Background

Ruth Durrer Université de Genève Départment de Physique Théorique et Center for Astroparticle Physics





ICTP Summer School on Cosmology, Trieste, July 2012

Outline

Some Cosmology

- Perturbation Theory
- CMB anisotropies and polarization
- CMB spectra and parameter estimation
- 5 Conclusions and Outlook

Literature: Ruth Durrer, "The Cosmic Microwave Background", Cambridge 2008



Left: $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$, $\Omega_{K} = -0.8$ (dotted), -0.3 (short dashed), 0 (solid), 0.3 (dot-dashed), 0.8 (long dashed) **Right:** $\Omega_{K} = 0$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = -0.8$ (dotted), -0.3 (short dashed), 0 (solid), 0.3 (dot-dashed), 0.8 (long dashed)



The Union2.1 data-set of SN1A's (Suzuki et al '11).

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Supernovae 1a



(Suzuki et al '11)



Ω_m and *w* of dark energy.

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

CMB radiation spectrum



Density perturbation spectrum



Comparing density fluctuation spectra as function of *k* [h/Mpc] in different gauges: comoving gauge (blue), longitudinal gauge (red) and spatially flat gauge (green)

Einstein's equations

The constraints

$$4\pi Ga^{2}\rho D = -(k^{2} - 3K)\Phi \quad (00)$$

$$4\pi Ga^{2}(\rho + P)V = k\left(\mathcal{H}\Psi + \dot{\Phi}\right) \quad (0i)$$

$$8\pi Ga^{2}(\rho + P)\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\left(2K - k^{2}\right)\sigma^{(V)} \quad (0i) \quad (vector).$$

The dynamical equations

scalar : $\begin{aligned} k^{2} (\Phi - \Psi) &= 8\pi Ga^{2} P \Pi^{(S)} \quad (i \neq j) \\ \ddot{\Phi} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{\Phi} + \mathcal{H}\dot{\Psi} + \left[2\dot{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{3} \right] \Psi &= 4\pi Ga^{2} \rho \left[\frac{1}{3} D + c_{s}^{2} D_{s} + w \Gamma \right] \quad (ii) \\ \text{vector :} \qquad k \left(\dot{\sigma}^{(V)} + 2\mathcal{H}\sigma^{(V)} \right) &= 8\pi Ga^{2} P \Pi^{(V)} \\ \text{tensor :} \quad \ddot{H}^{(T)} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{H}^{(T)} + \left(2K + k^{2} \right) H^{(T)} &= 8\pi Ga^{2} P \Pi^{(T)} . \end{aligned}$

Energy momentum conservation

$$\begin{split} \dot{D}_g + 3 \left(c_s^2 - w \right) \mathcal{H} D_g + (1+w) k V + 3w \mathcal{H} \Gamma = 0 \\ \dot{V} + \mathcal{H} \left(1 - 3c_s^2 \right) V = k \left(\Psi + 3c_s^2 \Phi \right) + \frac{c_s^2 k}{1+w} D_g \\ + \frac{wk}{1+w} \left[\Gamma - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{3K}{k^2} \right) \Pi \right] \end{split} \right\} \qquad (\text{scalar}) \\ \dot{\Omega} + \left(1 - 3c_s^2 \right) \mathcal{H} \Omega = -\frac{w}{2(1+w)} \left(k - \frac{2K}{k} \right) \Pi^{(V)} \qquad (\text{vector}) \; . \end{split}$$

The Bardeen equation

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\Psi} + 3\mathcal{H}(1+c_s^2)\dot{\Psi} + \left[3(c_s^2-w)\mathcal{H}^2 - (2+3w+3c_s^2)K + c_s^2k^2\right]\Psi \\ = 8\pi G a^2 P \left[\mathcal{H}\dot{\Pi} + [2\dot{\mathcal{H}} + 3\mathcal{H}^2(1-c_s^2/w)]\Pi - \frac{1}{2}k^2\Pi + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\right]. \end{split}$$

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Bardeen potential



Sketch of the Bardeen potential in the matter dominated era.



