CR Geometry, Mappings into Spheres, and Sums-Of-Squares Lecture IV-V

Peter Ebenfelt

University of California, San Diego

October 1, 2015

Outline - Lectures IV-V

1 Levi Nondegenerate Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}

- 2 Chern-Moser Normal Form
- 3 E. Cartan's Approach to CR Geometry
- 4 Pseudohermitian Geometry





Levi nondegenerate hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a real hypersurface, and $p \in M$. Definitions.

• *M* is Levi nondegenerate at *p* if the Levi form

$$\mathcal{L}^{\theta}_{p} \colon T^{1,0}_{p}M \times T^{1,0}_{p}M \to \mathbb{C}$$

at p is nondegenerate for some (and hence all) contact forms θ.
M is strictly pseudoconvex at p if L^θ_p is (positive) definite.
Fix p ∈ M. Choose local coordinates (z, w) ∈ Cⁿ × C such that

$$p = (0,0), \quad T_0^{0,1}M = \{w = 0\}, \quad T_0M = \{\operatorname{Im} w = 0\}.$$

Express M in graph form:

 $\operatorname{Im} w = \phi(z, \bar{z}, \operatorname{Re} w), \quad \phi(0) = 0, \ d\phi(0) = 0; \ \phi \in C^{\kappa}.$

The Levi form.

A computation (see Lecture I) shows that the Levi form \mathcal{L}_0^{θ} , with $\theta = i\partial \rho|_M$, is represented by

$$\mathcal{L}_0(a,ar{a}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n rac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j ar{z}_k}(0) a_j ar{a}_k, \quad a \in T_0^{1,0} M \cong \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Assume: *M* is Levi nondegenerate at 0; i.e.,

$$\det(\phi_{z_j\bar{z}_k}(0))_{j,k=1}^n \neq 0.$$

A linear change $(z,w)\mapsto (Az,\pm w)$, $A\in GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$, will make

$$(\phi_{z_j\bar{z}_k}(0))_{j,k=1}^n=I_\ell,$$

where I_{ℓ} = diagonal matrix $D(-1, \ldots, -1, +1, \ldots, +1)$, with ℓ "-1" and $n - \ell$ "+1" for some $0 \le \ell \le n/2$. ℓ is called signature of M.

A polynomial change $(z, w) \mapsto (z, w - p(z))$, with p(z) suitable quadratic polynomial, yields

$$\operatorname{Im} w = \phi(z, \overline{z}, \operatorname{Re} w) = \langle z, \overline{z} \rangle_{\ell} + O_{wt}(3), \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\langle z,\zeta\rangle_{\ell}:=-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}z_{j}\zeta_{j}+\sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n}z_{j}\zeta_{j}$$

and we assign weights wt z = 1, wt w = 2. The quadric Q_{ℓ}^n is the model

$$\mathsf{Im} w = \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle_{\ell}.$$

Automorphisms of the model Q_{ℓ}^n .

The stability group $\operatorname{Aut}_0(Q_\ell^n)$ consists of:

$$(z,w)\mapsto \left(rac{\lambda(z-aw)U}{1-2izl_\ell a^*-(r+ial_\ell a^*)w},rac{\sigma\lambda^2w}{1-2izl_\ell a^*-(r+ial_\ell a^*)w}
ight),$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \pm 1$, and

$$U^*I_\ell U = \sigma I_\ell.$$

Proposition 1

Any biholomorphism $\Phi(z, w)$, with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and preserving the form (1) of M, factors uniquely as $\Phi = H \circ \Phi_0$, with $\Phi_0 \in \operatorname{Aut}_0(Q_\ell^n)$ and

$$H(z,w) = (z + f(z,w), w + g(z,w)),$$

where

$$(f(0), df(0), g(0), dg(0), g_{z_j z_k}(0), \operatorname{Re} g_{w^2}(0)) = 0.$$
 (2)

Let $F(z, \overline{z}, s)$ be a formal power series. F is said to be of type (k, l) if

$$F(rz, t\bar{z}, s) = r^k t^l F(z, \bar{z}, s),$$

and is then a polynomial in z and \overline{z} . Any $F(z, \overline{z}, s)$ can be decomposed into type as

$$F(z,\bar{z},s)=\sum_{k,l\geq 0}F_{kl}(z,\bar{z},s),$$

where $F_{kl}(z, \bar{z}, s)$ has type (k, l). $F(z, \bar{z}, s)$ is Hermitian (real) if

$$F_{lk}(z,\overline{z},s)=\overline{F_{kl}(z,\overline{z},s)}.$$

The trace operator Tr.

