Intersection theorems for finite sets

Dhruv Mubayi Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science University of Illinois Chicago

ICTP, Trieste, September 11, 2012

● *ax* ≤ 50

- *ax* ≤ 50
- ay ≤ 100

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

- *ax* ≤ 50
- *ay* ≤ 100
- $bx \leq 100$

(4回) (注) (注) (注) (注)

- *ax* ≤ 50
- *ay* ≤ 100
- $bx \leq 100$
- $by \leq 100$

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

3

- *ax* ≤ 50
- *ay* ≤ 100
- $bx \leq 100$
- $by \leq 100$

Prove that

$$ax + ay + bx + by \leq$$

▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶

- *ax* ≤ 50
- *ay* ≤ 100
- $bx \leq 100$
- $by \leq 100$

Prove that

$$ax + ay + bx + by \leq 300.$$

▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶

The Frankl-Rödl theorem

Let M be a set. A family of sets \mathcal{A} is M-intersecting if $|A \cap B| \in M$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

• 3 >

- R

The Frankl-Rödl theorem

Let M be a set. A family of sets \mathcal{A} is M-intersecting if $|A \cap B| \in M$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

General Problem of Extremal Set Theory: Given $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $\mathcal{M} \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, what is max $|\mathcal{A}|$?

医下子 医下

The Frankl-Rödl theorem

Let M be a set. A family of sets \mathcal{A} is M-intersecting if $|A \cap B| \in M$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

General Problem of Extremal Set Theory: Given $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $M \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, what is max $|\mathcal{A}|$?

As M gets larger, max $|\mathcal{A}|$ gets larger.

Let M be a set. A family of sets \mathcal{A} is M-intersecting if $|A \cap B| \in M$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

General Problem of Extremal Set Theory: Given $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $M \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$, what is max $|\mathcal{A}|$?

As M gets larger, max $|\mathcal{A}|$ gets larger.

What if M misses only one number?

Let M be a set. A family of sets \mathcal{A} is M-intersecting if $|A \cap B| \in M$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

General Problem of Extremal Set Theory: Given $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $M \subset \{0, \ldots, n\}$, what is max $|\mathcal{A}|$?

As M gets larger, max $|\mathcal{A}|$ gets larger.

What if *M* misses only one number?

Theorem (Frankl-Rödl (1987), \$250 problem of Erdős)

Suppose that $A \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $|A \cap B| \neq n/4$ for all $A, B, \in A$, and $n > n_0$. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n.$

소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가

- Q is an alphabet
- q = |Q|
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Q^n$ is a code

□ ▶ 《 臣 ▶ 《 臣 ▶ …

- Q is an alphabet
- q = |Q|
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Q^n$ is a code
- Hamming distance between codewords $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ and $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ is

 $d(C,D) := |\{i : c_i \neq d_i\}|$

個 と く き と く き と … き

- Q is an alphabet
- q = |Q|
- $\mathcal{C} \subset Q^n$ is a code
- Hamming distance between codewords $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ and $D = (d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ is

$$d(C,D) := |\{i : c_i \neq d_i\}|$$

• $d(\mathcal{C}) = \{d(\mathcal{C}, D) : \mathcal{C}, D \in \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} \neq D\}$

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

Problem. Find upper and lower bounds for $\max |\mathcal{C}|$ given $d(\mathcal{C})$.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

3

Problem. Find upper and lower bounds for max |C| given d(C).

Theorem (Blokhuis, Frankl (1984))

Suppose that p is prime and d(C) is covered by t nonzero residue classes mod p. Then

$$|\mathcal{C}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^t (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

Problem. Find upper and lower bounds for max |C| given d(C).

Theorem (Blokhuis, Frankl (1984))

Suppose that p is prime and d(C) is covered by t nonzero residue classes mod p. Then

$$|\mathcal{C}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^t (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

If $t > (1 + \varepsilon)n/q$, then concentration of the binomial distribution shows that the bound above is $q^{(1-o(1))n}$, which is rather weak.

Problem. Find upper and lower bounds for $\max |\mathcal{C}|$ given $d(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (Blokhuis, Frankl (1984))

Suppose that p is prime and d(C) is covered by t nonzero residue classes mod p. Then

$$|\mathcal{C}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^t (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

If $t > (1 + \varepsilon)n/q$, then concentration of the binomial distribution shows that the bound above is $q^{(1-o(1))n}$, which is rather weak.