Caclulated and measured CMB anisotropies

Liouville equation

$$F = \overline{f}(v) + F(t, \mathbf{x}, v, \mathbf{n}), \qquad \mathcal{F} = F + \Phi v \frac{d\overline{f}}{dv}.$$

For massless particles, no collisions

$$(\partial_0 + n^i \partial_i)\mathcal{F} = n^i \partial_i (\Phi + \Psi) v \frac{d\bar{f}}{dv}$$

 $(\partial_0 + n^i \partial_i)\mathcal{M} = -n^i \partial_i (\Phi + \Psi) , \qquad \mathcal{M} = \frac{\pi}{a^4 \bar{\rho}} \int v^3 \mathcal{F} dv$

Integral solution:

$$\mathcal{M}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) = \mathcal{M}(t_i, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}(t - t_i), \mathbf{n}) - \int_{t_i}^t dt' n^i \partial_i (\Phi + \Psi)(t', \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{n}(t - t'))$$

= $\mathcal{M}(t_i, \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{n}) - (\Phi + \Psi)(t, \mathbf{x}_i) + \int_{t_i}^t dt' \partial_{t'} (\Phi + \Psi)(t', \mathbf{x}(t'))$

In Fourier space:
$$\mathcal{M}(t,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\ell} (-i)^{\ell} (2\ell+1) P_{\ell}(\mu) \mathcal{M}_{\ell}(\mathbf{k},t)$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\ell} + k \frac{\ell+1}{2\ell+1} \mathcal{M}_{\ell+1} - k \frac{\ell}{2\ell+1} \mathcal{M}_{\ell-1} = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{\ell 1} k (\Phi + \Psi) .$$

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

ъ

Boltzmann equation

Collision term

$$C[\mathcal{F}] = \frac{df_{+}}{dt} - \frac{df_{-}}{dt} = a\sigma_{T}n_{e}\left[\frac{1}{4}D_{g}^{(r)} - \mathcal{M} - n^{i}V_{i}^{(b)} + \frac{1}{2}n_{ij}M^{ij}\right], M^{ij} \equiv \frac{3}{8\pi}\int n_{ij}\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n})d\Omega_{\mathbf{n}}$$
$$n_{ij} = n_{i}n_{j} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}, \quad a\sigma_{T}n_{e} = \dot{\kappa}$$
$$(\partial_{t} + ik\mu)\mathcal{M} = ik\mu(\Phi + \Psi) + \dot{\kappa}\left[\frac{1}{4}D_{g}^{(r)} - \mathcal{M} - i\mu V^{(b)} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_{2}P_{2}(\mu)\right]$$

Integral solution

$$\mathcal{M}(t_0, \mathbf{k}, \mu) = \int_0^{t_0} dt e^{ik\mu(t-t_0)} S(t, \mathbf{k}) , \quad \text{with}$$
$$S = -e^{-\kappa} (\dot{\Phi} + \dot{\Psi}) + g \left(\Phi + \Psi + k^{-1} \dot{V}^{(b)} + \frac{1}{4} D_g^{(r)} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}_2 \right) + k^{-1} \dot{g} V^{(b)} - \frac{3}{4k^2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(g \mathcal{M}_2 \right)$$

 $g = \dot{\kappa} e^{-\kappa}$ is the visibility function.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(t_0,\mathbf{k}) = \int_0^{t_0} dt j_{\ell}(k(t_0-t)) S(t,\mathbf{k})$$

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{\ell}(t_0,\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{M}^*_{\ell'}(t_0,\mathbf{k}')
angle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{k}')\delta_{\ell\ell'}M_{\ell}(k), \qquad C_{\ell} = \frac{2}{\pi}\int \frac{dk}{k}k^3M_{\ell}(k)$$



The optical depth κ (left) and the visibility function g (right).

Polarization



A quadrupole anisotropy on the last scattering surface induces polarisation.

A D > A B > A B >



A E-polarization pattern (left) is compared with B-polarization. The function $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is indicated in grey scale, and the polarization directions are drawn. E-polarization is tangential along the dark negative regions while it is radial from the white white positive regions. The B-polarization pattern ban be obtained by rotating the polarization directions by 45°.

