ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES IN MATTER

Imrana Ashraf Zahid Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad Pakistan

Preparatory School to Winter College on Optics: Light : A Bridge between Earth and Space. 2nd February - 6th February 2015

EM wave propagation inside matter - in regions with no free charges and no free currents (the medium is an insulator/non-conductor).

For this situation, Maxwell's equations become:

1)
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D}(\vec{r},t) = 0$$

2)
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = 0$$

3)
$$\left| \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} \right|$$

4)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\partial \vec{D}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

The medium is assumed to be linear, homogeneous and isotropicthus the following relations are valid in this medium:

$$\vec{D}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$$

and

$$\vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{\mu}\vec{B}(\vec{r},t)$$

ε = electric permittivity of the medium.
 ε = ε_o(1 + χ_e), χ_e = electric susceptibility of the medium.
 μ = magnetic permeability of the medium.
 μ = μ_o(1 + χ_m), χ_m = magnetic susceptibility of the medium.
 ε_o = electric permittivity of free space = 8.85 × 10⁻¹² Farads/m.
 μ_{29,01/2015} = magnetic permeability of free space = 4π × 10⁻⁷ Henrys/m. 3

Maxwell's equations inside the linear, homogeneous and isotropic non-conducting medium become:

1)
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = 0$$

2) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = 0$
3) $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$
4) $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$

In a linear /homogeneous/isotropic medium, the speed of propagation of EM waves is:

$$v'_{prop} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}$$

The **E** and **B** fields in the medium obey the following wave equation:

$$\nabla^{2}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^{2}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^{2}} = \frac{1}{v_{prop}^{\prime 2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^{2}}$$

$$\nabla^{2}\vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{v_{prop}^{\prime 2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^{2}}$$

For linear / homogeneous / isotropic media:

$$\varepsilon = K_e \varepsilon_o = (1 + \chi_e) \varepsilon_o \qquad K_e = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_o} = (1 + \chi_e) = \text{relative electric permittivity}$$
$$\mu = K_m \mu_0 = (1 + \chi_m) \mu_o \qquad K_m = \frac{\mu}{\mu_o} = (1 + \chi_m) = \text{relative magnetic permeability}$$

$$v'_{prop} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_e \varepsilon_o K_m \mu_o}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_e K_m}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_e K_m}} c$$

$$K_e K_m \ge 1 \quad \text{thus} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_e K_m}} \le 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v'_{prop} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_e K_m}} c \le c$$
29/01/2015

If

6

Note also that since

$$K_e = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_o}$$
 and $K_m = \frac{\mu}{\mu_o}$

are dimensionless

quantities, then so is



Define the index of refraction - *a dimensionless quantity*- of the linear / homogeneous / isotropic medium as:

$$n \equiv \sqrt{K_e K_m} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon \mu}{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}}$$

For linear / homogeneous / isotropic media:

$$v'_{prop} = c/n \ (\leq c)$$
 because $n \geq 1$

For many (but not all) linear/homogeneous/isotropic materials:

$$\mu = \mu_o \left(1 + \chi_m \right) \simeq \mu_o$$

(*True for many paramagnetic and diamagnetic-type materials*)

$$\left|\chi_{m}\right|\sim \vartheta\left(10^{-8}\right)\sim 0$$

Thus
$$K_m = \frac{\mu}{\mu_o} = (1 + \chi_m) \simeq 1 \implies n \simeq \sqrt{K_e} \text{ and } v'_{prop} = \frac{c}{n} \simeq \frac{c}{\sqrt{K_e}}.$$
29/01/2015

The instantaneous EM energy density associated with a linear/ homogeneous/isotropic material

$$u_{EM}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon E^2(\vec{r},t) + \frac{1}{\mu} B^2(\vec{r},t) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \cdot \vec{D}(\vec{r},t) + \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) \cdot \vec{H}(\vec{r},t) \right) \left(\frac{\text{Joules}}{\text{m}^3} \right)$$

with
$$\vec{D}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$$
 and $\vec{H}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)$

The instantaneous Poynting's vector associated with a linear/ homogeneous/isotropic material

$$\vec{S}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) \right) = \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{H}(\vec{r},t) \right) \left(\frac{\text{Watts}}{\text{m}^2} \right)$$

The intensity of an EM wave propagating in this medium is:

$$I(\vec{r}) = \left\langle \left| \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) \right| \right\rangle = v'_{prop} \left\langle u_{EM}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} v'_{prop} \varepsilon E_o^2(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c}{n} \right) \varepsilon E_o^2(\vec{r}) \left(\frac{\text{Watts}}{\text{m}^2} \right)$$

The instantaneous linear momentum density associated with an EM wave propagating in a linear/homogeneous/isotropic medium is:

$$\vec{\wp}_{EM}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon \mu \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{v_{prop}^{\prime 2}} \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) \right) = \varepsilon \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) \right) \left(\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^2 - \mathrm{sec}} \right)$$

The instantaneous angular momentum density associated with an EM wave propagating in this medium is:

$$\vec{\ell}_{EM}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{r} \times \vec{\wp}_{EM}(\vec{r},t) = \varepsilon \ \vec{r} \times \left(\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)\right) \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m-sec}}\right)$$

Total instantaneous EM energy:

$$U_{EM}(t) = \int_{v} u_{EM}(\vec{r}, t) d\tau \quad \text{(Joules)}$$

Total instantaneous linear momentum:

$$\vec{p}_{EM}(t) = \int_{v} \vec{\wp}_{EM}(\vec{r}, t) d\tau \left(\frac{kg}{s}\right)$$

$$\frac{\text{kg-m}}{\text{sec}}$$

Instantaneous EM Power:

$$P_{EM}(t) = \frac{\partial U_{EM}(t)}{\partial t} = -\oint_{S} \vec{S}(\vec{r}, t) \cdot d\vec{a} \quad (Watts)$$

Total instantaneous angular momentum:

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{EM}(t) = \int_{v} \vec{\ell}_{EM}(\vec{r},t) d\tau \qquad \left(\frac{\text{kg-m}^{2}}{\text{sec}}\right)$$

29/01/2015

sec

Suppose the x-y plane forms the boundary between two linear media. A plane wave of frequency ω - travelling in the z- direction and polarized in the x- direction-approaches the interface from the left



Reflection & Transmission of Linear Polarized Plane EM Waves at Normal Incidence Incident EM plane wave (in medium 1):

Propagates in the
$$+\hat{z}$$
 -direction (*i.e.* $\hat{k}_{inc} = +\hat{k}_1 = +\hat{z}$), with polarization $\hat{n}_{inc} = +\hat{x}$
 $\begin{vmatrix} \vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} e^{i(k_1z-\omega t)}\hat{x} \end{vmatrix}$ with: $\begin{vmatrix} k_{inc} = |\vec{k}_{inc}| = k_1 = |\vec{k}_1| = 2\pi/\lambda_1 = \omega/\nu_1 \end{vmatrix}$
 $\begin{vmatrix} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{inc}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\nu_1}\hat{k}_{inc} \times \vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\nu_1}\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} e^{i(k_1z-\omega t)}\hat{y} \end{vmatrix}$ since: $\hat{k}_{inc} \times \hat{n}_{inc} = +\hat{z} \times \hat{x} = +\hat{y}$

Reflected EM plane wave (in medium 1):

Propagates in the
$$-\hat{z}$$
-direction (*i.e.* $\hat{k}_{refl} = -\hat{k}_1 = -\hat{z}$), with polarization $\hat{n}_{refl} = +\hat{x}$
 $\vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{i(-k_1 z - \omega t)} \hat{x}$ with: $k_{refl} = |\vec{k}_{refl}| = k_1 = |\vec{k}_1| = 2\pi/\lambda_1 = \omega/v_1$
 $\tilde{B}_{refl}(z,t) = \frac{1}{v_1} \hat{k}_{refl} \times \vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl}(z,t) = -\frac{1}{v_1} \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{i(-k_1 z - \omega t)} \hat{y}$ since: $\hat{k}_{refl} \times \hat{n}_{refl} = -\hat{z} \times \hat{x} = -\hat{y}$

Reflection & Transmission of Linear Polarized Plane EM Waves at Normal Incidence Transmitted EM plane wave (in medium 2):

Propagates in the
$$+\hat{z}$$
 -direction (*i.e.* $\hat{k}_{trans} = +\hat{k}_2 = +\hat{z}$), with polarization $\hat{n}_{trans} = +\hat{x}$
 $\vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}e^{i(k_2z-\omega t)}\hat{x}$ with: $k_{trans} = |\vec{k}_{trans}| = k_2 = |\vec{k}_2| = 2\pi/\lambda_2 = \omega/\nu_2$
 $\tilde{B}_{trans}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\nu_2}\hat{k}_{trans} \times \vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\nu_2}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}e^{i(k_2z-\omega t)}\hat{y}$ since: $\hat{k}_{trans} \times \hat{n}_{trans} = +\hat{z} \times \hat{x} = +\hat{y}$

In this situation the E -field - polarization vectors are all oriented in the same direction

