Discovering Spikes in Random Matrices Using Approximate Message Passing

Yihan Zhang^{*}

*IST Austria

Youth in High Dimensions 2023, Trieste



Spectral Analysis of Generalized Linear Models with General Gaussian Design



Yihan Zhang IST Austria



Hong Chang Ji IST Austria



Marco Mondelli IST Austria



Ramji Venkataramanan U of Cambridge



• Unknown parameter vector / signal: $x^* \in \sqrt{d} \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$



- Unknown parameter vector / signal: $x^* \in \sqrt{d} \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$
- Known covariate / sensing vectors: $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq i \leq n$



- **Unknown** parameter vector / signal: $x^* \in \sqrt{d} \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$
- Known covariate / sensing vectors: $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $1 \leq i \leq n$
- Known link function / channel: $q: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, or equivalently: $p(\cdot | \cdot)$
- Unknown noise: $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$
- Observations / measurements / responses: $y_i = q(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle, \varepsilon_i) \in \mathbb{R}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n$

• Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$
- 1-bit compressed sensing: $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle) + \varepsilon_i$

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$
- 1-bit compressed sensing: $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle) + \varepsilon_i$
- Logistic regression: $y_i |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle \sim \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_0 + \frac{\exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_1$

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$
- 1-bit compressed sensing: $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle) + \varepsilon_i$
- Logistic regression: $y_i |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle \sim \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_0 + \frac{\exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_1$
- Polynomial regression

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$
- 1-bit compressed sensing: $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle) + \varepsilon_i$
- Logistic regression: $y_i |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle \sim \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_0 + \frac{\exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_1$
- Polynomial regression
- Single-index model

- Linear regression: $y_i = \langle x^*, a_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i$
- Phase retrieval: $y_i = |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle| + \varepsilon_i$
- 1-bit compressed sensing: $y_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle) + \varepsilon_i$
- Logistic regression: $y_i |\langle x^*, a_i \rangle \sim \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_0 + \frac{\exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)}{1 + \exp(\langle x^*, a_i \rangle)} \delta_1$
- Polynomial regression
- Single-index model
- etc.

Prior art:

Prior art:

• i.i.d. Gaussian design: $a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d/n)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$

Prior art:

- i.i.d. Gaussian design: $a_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d/n)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$
- (bi-)orthogonally invariant: $A = O \Lambda Q^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, where

$$(O, Q) \sim \operatorname{Haar}(\mathbb{O}(n)) \otimes \operatorname{Haar}(\mathbb{O}(d))$$
$$\operatorname{ESD}(\Lambda) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\min\{n, d\}} \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n, d\}} \delta_{\Lambda_{i,i}} \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Lambda}$$

Prior art:

- i.i.d. Gaussian design: $a_i \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d/n)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$
- (bi-)orthogonally invariant: $A = O \Lambda Q^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, where

$$(O, Q) \sim \operatorname{Haar}(\mathbb{O}(n)) \otimes \operatorname{Haar}(\mathbb{O}(d))$$

$$\operatorname{ESD}(\Lambda) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\min\{n, d\}} \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{n, d\}} \delta_{\Lambda_{i,i}} \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Lambda})$$

• and everything in their universality class [BLM15, CL21, WZF22, DLS22]

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or equivalently

$$A = \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{A}_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n), \ (i,j) \in [n] \times [d]$$

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or equivalently

$$A = \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{A}_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n), \ (i,j) \in [n] \times [d]$$

Why interesting?

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or equivalently

$$A = \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{A}_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n), \ (i, j) \in [n] \times [d]$$

Why **interesting**? — Anisotropic covariates commonly seen in practice, e.g., covariance with Toeplitz / circulant structure

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or equivalently

$$A = \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{A}_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n), \ (i, j) \in [n] \times [d]$$

Why **interesting**? — Anisotropic covariates commonly seen in practice, e.g., covariance with Toeplitz / circulant structure Why **nontrivial**?