Theorem (Frankl-Rödl (1987))

Let $0 < \delta < 1/2$ and $\delta n < d < (1 - \delta)n$, and d is even if q = 2. If $d \notin d(\mathcal{C})$, then $|\mathcal{C}| < (q - \varepsilon)^n$, where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, q) > 0$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Dhruv Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

The Diameter of a set $S \subset R^n$ is $\sup_{x,y \in S} dist(x,y)$

토 () (토 ()

The Diameter of a set $S \subset R^n$ is $\sup_{x,y \in S} dist(x,y)$

Conjecture

Every bounded $S \subset R^d$ can be partitioned into d + 1 sets S_1, \ldots, S_{d+1} of smaller diameter.

The Diameter of a set $S \subset R^n$ is $\sup_{x,y \in S} dist(x,y)$

Conjecture

Every bounded $S \subset R^d$ can be partitioned into d + 1 sets S_1, \ldots, S_{d+1} of smaller diameter.

If true, then sharp by letting S be the vertices of a regular simplex, for example,

$$S = \{e_1, \ldots, e_d, v\}$$

where e_i is the unit vector with 1 in position *i*, and

$$v=\frac{1-\sqrt{n+1}}{n}(1,\ldots,1).$$

• Borsuk (1932) d = 2

Dhruv Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > = Ξ

- Borsuk (1932) d = 2
- Eggleston (1955) d = 3

▲御★ ▲注★ ▲注★

- Borsuk (1932) d = 2
- Eggleston (1955) d = 3
- Hadwiger (1946) for all d if S is smooth and convex

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶ ---

- Borsuk (1932) d = 2
- Eggleston (1955) d = 3
- Hadwiger (1946) for all d if S is smooth and convex
- Riesling (1971) for all d if S is centrally symmetric

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

- Borsuk (1932) d = 2
- Eggleston (1955) d = 3
- Hadwiger (1946) for all d if S is smooth and convex
- Riesling (1971) for all d if S is centrally symmetric
- Dekster (1995) for all d if S is a body of revolution

伺 と く き と く き と

- Borsuk (1932) d = 2
- Eggleston (1955) d = 3
- Hadwiger (1946) for all d if S is smooth and convex
- Riesling (1971) for all d if S is centrally symmetric
- Dekster (1995) for all d if S is a body of revolution
- Schramm (1988) number of pieces is at most $(\sqrt{3/2} + \epsilon)^d$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $d > d(\epsilon)$.

伺 と く き と く き と

Theorem (Kahn-Kalai (1993))

For large d, there exists a bounded $S \subset R^d$ such that every partition of S into pieces of smaller diameter has at least $(1.2)^{\sqrt{d}}$ parts. In particular, Borsuk's conjecture fails for d = 1325 and each d > 2014.

Proof uses Frankl-Wilson (or Frankl-Rödl) theorem.

Theorem (Kahn-Kalai (1993))

For large d, there exists a bounded $S \subset R^d$ such that every partition of S into pieces of smaller diameter has at least $(1.2)^{\sqrt{d}}$ parts. In particular, Borsuk's conjecture fails for d = 1325 and each d > 2014.

Proof uses Frankl-Wilson (or Frankl-Rödl) theorem.

Conjecture

There exists c > 1 such that for all d, there exists a bounded $S \subset R^d$ such that every partition of S into pieces of smaller diameter has at least c^d parts.

How many vectors of the cube in R^d can be pairwise non-orthogonal?

(1日) (日) (日)

How many vectors of the cube in R^d can be pairwise non-orthogonal?

Conjecture (Larman-Rogers (1972))

Suppose that d = 4n. Does every set of $2^d/d^2 \pm 1$ vectors in R^d contain a pair of orthogonal vectors?

伺下 イヨト イヨト

How many vectors of the cube in R^d can be pairwise non-orthogonal?

Conjecture (Larman-Rogers (1972))

Suppose that d = 4n. Does every set of $2^d/d^2 \pm 1$ vectors in R^d contain a pair of orthogonal vectors?