If $F_{kl}(z, \bar{z}, s)$ has type (k, l), then it has "tensor form"

$$F_{kl}(z,\bar{z},s)=a_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_k,\bar{\beta}_1\ldots\bar{\beta}_l}(s)z^{\alpha_1}\ldots z^{\alpha_k}\overline{z^{\beta_1}}\ldots\overline{z^{\beta_l}},$$

where $z = (z^1, \ldots, z^n)$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j = 1, \ldots, n$. We shall write

$$\langle z, \bar{z} \rangle_{\ell} = h_{\alpha \bar{\beta}} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}$$

The trace of $F_{kl}(z, \bar{z}, s)$ is of type (k - 1, l - 1), defined by

$$\operatorname{Tr} F_{kl}(z, \overline{z}, s) = b_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1}, \overline{\beta}_1 \dots \overline{\beta}_{l-1}} z^{\alpha_1} \dots z^{\alpha_{k-1}} \overline{z^{\beta_1}} \dots \overline{z^{\beta_{l-1}}},$$

where

$$b_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{k-1},\bar{\beta}_1\ldots\bar{\beta}_{l-1}} = h^{\gamma\bar{\mu}} a_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{k-1}\gamma,\bar{\beta}_1\ldots\bar{\beta}_{l-1}\bar{\mu}}, \quad h^{\alpha\bar{\mu}} h_{\beta\bar{\mu}} = \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}.$$

8 / 24

Theorem CM-1

Let M be given by (1). Then, there is a unique formal transformation of the form

$$(z,w)\mapsto (z+f(z,w),w+g(z,w)),$$

where f, g satisfy the normalization (2), such that M is given by

$$\operatorname{Im} w = \langle z, \overline{z} \rangle_{\ell} + N(z, \overline{z}, \operatorname{Re} w), \qquad (3)$$

where $N(z, \overline{z}, s)$ is in Chern-Moser normal form:

$$N_{kl}(z, \bar{z}, s) = 0, \quad \min(k, l) \le 1;$$

 $\operatorname{Tr} N_{22}(z, \bar{z}, s) = (\operatorname{Tr})^2 N_{32}(z, \bar{z}, s) = (\operatorname{Tr})^3 N_{33}(z, \bar{z}, s) = 0.$
(4)

Remark. For a given M, the space $\operatorname{Aut}_0(Q_{\ell}^n)$ acts on the space of CM normal forms by Proposition 1.

Peter Ebenfelt (UCSD)

Real-analytic hypersurfaces and geometry.

Theorem CM-2

If M is C^{ω} , then the unique transformation to normal form in Theorem CM-1 is convergent, i.e., a biholomorphism.

• The first set of equations in (4) corresponds to transforming a given framed, transverse curve $(\gamma, e_{\alpha}): (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to T^{1,0}M$ into

$$(\gamma(t), e_{\alpha}(t)) = ((0, t), \partial/\partial z^{\alpha}).$$

- The second set is a system of ODEs (of order 3) for the framed curve. The initial data consist of a direction for γ at 0, an orthonormal basis {e_α} at 0 for T₀^{1,0}M, and a real parameter fixing the parameterization; these initial conditions are parametrized by Aut₀(Q_ℓⁿ).
- The curves γ that yield solutions to this system of ODEs are called chains. These are important geometric objects associated with M.

Peter Ebenfelt (UCSD) CR Geometry, Mappings into Spheres, and Su

The CR curvature $S=(S_{lphaareta uar\mu}).$

The Levi form provides a first, very rough classification of Levi nondegenerate hypersurfaces $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ via the signature ℓ . The next interesting invariant is the CR curvature, defined as follows:

Definition. If *M* is given at $p \in M$ in normal form (3) and (4), then the CR curvature of *M* at *p* is $S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}}$, where $N_{22}(z, \bar{z}, 0)$ is given in tensor form:

$$N_{22}(z,\bar{z},0) = S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}} z^{\alpha} z^{\nu} \overline{z^{\beta} z^{\mu}}.$$
(5)

Remarks. Recall that Tr $N_{22} = 0 \implies S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu}{}^{\nu} := h^{\nu\bar{\mu}}S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}} = 0$. For n = 1 (i.e., in \mathbb{C}^2), this means $S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}} = 0$, so CR curvature is only interesting when $n \ge 2$. In \mathbb{C}^2 , the interesting invariant is E. Cartan's "6th order tensor".

• For $n \ge 2$, M is locally "spherical" (equivalent to quadric) $\iff S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}} \equiv 0$.

E. Cartan's approach

CR coframes on a CR manifold (hypersurface type).

Let M be a 2n + 1-dimensional CR manifold;

• CR bundle $T^{0,1}M$, CR-dim M = n.