(D) (A) (A) (A)

Scattering

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + \mathbf{n}^i \partial_i) \mathcal{V} &= \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{V}] + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M} \\ \mathcal{Q} + i\mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{Q} - i\mathcal{U} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{V}] &= \dot{\kappa} \left[\frac{1}{10} \int \Omega_{\mathbf{n}'} \sum_{m=-2}^{2} \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}') \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{n}') - \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{n}) \right. \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \Omega_{\mathbf{n}'} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{n}') + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{V}^{(b)} \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right) \right] \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{m}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}') = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n})Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{-2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') \\ -\sqrt{6} _{2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n})Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & 3 _{2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & 3 _{2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{-2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') \\ -\sqrt{6} _{-2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n})Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & 3 _{-2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') & 3 _{-2}Y_{2m}(\mathbf{n}) _{-2}Y_{2m}^{*}(\mathbf{n}') \end{pmatrix}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Total angular momentum method

$${}_{s}G_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n})=(-i)^{\ell}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-2}^{2} \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(m)}(t,\mathbf{k})_0 \mathcal{G}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}) \\ \mathcal{Q} \pm i\mathcal{U} &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-2}^{2} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(m)}(t,\mathbf{k}) \pm i\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(m)}(t,\mathbf{k}) \right)_{\pm 2} \mathcal{G}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{n}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

$${}_{s}G_{\ell m}(-r\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{j} \sqrt{4\pi(2j+1)} {}_{s}f_{j}^{(\ell m)}(kr) {}_{s}Y_{jm}(\mathbf{n}) .$$

$$sf_{j}^{(\ell m)}(x) \equiv \sum_{L=|j-\ell|}^{j+\ell} (-i)^{L+\ell-j} \frac{2L+1}{2j+1} \langle L, \ell; 0, m|j, m \rangle \langle L, \ell; 0, -s|j, -s \rangle j_{L}(x)$$

$$\alpha_j^{(\ell m)} \equiv of_j^{(\ell m)}$$

$$\epsilon_j^{(\ell m)} \pm i\beta_j^{(\ell m)} \equiv {}_{\pm 2}f_j^{(\ell m)}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Total angular momentum method

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\ell}^{(00)}(x) &= j_{\ell}(x) \\ \alpha_{\ell}^{(10)}(x) &= j_{\ell}'(x), \quad \alpha_{\ell}^{(1 \pm 1)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2}} \frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x} \\ \alpha_{\ell}^{(20)}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} [3j_{\ell}''(x) + j_{\ell}(x)], \quad \alpha_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 1)}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3\ell(\ell + 1)}{2}} \left(\frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x}\right)' \\ \alpha_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 2)}(x) &= \sqrt{\frac{3(\ell + 2)!}{8(\ell - 2)!}} \frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x^{2}} = \epsilon_{\ell}^{(20)}(x) \\ \epsilon_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 1)}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)} \left[\frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x^{2}} + \frac{j_{\ell}'(x)}{x}\right] \\ \epsilon_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 2)}(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[-j_{\ell}(x) + j_{\ell}''(x) + 2\frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x^{2}} + 4\frac{j_{\ell}'(x)}{x}\right], \\ \beta_{\ell}^{(20)}(x) &= 0 \\ \beta_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 1)}(x) &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\ell - 1)(\ell + 2)} \frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x} \\ \beta_{\ell}^{(2 \pm 2)}(x) &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[j_{\ell}'(x) + 2\frac{j_{\ell}(x)}{x}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)



The functions $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(00)}|^2$ (top), $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(10)}|^2$ (middle) and $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(20)}|^2$ (bottom) are shown as function of ℓ for fixed x = 100. These are the kernels relevant for the scalar temperature anisotropies. Their amplitude and shape will determine how strongly the corresponding source terms in the Boltzmann eqn. influence the final anisotropy spectrum.

Total angular momentum method



The functions $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(11)}|^2$ (top), $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(21)}|^2$ (middle) and $\ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(22)}|^2$ (bottom) are shown as function of ℓ for fixed x = 100. These are the kernels relevant for vector, $\alpha_{\ell}^{(11)}$ and $\alpha_{\ell}^{(21)}$, and tensor, $\alpha_{\ell}^{(22)}$, temperature anisotropies.