Beyond isotropy and bi-rotational invariance:

$$a_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0_d, \Sigma/n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or equivalently

$$A = \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{A}_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n), \ (i, j) \in [n] \times [d]$$

Why **interesting**? — Anisotropic covariates commonly seen in practice, e.g., covariance with Toeplitz / circulant structure Why **nontrivial**? — Non-Wigner (rows correlated), only left-rotationally invariant

Estimation from GLMs

Given
$$(y_i, a_i)_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)^n$$
, statisticians seek an estimate $\hat{x} = \hat{x}(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of x^* .

Estimation from GLMs

Given $(y_i, a_i)_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)^n$, statisticians seek an estimate $\hat{x} = \hat{x}(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of x^* . Quality of estimation is measured by **overlap**:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\langle \hat{x}, x^* \rangle|}{\|\hat{x}\|_2 \|x^*\|_2}$$

which is aimed to be maximized.

Estimation from GLMs

Given $(y_i, a_i)_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)^n$, statisticians seek an estimate $\hat{x} = \hat{x}(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of x^* . Quality of estimation is measured by **overlap**:

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle \hat{x}, x^* \rangle|}{\|\hat{x}\|_2 \|x^*\|_2}$$

which is aimed to be maximized. **Proportional** regime: $n, d \rightarrow \infty$

aspect ratio = $\frac{\#\text{observations}}{\#\text{parameter dimensions}} = \frac{n}{d} \rightarrow \delta \in (0, \infty).$



¹May not be necessary



Assume

• $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is unknown

 $^{^{1}}$ May not be necessary



Assume

- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is unknown
- $\Sigma > 0_{d \times d}$ is strictly positive definite¹ with uniformly (in d) bounded $\|\Sigma\|_2$

¹May not be necessary



Assume

- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is unknown
- $\Sigma > 0_{d \times d}$ is strictly positive definite¹ with uniformly (in d) bounded $\|\Sigma\|_2$

•
$$\operatorname{ESD}(\Sigma) \coloneqq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \delta_{\lambda_i(\Sigma)} \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Sigma})$$



Assume

- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is unknown
- $\Sigma > 0_{d \times d}$ is strictly positive definite¹ with uniformly (in *d*) bounded $\|\Sigma\|_2$
- $\operatorname{ESD}(\Sigma) \coloneqq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \delta_{\lambda_i(\Sigma)} \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Sigma})$
- Unstructured prior: $x^* \sim \text{Unif}(\sqrt{d} \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$

¹May not be necessary



Assume

- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is unknown
- $\Sigma > 0_{d \times d}$ is strictly positive definite¹ with uniformly (in d) bounded $\|\Sigma\|_2$
- $\operatorname{ESD}(\Sigma) \coloneqq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \delta_{\lambda_i(\Sigma)} \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Sigma})$
- Unstructured prior: $x^* \sim \text{Unif}(\sqrt{d} \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$
- $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\varepsilon_i} \to P_{\varepsilon}$

¹May not be necessary



Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}a_{i}^{\top}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$$

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}a_{i}^{\top}\cdot y_{i}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$$

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

²Somewhat necessary
Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

Why spectral?

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

Why **spectral**?

• Computationally simple

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

Why spectral?

- Computationally simple
- Warm start of AM (cf. Chandrasekher's talk), EM, AMP, GD, etc.

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

Why spectral?

- Computationally simple
- Warm start of AM (cf. Chandrasekher's talk), EM, AMP, GD, etc.
- Interesting RMT problem per se

²Somewhat necessary

Given $(y, A) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, pick our favorite preprocessing function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_i^{\top} \cdot \mathcal{T}(y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **spectral estimator** generates the top eigenvector of *D*:

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

Why spectral?