Theorem (Frankl-Rödl (1987))

Given $r \ge 2$ and $n = d/4 \ge r$, there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r) > 0$ such that every set of more than $(2 - \varepsilon)^d \pm 1$ vectors in \mathbb{R}^d contains rpairwise orthogonal vectors.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

A weak delta system is a collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_r such that

 $|A_i \cap A_j| = |A_1 \cap A_2|$

for $1 \le i < j \le r$.
A weak delta system is a collection of sets A_1, \ldots, A_r such that

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = |A_1 \cap A_2|$$

for $1 \le i < j \le r$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Szemerédi (1978))

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that if $n > n_0$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then \mathcal{A} contains a weak delta system of size 3.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Fix $r \geq 3$. Then there are $\eta = \eta(r)$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r)$ such that if $t = (1/4 \pm \eta)n$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then there are $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = t$$

for $1 \le i < j \le r$.

(《圖》 《문》 《문》 - 문

Fix $r \geq 3$. Then there are $\eta = \eta(r)$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r)$ such that if $t = (1/4 \pm \eta)n$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then there are $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = t$$

for $1 \le i < j \le r$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Szemerédi (1978))

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if *n* is sufficiently large and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then \mathcal{A} contains a delta system (not weak!) of size 3.

· < @ > < 문 > < 문 > · · 문

Fix $r \geq 3$. Then there are $\eta = \eta(r)$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(r)$ such that if $t = (1/4 \pm \eta)n$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then there are $A_1, \ldots, A_r \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$$|A_i \cap A_j| = t$$

for $1 \le i < j \le r$.

Conjecture (Erdős-Szemerédi (1978))

There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if *n* is sufficiently large and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A}| > (2 - \varepsilon)^n$, then \mathcal{A} contains a delta system (not weak!) of size 3.

Recent work of Alon-Shpilka-Umans gives connections between this conjecture and algorithms for Matrix multiplication

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

- Communication Complexity (Sgall 1999)
- Quantum Computing (Buhrman-Cleve-Wigderson 1998)
- Semidefinite Programming (Goemans-Kleinberg 1998, Hatami-Magen-Markakis 2009)

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ モ と …

Dhruy Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

æ

Let $0 < \eta < 1/4$ and $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$. There is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\eta)$ such that if $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < (2 - \varepsilon_0)^n.$$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let $0 < \eta < 1/4$ and $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$. There is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\eta)$ such that if $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < (2 - \varepsilon_0)^n.$$

How big is ε_0 (problem of Erdős)?

Let $0 < \eta < 1/4$ and $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$. There is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\eta)$ such that if $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < (2 - \varepsilon_0)^n.$$

How big is ε_0 (problem of Erdős)?

Frankl-Rödl show it is about $(t/n)^2/2$.

Suppose we forbid all numbers less than t + 1 as intersection sizes. Define $\mathcal{A}(n, t)$ to be

 $\{A \subset [n] : |A| \ge (n+t+1)/2\}$ if n+t is odd $\{A \subset [n] : |A \cap ([n] - \{1\})| \ge (n+t)/2\}$ if n+t is even.

(周)((日)(日))(日)

Suppose we forbid all numbers less than t + 1 as intersection sizes. Define $\mathcal{A}(n, t)$ to be

 $\{A \subset [n] : |A| \ge (n+t+1)/2\}$ if n+t is odd $\{A \subset [n] : |A \cap ([n] - \{1\})| \ge (n+t)/2\}$ if n+t is even.

Theorem (Katona)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and suppose that $|A \cap A'| > t$ for every $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq |\mathcal{A}(n,t)|.$$

Moreover, if $t \ge 1$ and $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}(n, t)|$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(n, t)$.