The functions $\ell^2 |\epsilon_{\ell}^{(21)}|^2$ (top) and $\ell^2 |\epsilon_{\ell}^{(22)}|^2$ (bottom) are shown as function of ℓ for fixed x =100. These are the kernels relevant for E-polarization of vector and tensor modes respectively. Since $\ell^2 |\epsilon_{\ell}^{(20)}|^2 = \ell^2 |\alpha_{\ell}^{(22)}|^2$ this kernel for scalar E-polarization is not re-plotted. Note that the vector E-polarization kernel is very small and the scalar kernel is still about a factor of 5 larger than the tensor kernel.



The functions $\ell^2 |\beta_{\ell}^{(21)}|^2$ (top) and $\ell^2 |\beta_{\ell}^{(22)}|^2$ (bottom) are shown as function of ℓ for fixed x = 100. These are the kernels relevant for *B*-polarization of vector and tensor modes respectively. Note that the vector *B*-polarization kernel is much larger than the tensor one. This is the opposite of what we found for *E*-polarization.

The Boltzmann equation

$$\dot{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell}^{(m)} + k \left[rac{\sqrt{(\ell+1)^2 - m^2}}{(2\ell+3)} \mathcal{M}_{\ell+1}^{(m)} - rac{\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2}}{(2\ell+1)} \mathcal{M}_{\ell-1}^{(m)}
ight] = S_{\ell}^{(m)} + \dot{\kappa} [P_{\ell}^{(m)} - \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(m)}]$$

with

$$\begin{split} S_{\ell}^{(0)} &= -\delta_{\ell 1} k(\Psi + \Phi) \\ S_{\ell}^{(\pm 1)} &= -\delta_{\ell 2} \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} k \sigma_{\pm} \\ S_{\ell}^{(\pm 2)} &= \delta_{\ell 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k \dot{H}_{\pm 2} \\ P_{\ell}^{(0)} &= \delta_{\ell 0} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{(0)} + V_{b}^{(0)} \delta_{\ell 1} + \delta_{\ell 2} \frac{1}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(0)} - \sqrt{6} \mathcal{E}_{2}^{(0)}] \\ P_{\ell}^{(\pm 1)} &= V_{b}^{(\pm 1)} \delta_{\ell 1} + \delta_{\ell 2} \frac{1}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\pm 1)} - \sqrt{6} \mathcal{E}_{2}^{(\pm 1)}] \\ P_{\ell}^{(\pm 2)} &= \delta_{\ell 2} \frac{1}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\pm 2)} - \sqrt{6} \mathcal{E}_{2}^{(\pm 2)}] \end{split}$$

The Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell}^{(m)} + k \left[\frac{\sqrt{[(\ell+1)^2 - 4][(\ell+1)^2 - m^2]}}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \mathcal{E}_{\ell+1}^{(m)} - \frac{2m}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(m)} \\ - \frac{\sqrt{(\ell^2 - 4)(\ell^2 - m^2)}}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \mathcal{E}_{\ell-1}^{(m)} \right] &= -\dot{\kappa} [\mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(m)} + \sqrt{6} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{(m)}] \\ \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\ell}^{(m)} + k \left[\frac{\sqrt{[(\ell+1)^2 - 4][(\ell+1)^2 - m^2]}}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}^{(m)} + \frac{2m}{\ell(\ell+1)} \mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(m)} \\ - \frac{\sqrt{(\ell^2 - 4)(\ell^2 - m^2)}}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)} \mathcal{B}_{\ell-1}^{(m)} \right] = -\dot{\kappa} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(m)} \end{split}$$

