Conference on Fractionalization and Emergent Gauge Fields in Quantum Matter

Crystalline phases and devil's staircase in qubit spin ice

Karlo Penc

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics



M. Kondákor, K. Penc: Phys. Rev. Research **5**, 043172 (2023).

> ICTS INTERNATIONAL CENTRE for THEORETICAL SCIENCES



supported by the Hungarian NKFIH Grant Nos. K124176 and K142652

ice models

Covalency of the Hydrogen Bond in Ice: A Direct X-Ray Measurement

E. D. Isaacs,¹ A. Shukla,² P. M. Platzman,¹ D. R. Hamann,¹ B. Barbiellini,¹ and C. A. Tulk³



FIG. 1(color). Crystal structure of Bernal-Fowler ice Ih. Red (white) balls give the positions of the oxygen (hydrogen). The crystallographic *c*-axis is in the vertical direction.

Covalency of the Hydrogen Bond in Ice: A Direct X-Ray Measurement

E. D. Isaacs,¹ A. Shukla,² P. M. Platzman,¹ D. R. Hamann,¹ B. Barbiellini,¹ and C. A. Tulk³



FIG. 1(color). Crystal structure of Bernal-Fowler ice Ih. Red (white) balls give the positions of the oxygen (hydrogen). The crystallographic *c*-axis is in the vertical direction.

Bernal-Fowler ice rules (1933):

Each O²⁻ has four neighbouring H⁺ Local charge neutrality \Rightarrow Only two H⁺ are close to the O²⁻

Pauling ice degeneracy (1935):



Each H has 2 possible positions on a bond.

Out of 16, only 6 configurations respect the ice rules.

Nagle (1960's): $Z \approx 1.50685^{N_O}$

Spin Ice State in Frustrated Magnetic Pyrochlore Materials

Steven T. Bramwell¹ and Michel J. P. Gingras^{2,3*}









Ordering and Antiferromagnetism in Ferrites

P. W. ANDERSON Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received January 9, 1956)

The octahedral sites in the spinel structure form one of the anomalous lattices in which it is possible to achieve essentially perfect short-range order while maintaining a finite entropy. In such a lattice nearest-neighbor forces alone can never lead to long-range order, while calculations indicate that even the long-range Coulomb forces are only 5% effective in creating long-range order. This is shown to have many possible consequences both for antiferromagnetism in "normal" ferrites and for ordering in "inverse" ferrites.



ice and correlated electrons

FIG. 1. Photograph of a model of the spinel lattice. The dark balls are oxygen; the tetrahedral sites are connected to their neighboring oxygens by four diagonal bonds, the octahedral by six vertical and horizontal ones.

Ice and correlated electrons (Anderson 1953)

AB₂O₄ spinel, e.g. magnetite (Fe₃O₄) : (Fe³⁺).(Fe²⁺Fe³⁺).(O²⁻₄)

> B sublattice of (metal) cation sites form pyrochlore lattice of cornersharing tetrahedra:



How do we arrange N charges on 2N sites of the pyrochlore lattice to minimize nearest-neighbour Coulomb repulsion?

$$\mathscr{H} = -t\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} + V \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \left(n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_j - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad V \gg t$$

Ice and correlated electrons (Anderson 1953)

How do we arrange N charges on 2N sites of the pyrochlore lattice to minimize nearest-neighbour Coulomb repulsion?

$$\mathscr{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j^{\dagger} + V \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_j - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad V \gg t$$



Ice and correlated electrons (Anderson 1953)

How do we arrange N charges on 2N sites of the pyrochlore lattice to minimize nearest-neighbour Coulomb repulsion?

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + V \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_j - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad V \gg t$$



Fractional charges in pyrochlore lattices

Peter Fulde^{1,*}, **Karlo Penc**^{1,2}, and **Nic Shannon**¹

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

² Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, 1525 Budapest, P.O.B. 49, Hungary



Effective model of the insulator

intermediate states



Ring exchange splits the degeneracy of the ice manifold.

E. Runge and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 245113 (2004); F. Pollmann, J. J. Betouras, K. Shtengel, and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 170407 (2006).