(日本) (日本) (日本)

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2 (1-x).$$

< 口 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

æ

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x).$$

Conjecture (M-Rödl)

Let $0 < \eta < 1/2$, $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$, and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{(n+t)/2} 2^{o(n)} = 2^{H\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{2n}\right)n + o(n)}.$$

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x).$$

Conjecture (M-Rödl)

Let
$$0 < \eta < 1/2$$
, $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$, and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{(n+t)/2} 2^{o(n)} = 2^{H\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{2n}\right)n + o(n)}.$$

If true, the conjecture is sharp as shown by $\mathcal{A} = {[n] \choose >(n+t)/2}$.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x).$$

Conjecture (M-Rödl)

Let $0 < \eta < 1/2$, $\eta n < t < (1/2 - \eta)n$, and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ with $|\mathcal{A} \cap B| \neq t$ for all $\mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq {\binom{n}{(n+t)/2}} 2^{o(n)} = 2^{H(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{2n})n + o(n)}.$$

If true, the conjecture is sharp as shown by $\mathcal{A} = {[n] \choose {(n+t)/2}}$. For fixed t and $n > n_0(t)$, conjectured by Frankl and proved by Frankl-Füredi.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Dhruy Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

▲御★ ▲注★ ▲注★

æ

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/5$ be fixed, $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon n < t < n/5$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$. Suppose that

$$|A\cap B|\not\in (t,t+n^{0.525})$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < n \binom{n}{(n+t)/2}.$$

・日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

æ

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/5$ be fixed, $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon n < t < n/5$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$. Suppose that

$$|A\cap B|\not\in (t,t+n^{0.525})$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < n \binom{n}{(n+t)/2}.$$

 The constant 0.525 is a consequence of the result of Baker-Harman-Pintz that there is a prime in every interval (s - s^{0.525}, s) as long as s is sufficiently large.

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/5$ be fixed, $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon n < t < n/5$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$. Suppose that

$$|A\cap B|\not\in (t,t+n^{0.525})$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}| < n \binom{n}{(n+t)/2}.$$

- The constant 0.525 is a consequence of the result of Baker-Harman-Pintz that there is a prime in every interval (s - s^{0.525}, s) as long as s is sufficiently large.
- If we assume the Riemann Hypothesis, then 0.525 could be improved to 1/2 + o(1) using a result of Cramér.

More restricted intersections

Question. Can the upper bound for M-intersecting families be improved for more restrictive M?

< ≣ >

Question. Can the upper bound for M-intersecting families be improved for more restrictive M?

Theorem (Berlekamp (1965), Graver (1975))

Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is *M*-intersecting, where $M = \{0, 2, 4, \ldots\}$. In other words, $|\mathcal{A} \cap B|$ is even for all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + 1$.

向下 イヨト イヨト

Question. Can the upper bound for M-intersecting families be improved for more restrictive M?

Theorem (Berlekamp (1965), Graver (1975))

Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is *M*-intersecting, where $M = \{0, 2, 4, \ldots\}$. In other words, $|\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}|$ is even for all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} + 1$.

Eventown Theorem

Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ such that

- |A| is even for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $|A \cap B|$ is even for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

Then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Proof of Eventown:

• To each $A \in A$, associate its incidence vector $v_A = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in A \\ 0 & i \notin A \end{cases}$$

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Proof of Eventown:

• To each $A \in A$, associate its incidence vector $v_A = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in A \\ 0 & i \notin A \end{cases}$$

• Let S be the subspace of F_2^n spanned by $\{v_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Proof of Eventown:

• To each $A \in A$, associate its incidence vector $v_A = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in A \\ 0 & i \notin A \end{cases}$$

- Let S be the subspace of F_2^n spanned by $\{v_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$.
 - S is totally isotropic (meaning $x \cdot y = 0$ for $x, y \in S$)
 - $dim(S) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Proof of Eventown:

• To each $A \in A$, associate its incidence vector $v_A = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in A \\ 0 & i \notin A \end{cases}$$

- Let S be the subspace of F_2^n spanned by $\{v_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$.
 - S is totally isotropic (meaning $x \cdot y = 0$ for $x, y \in S$)
 - $dim(S) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
- So $|\mathcal{A}| \le |S| \le 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = (1.4142..)^n$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Frankl-Rödl: $M = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{n/4\}$ – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ●目 - のへで

Frankl-Rödl:
$$M = \{0, 1, ..., n\} \setminus \{n/4\}$$
 – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n$
Eventown: $M = \{0, 2, ...\}$ – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.4142...)^n$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > = Ξ

Frankl-Rödl:
$$M = \{0, 1, ..., n\} \setminus \{n/4\}$$
 – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n$
Eventown: $M = \{0, 2, ...\}$ – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.4142..)^n$

What about M that are in between these two extremes?