- Computationally simple
- Warm start of AM (cf. Chandrasekher's talk), EM, AMP, GD, etc.
- Interesting RMT problem per se

Assume

• \mathcal{T} is bounded from above and below, not constantly 0, and² $|\operatorname{sup supp}(\mathcal{T}) > 0$ ²Somewhat necessary

Main result

Theorem (Eigenvalues & overlap)

Let $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ be defined as [insert complicated expressions]. If $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$, then

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D) = \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \tag{1}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \tag{2}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v_1(D), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D)\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > 0$$
(3)

Main result

Theorem (Eigenvalues & overlap)

Let $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ be defined as [insert complicated expressions]. If $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$, then

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D) = \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \tag{1}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \tag{2}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v_1(D), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D)\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > 0$$
(3)

The condition λ₁(Σ, T, δ) > λ₂(Σ, T, δ) is very likely the phase transition threshold, though we do not have converse result :-(

Define random variables
$$(\overline{G},\overline{\varepsilon}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}\right) \otimes P_{\varepsilon}, \overline{Y} = q(\overline{G},\overline{\varepsilon}).$$

Define random variables $(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}\right) \otimes P_{\varepsilon}, \overline{Y} = q(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}).$ Denote by $(a(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \gamma(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta))$ the unique solution to

$$1 = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}\overline{G}^2 - 1\right) \frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}^2}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right]\overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$
$$1 = \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right]\overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$

Define random variables $(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}\right) \otimes P_{\varepsilon}, \overline{Y} = q(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}).$ Denote by $(a(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \gamma(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta))$ the unique solution to

$$1 = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}\overline{G}^2 - 1\right) \frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}^2}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right]\overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$
$$1 = \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right]\overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$

Then $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) \coloneqq a(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) \gamma(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$.

Define random variables $(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]}{\delta}\right) \otimes P_{\varepsilon}, \overline{Y} = q(\overline{G}, \overline{\varepsilon}).$ Denote by $(a(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \gamma(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta))$ the unique solution to

$$1 = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]} \overline{G}^2 - 1\right) \frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}^2}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right] \overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$
$$1 = \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\overline{\Sigma}}{\gamma - \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}{a - \mathcal{T}(\overline{Y})}\right] \overline{\Sigma}}\right]$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Then } \overline{\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\delta})\coloneqq a(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\delta})\gamma(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\delta})} \,. \\ \text{The other parameters } \overline{\lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\delta}),\eta(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\boldsymbol{\delta})} \,\, \text{admit similarly messy expressions.} \end{array}$

Basic intuition

$$D = A^{\top} TA \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1^{\top} \\ \cdots \\ -a_n^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}(y_1) \\ & \ddots \\ & & \mathcal{T}(y_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

Basic intuition



Basic intuition



Spiked, or non-spiked, that is the question

Spectral matrix: $D = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}$

where

$$T = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(y)) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(q(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*,\varepsilon))))$$

Spiked, or non-spiked, that is the question

Spectral matrix:
$$D = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}$$

v.s.
Separable covariance matrix? $\widehat{D} = \Sigma^{1/2} \widehat{A}^{\top} T \widehat{A} \Sigma^{1/2}$

where

$$T = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(y)) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*,\varepsilon)))$$

and

$$\widehat{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \widetilde{A}$$
, but independent of T

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{GLM:} & y = q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma x^*,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n\\ \mathsf{Spectral matrix:} & D = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^\top T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{GLM:} & y = q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma x^*,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n\\ \mathsf{Spectral matrix:} & D = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^\top T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \end{array}$$

Let us 'take out' the spike.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{GLM:} & y = q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma x^*,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n\\ \mathsf{Spectral matrix:} & D = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^\top T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \end{array}$$

Let us 'take out' the spike. Fact: for any $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Pi_{g} \widetilde{A} + \Pi_{g}^{\perp} \widehat{A}, \quad \Pi_{g} = \frac{gg^{\top}}{\|g\|_{2}^{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \Pi_{g}^{\perp} = I_{n} - \Pi_{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ \widehat{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \widetilde{A} \quad \text{independent of everything else} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{GLM:} & y = q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma x^*,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n\\ \mathsf{Spectral matrix:} & D = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^\top T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \end{array}$$

Let us 'take out' the spike. Fact: for any $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\widetilde{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Pi_{g} \widetilde{A} + \Pi_{g}^{\perp} \widehat{A}, \quad \Pi_{g} = \frac{gg^{\top}}{\|g\|_{2}^{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \Pi_{g}^{\perp} = I_{n} - \Pi_{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