The integral solution

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(0)}(t_{0})}{2\ell+1} &= \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{0}} dt e^{-\kappa(t_{0},t)} \Big[ik(\Psi + \Phi + \dot{\kappa}V^{(b)}) \alpha_{\ell}^{(10)}(x) \\ &+ \dot{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{M}_{0}^{(0)} \alpha_{\ell}^{(00)}(x) + \frac{1}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(0)} - \sqrt{6}E_{2}^{(0)}] \alpha_{\ell}^{(20)}(x) \right) \Big] \\ \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\pm1)}(t_{0})}{2\ell+1} &= \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{0}} dt e^{-\kappa(t_{0},t)} \left[-\frac{ik}{\sqrt{3}} \sigma_{\pm} \alpha_{\ell}^{(2\pm1)}(x) + \dot{\kappa}V_{\pm}^{(b)} \alpha_{\ell}^{(1\pm1)}(x) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\pm1)} - \sqrt{6}E_{2}^{(\pm1)}] \alpha_{\ell}^{(2\pm1)}(x) \Big] \\ \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\pm2)}(t_{0})}{2\ell+1} &= \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{0}} dt e^{-\kappa(t_{0},t)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} k\dot{H}_{\pm2} + \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{10} [\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\pm2)} - \sqrt{6}\mathcal{E}_{2}^{(\pm2)}] \right] \alpha_{\ell}^{2\pm2}(x) \\ &\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(m)}(t_{0},\mathbf{n})}{2\ell+1} &= -\sqrt{6} \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{0}} dt e^{-\kappa(t_{0},t)} \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{10} (\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(m)} - \sqrt{6}\mathcal{E}_{2}^{(m)}) \varepsilon_{\ell}^{(2m)}(x) \\ &\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(m)}(t_{0},\mathbf{n})}{2\ell+1} &= -\sqrt{6} \int_{t_{in}}^{t_{0}} dt e^{-\kappa(t_{0},t)} \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{10} (\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(m)} - \sqrt{6}\mathcal{E}_{2}^{(m)}) \beta_{\ell}^{(2m)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

Trieste, July 2012 26 / 48

(1)

Spectra (5)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})*}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle &\equiv & (2\pi)^{3}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(k) \\ \langle \mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})*}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle &\equiv & (2\pi)^{3}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(k) \\ \langle \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})*}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle &\equiv & (2\pi)^{3}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(k) \\ \langle \mathcal{E}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})*}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle &\equiv & (2\pi)^{3}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\mathcal{F}_{\ell}^{(\mathrm{s})}(k) \end{array}$$

$$(2\ell+1)^2 C_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{M})} \equiv (2\ell+1)^2 \langle |a_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{s=-2}^2 \int dk k^2 M_{\ell}^{(s)}(k)$$

$$(2\ell+1)^2 C_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{E})} \equiv (2\ell+1)^2 \langle | \boldsymbol{e}_{\ell m} |^2 \rangle = rac{2}{\pi} \sum_{s=-2}^2 \int dk k^2 E_{\ell}^{(s)}(k)$$

$$(2\ell+1)^2 C_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{B})} \equiv (2\ell+1)^2 \langle |b_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{s=-2}^2 \int dk k^2 B_{\ell}^{(s)}(k)$$

$$(2\ell+1)^2 C_{\ell}^{(\mathcal{ME})} \equiv (2\ell+1)^2 \langle a_{\ell m}^* e_{\ell m} \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{s=-2}^2 \int dk k^2 F_{\ell}^{(s)}(k)$$



Spectra: Atacama Cosmology Telescope data (2011)



The CMB E-polarization spectrum



The CMB polarization spectrum



Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



The position of the first peak as function of Ω_{Λ} . In the solid line we vary Ω_{K} , leaving all other parameters fixed, for the dashed line we vary Ω_{m} and Ω_{Λ} keeping K = 0 fixed. For the dotted line we vary Ω_{Λ} and Ω_{m} again with K = 0 at fixed $\Omega_{m}h^{2}$. The fixed parameters are $\Omega_{K} = 0$, h = 0.72, $\Omega_{b}h^{2} = 0.022$, $\Omega_{m} = 0.25$, $n_{s} = 0.96$, $\tau_{ri} = 0.085$. Therefore, all the curves cross at $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.75$.

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)



Left: the asymmetry of even and odd peaks and its dependence on $\Omega_b h^2$. $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$ (solid line), $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.03$ (dotted) and $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.01$ (dashed). **Right:** $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02$ is fixed and three different values for the matter density are chosen, $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.12$ (solid), $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.2$ (dashed) $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.3$ (dotted). A smaller value of $\Omega_m h^2$ boosts the height especially of the first peak due to the early integrates Sachs-Wolfe effect. The peaks are also somewhat shifted since D_A depends on Ω_m .

Parameters



(Howlett et al. 2012)





Massless neutrinos(solid) and neutrinos with mass $m_{\nu} = 2\text{eV}$ (dashed lines). In the left hand panel $\Omega_{cdm}h^2 = 0.12$ is fixed while on the right hand side $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.144$ is fixed. In all curves $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = 1$, $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.022$ and h = 0.7. Keeping $\Omega_m h^2$ fixed, adding neutrinos acts a bit like a lower matter density, since the neutrinos are not yet fully non-relativistic at decoupling.



Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)

Trieste, July 2012 37 / 48



Trieste, July 2012 38/48



2D marginalized limits (68% and 95% CL) for n_s , plotted at the pivot point $k_0 = 0.015/Mpc$, and the running of the index $dn_s/d\ln k$, for ACT+WMAP, compared to WMAP. This model has no tensor fluctuations. A negative running is preferred, but the data are consistent with a power-law spectral index, with $dn_s/d \ln k = 0$.

J. Dunkley et al. 2010.



2D marginalized limits (68% and 95% CL) for the tensor-to-scalar ratio r, and the scalar spectral index n_s, for ACT+WMAP data. By measuring the $\ell > 1000$ spectrum, the longer lever arm from ACT data further breaks the n_s - r degeneracy, giving a marginalized limit r < 0.25(95% CL) from the CMB alone. The predicted values for a chaotic inflationary model with inflaton potential $V(\phi) \propto \phi^{p}$ with 60 e-folds are shown for p = 3, 2, 1, 2/3; p > 3is disfavored at > 95% CL. J. Dunkley et al. 2010.



Constraints on the effective number of relativistic species, N_{eff} . Left: One-dimensional marginalized distribution for N_{eff} , for data combinations indicated in the right panel. (Standard model: $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.04$, dotted line). Right: 2D marginalized distribution for N_{eff} and equality redshift z_{eq} , showing that N_{eff} can be measured separately from zeq. N_{eff} is bounded from above and below by combining the small-scale ACT measurements of the acoustic peaks with WMAP measurements. The limit is tightened by adding BAO and H_0 constraints, breaking the degeneracy between N_{eff} and the matter density by measuring the expansion rate at late times.

J. Dunkley et al. 2010.
$$N_{\text{eff}} = 5.3 \pm 1.3$$
 (CMB alone).

Ruth Durrer (Université de Genève, DPT & CAP)



2D marginalized limits (68% and 95% CL) for the primordial helium mass fraction Y_P and the number of relativistic species $N_{\rm eff}$. The two are partly degenerate, as increasing $N_{\rm eff}$ or Y_P leads to increased damping of the power spectrum. The predicted standard-BBN relation between $N_{\rm eff}$ and Y_P is indicated. The concordance $N_{\rm eff} = 3.04, Y_P = 0.25$ model lies on the edge of the twodimensional 68% CL, and a model with $N_{\rm eff} = 0$, $Y_P = 0$ is excluded at high significance.

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ •

J. Dunkley et al. 2010.

ACDM and extended model parameters and 68% confidence intervals from the ACT 2008 data combined with seven-year WMAP data.

Parameter ^(a)	ACDM	ACDM + dn _s / d ln k	ΛCDM + r	ACDM + N _{eff}	ACDM + Y _P	ΛCDM + Gμ
1000 h ²	2.214 ± 0.050	2.167 ± 0.054	2.246 ± 0.057	2.252 ± 0.055	2.236 ± 0.052	2.240 ± 0.053
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.1127 ± 0.0054	0.1214 ± 0.0074	0.1099 ± 0.0058	0.152 ± 0.025	0.1166 ± 0.0061	0.1115 ± 0.0055
Ω	0.721 ± 0.030	0.670 ± 0.046	0.738 ± 0.030	0.720 ± 0.030	0.711 ± 0.031	0.730 ± 0.029
ns	0.962 ± 0.013	1.032 ± 0.039	0.974 ± 0.016	0.993 ± 0.021	0.974 ± 0.015	0.963 ± 0.013
τ	0.087 ± 0.014	0.092 ± 0.016	0.087 ± 0.015	0.089 ± 0.015	0.087 ± 0.015	0.087 ± 0.015
$10^{9}\Delta_{R}^{2}$	2.47 ± 0.11	2.44 ± 0.11	2.37 ± 0.13	$\textbf{2.40} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	2.45 ± 0.11	2.43 ± 0.11
dn _s / d ln k	0	-0.034 ± 0.018	0	0	0	0
r	0	0	< 0.25	0	0	0
N _{eff}	3.04	3.04	3.04	5.3 ± 1.3	3.04	3.04
Yp	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.313 ± 0.044	0.25
Ġμ	0	0	0	0	0	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$
σ_8	0.813 ± 0.028	0.841 ± 0.032	0.803 ± 0.030	0.906 ± 0.059	0.846 ± 0.035	0.803 ± 0.029
Ωm	0.279 ± 0.030	0.330 ± 0.046	0.262 ± 0.030	0.280 ± 0.030	0.289 ± 0.031	0.270 ± 0.029
H ₀	69.7 ± 2.5	66.1 ± 3.0	71.4 ± 2.8	78.9 ± 5.9	69.5 ± 2.3	70.6 ± 2.5
B_{3000}^{SZ} (μK^2)	< 10.2	< 12.3	< 10.0	< 12.1	< 13.0	< 8.8
Α _ρ (μK ²)	16.0 ± 2.0	14.9 ± 2.2	16.0 ± 2.0	15.1 ± 2.1	15.0 ± 2.1	16.1 ± 1.9
A_{C} (μK^{2})	< 8.7	< 10.4	< 8.0	< 11.1	< 11.2	< 7.4
$-2 \ln \mathcal{L}$	7500.0	7498.1	7500.1	7498.7	7498.8	7500.1