★ 문 ▶ 문

A ■

Frankl-Rödl:
$$M = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{n/4\}$$
 – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n$

Eventown: $M = \{0, 2, ...\}$ – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.4142..)^n$

What about M that are in between these two extremes?

Definition

The length $\ell(M)$ of a set M is the maximum number of consecutive integers contained in M.

個人 くほん くほん しほ

Frankl-Rödl:
$$M = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{n/4\}$$
 – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.99)^n$

Eventown: $M = \{0, 2, ...\}$ – $|\mathcal{A}| < (1.4142..)^n$

What about M that are in between these two extremes?

Definition

The length $\ell(M)$ of a set M is the maximum number of consecutive integers contained in M.

 $\ell(M) \leq \ell$ if and only if \overline{M} is $(\ell + 1)$ -syndetic.

(< E) < E) = E</p>

Bounds for small $\ell(M)$

Theorem (M-Rödl)

Let $M \subset \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 1.622^n \times 100^{\ell}.$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Let $M \subset \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 1.622^n \times 100^{\ell}.$

• The result is nontrivial as long as, $\ell < n/10$ or so

向下 イヨト イヨト

Let $M \subset \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 1.622^n \times 100^{\ell}.$

- The result is nontrivial as long as, $\ell < n/10$ or so
- For example, if $[n] \setminus M = \{0, n/10^4, 2n/10^4, \dots, \}$, then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 1.63^{n}.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let $M \subset \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 1.622^n \times 100^{\ell}.$

- The result is nontrivial as long as, $\ell < n/10$ or so
- For example, if $[n] \setminus M = \{0, n/10^4, 2n/10^4, \dots, \}$, then

 $|A| < 1.63^{n}$.

• The 1.622 is probably not sharp, just a result of the proof

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Let $M \subset \{0, 1..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 2^{n/2 + \ell \log^2 n}.$

(本部) (本語) (本語) (語)
Theorem (M-Rödl)

Let $M \subset \{0, 1..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 2^{n/2 + \ell \log^2 n}.$

• For $\ell = o(n/\log^2 n)$, this bound better than the first one; it is $|\mathcal{A}| < 2^{n/2 + o(n)}.$

(本部)) (本語)) (本語)) (語)

Theorem (M-Rödl)

Let $M \subset \{0, 1..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{[n]}$ is an *M*-intersecting family. Then

 $|\mathcal{A}| < 2^{n/2 + \ell \log^2 n}.$

• For $\ell = o(n/\log^2 n)$, this bound better than the first one; it is $|\mathcal{A}| < 2^{n/2 + o(n)}.$

• This is the first non-linear-algebraic proof of an asymptotic version of the Eventown Theorem; it applies in more general scenarios though doesn't give bounds as precise as 2^{n/2}.

・回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

• Prove the result for pairs of families (A, B). This facilitates an induction argument

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Prove the result for pairs of families (A, B). This facilitates an induction argument
- $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is *M*-intersecting if

 $|A \cap B| \in M$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ モ と …

- Prove the result for pairs of families (A, B). This facilitates an induction argument
- $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is *M*-intersecting if

 $|A \cap B| \in M$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$

Theorem (M-Rödl)

Let $M \subset \{0, 1..., n\}$ with $\ell(M) = \ell$. Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an *M*-intersecting pair of families in $2^{[n]}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| < \min\left\{2.631^n \times 10^{4\ell}, \quad 2^{n+2\ell\log^2 n}\right\}$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Dhruv Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > □ Ξ

Say that a function $h: 2^N \to N \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *height function* if the following four properties hold:

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

Say that a function $h: 2^N \to N \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *height function* if the following four properties hold:

(A1) h(L) = 0 if and only if $L = \emptyset$,

(本部)) (本語)) (本語)) (語)

Say that a function $h: 2^N \to N \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *height function* if the following four properties hold:

(A1) h(L) = 0 if and only if $L = \emptyset$, (A2) if $h(L) < \infty$ and $L' \subset L$, then $h(L') \le h(L)$,

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Say that a function $h: 2^N \to N \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *height function* if the following four properties hold:

