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## Wavelets

Basics: algorithm mathematical properties

Application to painting analysis

## Wavelets

illustrated via image analysis

## Digital images consist of pixels



Small squares, each with constant grey value

Typically: 256 different grey values (from pure white to pure black)
numbered from 0 to 255

## Example: a row in a self-portrait by Van Gogh


 $\rightarrow 140139132133131131133138138134131135139137138 \ldots$

## Example: a row in a self-portrait by Van Gogh


$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}75 & 72 & 74 & 74 & 76 & 80 & 112 & 131 & 137 & 138 & 134 & 137 & 133 & 128 & 126 & 132\end{array}$

## Example: a row in a self-portrait

 by Van Gogh

| 73.5 | 74 | 78 | 121.5 | 137.5 | 135.5 | 130.5 | 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 3 | -5 | 6 |


| 73.75 | 99.75 | 136.5 | 129.75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .5 | 43.5 | -2 | -1.5 |

large differences point to sudden transitions, such as edges

## What does this correspond to mathematically?


given a function $f$

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


We consider a fine scale approximation of $f$

$$
P_{\text {fine }} f=P_{J} f
$$

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

$\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {fine }} \boldsymbol{f}=\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{J}} \boldsymbol{f}=$ fine scale approximation to $f$

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

we next determine a coarser approximation to $f$ by replacing pairs of constant levels by their average

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{J-1} f=\text { coarser approximation to } f \\
& \quad \text { (obtained by "averaging" } \boldsymbol{P}_{J} f \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

we continue this process and replace coarse approximation $P_{J-l} f$ by one that is even coarser

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

the even coarser approximation $P_{J-2} f$

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

and we repeat the process again ...

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

and again ...

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost
we next determine a coarser approximation to $f$
by replacing pairs of constant levels by their average
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## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


$$
P_{J-1} f-P_{J} f
$$

In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost
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In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$

$$
P_{J-2} f-P_{J-3} f
$$



In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost

## What does this correspond to mathematically?

given a function $f$


In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost
given a function $f$


$$
P_{J}(x)-P_{J-1}(x)=\sum_{k} c_{J-1, k} \Psi\left(2^{J-1} x-k\right)
$$


$\psi\left(2^{J-2} x\right)$
$\psi\left(2^{J-3} x\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{J-1}(x)-P_{J-2}(x)=\sum_{k} c_{J-2, k} \Psi\left(2^{J-2} x-k\right) \\
& P_{J-2}(x)-P_{J-3}(x)=\sum_{k} c_{J-3, k} \Psi\left(2^{J-3} x-k\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In each of the successive approximations, some detail is lost

We have thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{J} f(x) & =\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}\left(P_{J-\ell+1} f(x)-P_{J-\ell} f(x)\right)+P_{J-L} f(x) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{k} c_{J-\ell, k} \psi\left(2^{J-\ell} x-k\right)+P_{J-L} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

When $J \longrightarrow \infty, P_{J} f \longrightarrow f$; when $J-L \longrightarrow-\infty, P_{J-L} f \longrightarrow 0$.
Moreover, the $\psi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)$ are orthogonal.
The algorithm of averaging and differencing corresponds thus to the decomposition of $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ into the orthonormal basis $2^{j / 2} \psi\left(2^{j} x-k\right)=: \psi_{j, k}(x)$.

The $\psi_{j, k}$ in the example are discontinuous; provided "averaging" and "differencing" are replaced by generalizations (corresponding to higher order approximation schemes), one still has a similar structure, with $\psi$ supported on an interval, but now smoother.

The decomposition

$$
f=\sum_{j, k}\left\langle f, \psi_{j, k}\right\rangle \psi_{j, k}
$$

can be viewed as a particularly convenient form of Calderòn's formula.

The $\left(\psi_{j, k}\right)_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ constitute an unconditional basis for many useful functional spaces.

Examples: $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})($ for $1<p<\infty), W^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}), B_{s, p}^{q}(\mathbb{R}), C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \ldots$

## The 2-dimensional wavelet transform.

So far, we have worked in only 1 dimension



| 121 | 122 | 113 | 99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 96 | 89 | 81 |
| 80 | 79 | 75 | 73 |
| 74 | 76 | 75 | 76 |


averaging pairwise
differencing pairwise
in each row










| 121 | 122 | 113 | 99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 96 | 89 | 81 |
| 80 | 79 | 75 | 73 |
| 74 | 76 | 75 | 76 |$\quad$| 121.5 | 106 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 98 | 85 |
| 79.5 | 74 |
| 75 | 75.5 |$\quad$| 1 | -14 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -4 | -8 |
| -1 | -2 |
| -2 | -1 |






| 121 | 122 | 113 | 99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 96 | 89 | 81 |
| 80 | 79 | 75 | 73 |
| 74 | 76 | 75 | 76 |


| 109.25 95.5 <br> 77.25 74.75 | -22.5 -21 <br> -4.5 1.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | -1.5 -11 <br> -1.5 -1.5 |
| -5 6 <br> -1 1 |  |


| 121 | 122 | 113 | 99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 96 | 89 | 81 |
| 80 | 79 | 75 | 73 |
| 74 | 76 | 75 | 76 |





| 121 | 122 | 113 | 99 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 96 | 89 | 81 |
| 80 | 79 | 75 | 73 |
| 74 | 76 | 75 | 76 |

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
-1.5 & -11 \\
-1.5 & -1.5 \\
\hline 10
\end{array}
$$

| 109.25 | 95.5 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 77.25 | 74.75 |







## Wavelet decomposition: graphical illustration of the algorithm and its properties






"Difference" vertically
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## Meaning of the successive approximations








## Local properties reflected by the successive approximations








## Compression




Compression Ratio: 3.3\%


Compression Ratio: 10\%

## Localization: <br> fast, interactive retrieval of data