 $\widehat{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \widetilde{A} \quad \text{independent of everything else}$

If $g = e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\widetilde{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} e_1 e_1^\top \widetilde{A} + (I_n - e_1 e_1^\top) \widehat{A}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{GLM:} & y = q(\widetilde{A}\Sigma x^*,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n\\ \mathsf{Spectral matrix:} & D = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^\top T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \end{array}$$

Let us 'take out' the spike. Fact: for any $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\widetilde{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Pi_{g} \widetilde{A} + \Pi_{g}^{\perp} \widehat{A}, \quad \Pi_{g} = \frac{gg^{\top}}{\|g\|_{2}^{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \Pi_{g}^{\perp} = I_{n} - \Pi_{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

 $\widehat{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \widetilde{A} \quad \text{independent of everything else}$

If $g = e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\widetilde{A} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} e_1 e_1^\top \widetilde{A} + (I_n - e_1 e_1^\top) \widehat{A}$



Eigenvalue interlacing (cont'd) With $g = \tilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*$, D becomes $D \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\Sigma^{1/2}\tilde{A}^{\top}g}{\|g\|_2} \frac{g^{\top}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank 1}} + \Sigma^{1/2}\hat{A}^{\top}\Pi_g^{\perp} \right) T \left(\underbrace{\frac{g}{\|g\|_2} \frac{g^{\top}\tilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank 1}} + \Pi_g^{\perp}\hat{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \right)$ Eigenvalue interlacing (cont'd) With $g = \widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*$, D becomes $D \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^{\top}g}{\|g\|_2} \frac{g^{\top}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank} 1} + \Sigma^{1/2}\widehat{A}^{\top}\Pi_g^{\perp} \right) T \left(\underbrace{\frac{g}{\|g\|_2} \frac{g^{\top}\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank} 1} + \Pi_g^{\perp}\widehat{A}\Sigma^{1/2} \right)$

The 'null' matrix \widehat{D} has no spike:

 $\hat{D} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\widehat{A}}^\top \boldsymbol{\Pi}_g^\perp T \boldsymbol{\Pi}_g^\perp \boldsymbol{\widehat{A}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}$

Eigenvalue interlacing (cont'd) With $g = \widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*$, D becomes $D \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^{\top}g}{\|g\|_2}\frac{g^{\top}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank 1}} + \Sigma^{1/2}\widehat{A}^{\top}\Pi_g^{\perp}\right)T\left(\underbrace{\frac{g}{\|g\|_2}\frac{g^{\top}\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}}{\|g\|_2}}_{\operatorname{rank 1}} + \Pi_g^{\perp}\widehat{A}\Sigma^{1/2}\right)$

The 'null' matrix \widehat{D} has no spike:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D} &= \Sigma^{1/2} \widehat{A}^{\top} \Pi_g^{\perp} T \Pi_g^{\perp} \widehat{A} \Sigma^{1/2} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Sigma^{1/2} \underbrace{\check{A}^{\top}}_{d \times (n-1)} \underbrace{\check{T}}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \underbrace{\check{A}}_{(n-1) \times d} \Sigma^{1/2}, \end{split}$$
 where $\lambda_n(T) \geq \lambda_{n-1}(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_{n-1}(T) \cdots \geq \lambda_2(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_2(T) \geq \lambda_1(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_1(T)$

Eigenvalue interlacing (cont'd) With $g = \widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}x^*$, D becomes $D \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^{\top}g}{\|g\|_2}}_{\text{rank 1}} g^{\top} + \Sigma^{1/2}\widehat{A}^{\top}\Pi_g^{\perp}\right) T\left(\underbrace{\frac{g}{\|g\|_2}}_{\text{rank 1}} g^{\top}\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}}_{\text{rank 1}} + \Pi_g^{\perp}\widehat{A}\Sigma^{1/2}\right)$