 $\hat{n}_{inc} = \hat{n}_{refl} = \hat{n}_{trans} = +\hat{x}$

or equivalently:

$$\left| \vec{E}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t \right) \parallel \vec{E}_{refl}\left(\vec{r},t \right) \parallel \vec{E}_{trans}\left(\vec{r},t \right) \right|$$

At the interface between the two linear / homogeneous / isotropic media -at z = 0 in the x-y plane- the boundary conditions 1 - 4 must be satisfied for the total E and B -fields immediately present on either side of the interface:

BC 1) Normal \vec{D} continuous: $\left[\varepsilon_{1} E_{1_{Tot}}^{\perp} = \varepsilon_{2} E_{2_{Tot}}^{\perp} \right]$ (*n.b.* \perp refers to the *x-y* boundary, *i.e.* in the $+\hat{z}$ direction) BC 2) Tangential \vec{E} continuous: $\left[E_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel} = E_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \right]$ (*n.b.* \parallel refers to the *x-y* boundary, *i.e.* in the *x-y* plane)

BC 3) Normal \vec{B} continuous:

$$B_{\mathbf{1}_{Tot}}^{\perp} = B_{\mathbf{2}_{Tot}}^{\perp}$$

 $(\perp \text{ to x-y boundary, i.e. in the }+z^{\text{direction}})$

BC 4) Tangential
$$\vec{H}$$
 continuous: $\frac{1}{\mu_1} B_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel} = \frac{1}{\mu_2} B_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel}$

(| to x-y boundary, i.e. in x-y plane)

For plane EM waves at normal incidence on the boundary at z = 0-lying in the x-y plane- no components of **E or B** (incident, reflected or transmitted waves) - allowed to be along the $\pm z^{\circ}$ propagation direction (s) - the E and *B*-field are transverse fields -constraints imposed by Maxwell's equations.

BC -1) and BC- 3) impose no restrictions on such EM waves since:

 $\{E_{1_{Tot}}^{\perp} = E_{1_{Tot}}^{z} = 0; E_{2_{Tot}}^{\perp} = E_{2_{Tot}}^{z} = 0\} \text{ and } \{B_{1_{Tot}}^{\perp} = B_{1_{Tot}}^{z} = 0; B_{2_{Tot}}^{\perp} = B_{2_{Tot}}^{z} = 0\}$

 \Rightarrow The only restrictions on plane EM waves propagating with normal incidence on the boundary at z = 0 are imposed by BC-2) and BC-4).

At z = 0 in medium 1) (i.e. $z \le 0$) we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \vec{\tilde{E}}_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \left(z = 0, t \right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc} \left(z = 0, t \right) + \vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl} \left(z = 0, t \right) \right| \text{ and} \\ \frac{1}{\mu_1} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \left(z = 0, t \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_1} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{inc} \left(z = 0, t \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_1} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{refl} \left(z = 0, t \right) \end{aligned}$$

While at z = 0 in medium 2) (i.e. $z \ge 0$) we must have:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \left(z = 0, t \right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans} \left(z = 0, t \right) \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{1}{\mu_2} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \left(z = 0, t \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \vec{\tilde{B}}_{trans} \left(z = 0, t \right)$$

Reflection & Transmission of Linear Polarized Plane EM Waves at Normal Incidence 2) Tengential F is continuous @ z = 0) requires that:

BC 2) -Tangential *E* is continuous (a) = 0 requires that:

$$\left| \vec{\tilde{E}}_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \right|_{z=0} = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel} \left|_{z=0} \right| \text{ or: } \left| \vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc} \left(z=0,t \right) + \vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl} \left(z=0,t \right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans} \left(z=0,t \right) \right|.$$

BC 4) -Tangential *H* is continuous @ z = 0) requires that:

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}\vec{\tilde{B}}_{1_{Tot}}^{\parallel}\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\vec{\tilde{B}}_{2_{Tot}}^{\parallel}\Big|_{z=0}$$

or:
$$\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}\vec{\tilde{B}}_{inc}(z=0,t) + \frac{1}{\mu_{1}}\vec{\tilde{B}}_{refl}(z=0,t) = \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\vec{\tilde{B}}_{trans}(z=0,t)$$

Using explicit expressions for the complex **E** and **B** fields



The above boundary condition relations become

BC 2) (Tangential \vec{E} continuous (a) z = 0):

BC 4) (Tangential \vec{H} continuous ($\hat{a}, z = 0$):

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}} e^{-i\sigma t} + \widetilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{-i\sigma t} &= \widetilde{E}_{o_{trans}} e^{-i\sigma t} \\ \frac{1}{\mu_{1}v_{1}} \widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}} e^{-i\sigma t} - \frac{1}{\mu_{1}v_{1}} \widetilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{-i\sigma t} &= \frac{1}{\mu_{2}v_{2}} \widetilde{E}_{o_{trans}} e^{-i\sigma t} \end{split}$$

Cancelling the common $e^{-i\omega t}$ factors on the LHS & RHS of above equations - we have at z = 0 (everywhere in the x-y plane- must be independent of any time t):

BC 2) (Tangential \vec{E} continuous ($\hat{a}, z = 0$): BC 4) (Tangential \vec{H} continuous ($\hat{a}, z = 0$):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} &= \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \\ \hline \frac{1}{\mu_1 v_1} \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \frac{1}{\mu_1 v_1} \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} &= \frac{1}{\mu_2 v_2} \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \end{split}$$

Assuming that $\{\mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_2\}$ and $\{v_1 \text{ and } v_2\}$ are known / given for the two media, we have two equations (from BC 2) and BC 4) and <u>three</u> unknowns $\{\tilde{E}_{o_{ine}}, \tilde{E}_{o_{ref}}, \tilde{E}_{o_{ref}}, \tilde{E}_{o_{ref}}\}$

 \rightarrow Solve above equations simultaneously for

$$\{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} \text{ and } \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \} \text{ in terms of / scaled to } \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \}$$

Define:

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}$$

BC 4) -Tangential **H** continuous @ z = 0- relation becomes:

$$\widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \widetilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \beta \ \widetilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

BC 2) -Tangential **E** continuous @ z = 0 - gives:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}} = \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{trans}}}$$

BC 4) -Tangential **H** continuous @ z = 0- reduces to

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \beta \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta \equiv \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}$$

Add and Subtract BC -2) and BC- 4) relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} &= (1+\beta) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \implies \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \quad (2+4) \\ 2\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} &= (1-\beta) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \implies \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \quad (2-4) \end{aligned}$$

Insert the result of eqn. (2+4) into eqn. (2-4):

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\not Z}\right) \left(\frac{\not Z}{1+\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Now:
$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}$$
 and: $v_1 = \frac{c}{n_1}$, $v_2 = \frac{c}{n_2}$ where: $n_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1}{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}}$ and $n_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \mu_2}{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}}$

$$\beta = \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2} = \frac{\mu_1 (c/n_1)}{\mu_2 (c/n_2)} = \frac{\mu_1 n_2}{\mu_2 n_1} = \frac{\mu_1 \sqrt{\varepsilon_2 \mu_2 / \varepsilon_o \mu_o}}{\mu_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 / \varepsilon_o \mu_o}} = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2 \mu_2}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \mu_1}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\mu_2}\right) / \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\mu_1}\right)} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \mu_1}{\varepsilon_1 \mu_2}}$$

Now if the two media are both paramagnetic or diamagneticsuch that $\chi_{m_1,2} \ll 1$

i.e.
$$\mu_1 = \mu_o \left(1 + \chi_{m_1}\right) \approx \mu_o$$
 and: $\mu_2 = \mu_o \left(1 + \chi_{m_2}\right) \approx \mu_o$

Common for many (but not all) non-conducting linear/ homogeneous/isotropic media

Then

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2} \simeq \left(\frac{v_1}{v_2}\right) = \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right) \text{ for } \mu_1 \approx \mu_2 \approx \mu_o \text{ or } \left|\chi_{m_{1,2}}\right| \ll 1$$



We can alternatively express these relations in terms of the indices of refraction n_1 and n_2 :

$$\widetilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right) \widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \text{ and } \widetilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1 + n_2}\right) \widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}}$$

Now since:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{E}_{o_{inc}} &= E_{o_{inc}} e^{i\delta} \\ \widetilde{E}_{o_{refl}} &= E_{o_{refl}} e^{i\delta} \\ \widetilde{E}_{o_{trans}} &= E_{o_{trans}} e^{i\delta} \end{split}$$

 δ = phase angle (in radians) defined at the zero of time - t = 0Then for the purely real amplitudes $(E_{o_{inc}}, E_{o_{refl}}, E_{o_{trans}})$

The relations between real amplitudes become:

$$for \quad \mu_{1} \simeq \mu_{2} \simeq \mu_{o}$$

$$E_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \simeq \left(\frac{v_{2}-v_{1}}{v_{2}+v_{1}}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = \left(\frac{n_{1}-n_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right) E_{o_{inc}}$$

$$F_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \simeq \left(\frac{2v_{2}}{v_{1}+v_{1}}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = \left(\frac{2n_{1}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right) E_{o_{inc}}$$

$$for \quad \mu_{1} \simeq \mu_{2} \simeq \mu_{o}$$

Monochromatic plane EM wave at normal incidence on a boundary between two linear / homogeneous / isotropic media for $\mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_o$ for the following cases:

If $v_2 > v_1$ (*i.e.* $n_2 < n_1$) {*e.g.* medium 1) = glass \Rightarrow medium 2) = air}:

$$E_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_2 + v_1}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = \left(\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \implies \begin{bmatrix} E_{o_{refl}} & \underline{\text{is precisely in-phase with}} \\ E_{o_{inc}} & \underline{\text{because}} & (v_2 - v_1) > 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

If $v_2 < v_1$ (*i.e.* $n_2 > n_1$) {*e.g.* medium 1) = air \Rightarrow medium 2) = glass}:

$$E_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_2 + v_1}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = \left(\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} E_{o_{refl}} & \underline{is \ 180^\circ \ out-of-phase \ with} \\ E_{o_{inc}} & \underline{because} \ (v_2 - v_1) < 0. \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e. \quad \left[E_{o_{refl}} = -\left|\frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_2 + v_1}\right| E_{o_{inc}} = -\left|\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right| E_{o_{inc}} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} E_{o_{inc}} & \underline{because} \ (v_2 - v_1) < 0. \end{bmatrix}$$
The minus sign indicates a 180° phase shift occurs upon reflection for $v_2 < v_1$ (i.e. $n_2 > n_1$)!!!

i.

 $E_{o_{trans}}$ is <u>always</u> in-phase with $E_{o_{inc}}$ for all possible $v_1 \& v_2$ $(n_1 \& n_2)$ because:

$$E_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \simeq \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_1+v_1}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right) E_{o_{inc}}$$

What fraction of the incident *EM* wave energy is reflected ? What fraction of the incident *EM* wave energy is transmitted?

In a given linear/homogeneous/isotropic medium with

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}{\varepsilon \mu}} c = c/n$$

The time-averaged energy density in the EM wave is:

$$\left\langle u_{EM}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon E_{o}^{2}\left(\vec{r}\right) = \varepsilon E_{o_{rms}}^{2}\left(\vec{r}\right) \left(\frac{\text{Joules}}{\text{m}^{3}}\right)$$

The time-averaged Poynting's vector is:

$$\left\langle \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\mu} \left\langle \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle \left(\frac{\text{Watts}}{\text{m}^2} \right)$$

The intensity of the EM wave is:

$$I(\vec{r}) \equiv \left\langle \left| \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) \right| \right\rangle = v \left\langle u_{EM}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle = v \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon E_o^2(\vec{r}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon v E_o^2(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon v E_{o_{rms}}^2(\vec{r}) \left(\frac{Watts}{m^2} \right)$$
The three Poynting's vectors associated with this problem are such that

$$\vec{S}_{inc} \parallel (+\hat{z}), \quad \vec{S}_{refl} \parallel (-\hat{z}) \text{ and } \vec{S}_{trans} \parallel (+\hat{z})$$

For a monochromatic plane EM wave at normal incidence with $\mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_o$

$$\begin{split} E_{o_{refl}} = & \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \simeq \left(\frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_2 + v_1}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = & \left(\frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \\ \hline B \equiv \\ E_{o_{trans}} = & \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \simeq & \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_1 + v_1}\right) E_{o_{inc}} = & \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1 + n_2}\right) E_{o_{inc}} \\ \end{split}$$

Take the ratios $\left(E_{o_{refl}}/E_{o_{inc}}\right)$ and $\left(E_{o_{trans}}/E_{o_{inc}}\right)$ - then square them:

$$\left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}}{E_{o_{inc}}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \approx \left(\frac{v_2-v_1}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{n_1-n_2}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2$$

and

$$\left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}}{E_{o_{inc}}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \approx \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2$$

Define the reflection coefficient as:

$$R(\vec{r}) = \left(\frac{I_{refl}(\vec{r})}{I_{inc}(\vec{r})}\right) = \frac{\left\langle \left|\vec{S}_{refl}(\vec{r},t)\right|\right\rangle}{\left\langle \left|\vec{S}_{inc}(\vec{r},t)\right|\right\rangle} = \frac{v_1 \left\langle u_{EM}^{refl}(\vec{r},t)\right\rangle}{v_1 \left\langle u_{EM}^{inc}(\vec{r},t)\right\rangle} = \frac{\left\langle u_{EM}^{refl}(\vec{r},t)\right\rangle}{\left\langle u_{EM}^{inc}(\vec{r},t)\right\rangle} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 v_1 E_{o_{refl}}^2(\vec{r})}{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 v_1 E_{o_{inc}}^2(\vec{r})} = \frac{E_{o_{refl}}^2(\vec{r})}{E_{o_{inc}}^2(\vec{r})}$$

Define the transmission coefficient as:

$$T\left(\vec{r}\right) = \left(\frac{I_{trans}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{I_{inc}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right) = \frac{\left\langle \left|\vec{S}_{trans}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right|\right\rangle}{\left\langle \left|\vec{S}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right|\right\rangle} = \frac{v_2 \left\langle u_{EM}^{trans}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right\rangle}{v_1 \left\langle u_{EM}^{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right\rangle} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2 v_2 E_{o_{trans}}^2\left(\vec{r}\right)\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 v_1 E_{o_{inc}}^2\left(\vec{r}\right)\right)} = \frac{\varepsilon_2 v_2 E_{o_{trans}}^2\left(\vec{r}\right)}{\varepsilon_1 v_1 E_{o_{inc}}^2\left(\vec{r}\right)}$$

For a linearly-polarized monochromatic plane EM wave at normal incidence on a boundary between two linear / homogeneous / $\mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_o$

Reflection coefficient:

Transmission coefficient:

$$\begin{split} R\left(\vec{r}\right) &\equiv \left(\frac{I_{refl}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{I_{inc}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right) = \left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{E_{o_{inc}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right)^{2} \\ T\left(\vec{r}\right) &\equiv \left(\frac{I_{trans}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{I_{inc}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}}\right) \left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{E_{o_{inc}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right)^{2} \end{split}$$

But:

29/01

$$\left[\frac{\left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{E_{o_{inc}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{v_2-v_1}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{n_1-n_2}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{E_{o_{inc}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}{E_{o_{inc}}\left(\vec{r}\right)}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{2v_2}{v_2+v_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2n_1}{n_1+n_2}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 \\ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}$$

Thus Reflection and Transmission coefficient:

$$R\left(\vec{r}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^{2} \simeq \left(\frac{v_{2}-v_{1}}{v_{2}+v_{1}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{n_{1}-n_{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)^{2} \qquad \beta \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_{1}v_{1}}{\mu_{2}v_{2}}\right)$$

$$T\left(\vec{r}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}}\right) \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^{2} \simeq \frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}} \left(\frac{2v_{2}}{v_{2}+v_{1}}\right)^{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}} \left(\frac{2n_{1}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)^{2}$$

$$T(r) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}}\right) \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^{2} \simeq \frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}} \left(\frac{2v_{2}}{v_{2}+v_{1}}\right)^{2} = \frac{\varepsilon_{2}v_{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}v_{1}} \left(\frac{2n_{1}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}\right)^{2}$$



$$T\left(\vec{r}\right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2 v_2}{\varepsilon_1 v_1}\right) \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 = \beta \left(\frac{2}{1+\beta}\right)^2 = \frac{4\beta}{\left(1+\beta\right)^2} \approx \frac{4v_2 v_1}{\left(v_2+v_1\right)^2} = \frac{4n_1 n_2}{\left(n_1+n_2\right)^2}$$

Thus:

$$R(\vec{r}) + T(\vec{r}) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{4\beta}{(1+\beta)^2} = \frac{(1-\beta)^2 + 4\beta}{(1+\beta)^2} = \frac{1-2\beta+\beta^2+4\beta}{(1+\beta)^2} = \frac{1+2\beta+\beta^2}{(1+\beta)^2} = \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{(1+\beta)^2} = 1$$



⇒EM energy is conserved at the interface/ boundary between two L/H/I media

A monochromatic plane EM wave incident at an oblique angle θ_{inc} on a boundary between two linear/ homogeneous/isotropic media, defined with respect to the normal to the interface- as shown



The incident EM wave is:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{o_{inc}}e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{inc}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\vec{\tilde{B}}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\hat{k}_{inc}\times\vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right|$$

The reflected EM wave is:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{o_{refl}} e^{i(\vec{k}_{refl} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\tilde{B}}_{refl}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{\nu_1} \hat{k}_{refl} \times \vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl}(\vec{r},t)$$

The transmitted EM wave is:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{o_{trans}}e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{trans}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} \text{ and } \vec{\tilde{B}}_{trans}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \frac{1}{v_2}\hat{k}_{trans}\times\vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans}\left(\vec{r},t\right)$$

All three EM waves have the same frequency-

$$\omega = k_{inc}v_1 = k_{refl}v_1 = k_{trans}v_2$$

$$k_{inc} = k_{refl} = k_1 = \left(\frac{v_2}{v_1}\right) k_{trans} = \left(\frac{v_2}{v_1}\right) k_2 = \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) k_{trans} = \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) k_2$$

$$v_i = c/n_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

The total EM fields in medium 1

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{Tot_{1}}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right) + \vec{\tilde{E}}_{refl}\left(\vec{r},t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\vec{\tilde{B}}_{Tot_{1}}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \vec{\tilde{B}}_{inc}\left(\vec{r},t\right) + \vec{\tilde{B}}_{refl}\left(\vec{r},t\right)\right|$$

 $f = \omega/2\pi$

Must match to the total EM fields in medium 2:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}_{Tot_2}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{\tilde{E}}_{trans}(\vec{r},t) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\tilde{B}}_{Tot_2}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{\tilde{B}}_{trans}(\vec{r},t)$$

Using the boundary conditions $BC1) \rightarrow BC4$ at z = 0.