Effective model

intermediate state



Effective model

intermediate state









XXZ S=1/2 Heisenberg model on checkerboard lattice

$$\mathcal{H} = J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J_{xy}}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right) \qquad J_z \gg J_{xy}$$

Ising model with transverse field

$$\mathscr{H} = J_z \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z + h^x \sum_i S_i^x \qquad J_z \gg h^x$$

Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Mapping between Ising configurations and arrows:









Nic Shannon,^{1,2} Grégoire Misguich,³ and Karlo Penc⁴

$$\mathcal{H} = J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z + \frac{J_{xy}}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+ \right)$$

4

XXZ Heisenberg model

Nic Shannon,^{1,2} Grégoire Misguich,³ and Karlo Penc⁴

 $\mathcal{H} = J_{z} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} + \frac{J_{xy}}{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_{i}^{+} S_{j}^{-} + S_{i}^{-} S_{j}^{+}) \qquad \text{XXZ Heisenberg model}$ tunneling between ice-configurations $\mathcal{H}_{2nd} = -\frac{J_{xy}^{2}}{J_{z}} \sum_{\Box} (S_{1}^{+} S_{2}^{-} S_{3}^{+} S_{4}^{-} + S_{1}^{-} S_{2}^{+} S_{3}^{-} S_{4}^{+}), \qquad \texttt{effective model} \qquad \texttt{also S. Chakravarty,} \\ \texttt{PRB 66, 224505 (2002).}$





cf. Quantum-dimer model





cf. Quantum-dimer model

The model is also known as the (2+1)-dimensional U(1) quantum link model

Why did Rokhsar-Kivelson add the V term?

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\Box} \left[V(| \circlearrowleft \rangle \langle \circlearrowright | + | \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright |) - t(| \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright | + | \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright |) \right],$$

For V=t the Hamiltonian is a sum of projectors:

$$\mathcal{H}_{V=t=1} = \sum_{\Box} \left(| \mathcal{O} \rangle - | \mathcal{O} \rangle \right) \left(\langle \mathcal{O} | - \langle \mathcal{O} | \right)$$

The ground state wave function is an equal amplitude superposition of all configurations:







Plaquette phase



 $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\Box} \left[V(| \circlearrowleft \rangle \langle \circlearrowright | + | \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright |) - t(| \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright | + | \circlearrowright \rangle \langle \circlearrowright |) \right],$

N. Shannon, G. Misguich and K. Penc, PRB **69**, 220403(R) (2004), O. F. Syljuåsen and S. Chakravarty, PRL **96**, 147004 (2006).

qubit quantum spin ice

Science 373, 576–580 (2021)

Qubit spin ice

Andrew D. King¹*, Cristiano Nisoli²*, Edward D. Dahl^{1,3}, Gabriel Poulin-Lamarre¹, Alejandro Lopez-Bezanilla²

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{\langle ij
angle} J_{ij} \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z + \sum_i h_i \hat{\sigma}_i^z
ight)$$

 $- \Gamma \sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^x$

Qubit spin ice

Structure factor $S(\mathbf{q})$ for varying couplings, in reciprocal lattice space.

Andrew D. King¹*, Cristiano Nisoli²*, Edward D. Dahl^{1,3}, Gabriel Poulin-Lamarre¹, Alejandro Lopez-Bezanilla²

14×14 ice system

11/



Qubit spin ice

Structure factor $S(\mathbf{q})$ for varying couplings, in reciprocal lattice space.

Andrew D. King¹^{*}, Cristiano Nisoli²^{*}, Edward D. Dahl^{1,3}, Gabriel Poulin-Lamarre¹, Alejandro Lopez-Bezanilla²

Quantum 6-vertex model



type-I and II vertices

Ice

As was remarked above, the ice model is obtained by taking all energies to be zero, i.e.

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \ldots = \varepsilon_6 = 0.$$
 (8.1.4)



Ice

As was remarked above, the ice model is obtained by taking all energies to be zero, i.e.

