AMBER Magnetometers Network and Longitudinal Differences of Equatorial Electrodynamics and Ionospheric Vertical Density Distribution

Endawoke Yizengaw Institute for Scientific Research, Boston College

Team Members: M. Moldwin (UM); E. Zesta (AFRL); M. Magoun (BC); B. Damtie (BDU, Ethiopia); A. Mebrhtu (MU, Ethiopia); F. Anad (CRAAG,

Algeria); C. Mabene (UY, Cameroon); P. Kotze (SANSA, S. Africa); B. Rabiu (NASRDA. Nigeria); O. Obrou (UC, Ivory Cost); Z. Bamba (Guinea); N. Tripathi (AIT, Thailand); E. de Paula (INPE, Brazil); ----











Outline

AMBER Network Logo



- → Global ionospheric density distribution
- → AMBER magnetometer array
- → EEJ (ExB drift) longitudinal difference
- → Vertical density structures using tomography
- → Is the vertical drift the main driver RT instability?
- Post-midnight bubbles

Conclusion

GNSS receivers network expansion



-100

Ionosphere is boiling like ---?



GNSS and LEO coverage: a decade ago

GNSS and LEO coverage: three years ago

4-cell structure from ground and space based Global TEC map

Global GPS TEC distribution between for July-August, 2008 Local Time: 18 - 20 LT





Yizengaw, IJG, 2012

Why the ionosphere behaves differently at different longitudes? Electrodynamics different What is responsible for this difference?

- Magnetic declination
- Neutral winds
- latent heat release difference (tidal force difference)
- Magnetic field magnitude difference
- Dust concentration difference

Do we have facilities to measure electrodynamics?



Ion vertical drift velocities → Jicamarca radar → Models → Ionosonde → Magnetometers

Wang, W. et al., GRL, 2008



AMBER magnetometer Array

AMBER (African Meridian B-field Education and Research)

Global Equatorial Magnetometers Network



What is the physics behind ionospheric Wn-4 structure?

- What is its day-to-day occurrence probability?
- Is there specific local time sector that favor its formation?
- What is the possible driving mechanism?



Immel et al., GRL, 2006

4-cell structure from ground and space based Global TEC map

Global GPS TEC distribution between for July-August, 2008 Local Time: 18 - 20 LT





Yizengaw, IJG, 2012

Day-to-day wave number 4 structure at different local time



Pacheco and Yizengaw, JGR, 2013

Mag/Sensor Setup Diagram



Full Setup



Setup at the Site





What does the magnetometer Observes?



EEJ Estimation using magnetometers and its longitudinal differences

Equatorial Electrojet (EEJ) formation



The solar-driven neutral wind results Sq current system and then east-west polarization E-field in the E-region.

 → At the magnetic dip equator, the resulting upward E x B drift moves negative charge at the top and a positive at the bottom of the E-region.

The resulting E-field prevents electrons to be drifted further upward, instead, they are propelled westward by the eastward E-field. This forms an custward electric current flow within ±3.0° of the magnetic equator, which is called the Equatorial Electrojet (EEJ)

Can we estimate EEJ? Only for Dayside



 Magnetometer at off the equator

 $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Obs}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{main}} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{SQ}} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{FAC}} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{RC}} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{MP}}$

Magnetometer at the equator

 $\mathbf{B}_{\text{Obs}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{main}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{SQ}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{FAC}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{RC}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{EJ}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{MP}}$

EEJ estimation technique

Only for Dayside







DEJ

ExB Drift



How does the ionospheric density respond to such drift differences?

Computerized Ionospheric Tomography (CIT)



- Use radio signals from satellites
- Needs a chain of ground stations
- Use line integral of electron density (TEC) as input ingredients
- Invert data sets based on linear mathematical inversion technique
 - Obtain vertical structure of electron density
 - Large-scale spatial structure of ionosphere

Resource for Tomography

Harmonic background profiles



Background profiles



Tomographically reconstructed density profiles

East Africa

West America

Reconstructed Electron Density (10⁵ el/cm³) at 05:00 UT on October 9, 2008

Reconstructed Electron Density (10⁵ el/cm³) at 21:00 UT on October 9, 2008



Tomography and ISR Density profiles comparison

Reconstructed Density on October 28, 2008 at Lat = -12.0°N and Lon = 290°E

Reconstructed Density on October 29, 2008 at Lat = -12.0°N and Lon = 290°E



Longitudinal Density profiles differences

East Africa







Reconstructed Density on October 17, 2008 at Lat = 8.000°N and Lon = 290°E



Reconstructed Density on October 11, 2008 at Lat = 8.000°N and Lon = 290°E



Longitudinal Density profiles differences

Southern peak

Africa-Equator Reconstructed Density on October 26, 2008 at Lat = 8.000°N and Lon = 290°E

Northern peak

Reconstructed Density on October 26, 2008 at Lat = -6.00°N and Lon = 290°E









Southern peak

Reconstructed Density on October 4, 2008 at Lat = -26.0°N and Lon = 290°E



Reconstructed Density on October 4, 2008 at Lat = -12.0°N and Lon = 290°E

ator



UT (hr)