At z = 0-four boundary conditions are of the form:

$$(-) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{inc}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} + (-) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{refl}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} = (-) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{trans}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)}$$

They must hold for all (x,y) on the interface at z = 0 - and also must hold for all times t. The above relation is already satisfied for arbitrary time, t - the factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ is common to all terms.

The following relation must hold for all (x,y) on interface at at z = 0:

$$(--) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{inc}\cdot\vec{r}\right)} + (--) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{refl}\cdot\vec{r}\right)} = (--) e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{trans}\cdot\vec{r}\right)}$$

When z = 0 - at interface we must have:

$$\vec{k}_{inc} \cdot \vec{r} = \vec{k}_{refl} \cdot \vec{r} = \vec{k}_{trans} \cdot \vec{r}$$

$$k_{inc_x}x + k_{inc_y}y = k_{refl_x}x + k_{refl_y}y = k_{trans_x}x + k_{trans_y}y \quad @ z = 0$$

The above relation can only hold for arbitrary (x, y, z = 0) **iff (= if and only if):**

$$\begin{aligned} k_{inc_x} x &= k_{refl_x} x = k_{trans_x} x \implies k_{inc_x} = k_{refl_x} = k_{trans_x} \\ k_{inc_y} y &= k_{refl_y} y = k_{trans_y} y \implies k_{inc_y} = k_{refl_y} = k_{trans_y} \end{aligned}$$

The problem has rotational symmetry about the z –axis- without any loss of generality - choose k to lie entirely within the x-z plane- that is no component of k in y-direction as shown in the figure on next slide

$$k_{inc_y} = k_{refl_y} = k_{trans_y} = 0$$
 and thus: $k_{inc_x} = k_{refl_x} = k_{trans_x}$

The transverse components of the $+x^{\hat{}}$ direction.

 $\vec{k}_{inc}, \vec{k}_{refl}, \vec{k}_{trans}$ re all equal and point in



The First Law of Geometrical Optics:

The incident, reflected, and transmitted wave vectors form a plane - called the plane of incidence- which also includes the normal to the surface -here the z axis.

The Second Law of Geometrical Optics (Law of

Refroncthofigure- we see that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{inc_x} = k_{inc} \sin \theta_{inc} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{refl_x} = k_{refl} \sin \theta_{refl} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{trans_x} = k_{trans} \sin \theta_{trans} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} k_{inc} = k_{refl} = k_1 \end{bmatrix} \implies \boxed{\sin \theta_{inc}} = \sin \theta_{refl}$$
Law of

Angle of Incidence = Angle of Reflection

$$\theta_{inc} = \theta_{refl}$$

Law of Reflection!

The Third Law of Geometrical Optics (Law of Refraction – Snell's Law):

For the transmitted angle - θ_{trans} we see that:

$$k_{inc}\sin\theta_{inc} = k_{trans}\sin\theta_{trans}$$

in medium 1):
where
$$k_{inc} = k_1 = \omega/v_1 = n_1\omega/c = n_1k_o$$

$$k_o = \text{vacuum wave number} = 2\pi/\lambda_o$$

and
$$\lambda_o =$$
 vacuum wave length

In medium 2):
$$k_{trans} = k_2 = \omega/v_2 = n_2\omega/c = n_2k_o$$

(incident) (transmitted)

Using three laws of geometrical optics we can see that :

$$\vec{k}_{inc} \cdot \vec{r} \Big|_{z=0} = \vec{k}_{refl} \cdot \vec{r} \Big|_{z=0} = \vec{k}_{trans} \cdot \vec{r} \Big|_{z=0}$$

everywhere on the interface at z = 0 -in the x-y plane

Thus
$$\left| e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{inc}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} \right|_{z=0} = e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{refl}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} \left|_{z=0} = e^{i\left(\vec{k}_{trans}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t\right)} \right|_{z=0}$$

everywhere on the interface at z = 0 -in the x-y plane and valid also for all time(s) t, since ω is the same in either medium (1 or 2).

The BC 1) \rightarrow BC 4) for a monochromatic plane *EM* wave incident on an interface at an oblique angle between two linear/homogeneous/isotropic media become:

BC 1): Normal (z-) component of D continuous at z = 0 (no free surface charges):

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc_{z}}}+\tilde{E}_{o_{refl_{z}}}\right) = \mathcal{E}_{2}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans_{z}}} \qquad \left\{\text{using } \vec{D} = \mathcal{E}\vec{E}\right\}$$

BC 2): Tangential (x-, y-) components of \boldsymbol{E} continuous at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{\text{inc}_{x,y}}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{\text{refl}_{x,y}}}\right) = \tilde{E}_{o_{\text{trans}_{x,y}}}$$

BC 3): Normal (z-) component of **B** continuous at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_z}} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_z}}\right) = \tilde{B}_{o_{trans_z}}$$

BC 4): Tangential (x-, y-) components of **H** continuous at z = 0 (no free surface currents):

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1} \left(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_{x,y}}} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_{x,y}}} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \tilde{B}_{o_{trans_{x,y}}}$$

Note that in each of the above, we also have the relation

$$\vec{\tilde{B}}_o = \frac{1}{v}\hat{k} \times \vec{\tilde{E}}_o$$

For a monochromatic plane EM wave incident on a boundary between two L / H/ I media at an oblique angle of incidence three possible polarization cases to consider:

Case I):
$$\vec{E}_{inc} \perp$$
 plane of incidenceTransverse Electric (TE) $\{\vec{B}_{inc} \parallel$ plane of incidence}Polarization

Case II):
$$\vec{E}_{inc} \parallel$$
 plane of incidenceTransverse Magnetic $\{\vec{B}_{inc} \perp \text{ plane of incidence}\}$ (TM) Polarization

Case III): <u>The most general case</u>: \vec{E}_{inc} is neither \perp nor \parallel to the <u>plane of incidence</u>. $\{\Rightarrow \vec{B}_{inc} \text{ is neither } \parallel \text{ nor } \perp \text{ to the <u>plane of incidence}</u>}$

Case I): Electric Field Vectors Perpendicular to the Plane of Incidence: Transverse Electric (*TE) Polarization*

•A monochromatic plane EM wave is incident on a boundary at z = 0 -in the x-y plane between two L/H/I media - at an oblique angle of incidence.

•The polarization of the incident EM wave is transverse (\bot) to the plane of incidence (containing the three wave-vectors and the unit normal to the boundary n[^] = +z[^]).

•The three B-field vectors are related to their respective E -field vectors by the right hand rule - all three B-field vectors lie in the x-z plane (the plane of incidence)

The four boundary conditions on the complex *E* and *B* fields on the boundary at z = 0 are:

BC 1) Normal (*z*-) component of D continuous at z = 0 (no free surface charges)

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\tilde{\tilde{E}}_{o_{inc_{z}}}^{=0} + \tilde{\tilde{E}}_{o_{refl_{z}}}^{=0}\right) = \mathcal{E}_{2}\tilde{\tilde{E}}_{o_{trans_{z}}}^{=0} \implies \boxed{0+0=0}$$

BC 2) Tangential (x-, y-) components of E continuous at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc_y}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl_y}}\right) = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans_y}} \implies \boxed{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}} = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

BC 3) Normal (*z*-) component of B continuous at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_z}} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_z}}\right) = \tilde{B}_{o_{trans_z}}$$

$$\hat{k}_{inc} = \hat{k}_{inc_x} + \hat{k}_{inc_z} = \sin \theta_{inc} \hat{x} + \cos \theta_{inc} \hat{z}$$
$$\hat{k}_{refl} = \hat{k}_{refl_x} + \hat{k}_{refl_z} = \sin \theta_{refl} \hat{x} - \cos \theta_{refl} \hat{z}$$
$$\hat{k}_{trans} = \hat{k}_{trans_x} + \hat{k}_{trans_z} = \sin \theta_{trans} \hat{x} + \cos \theta_{trans} \hat{z}$$

$$\left(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_z}}\hat{z} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_z}}\hat{z}\right) = \tilde{B}_{o_{trans_z}}\hat{z} = \frac{1}{v_1} \left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}\sin\theta_{inc} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\sin\theta_{refl}\right)\hat{z} = \frac{1}{v_2}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}\sin\theta_{trans}\hat{z}$$