(a) For one-tailed distributions, the upper 95% CL is given. For two-tailed distributions the 68% CL are shown. J. Dunkley et al. 2010.

・ロン ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Degeneracy



On left lines of equal angular diameter distance are indicated. $R = D_A/D_A(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7, Om_m = 0.3, h = 0.7)$. Below on the left: $\Omega_K > 0$ (dashed), $\Omega_K < 0$ (dotted) and $\Omega_K = 0$ (solid), with fixed D_A , $\Omega_m h^2$, $\Omega_b h^2$. On the right: three spectra with K = 0, fixed $\Omega_m h^2$, $\Omega_b h^2$, but different D_A (the squares indicated in the top panel on the K = 0 line).

44/48



Degeneracy



Degeneracy





A spectrum of purely scalar perturbations with $n_s = 0.96$ (solid) is compared to one with a tensor contribution of r = 0.3(dotted). The cosmological parameters of the two models differ somewhat but are all within 2 sigma of the 'concordance values': **Scalar model:**

 $(h = 0.73, \Omega_b h^2 = 0.0225, \Omega_m h^2 = 0.135, \tau = 0.1, n_s = 0.96)$ **Model with tensors,** r = 0.3: $(h = 0.8, \Omega_b h^2 = 0.023, \Omega_m h^2 = 0.118, \tau = 0.1, n_s = 1.0, n_T = 0)$ These two models cannot be distinguished from their temperature anisotropies.

• The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.
- Their theory is simple (to a big extent linear) physics and we can compute them to high accuracy.

- The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.
- Their theory is simple (to a big extent linear) physics and we can compute them to high accuracy.
- Their precision measurements have revolutionized cosmology from an 'order magnitude' to 'precision' science.

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

- The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.
- Their theory is simple (to a big extent linear) physics and we can compute them to high accuracy.
- Their precision measurements have revolutionized cosmology from an 'order magnitude' to 'precision' science.
- They provide a unique window to the very high energy physics of inflation.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.
- Their theory is simple (to a big extent linear) physics and we can compute them to high accuracy.
- Their precision measurements have revolutionized cosmology from an 'order magnitude' to 'precision' science.
- They provide a unique window to the very high energy physics of inflation.
- And there is more to come... New data from Planck, QUIET, CG, KUPID, EBEX, COSMOSOMAS, QUIJOTE... may discover

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- The CMB anisotropies and polarization are a superb cosmological tool.
- Their theory is simple (to a big extent linear) physics and we can compute them to high accuracy.
- Their precision measurements have revolutionized cosmology from an 'order magnitude' to 'precision' science.
- They provide a unique window to the very high energy physics of inflation.
- And there is more to come... New data from Planck, QUIET, CG, KUPID, EBEX, COSMOSOMAS, QUIJOTE... may discover
 - B-polarization \Rightarrow gravitational waves from inflation.
 - Additional 'neutrino' species.
 - Sources like topological defects, primordial magnetic fields...
 - Other unexpected events of the early Universe.

Stay tuned!

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))