In an open subset $U \subset M$:

• Fix a contact form θ on M; $\iff \theta$ is real and

$$\theta^{\perp} = T^{1,0} M \oplus T^{0,1} M.$$

• Add linearly independent 1-forms $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^n$ such that

$$(\theta, \theta^1, \ldots \theta^n)^{\perp} = T^{0,1}M.$$

Set θ^ᾱ = θ^ᾱ; Convention: α, β,... = 1,..., n.
(θ, θ^α, θ^{β̄}) is coframe for M in U; (θ, θ^α) is called a CR coframe.

Change of coframe and CTCM coframes.

Any other CR coframe $(ilde{ heta}, ilde{ heta}^lpha)$ in $U\subset M$ must be of the form

$$egin{pmatrix} \widetilde{ heta}\ \widetilde{ heta}^lpha \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} u & 0\ u^lpha & u_eta^lpha \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} heta\ heta^eta \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} heta\ heta^eta \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a choice of CR coframe $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha})$,

$$d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + \theta \wedge \phi_{0}, \tag{6}$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Levi form $\mathcal{L}^{\theta}(L_{\alpha}, L_{\beta})$ and ϕ_0 a real 1-form, determined only up to $\phi_0 \mapsto \phi_0 + v\theta$.

Definition. A choice of $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\overline{\beta}}, \phi_0)$ (as above) is called a CTCM coframe.

CTCM = Cartan-Tanaka-Chern-Moser, [1, 2, 4, 3].

First prolongation; the bundle of contact forms $E \rightarrow M$.

Let $E \to M$ be the \mathbb{R}_+ bundle of contact forms such that the Levi form $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ has $\ell \leq n/2$ negative eigenvalues. For a fixed such θ and $x \in M$,

$$E_{x} = \{ \omega = u\theta \colon u \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \}.$$

By (6), we have

$$d\omega = iuh_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}} + \omega \wedge \left(\frac{du}{u} + \phi_0\right),$$

which can be written

$$d\omega = ig_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\omega^{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{\bar{\beta}} + \omega \wedge \phi, \qquad (7)$$

where $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is a constant matrix and $(\omega, \omega^{\alpha}, \omega^{\bar{\beta}}, \phi)$ is a coframe on E.

The coframe $(\omega, \omega^{lpha}, \omega^{areta}, \phi)$ on E is determined up to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\omega} \\ \tilde{\omega}^{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\omega}^{\bar{\beta}} \\ \tilde{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v^{\alpha} & v_{\nu}^{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ v^{\bar{\beta}} & 0 & v_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\beta}} & 0 \\ s & ig_{\gamma\bar{\rho}}v_{\nu}^{\gamma}v^{\bar{\rho}} & -ig_{\gamma\bar{\rho}}v_{\bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\rho}}v^{\gamma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \omega^{\nu} \\ \omega^{\bar{\mu}} \\ \phi \end{pmatrix},$$
(8)

where $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = g_{\nu\bar{\mu}} v_{\alpha}^{\ \nu} v_{\bar{\beta}}^{\ \bar{\mu}}$.

- Let Y → E be the bundle of all CTCM coframes, i.e., the bundle of all coframes of the form (8).
- $G = \text{group of all matrices in (8) acts on } Y \rightarrow E$.

Reduction of $Y \rightarrow E \rightarrow M$ to a $\{e\}$ -structure [3].

Theorem CM-2

There exists a uniquely determined coframe

$$[\omega, \omega^{\alpha}, \omega^{\bar{\beta}}, \phi, \phi^{\alpha}, \phi^{\bar{\beta}}, \phi_{\gamma}{}^{\alpha}, \phi_{\bar{\mu}}{}^{\bar{\beta}}, \psi)$$
(9)

on Y that satisfy **structure equations** (including (7)). The coframe can be assembled into a Cartan connection on $Y \rightarrow E \rightarrow M$.

• One of the structure equations has the form

$$d\phi_{\gamma}{}^{\alpha} = \phi_{\gamma}{}^{\nu} \wedge \phi_{\nu}{}^{\alpha} + S_{\gamma}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\bar{\mu}}\omega^{\nu} \wedge \omega^{\bar{\mu}} + \dots$$
(10)

Given a CTCM coframe (θ, θ^α, θ^β, φ) (⇒ section of Y), the forms (9) can be pulled back to M, and S_{αβνμ} = g_{γβ}S_γ^α_{νμ} yields the CR curvature tensor on M previously defined.