Using the Law of Reflection on the BC 3) result:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{v_1}{v_2} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta_{trans}}{\sin \theta_{inc}}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

Using Snell's Law / Law of Refraction:

Reduces to BC2)

$$\underline{n_1 \sin \theta_{inc}} = n_2 \sin \theta_{trans} \implies \frac{n_1}{c} \sin \theta_{inc} = \frac{n_2}{c} \sin \theta_{trans} \implies \frac{1}{v_1} \sin \theta_{inc} = \frac{1}{v_2} \sin \theta_{trans}$$

$$\underline{\text{or:}} \quad v_2 \sin \theta_{inc} = v_1 \sin \theta_{trans} \quad \underline{\text{or:}} \quad \left[\frac{v_1}{v_2} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta_{trans}}{\sin \theta_{inc}} \right] = 1$$

$$\widetilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}} + \widetilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}} = \widetilde{E}_{o_{\textit{ira}}}$$

BC 4) Tangential (*x-*, *y-*) components of H continuous at z = 0 (no free surface currents):

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1} \Big(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_x}} \hat{x} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_x}} \hat{x} \Big) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \tilde{B}_{o_{trans_x}} \hat{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_1 v_1} \Big(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \left(-\cos \theta_{inc} \right) + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} \cos \theta_{refl} \Big) \hat{x} = \frac{1}{\mu_2 v_2} \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \left(-\cos \theta_{trans} \right) \hat{x}$$

Using the Law of Reflection on the BC 4) result:

$$\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\right) = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta_{trans}}{\cos \theta_{inc}}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

From BC 1) \rightarrow BC 4) actually have only two independent relations for the case of transverse electric (TE) polarization:

1)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \end{bmatrix}$$
 2)
$$\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} \right) = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2} \cdot \frac{\cos \theta_{trans}}{\cos \theta_{inc}} \right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

Define:

$$\beta \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_1 \nu_1}{\mu_2 \nu_2}\right)$$

$$\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{trans}}{\cos\theta_{inc}}\right)$$

Then eqn. 2) becomes:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \alpha \beta \ \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

Adding and subtracting Eqn's 1 &2 to get:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha\beta}\right)\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \quad \text{eqn. (1+2)} \quad \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{1-\alpha\beta}{2}\right)\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \quad \text{eqn. (2-1)}$$

Plug eqn. (2+1) into eqn. (2-1) to obtain:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}} = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}} = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}} = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right) \text{ and } \frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}} = \left(\frac{2}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right)$$

The Fresnel Equations for $\vec{E} \parallel$ to Interface

 $=\vec{E} \perp$ Plane of Incidence = Transverse Electric (*TE*) Polarization

$$E_{o_{refl}}^{TE} = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}}^{TE} \text{ and } E_{o_{trans}}^{TE} = \left(\frac{2}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right) E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}$$

$$\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{\cos \theta_{trans}}{\cos \theta_{inc}}\right) \text{ and } \beta \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}\right)$$

with

For TE polarization:

Incident Intensity

$$I_{inc}^{TE} = \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{inc}^{TE}(t) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TE} \right)^2 \right) \left| \hat{k}_{inc} \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TE} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{inc} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TE} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc}$$

Reflection Intensity

$$I_{refl}^{TE} = \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{refl}^{TE}(t) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(E_{o_{refl}}^{TE} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{refl} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{refl}}^{TE} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc}$$

Transmission Intensity

$$I_{trans}^{TE} = \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{trans}^{TE} \left(t \right) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_2 \varepsilon_2 \left(E_{o_{trans}}^{TE} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{trans} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 v_2 \left(E_{o_{trans}}^{TE} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{trans}$$

Reflection and Transmission coefficients for transverse electric (*TE*) *polarization*

$$R_{TE} \equiv \frac{I_{refl}^{TE}}{I_{inc}^{TE}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{refl}}^{TE}\right)^2 \cos \frac{\theta_{refl}}{\theta_{inc}}}{\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}\right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc}} = \left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}^{TE}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}}\right)^2$$

$$T_{TE} \equiv \frac{I_{trans}^{TE}}{I_{inc}^{TE}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 v_2 \left(E_{o_{trans}}^{TE}\right)^2 \cos \theta_{trans}}{\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}\right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2 v_2}{\varepsilon_1 v_1}\right) \left(\frac{\cos \theta_{trans}}{\cos \theta_{inc}}\right) \left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}^{TE}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}}\right)^2$$

The reflection and transmission coefficients for transverse electric (*TE*) polarization

$$R_{TE} = \left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}^{TE}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta}\right)^2$$

$$T_{TE} = \alpha \beta \left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}^{TE}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TE}}\right)^2 = \frac{4\alpha\beta}{\left(1 + \alpha\beta\right)^2}$$

Case II): Electric Field Vectors Parallel to the Plane of Incidence:

•A monochromaticyplane MMg water (ThG) dent on a abiam dary at z = 0 in the x-y plane between two L / H/ I media at an oblique angle of incidence.

•The polarization of the incident EM wave is now parallel to the plane of incidence –(containing the three wave-vectors and the unit normal to the boundary $n^{2} = +z^{2}$).

• The three B -field vectors are related to E -field vectors by the right hand rule –then all three B-field vectors are \perp to the plane of incidence {hence the origin of the name transverse magnetic polarization}.



The four boundary conditions on the complex E and B-fields on the boundary at z = 0 are:

BC 1) Normal (z-) component of D continuous at z = 0 (no free surface charges)

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{1}\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc_{z}}}+\tilde{E}_{o_{refl_{z}}}\right) &= \varepsilon_{2}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans_{z}}}\\ \varepsilon_{1}\left(-\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}\sin\theta_{inc}+\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\sin\theta_{refl}\right) &= \varepsilon_{2}\left(-\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}\sin\theta_{trans}\right) \end{split}$$

BC 2) Tangential (x-, y-) components of E continuous at z = 0:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc_{x}}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl_{x}}}\right) = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans_{x}}} \\ & \left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}\cos\theta_{inc} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\cos\theta_{refl}\right) = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}\cos\theta_{tran} \end{split}$$
BC 3) Normal (**z-)** component of *B* continuous at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{\tilde{B}}_{o_{inc_z}}^{=0} + \tilde{\tilde{B}}_{o_{refl_z}}^{=0}\right) = \tilde{\tilde{B}}_{o_{trans_z}}^{=0} \implies \boxed{0+0=0}$$

BC 4) Tangential (x-, y-) components of H continuous at z = 0 (no free surface currents):

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1} \left(\tilde{B}_{o_{inc_y}} + \tilde{B}_{o_{refl_y}} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_2} \left(\tilde{B}_{o_{trans_y}} \right) \implies \frac{1}{\mu_1 v_1} \left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu_2 v_2} \tilde{E}_{o_{trans_y}}$$

From BC 1) at z = 0:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1} \frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2 v_2}{\varepsilon_1 v_1}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \beta \ \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

From BC 4) at z = 0:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \beta \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$

$$\beta \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2}\right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_2 v_2}{\varepsilon_1 v_1}\right)$$

29/01/2015

where:

From BC 2) at z = 0:

$$\left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\right) = \left(\frac{\cos\theta_{trans}}{\cos\theta_{inc}}\right)\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \alpha\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \quad \text{where:} \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{\cos\theta_{trans}}{\cos\theta_{inc}}$$

Thus for the case of transverse magnetic (TM) polarization:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \beta \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$$
 and $\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \alpha \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$

Solving these two above equations simultaneously, we obtain:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = \left(\frac{2}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} \qquad \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \qquad \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}$$

The Fresnel Equations for $\vec{B} \parallel$ to Interface

 $=\vec{B} \perp$ Plane of Incidence = Transverse Magnetic (*TM*) Polarization

$$\left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}^{TM}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TM}}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \text{ and } \left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}^{TM}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TM}}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{\alpha + \beta}\right)$$

Reflected & transmitted intensities at oblique incidence for the *TM case*

$$\begin{split} I_{inc}^{TM} &= v_1 \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{inc}^{TM} \left(t \right) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TM} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{inc} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{inc}}^{TM} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc} \\ I_{refl}^{TM} &= v_1 \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{refl}^{TM} \left(t \right) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_1 \varepsilon_1 \left(E_{o_{refl}}^{TM} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{refl} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 v_1 \left(E_{o_{refl}}^{TM} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{inc} \\ I_{trans}^{TM} &= v_2 \left| \left\langle \vec{S}_{trans}^{TM} \left(t \right) \right\rangle \cdot \hat{z} \right| = \left(\frac{1}{2} v_2 \varepsilon_2 \left(E_{o_{trans}}^{TM} \right)^2 \right) \cos \theta_{trans} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 v_2 \left(E_{o_{trans}}^{TM} \right)^2 \cos \theta_{trans} \end{split}$$

Reflection and Transmission coefficients

$$R_{TM} = \left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}^{TM}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TM}}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^2$$

$$T_{TM} = \alpha \beta \left(\frac{E_{o_{trans}}^{TM}}{E_{o_{inc}}^{TM}}\right)^2 = \frac{4\alpha\beta}{\left(\alpha + \beta\right)^2}$$



TM Polarization





Reflection and Transmission Coefficients R & T $\underline{R+T=1}$



- Now explore the physics associated with the Fresnel Equations -the reflection and transmission coefficients.
- Comparing results for TE vs. TM polarization for the cases of external reflection (n1 < n2) and internal reflection n1 > n2)

Comment 1):

■ When $(E_{refl} / E_{inc}) < 0 - E_{orefl}$ is 180° out-of-phase with E_{oinc} since the numerators of the original Fresnel Equations for TE & TM polarization are $(1 - \alpha \beta)$ and $(\alpha - \beta)$ respectively.