(A1) h(L) = 0 if and only if $L = \emptyset$, (A2) if $h(L) < \infty$ and $L' \subset L$, then $h(L') \le h(L)$, (A3) if $h(L) < \infty$ and $L' \subset L - 1$, then $h(L') \le h(L)$,

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Say that a function $h: 2^N \to N \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *height function* if the following four properties hold:

(A1)
$$h(L) = 0$$
 if and only if $L = \emptyset$,
(A2) if $h(L) < \infty$ and $L' \subset L$, then $h(L') \le h(L)$,
(A3) if $h(L) < \infty$ and $L' \subset L - 1$, then $h(L') \le h(L)$,
(A4) if $h(L), h(L') \le s < \infty$, then either
 $h(L' \cap L) \le s - 1$ or $h(L' \cap (L - 1)) \le s - 1$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Theorem (Sgall (1999))

Suppose that (A, B) is an *M*-intersecting pair of families in $2^{[n]}$ and $h(M) \le s \le n+1$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq 2^{n+s-1} {n \choose s-1}.$$

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Theorem (Sgall (1999))

Suppose that (A, B) is an *M*-intersecting pair of families in $2^{[n]}$ and $h(M) \le s \le n+1$. Then

$$|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq 2^{n+s-1} \binom{n}{s-1}.$$

Theorem (M-Rödl)

There exists a height function h such that for every $M \subset \{0, 1..., n\}$,

 $h(M) \leq 1 + 2\ell(M) \log n.$

Applying this bound in Sgall's Theorem yields $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| < 2^{n+2\ell \log^2 n}$.

□ > < E > < E > < E</p>

The Height function

• $h(\emptyset) = 0$

▲圖> ▲屋> ▲屋>

- $h(\emptyset) = 0$
- Suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$ and h has been defined on all sets of size less than |L|

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

- Suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$ and h has been defined on all sets of size less than |L|
- $T(L) = \{M : M \notin \{L, L+1\} \text{ and } 0 < |M| \le |L|\}$

・日・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

- Suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$ and *h* has been defined on all sets of size less than |L|
- $T(L) = \{M : M \notin \{L, L+1\} \text{ and } 0 < |M| \le |L|\}$
- $A = h(L \cap (L+1))$

(本部) (本語) (本語) (語)

- Suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$ and *h* has been defined on all sets of size less than |L|
- $T(L) = \{M : M \notin \{L, L+1\} \text{ and } 0 < |M| \le |L|\}$
- $A = h(L \cap (L+1))$
- $B = \max_{M \in \mathcal{T}(L)} \min\{h(L \cap M), h(L \cap (M-1))\}$

(4回) (注) (注) (注) (注)

- Suppose that $L \neq \emptyset$ and *h* has been defined on all sets of size less than |L|
- $T(L) = \{M : M \notin \{L, L+1\} \text{ and } 0 < |M| \le |L|\}$
- $A = h(L \cap (L+1))$
- $B = \max_{M \in \mathcal{T}(L)} \min\{h(L \cap M), h(L \cap (M-1))\}$
- $h(L) = 1 + \max\{A, B\}$

★@→ ★ E→ ★ E→ E

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

Dhruv Mubayi Intersection theorems for finite sets

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

● *ax* ≤ *p*

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- *ax* ≤ *p*
- ay $\leq Q$

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- *ax* ≤ *p*
- ay $\leq Q$
- $bx \leq Q$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > □ Ξ

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- *ax* ≤ *p*
- ay $\leq Q$
- $bx \leq Q$
- by $\leq Q$

★@> ★ E> ★ E> = E

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- $ax \leq p$
- ay $\leq Q$
- $bx \leq Q$
- $by \leq Q$

Then

$$(a+b)(x+y) \leq$$

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- $ax \leq p$
- ay $\leq Q$
- $bx \leq Q$
- by $\leq Q$

Then

$$(a+b)(x+y) \leq 2(p+Q).$$

Lemma (Sgall)

Suppose that a, b, x, y, p, Q are positive real numbers such that

- $ax \leq p$
- ay $\leq Q$
- $bx \leq Q$
- by $\leq Q$

Then

$$(a+b)(x+y) \leq 2(p+Q).$$

Thank You

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)