The 'null' matrix \widehat{D} has no spike:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{D} &= \Sigma^{1/2} \widehat{A}^{\top} \Pi_g^{\perp} T \Pi_g^{\perp} \widehat{A} \Sigma^{1/2} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \Sigma^{1/2} \underbrace{\check{A}^{\top}}_{d \times (n-1)} \underbrace{\check{T}}_{(n-1) \times (n-1)} \underbrace{\check{A}}_{(n-1) \times d} \Sigma^{1/2}, \\ \text{where } \lambda_n(T) &\geq \lambda_{n-1}(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_{n-1}(T) \cdots \geq \lambda_2(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_2(T) \geq \lambda_1(\check{T}) \geq \lambda_1(T) \\ \text{Eigenvalues of } D \text{ and } \widehat{D} \text{ are interlaced: } \lambda_{i+1}(\widehat{D}) \leqslant \lambda_i(D) \leqslant \lambda_{i-1}(\widehat{D}) \text{ for } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1 \\ \lambda_d(D) \quad \lambda_{d-1}(D) \quad \lambda_{d-2}(D) \quad \lambda_{d-3}(D) \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_3(D) \quad \lambda_2(D) \quad \lambda_1(D) \\ \overbrace{\lambda_d(\widehat{D})} \quad \lambda_{d-1}(\widehat{D}) \quad \lambda_{d-2}(\widehat{D}) \quad \lambda_{d-3}(\widehat{D}) \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_3(\widehat{D}) \quad \lambda_2(\widehat{D}) \quad \lambda_1(\widehat{D}) \end{split}$$

Right edge of the bulk



Right edge of the bulk



If $\mathcal{T} \ge 0$, $\hat{D} = \Sigma^{1/2} \hat{A}^{\top} T \hat{A} \Sigma^{1/2}$ is PSD and is well-understood in RMT under the name of 'separable covariance matrix'.

Right edge of the bulk



If $\mathcal{T} \ge 0$, $\hat{D} = \Sigma^{1/2} \hat{A}^{\top} T \hat{A} \Sigma^{1/2}$ is PSD and is well-understood in RMT under the name of 'separable covariance matrix'.

But, we only assume $\sup \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{T}) > 0$.

Right edge of the bulk (cont'd)



Right edge of the bulk (cont'd)



$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \operatorname{sup\,supp}(\overline{\mu}_{\widehat{D}}) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$$





We have identified (2) the right edge of the bulk:

 $\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$



We have identified (2) the right edge of the bulk:

 $\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$

But where is (1) the spike

 $\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D)?$

And how large is (3) the overlap

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v_1(D), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D)\|_2 \|x^*\|_2}?$$

A hammer: Generalized Approximate Message Passing

Power iteration:

$$v^{t+1} = \frac{\sum^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v^{t}}{\left\| \sum^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v^{t} \right\|_{2}}$$
A hammer: Generalized Approximate Message Passing

Power iteration:

$$v^{t+1} = \frac{\sum^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v^{t}}{\left\| \sum^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v^{t} \right\|_{2}}$$

(Generalized) Approximate Message Passing (GAMP) Given $g_t : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, f_{t+1} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ for every $t \ge 1$

$$u^{t} = \widetilde{A}\widetilde{v}^{t} - b_{t}\widetilde{u}^{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \widetilde{u}^{t} = g_{t}(u^{t}; y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \qquad c_{t} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{t}(u^{t}; y)_{i}}{\partial u_{i}^{t}} \in \mathbb{R},$$
$$v^{t+1} = \widetilde{A}^{\top}\widetilde{u}^{t} - c_{t}\widetilde{v}^{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \widetilde{v}^{t+1} = f_{t+1}(v^{t+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad b_{t+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f_{t+1}(v^{t+1})_{i}}{\partial v_{i}^{t+1}} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Eigenequation:

 $v = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}^\top T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} v$

$$v = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^\top T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v$$

GAMP:

$$u^{t} = \widetilde{A}f_{t}(v^{t}) - b_{t}g_{t-1}(u^{t-1}), \quad v^{t+1} = \widetilde{A}^{\top}g_{t}(u^{t}) - c_{t}f_{t}(v^{t}).$$

$$v = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^\top T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v$$