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \ldots = \varepsilon_6 = 0.$$
 (8.1.4)







degeneracy: $2 \times$



F Model

Rys (1963) suggested that a model of anti-ferroelectrics could be obtained by choosing

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_4 > 0, \, \varepsilon_5 = \varepsilon_6 = 0.$$
 (8.1.6)

The ground state is then one in which only vertex arrangements 5 and 6 occur. There are only two ways of doing this. One is shown in Fig. 8.3,



Fig. 8.3. One of the two ground-state energy configurations of the anti-ferroelectric ice-type model. Only vertex configurations 5 and 6 occur.





E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 1046 (1967)B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 103 (1967)





E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 1046 (1967)B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 103 (1967)





degeneracy: subextensive $\propto 2^L$

E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 1046 (1967)B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 103 (1967)



$$\omega_j = \exp(-\varepsilon_j/k_B T), \quad j = 1, \dots, 6,$$

$$a = \omega_1 = \omega_2, \quad b = \omega_3 = \omega_4, \quad c = \omega_5 = \omega_6. = 1$$





M. Kondákor, K. Penc: Phys. Rev. Research **5**, 043172 (2023)



V

Quantum Phase Diagram: Level spectroscopy



Quantum Phase Diagram



Phys. Rev. Research 5, 043172 (2023)

Quantum Phase Diagram 1 Liq



Phys. Rev. Research 5, 043172 (2023)

Density-density Structure factors



MAGNETISM **Qubit spin ice**

Andrew D. King¹*, Cristiano Nisoli²*, Edward D. Dahl^{1,3}, Gabriel Poulin-Lamarre¹, Alejandro Lopez-Bezanilla²

Structure factor S(q) for varying couplings, in reciprocal lattice space.

Quantum 6-vertex model

Weak coupling $(J_{MAX}/16)$ Moderate coupling $(J_{MAX}/4)$ Strong coupling (J_{MAX}) • Type-I Degenerate ice Type-II 0.8 Vertex frequency Type-III ▲ Type-IV 0.6 $\overline{}$ 0.5 $q_y 0$ 0.4 0.2 1/161/4 $^{-2}$ 0 2 1.02 **Fype-I bias** Vertex frequency 3 $q_y = 0$ 0.5 0 1/161/4 -4 -2 0 2 4 0.98 1.5**Fype-II bias** Vertex frequency 1 $q_y 0$ 0.5 0.5 Ω 1/161/4-4 -2 0 2 4 q_{x} (r.l.u.) J / J_{MAX}

14×14 ice system

Comparison between Q6M and qubit spin ice

quantum 6-vertex model:



flux sectors

flux is invariant under dynamics

flux \approx average arrow density

flux sectors

Fluxes in ground state

Fluxes in ground state

What are the other sectors?

What are the other sectors?

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hellman-Feynmann theorem:} \\ E(\mathbf{m}) = \mu \langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \, | \, \hat{N}_{\mathrm{II}} \, | \, \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle + V \langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \, | \, \hat{N}_{V} \, | \, \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle \end{array}$

What are the other sectors?

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hellman-Feynmann theorem:} \\ E(\mathbf{m}) = \mu \langle {\rm RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \, | \, \hat{N}_{\rm II} \, | \, {\rm RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle + V \langle {\rm RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \, | \, \hat{N}_V | \, {\rm RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle \end{array} \end{array}$

Monte Carlo sampling of the $\langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} | \hat{N}_{\mathbf{II}} | \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} | \hat{N}_{V} | \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle$ in the Rokshar-Kivelson wave function.

Devils staircase?

Hellman-Feynmann theorem: $E(\mathbf{m}) = \mu \langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} | \hat{N}_{\mathbf{II}} | \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle + V \langle \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} | \hat{N}_{V} | \mathsf{RK}_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle$

E. Fradkin, D. A. Huse, R. Moessner, V. Oganesyan, and S. L. Sondhi, PRB 69, 224415 (2004);
A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, and T. Senthil, PRB 69, 224416 (2004);
T. Schlittler, T. Barthel, G. Misquich, J. Vidal, and R. Mosseri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 217202 (2015)

Conclusions

- New platforms appear (quantum simulators) that make your dreams come true.
- Exotic phases appear devils staircase
- Is it time to revisit LiV_2O_4 ?