Comment 2):

•For TM Polarization (only)- there exists an angle of incidence where $(E_{refl} / E_{inc}) = 0$ - no reflected wave occurs at this angle for TM polarization!

•This angle is known as Brewster's angle $\theta_{\rm B}$ (also known as the polarizing angle $\theta_{\rm P}$ - because an incident wave which is a linear combination of TE and TM polarizations will have a reflected wave which is 100% pure-TE polarized for an incidence angle $\theta_{\rm inc} = \theta_{\rm B} = \theta_{\rm P}$!!).

•Brewster's angle θ_{B} exists for both external $(n_{1} < n_{2})$ & internal reflection $(n_{1} > n_{2})$ for TM polarization (only).

Brewster's Angle θ_B / the Polarizing Angle θ_P for Transverse Magnetic (TM) Polarization

From the numerator of $\left(E_{o_{reft}}^{TM}/E_{o_{inc}}^{TM}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right)$ his ratio = 0 at Brewster's

angle $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\rm B}$ when $(\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0$, i.e. when $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

But:
$$\alpha = \frac{\cos \theta_{trans}}{\cos \theta_{inc}}$$
 and $\beta = \frac{\mu_1 n_2}{\mu_2 n_1} \simeq \frac{n_2}{n_1}$ for $\mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_0$

 $\cos \theta_{trans} = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta_{trans}}$ and Snell's Law: $\sin \theta_{trans} = \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right) \sin \theta_{inc}$

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right)^2 \sin^2 \theta_{inc}}}{\cos \theta_{inc}} \simeq \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right) = \beta$$

Brewster's Angle θ_B / the Polarizing Angle θ_P for Transverse Magnetic (TM) Polarization

$$\begin{split} \hline 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sin^2 \theta_{inc} &= \beta^2 \cos^2 \theta_{inc} = \beta^2 \left(1 - \sin^2 \theta_{inc}\right) &\leftarrow \text{Solve for } \sin^2 \theta_{inc} \\ \hline 1 - \beta^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \beta^2\right) \sin^2 \theta_{inc} \Rightarrow \quad \sin^2 \theta_{inc} = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{\frac{1}{\beta^2} - \beta^2} = \frac{\left(1 - \beta^2\right) \beta^2}{\left(1 - \beta^4\right)} \\ \hline 1 - \beta^4 &= \left(1 - \beta^2\right) \left(1 + \beta^2\right) \\ \hline \sin^2 \theta_{inc} &= \frac{\left(1 - \beta^2\right) \beta^2}{\left(1 - \beta^2\right) \left(1 + \beta^2\right)} = \frac{\beta^2}{1 + \beta^2} \Rightarrow \quad \sin \theta_{inc} = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^2}} \end{split}$$



Thus, at an angle of incidence $\theta_{inc} = \theta_B^{inc} = \theta_P^{inc}$ = Brewster's angle / the polarizing angle for a *TM* polarized incident wave, where <u>no reflected</u> wave exists, we have:

$$\tan \theta_B^{inc} = \tan \theta_P^{inc} \simeq \left(\frac{n_2}{n_1}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad \mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_o$$

From Snell's Law: $n_1 \sin \theta_{inc} = n_2 \sin \theta_{trans}$ we also see that: $\tan \theta_B^{inc} = \frac{\sin \theta_B^{inc}}{\cos \theta_B^{inc}} \simeq \frac{n_2}{n_1}$

or: $n_1 \sin \theta_B^{inc} \simeq n_2 \cos \theta_B^{inc}$ for $\mu_1 \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mu_o$.

Thus from Snell's Law we see that: $\cos \theta_B^{inc} = \sin \theta_{trans}$ when $\theta_{inc} = \theta_B^{inc} \equiv \theta_P^{inc}$.

So what's so interesting about this???

Well:
$$\cos \theta_B^{inc} = \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_B^{inc}\right) = \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos \theta_B^{inc} - \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin \theta_B^{inc} = \sin \theta_{trans}$$
 i.e. $\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_B^{inc}\right) = \sin \theta_{trans}$

 $\therefore \text{ When } \theta_{inc} = \theta_B^{inc} \equiv \theta_P^{inc} \text{ for an incident } TM\text{-polarized } EM \text{ wave, we see that } \theta_{trans} = \pi/2 - \theta_B^{inc}$ $\underline{\text{Thus:}} \quad \theta_B^{inc} + \theta_{trans} = \pi/2 , \text{ i.e. } \theta_B^{inc} \equiv \theta_P^{inc} \text{ and } \theta_{trans} \text{ are } \underline{complimentary} \text{ angles } !!!$

Comment 3):

For internal reflection $(n_1 > n_2)$ there exists a critical angle of incidence past which no transmitted beam exists for either TE or TM polarization. The critical angle does not depend on polarization – it is actually defined by Snell's Law:

$$n_{1} \sin \theta_{critical}^{inc} = n_{2} \sin \theta_{trans}^{max} = n_{2} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = n_{2} \text{ or: } \left| \sin \theta_{critical}^{inc} = \left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}\right) \right| \text{ or: } \left| \theta_{critical}^{inc} = \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}\right) \right|_{29/01/2015}$$

For $\theta_{inc} \ge \theta_{critical}^{inc}$, no transmitted beam exists \rightarrow incident beam is totally internally reflected.

For $\theta_{inc} > \theta_{critical}^{inc}$, the transmitted wave is actually exponentially damped – becomes a so-called:

Evanescent Wave:



Imrana Ashraf Zahid Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad Pakistan

Preparatory School to Winter College on Optics: Light : A Bridge between Earth and Space. 2nd February - 6th February 2015

➢ Free charge and free currents are zero for propagation through a vacuum or insulating materials such as glass or pure water.

> Inside a conductor- free charges can move around in response to EM fields contained therein- free current is not zero.

➢ Assume that the conductor is linear/homogeneous/ isotropic media.

From Ohm's Law

where $\sigma_c = \text{conductivity} \int_{\vec{r}ee} (\vec{r},t) = \sigma_c \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \operatorname{r}(Ohm^{-1}/m)$ and $\sigma_c = 1/\rho_c$ where $\rho_c = \text{resistivity of the metal conductor}(Ohm-m)$.

Assume that the linear/ homogeneous/isotropic conducting medium has electric permittivity ε and magnetic permeability μ . Maxwell's equations inside such a conductor are thus:

1)
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)/\varepsilon$$
 2) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = 0$

3)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$
Using Ohm's Law:
 $\vec{J}_{free}(\vec{r},t) = \sigma_c \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$

4)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = \mu \vec{J}_{free}(\vec{r},t) + \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \mu \sigma_c \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) + \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

Electric charge is conserved- thus the continuity equation inside the conductor is:

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J}_{free}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} \qquad \underline{\text{but}}: \quad \vec{J}_{free}(\vec{r},t) = \sigma_{c}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$$
$$\sigma_{c}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)) = -\frac{\partial \rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} \qquad \underline{\text{but}}: \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{\rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\mathcal{E}}$$

thus:

$$\frac{\sigma_{c}\rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\partial\rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} \quad \underline{\text{or}}: \quad \frac{\partial\rho_{free}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{c}}{\varepsilon}\right)\rho_{free}(\vec{r},t) = 0$$

1st order linear, homogeneous differential equation 29/01/2015

The general solution of this differential equation for the free charge density is of the form:

$$\rho_{\textit{free}}\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \rho_{\textit{free}}\left(\vec{r},t=0\right)e^{-\sigma_{\textit{C}}t/\varepsilon} = \rho_{\textit{free}}\left(\vec{r},t=0\right)e^{-t/\tau_{\textit{relax}}}$$

A damped exponential!!!

The continuity equation inside a conductor tells us that any free charge density initially present at time t = 0 is exponentially damped in a characteristic time $= \tau_{relax} \equiv \varepsilon/\sigma_c$ ation time.