GAMP:

$$u = \widetilde{A}f(v) - bg(u), \quad v = \widetilde{A}^{\top}g(u) - cf(v).$$

Eigenequation:

 $v = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}^\top T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} v$

GAMP:

$$u = \widetilde{A}f(v) - bg(u), \quad v = \widetilde{A}^{\top}g(u) - cf(v).$$

Choose

$$g(u) = (aI_n - T)^{-1} Tu \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f(v) = (\gamma I_d - c\Sigma)^{-1} \Sigma v,$$

$$c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} g(u), \quad b = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} f(v),$$

$$b = 1, \quad \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \|f(v)\|_2^2 = 1$$

Eigenequation:

$$v = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^\top T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v$$

GAMP:

$$\gamma \Sigma^{-1} f(v) = \frac{1}{a} \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A} f(v)$$

Choose

$$g(u) = (aI_n - T)^{-1} Tu \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f(v) = (\gamma I_d - c\Sigma)^{-1} \Sigma v,$$

$$c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} g(u), \quad b = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} f(v),$$

$$b = 1, \quad \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \|f(v)\|_2^2 = 1$$

Eigenequation:

 $v = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}^\top T \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} v$

GAMP:

$$a\gamma\Sigma^{-1/2}f(v) = \Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^{\top} T\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}\Sigma^{-1/2}f(v)$$

Choose

$$g(u) = (aI_n - T)^{-1} Tu \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f(v) = (\gamma I_d - c\Sigma)^{-1} \Sigma v,$$

$$c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} g(u), \quad b = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} f(v),$$

$$b = 1, \quad \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \|f(v)\|_2^2 = 1$$

Eigenequation:

$$v = \Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^\top T \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} v$$

GAMP:



$$b = 1, \quad \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \|f(v)\|_2^2 = 1$$

State evolution

For each $t \ge 1$, define the random vector

$$V_t \coloneqq \chi_t \Sigma^{1/2} X^* + \sigma_t W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where

$$(X^*, \sigma_1 W_1, \cdots, \sigma_t W_t) \to \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d) \otimes \mathcal{N}(0_{d \times t}, \Psi_t \otimes I_d)$$

State evolution

For each $t \ge 1$, define the random vector

$$V_t \coloneqq \chi_t \Sigma^{1/2} X^* + \sigma_t W_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where

$$(X^*, \sigma_1 W_1, \cdots, \sigma_t W_t) \to \mathcal{N}(0_d, I_d) \otimes \mathcal{N}(0_{d \times t}, \Psi_t \otimes I_d)$$

Theorem (State evolution)

For any uniformly pseudo-Lipschitz $h \colon \mathbb{R}^{d \times t} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} h(v^1, v^2, \cdots, v^t) - \mathbb{E}[h(V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_t)] = 0$$

$$v^{t+1} = \frac{D}{a\gamma}v^t + e^t$$

$$v^{t+1} = \frac{D}{a\gamma}v^t$$

$$v^{t+t'} = \left(\frac{D}{a\gamma}\right)^{t'} v^t$$

$$\frac{1}{d} \left\| v^{t+t'} \right\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{d} \left\| \left(\frac{D}{a\gamma} \right)^{t'} v^t \right\|_2^2$$

$$\frac{1}{d} \left\| v^{t+t'} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{1}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d} \\ + \sum_{i=2}^{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{i}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \left\| v^{t+t'} \right\|_{2}^{2} = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{1}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d} \\ + \lim_{d \to \infty} \sum_{i=2}^{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_{i}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{i}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d}$$

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \left\| v^{t+t'} \right\|_2^2 = \lim_{d \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_1(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_1(D), v^t \rangle^2}{d}$$

provided

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_2(D) = \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) < a\gamma \eqqcolon \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$$

$$\lim_{t' \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d} \left\| v^{t+t'} \right\|_2^2 = \lim_{t' \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_1(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_1(D), v^t \rangle^2}{d}$$

$$\nu^{2} = \lim_{t' \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{1}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d}$$

where $\nu>0$ is a constant derived from the state evolution

$$\nu^{2} = \lim_{t' \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_{1}(D)}{a\gamma} \right)^{2t'} \frac{\langle v_{1}(D), v^{t} \rangle^{2}}{d}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D) = a\gamma = \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta), \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle v_1(D), v^t \right\rangle^2}{\|v_1(D)\|_2^2 \|v^t\|_2^2} = 1$$

Where are we now?