Remark. Bianchi identities can be used to show that if

$$S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}}\equiv 0, \text{ on } \pi^{-1}(U)\subset Y$$

for some $U \subset M$, then the coframe (9) on $\pi \colon Y \to M$ (locally over U) coincides with (satisfies the same structure equations as) that of the hyperquadric $\pi \colon Y_0 \to Q_\ell^n$. According to E. Cartan's solution to his "equivalence problem", it follows that there is a diffeomorphism $Y \cong Y_0$ (locally). This pushes down to a CR equivalence $U \cong U' \subset Q_\ell^n$.

• Thus, $S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}}\equiv 0$ characterizes the hyperquadric locally.

S. Webster and N. Tanaka's approach. Pseudohermitian geometry.

19 / 24

Fix a contact form θ on M. $(M, \mathcal{V} = T^{0,1}M, \theta)$ is called a pseudohermitian manifold. Let $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha})$ be a CR coframe. By a change

$$\theta^{\alpha} \mapsto \theta^{\alpha} + u^{\alpha}\theta,$$

it follows from (6) that we can achieve

$$d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\beta}}.$$
 (11)

A CR coframe $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha})$ satisfying (11) is called admissible. The forms θ^{α} are determined up to changes

$$\theta^{lpha}\mapsto u_{
u}{}^{lpha}\theta^{
u},\quad h_{lphaar{eta}}=h_{
uar{\mu}}u_{lpha}{}^{
u}u_{ar{eta}}{}^{ar{\mu}}.$$

Theorem ΨH

Given an admissible CR coframe $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha})$, there are uniquely determined connection forms $\omega_{\nu}{}^{\beta}$, torsion forms $\tau^{\alpha} = A^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\bar{\mu}}$ such that

$$d\theta = ih_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}$$

$$d\theta^{\alpha} = \theta^{\nu} \wedge \omega_{\nu}{}^{\alpha} + \theta \wedge \tau^{\alpha}$$

$$dh_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \omega_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \omega_{\bar{\beta}\alpha}.$$
(12)

The connection forms satisfy

$$d\omega_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \omega_{\alpha}{}^{\nu} \wedge \omega_{\nu}{}^{\beta} + R_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}{}_{\nu\bar{\mu}}\theta^{\nu} \wedge \theta^{\bar{\mu}} + \dots$$
(13)

(+ similar equation for the torsion forms.)

- $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\nu\bar{\mu}}$ is called the Tanaka-Webster curvature. Pseudohermitian (but not a CR) invariant.
- *M* is torsion free (i.e. $\tau^{\alpha} = 0$) \iff the Reeb vector field is an infinitesimal CR automorphism.
- On a CR manifold *M*, there is a pseudohermitian structure that is torsion free ⇐⇒ there is a transverse infinitesimal CR automorphism; such *M* are called "rigid" or "regular".
- The CR structure on *M* is in some sense the "conformal class" of pseudohermitian structures on *M*.

22 / 24

Tanaka-Webster curvature vs. CR curvature

Fix a pseudohermitian structure θ , and let $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha})$ be an admissible coframe. Then $(\theta, \theta^{\alpha}, \theta^{\overline{\beta}}, \phi = 0)$ is a CTCM coframe. We pull down the CR curvature $S_{\alpha\overline{\beta}\nu\overline{\mu}}$ using this CTCM coframe.

Proposition

The CR curvature is the traceless part (Weyl tensor) of the Tanaka-Webster curvature; i.e.,

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} &= R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\mu\bar{\nu}} - \frac{R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}h_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + R_{\mu\bar{\beta}}h_{\alpha\bar{\nu}} + R_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}h_{\mu\bar{\beta}} + R_{\mu\bar{\nu}}h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}{n+2} \\ &+ \frac{R(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}h_{\mu\bar{\nu}} + h_{\alpha\bar{\nu}}h_{\mu\bar{\beta}})}{(n+1)(n+2)}, \end{split}$$

where

$$R_{lphaareta}:=R_{\mu}{}^{\mu}{}_{lphaareta}$$
 and $R:=R_{\mu}{}^{\mu}$

are respectively the *pseudohermitian Ricci* and *scalar curvatures* of (M, θ) .

C. Fefferman's approach. Just kidding! The End

24 / 24



Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes II.

Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2), 1(4):333–354, 1932.

📔 Élie Cartan.

Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes.

Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 11(1):17–90, 1933.

S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser.

Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 133:219–271, 1974.

Noboru Tanaka.

On pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables.

J. Math. Soc. Japan, 14:397-429, 1962.



Noboru Tanaka.

A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds.

Peter Ebenfelt (UCSD)

Lectures in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 9. Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1975.

S. M. Webster.

Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface.

J. Differential Geom., 13(1):25-41, 1978.

24 / 24