Maxwell's equations for a *charge-equilibrated conductor*

1)
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = 0$$
 2) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = 0$

3)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

4)
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = \mu \sigma_c \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) + \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = \mu \left(\sigma_c \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} \right)$$

These equations are different from the previous derivation(s) of monochromatic plane EM waves propagating in free space and in linear/homogeneous/ isotropic non-conducting materials. Rederive the wave equations for *E* and *B*. Apply $\nabla \times$ () to equations 3) and 4):

We get
$$\nabla^{2}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \mu\varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^{2}} + \mu\sigma_{c} \frac{\partial\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$
and
$$\nabla^{2}\vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = \mu\varepsilon \frac{\partial^{2}\vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t^{2}} + \mu\sigma_{c} \frac{\partial\vec{B}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

General solution(s) - are usually in the form of an oscillatory function times a damping term (*a decaying exponential*) – in the direction of the propagation of the EM wave. A complex plane-wave type solutions for E and B associated with the above wave equation(s) are of the general form:

$$\vec{\tilde{E}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{E}}_{o}e^{i\left(\tilde{k}z - \omega t\right)}$$

$$\left| \tilde{\vec{B}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{B}}_{o} e^{i(\tilde{k}z - \omega t)} = \left(\frac{\tilde{k}}{\omega}\right) \hat{k} \times \tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \tilde{\vec{k}} \times \tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t)$$

With (frequency-dependent) complex wave number:

$$\tilde{k}(\omega) = k(\omega) + i\kappa(\omega)$$

$$k(\omega) = \Re e(\tilde{k}(\omega)) = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon \mu}{2}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon \omega}\right)^2} + 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\kappa(\omega) = \Im m(\tilde{k}(\omega)) = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon \mu}{2}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon \omega}\right)^2} - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The imaginary part of k that is - $\mathcal{K} = \mathfrak{I}_{m(k)}$ results in an exponential damping of the monochromatic plane *EM* wave with increasing z:

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{E}}_{o}e^{-\kappa z}e^{i(kz-\omega t)}$$

$$\tilde{\vec{B}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{B}}_{o}e^{-\kappa z}e^{i(kz-\omega t)} = \frac{1}{\omega}\tilde{\vec{k}}\times\tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\omega}\tilde{\vec{k}}\times\tilde{\vec{E}}_{o}e^{-\kappa z}e^{i(kz-\omega t)}$$

These solutions satisfy the above wave equations for any choice $\vec{\tilde{E}}_o$

- □ The above plane wave solutions satisfy the above wave equations(s).
- Maxwell's equations rule out the presence of any longitudinal i.e, z- component of E and B.
- E and B are purely transverse waves (as before)- even in a conductor.
- Consider a linearly polarized monochromatic plane EM wave propagating in the +z[^]-direction in a conducting medium.

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_o e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \hat{x}$$

then

$$\left| \tilde{\vec{B}}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \tilde{\vec{k}} \times \tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \left(\frac{\tilde{k}}{\omega} \right) \tilde{E}_o e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \hat{y} = \left(\frac{k + i\kappa}{\omega} \right) \tilde{E}_o e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \hat{y}$$

 $\Rightarrow \tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) \perp \tilde{\vec{B}}(z,t) \perp \hat{z} \quad (+\hat{z} = \text{propagation direction})$

The complex wave-number $\tilde{k} = k + ik = Ke^{i\phi}$

where:
$$K \equiv \left| \tilde{k} \right| = \sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}$$
 and $\phi_k \equiv \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\kappa}{k} \right)$

In the complex \tilde{k} -plane:



Then we see that:

has

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_o e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \hat{x}$$

has
$$\tilde{E}_o = E_o e^{i\delta_E}$$

$$\vec{\tilde{B}}(z,t) = \tilde{B}_0 e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \hat{y} = \frac{\tilde{k}}{\omega} \tilde{E}_o e^{-\kappa z} e^{i(kz-\omega t)} \hat{y}$$

$$\widetilde{k} = K e^{i\phi_k}$$

$$\widetilde{B}_o = B_o e^{i\delta_B} = \frac{\widetilde{k}}{\omega} \widetilde{E}_o = \frac{K e^{i\phi_k}}{\omega} E_o e^{i\delta_E}$$

$$B_{o}e^{i\delta_{B}} = \frac{Ke^{i\phi_{k}}}{\omega}E_{o}e^{i\delta_{B}} = \frac{K}{\omega}E_{o}e^{i(\delta_{E}+\phi_{k})} = \frac{\sqrt{k^{2}+\kappa^{2}}}{\omega}E_{o}e^{i(\delta_{E}+\phi_{k})}$$

inside a conductor, **E** and **B** are no longer in phase with each other!!!

Phases of *E* and *B*

 $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle E} + \phi_{\scriptscriptstyle k}$

With phase difference:

$$\Delta \varphi_{B-E} \equiv \delta_B - \delta_E = \phi_k$$

Magnetic field lags behind electric field

We also see that:

$$\frac{B_o}{E_o} = \frac{K}{\omega} = \left[\varepsilon \mu \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon \omega}\right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \frac{1}{c}$$

The real/physical **E** and **B** fields associated with linearly polarized monochromatic plane *EM* waves propagating in a conducting medium are exponentially damped:

$$\vec{E}(z,t) = \Re e\left(\tilde{\vec{E}}(z,t)\right) = E_o e^{-\kappa z} \cos\left(kz - \omega t + \delta_E\right) \hat{x} \qquad \gg \quad \delta_B = \delta_E + \phi_k \quad \mathbf{v}$$
$$\vec{B}(z,t) = \Re e\left(\vec{B}(z,t)\right) = B_o e^{-\kappa z} \cos\left(kz - \omega t + \delta_B\right) \hat{y} = B_o e^{-\kappa z} \cos\left(kz - \omega t + \left\{\delta_E + \phi_k\right\}\right) \hat{y}$$

$$\frac{B_o}{E_o} = \frac{K(\omega)}{\omega} = \left[\varepsilon \mu \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon \omega}\right)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

$$K(\omega) \equiv \left| \tilde{k}(\omega) \right| = \sqrt{k^2(\omega) + \kappa^2(\omega)} = \omega \left[\varepsilon \mu \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon \omega}\right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\delta_{B} = \delta_{E} + \phi_{k}, \quad \phi_{k}(\omega) \equiv \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\kappa(\omega)}{k(\omega)}\right)$$

$$\tilde{k}(\omega) = \left| \tilde{\vec{k}}(\omega) \right| = k(\omega) + i\kappa(\omega)$$

and

The real part of k- determines the spatial wavelength λ (ω)-the propagation speed v(ω) and also the index of refraction

$$\lambda(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{k(\omega)} = \frac{2\pi}{\Re e(\tilde{k}(\omega))}$$

$$v(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{k(\omega)} = \frac{\omega}{\Re e(\tilde{k}(\omega))}$$

$$n(\omega) = \frac{c}{v(\omega)} = \frac{ck(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{c\Re e(\tilde{k}(\omega))}{\omega}$$

The characteristic distance over which E and B are reduced to 1/e=0.3679- of their initial values (at z = 0) is known as the skin depth

$$\delta_{sc}(\omega) \equiv 1/\kappa(\omega)$$

$$\delta_{sc}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\kappa(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\omega\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon\mu}{2}}} \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma_c}{\varepsilon\omega}\right)^2 - 1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\vec{E}}(z = \delta_{sc}, t) = \tilde{\vec{E}}_o e^{-1}e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \\ \tilde{\vec{B}}(z = \delta_{sc}, t) = \tilde{\vec{B}}_o e^{-1}e^{i(kz - \omega t)} \end{bmatrix}$$



Reflection of EM Waves at Normal Incidence from a Conducting Surface

In the presence of free surface charges σ and free surface currents- the BC's for reflection and refraction at *e.g.* a dielectric-conductor interface become:

BC 1): (normal **D** at interface):

$$\varepsilon_1 E_1^{\perp} - \varepsilon_2 E_2^{\perp} = \sigma_{free}$$

BC 2): (tangential *E* at interface):

BC 3): (normal *B* at interface):

BC 4): (tangential **H** at interface):

$$E_1^{\parallel} - E_2^{\parallel} = \mathbf{0} \implies E_1^{\parallel} = E_2^{\parallel}$$

$$B_1^{\perp} - B_2^{\perp} = 0 \implies B_1^{\perp} = B_2^{\perp}$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu_1}B_1^{\parallel} - \frac{1}{\mu_2}B_2^{\parallel} = \vec{K}_{\text{free}} \times \hat{n}_{\vec{21}}$$
\perp = normal to plane of interface || = parallel to plane of interface

Where $n_{21} \rightarrow is$ a unit vector \perp to the interface - pointing from medium (2) into medium (1).