Where are we now?



If $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\delta)>\lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\delta)$,

(1)
$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D) = \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta),$$

(3)
$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v_1(D), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D)\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v^t, x^* \rangle|}{\|v^t\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > 0,$$

where $\eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ can be derived from the state evolution.

Where are we now?



If $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\pmb{\delta})>\lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma},\mathcal{T},\pmb{\delta})$,

(1)
$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \lambda_1(D) = \lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta),$$

(3)
$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v_1(D), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D)\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{|\langle v^t, x^* \rangle|}{\|v^t\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} = \eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > 0,$$

where $\eta(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ can be derived from the state evolution.

We are done!

Bonus: Opt spec threshold & preprocessing function Morally, $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ is equivalent to $\delta > \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$.

Bonus: Opt spec threshold & preprocessing function Morally, $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ is equivalent to $\delta > \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$. **Q**: which \mathcal{T} yields the minimal $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$?

Bonus: Opt spec threshold & preprocessing function Morally, $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ is equivalent to $\delta > \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$. **Q**: which \mathcal{T} yields the minimal $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$?

Theorem (Minimum threshold, optimal \mathcal{T}^*)

The optimal spectral threshold $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}) = \inf_{\mathcal{T}} \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$ is given by the solution to

$$\delta^* = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]^2}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]} \left(\int \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p(y \mid \overline{G})\left(\frac{\delta^*}{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}\overline{G}^2 - 1\right)\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[p(y \mid \overline{G})\right]} \,\mathrm{d}y \right)^{-1},$$

and is obtained by

$$\mathcal{T}^{*}(y) = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]} \; \overline{G}^{2}\right)\right]}, \quad \text{where } \overline{G} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]}{\delta}\right).$$

Bonus: Opt spec threshold & preprocessing function Morally, $\lambda_1(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$ is equivalent to $\delta > \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$. **Q**: which \mathcal{T} yields the minimal $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$?

Theorem (Minimum threshold, optimal \mathcal{T}^*)

The optimal spectral threshold $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}) = \inf_{\mathcal{T}} \delta^*(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T})$ is given by the solution to

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{*} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right]^{2}}{\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}\right]} \left(\int \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \overline{\boldsymbol{G}}\right) \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{*}}{\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right]} \overline{\boldsymbol{G}}^{2} - 1\right)\right]^{2}}{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \overline{\boldsymbol{G}}\right)\right]} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right)^{-1},$$

and is obtained by

$$\mathcal{T}^*(y) = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]} \; \overline{G}^2\right)\right]}, \quad \text{where } \overline{G} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Sigma}\right]}{\delta}\right).$$

• \mathcal{T}^* is impossible to guess

• $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma})$ depends on $\overline{\Sigma}$ only through its first two moments $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]$

- $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma})$ depends on $\overline{\Sigma}$ only through its first two moments $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]$
- Our results are expressed using $\text{ESD}(\Sigma)$; equivalently, consider $\text{ESD}(A^{\top}A)$:

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}), \\ & \mathrm{ESD}(A^{\top}A) = \mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma^{1/2}\widetilde{A}^{\top}\widetilde{A}\Sigma^{1/2}) \to \mathsf{MP}_{1/\delta} \boxtimes \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}) \eqqcolon \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}) \end{split}$$

- $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma})$ depends on $\overline{\Sigma}$ only through its first two moments $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]$
- Our results are expressed using $\text{ESD}(\Sigma)$; equivalently, consider $\text{ESD}(A^{\top}A)$:

 $\mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}),$ $\mathrm{ESD}(A^{\top}A) = \mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}) \to \mathsf{MP}_{1/\delta} \boxtimes \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}) \eqqcolon \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma})$

Moments of $\overline{\Sigma}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ are related:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \quad \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2] + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]^2$$