Reconstructed Density on October 4, 2008 at Lat = 8.000°N and Lon = 290°E





UT (hr)

Clear EIA from topside ionospheric structure



Is the vertical drift a prime candidate for initiating the Rayleigh-**Taylor (RT) instability?**

Longitudinal drift Variations IVM drift average (2009-2013)



Yizengaw et al., AG, 2013 (submitted)



Incl.: 13° Alt.: 400 – 850km Instruments: PLP, IVM, VEFI, magnetometer, GPS, and lightening detector

The drift in general decrease in magnitude as we go east. What cause the enhancement of Rayleigh-Taylor instability growth rate? $\gamma = \frac{\Sigma_F}{\Sigma_F + \Sigma_E} \left(V_{dr} - U_{\perp} - \frac{g}{v_{eff}} \right) \frac{1}{N_e} \frac{dN_e}{dh}$

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma_F \& \ \Sigma_E := F \text{- and } E \text{-region Pederson conductivities} \\ & V_{dr} := Vertical \ drift \\ & U_{\perp} := Perpendicular \ neutral \ wind \ component \\ & g := Gravity \\ & v_{eff} := Collision \ frequency \\ & N_e := Electron \ density \\ & \frac{dN_e}{dh} := Density \ gradient! \end{split}$$

Then why the bubble distributions show the other way around?



Then why the bubbles distribution show the other way around?





Then why the bubble distributions show the other way around?



Then why the bubbles' distribution show the other way around?

DMSP Observations: *Gentile et al., 2011*

In Africa (Long ~ -20° to 52°) dusk sector irregularities are active almost all seasons during solar max.

> C/NOFS Observations *Yizengaw et al.*



Potential questions?

- If not the drift, then what could it be? Would it be the neutral winds that cause the long lasting bubbles in Africa? If it is the neutral wind, why the orientation and magnitude of the wind in the African sector is unique compared to other longitudes?



- Equator ward meridional wind decrease conductivity and increase RTI growth rate

 Pole ward meridional wind increase conductivity and decrease RTI growth rate

Huba and Krall, GRL, 2013



Our Database

http://magnetometers.bc.edu/



SAMBA-AMBER MAGNETOMETERS DATA CENTER

You are here: Home

People News Publications SAMBA Network AMBER Network Search by Date Downloads Data Usage Policy SAMBA/iMAGS Meeting Administrator

Home













UCLA



The SAMBA and AMBER magnetometer B-Field networks provide data characterizing the earth's magnetic field in the southern hemisphere, utilizing parallel chains of meridional sensor stations in South America and Africa.

The "South American Meridional B-Field Array" (SAMBA) stretches from Putre, Chile to Escudero, O'Higgins and Palmer Stations, Antarctica.

The "African Meridian B-Field Education and Research" (AMBER) array ranges from Medea, Algeria south to Tsumeb, Namibia in two parallel lines. Additional stations are planned for installations along the magnetic equator from Brazil to the Philippines.

These networks and this data center are sponsored by funds from the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR). Leading participants include Boston College and the University of California Los Angeles. Host organizations for the sensors are identified in the Station pages of the sensor networks.

Sensor data on this site are stored in ascii daily data tables and as PostScript[®] daily plot files. Users can browse the stored file tree via the Downloads menu item or by searching for a particular day and station. Table data have been de-spiked during processing, prior to plot generation.

PostScript[®] is a registered trademark of Adobe Systems, Inc.

→ You can search by days, by station

 \rightarrow You can download ASCII data (1 min, 1 sec, and half sec resolution)

→ You can download summary plots for a quick look!

Real Time Database!

SAMBA-AMBER

CONTRACTOR DATA CONT

Horse Pecpie Have Addituations SAMEA Mathema SAMEA Mathema Saarch ty: Data Dear Long Data Data Longe Molocy Data Longe Molocy SAMEA/MACS Mar Administrator You are here; Horse + AM202 Hedwork + Abidjan

ABIDJAN

Ablajan, Côte Stivoire Station -- Deployed 12 August 2014, Ceographic Position: 5.82%, Lat., 4.18°W, Lon., 37.31m Alt. COM Lat. -6.0, Lon. 55.82 UT of Neon NLT: L-Value: 1.0







→ 30 minute plots

→ 24 minute hours plots

→ 30 minute and 24 hours dynamic spectra.



Is the ionosphere calm during quiet time?



Yizengaw et al., GRL, 2013 (submitted)

Where do Lget AMBER data? You welcome to get me here



Average the Xcomponent night time (2230 – 0300 LT) values, and subtract it from the measurement data.

EEJ to ExB drift!

 TR1=0.0222*F107
 Daily observed F10.7

 TR2=0.0282*F107A
 Daily adjusted F10.7

 TR3=0.0589*KP(hr)
 Kp value

 TR4=0.1661*LT
 Local time

 TR5=0.1892*DH(hr)
 Delta H

 TR6=0.00028*(DH(hr))^2
 Delta H

 TR7=0.0000023*(DH(hr))^3
 Delta H

ExB=K-TR1-TR2-TR3-TR4+TR5+TR6-TR7

<u>Can you run your IDE program please</u>