Incident *EM* wave [medium (1)]:

$$\boxed{\tilde{\vec{E}}_{inc}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}e^{i(k_1z-\omega t)}\hat{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\tilde{\vec{B}}_{inc}(z,t) = \frac{1}{\nu_1}\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}e^{i(k_1z-\omega t)}\hat{y}}$$

Reflected *EM* wave [medium (1)]:

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}_{refl}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{i(-k_1 z = \omega t)} \hat{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \tilde{\vec{B}}_{refl}(z,t) = -\frac{1}{\nu_1} \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} e^{i(-k_1 z - \omega t)} \hat{y} \right|$$

Transmitted *EM* wave [medium (2)]:

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}_{trans}(z,t) = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}e^{i(\tilde{k}_{2}z-\omega t)}\hat{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\vec{B}}_{trans}(z,t) = \frac{\tilde{k}_{2}}{\omega}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}e^{i(\tilde{k}_{2}z-\omega t)}\hat{y}$$

complex wave-number in (conducting) medium (2) is:

$$\tilde{k}_2 = k_2 + i\kappa_2$$

In medium (1) EM fields are:

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}_{Tot_{1}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{E}}_{inc}(z,t) + \tilde{\vec{E}}_{refl}(z,t) \qquad \tilde{\vec{B}}_{Tot_{1}}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{B}}_{inc}(z,t) + \tilde{\vec{B}}_{refl}(z,t)$$

In medium (2) EM fields are:

$$\tilde{\vec{E}}_{Tot_2}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{E}}_{trans}(z,t) \quad \underline{\text{and}}: \quad \tilde{\vec{B}}_{Tot_2}(z,t) = \tilde{\vec{B}}_{trans}(z,t)$$

Apply BC's at the z = 0 interface in the x-y plane:

BC 1):
$$\varepsilon_1 E_1^{\perp} - \varepsilon_2 E_2^{\perp} = \sigma_{free}$$
 but $E_1^{\perp} = \tilde{E}_{1_z} = 0$ and: $E_2^{\perp} = \tilde{E}_{2_z} = 0$

$$0 - 0 = \sigma_{\rm free} \implies \sigma_{\rm free} = 0$$

BC 2):
$$E_1^{\parallel} = E_2^{\parallel}$$
 \therefore $\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} + \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}}$

BC 3):
$$B_1^{\perp} = B_2^{\perp}$$
 but: $B_1^{\perp} = B_{1_z} = 0$ and: $B_2^{\perp} = B_{2_z} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = 0$

BC 4):
$$\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}B_{1}^{\parallel} - \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}B_{2}^{\parallel} = \vec{K}_{free} \times \hat{n}_{\vec{z}1} \quad \underline{\text{but}}: \quad \vec{K}_{free} = 0 \quad \therefore \quad \frac{1}{\mu_{1}v_{1}} \left(\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}\right) - \frac{\tilde{k}_{2}}{\mu_{2}\omega} \tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} = 0$$
$$\underline{\text{or}}: \quad \underline{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}} - \tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}} = \tilde{\beta}\tilde{E}_{o_{trans}} \quad \underline{\text{with}}: \quad \vec{\beta} \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_{1}v_{1}\tilde{k}_{2}}{\mu_{2}\omega}\right) = \left(\frac{\mu_{1}v_{1}}{\mu_{2}\omega}\right)\tilde{k}_{2}$$

Thus we obtain:



$$\equiv \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1 \tilde{k}_2}{\mu_2 \omega}\right) = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 \omega}\right) \tilde{k}_2$$

The relations for reflection/transmission of EMW at normal incidence on a nonconductor/conductor boundary are identical to those obtained for reflection/ transmission of EMW at normal incidence on a boundary between two nonconductors- except for the replacement of β with a complex β .

For the case of a perfect conductor- the conductivity

$$\sigma_c = \infty \ \{\text{thus resistivity}, \rho_c = 1/\sigma_c = 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{both} \quad k_2 \simeq \kappa_2 \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\omega\mu_2\sigma_c}{2}} = \infty \quad \text{and since:} \quad \tilde{k}_2 = k_2 + i\kappa_2 \quad \text{then:} \quad \tilde{k}_2 = \infty + i\infty = \infty(1+i)$$

and since:
$$\tilde{\beta} \equiv \left(\frac{\mu_1v_1\tilde{k}_2}{\mu_2\omega}\right) = \left(\frac{\mu_1v_1}{\mu_2\omega}\right)\tilde{k}_2 \Rightarrow \underline{\tilde{\beta}} = \infty$$

Thus, for a perfect conductor, we see that:

$$\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}} = -\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}$$
 and $\tilde{E}_{trans} = 0$

For a perfect conductor the reflection and transmission coefficients are:

$$R \equiv \left(\frac{E_{o_{refl}}}{E_{o_{inc}}}\right)^2 = \left|\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}}\right|^2 = \left(\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}}\right)^* = 1 \quad \text{and:} \quad \underline{T = 1 - R = 0}$$

In case of a perfect conductor - for normal incidence- the reflected wave undergoes a 180 degree phase shift with respect to the incident wave at the interface at z = 0 in the x-y plane. A perfect conductor screens out all *EM* waves from propagating in its interior.

For a good conductor- the conductivity is large- but finite. The reflection coefficient **R** for monochromatic plane EM waves at normal incidence on a good conductor is not unity- but close to it. {*This is why good conductors make good mirrors!*}.

$$R \equiv \left(\frac{E_{o_{\textit{refl}}}}{E_{o_{\textit{inc}}}}\right)^2 = \left|\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}}}\right|^2 = \left(\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}}}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{refl}}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{\textit{inc}}}}\right)^* = \left|\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right|^2 = \left(\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right) \left(\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right)^*$$

Where

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 \omega}\right) \tilde{k}_2 = \left(\frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 \omega}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\omega \mu_2 \sigma_C}{2}} (1+i) = \mu_1 v_1 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_C}{2\mu_2 \omega}} (1+i)$$

Define

$$\gamma \equiv \mu_1 v_1 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_c}{2\mu_2 \omega}} \quad \underline{\text{Then}}: \quad \tilde{\beta} = \gamma (1+i)$$

Thus, the reflection coefficient **R** for monochromatic plane EM waves at normal incidence on a good conductor is:

$$R = \left|\frac{\tilde{E}_{o_{refl}}}{\tilde{E}_{o_{inc}}}\right|^2 = \left|\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right|^2 = \left(\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right) \left(\frac{1-\tilde{\beta}}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right)^* = \left(\frac{1-\gamma-i\gamma}{1+\gamma+i\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1-\gamma+i\gamma}{1+\gamma-i\gamma}\right) = \left[\frac{\left(1-\gamma\right)^2+\gamma^2}{\left(1+\gamma\right)^2+\gamma^2}\right]$$

with

$$\gamma \equiv \mu_1 v_1 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_c}{2\mu_2 \omega}}$$

Obviously, only a small fraction of the normally-incident monochromatic plane EM wave *is* transmitted into the good conductor-since R < 1 and T = 1 - R, *i.e.*:

$$T = 1 - R = 1 - \left[\frac{(1 - \gamma)^2 + \gamma^2}{(1 + \gamma)^2 + \gamma^2}\right] \quad (\ll 1)$$

Note that the transmitted wave is exponentially attenuated in the zdirection- the E and *B* fields in the good conductor fall to 1/e of their initial {z = 0} values at the interface- the monochromatic plane *EM* wave propagates a distance of one skin depth in zdirection into the conductor:

$$\delta_{sc}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa_2(\omega)} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega\mu_2\sigma_c}}$$

Note also that the energy associated with the transmitted monochromatic plane *EM* wave is ultimately dissipated in the conducting medium as heat.

In metals - the transmitted wave is absorbed in the metal- we can only study the reflection coefficient R.

The electromagnetic state of matter at a given observation point r at a given time t is described by four macroscopic quantities:

1.) The volume density of free charge:

$$ho_{\it free}(ec{r},t)$$

2.) The volume density of electric dipoles:



 \Leftarrow electric polarization

3.) The volume density of magnetic dipoles:



⇐ magnetization

$$\vec{J}_{free}(\vec{r},t)$$

⇐ {free} current density

Then Maxwell's equations in matter, for

$$\rho_{free} = 0$$
 and $\vec{M} = 0$

1) <u>Gauss' Law</u>: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = 0$ or: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_o} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P} = \rho_{free} / \varepsilon_o$ 2) <u>No magnetic charges</u>: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ 3) <u>Faraday's Law</u>: $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$ 4) <u>Ampere's Law</u>: $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \mu_o \varepsilon_o \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \mu_o \frac{\partial \vec{P}}{\partial t} + \mu_o \vec{J}_{free}$

Then applying the curl operator to Faraday's Law:

We thus obtain the inhomogeneous wave equation:

$$\nabla^{2}\vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}\vec{E}}{\partial t^{2}} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{\varepsilon_{o}}}\nabla\rho_{bound} + \mu_{o}\frac{\partial^{2}\vec{P}}{\partial t^{2}} + \mu_{o}\frac{\partial\vec{J}_{free}}{\partial t}$$

source terms

{and a similar one for \boldsymbol{B} }

For non-conducting or poorly-conducting media, i.e. insulators/ dielectrics- the first two terms on the RHS are important – they explain many optical effects such as dispersion (frequency-dependence of the index of refraction), absorption . . .

Note that the
$$\vec{\nabla} \rho_{bound} = -\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{P})_{\text{term is often zero- P uniform}}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_z}{\partial z}$$
 and $\vec{\nabla} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{z}$

e.g. for $\vec{P} \propto \vec{E}$ (i.e. \vec{P} proportional to \vec{E}) where: $\vec{E}(z,t) = E_o \cos(kz - \omega t + \delta)\hat{x}$

For good conductors (e.g. metals), the conduction term

$$\mu_{o} \frac{\partial \vec{J}_{free}}{\partial t} = \mu_{o} \sigma_{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

is the most important, because it explains the opacity of metals (e.g. in the visible light region) and also explains the high reflectance of metals.

THANK YOU