- $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma})$ depends on $\overline{\Sigma}$ only through its first two moments $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]$
- Our results are expressed using $\text{ESD}(\Sigma)$; equivalently, consider $\text{ESD}(A^{\top}A)$:

 $\operatorname{ESD}(\Sigma) \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Sigma}),$

 $\mathrm{ESD}(A^{\top}A) = \mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}) \to \mathsf{MP}_{1/\delta} \boxtimes \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}) \eqqcolon \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Lambda})$

Moments of $\overline{\Sigma}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ are related:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \quad \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2] + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]^2$$

Optimal spectral threshold $\delta^*(\overline{\Lambda})$ can be written as the solution δ^* to

$$\delta^* = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}^2\right]} \left[\mathbf{1} + \left(\int \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\left(\frac{\delta^*}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}\right]}\overline{G}^2 - 1\right)\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \mid \overline{G}\right)\right]} \,\mathrm{d}y \right)^{-1} \right]$$

- $\delta^*(\overline{\Sigma})$ depends on $\overline{\Sigma}$ only through its first two moments $\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2]$
- Our results are expressed using $\text{ESD}(\Sigma)$; equivalently, consider $\text{ESD}(A^{\top}A)$:

 $\operatorname{ESD}(\Sigma) \to \operatorname{law}(\overline{\Sigma}),$

 $\mathrm{ESD}(A^{\top}A) = \mathrm{ESD}(\Sigma^{1/2} \widetilde{A}^{\top} \widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2}) \to \mathsf{MP}_{1/\delta} \boxtimes \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Sigma}) =: \mathrm{law}(\overline{\Lambda})$

Moments of $\overline{\Sigma}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ are related:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}], \quad \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Lambda}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}^2] + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]^2$$

Optimal spectral threshold $\delta^*(\overline{\Lambda})$ can be written as the solution δ^* to

$$\delta^* = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}^2\right]} \left[\mathbf{1} + \left(\int \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[p(y \mid \overline{G})\left(\frac{\delta^*}{\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{\Lambda}\right]}\overline{G}^2 - 1\right)\right]^2}{\mathbb{E}\left[p(y \mid \overline{G})\right]} \,\mathrm{d}y \right)^{-1} \right]$$

The formulas of δ^* , \mathcal{T}^* are **precisely the same** as those in [MLKZ20, MKLZ20].

• \mathcal{T}^* and δ^* depend on $p(\cdot | \cdot)$ only through its 0th & 2nd Hermite coefficients:

$$\sigma_0(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \,\overline{W}\right)\right], \quad \sigma_2(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \,\overline{W}\right) \left(\overline{W}^2 - 1\right)\right],\right]$$

where $\overline{W} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

• \mathcal{T}^* and δ^* depend on $p(\cdot | \cdot)$ only through its 0th & 2nd Hermite coefficients:

$$\sigma_0(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \ \overline{W}\right)\right], \quad \sigma_2(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \ \overline{W}\right) \left(\overline{W}^2 - 1\right)\right],\right]$$

where $\overline{W} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

• Information exponent [BAGJ21]

• \mathcal{T}^* and δ^* depend on $p(\cdot | \cdot)$ only through its 0th & 2nd Hermite coefficients:

$$\sigma_0(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \ \overline{W}\right)\right], \quad \sigma_2(y) = \mathbb{E}\left[p\left(y \left| \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}[\overline{\Sigma}]}{\delta}} \ \overline{W}\right) \left(\overline{W}^2 - 1\right)\right],\right]$$

where $\overline{W} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$

- Information exponent [BAGJ21]
- Leap complexity [ABM23] (See Boix's talk)

Spectral estimator with known Σ

We assumed Σ is unknown.
Spectral estimator with known Σ

We assumed Σ is unknown. What if it is **known**?

Spectral estimator with known Σ

We assumed Σ is unknown. What if it is **known**? A naive spectral estimator is to first 'whiten' A:

$$D_{\vartriangle} = \Sigma^{-1/2} A^{\top} T A \Sigma^{-1/2} = \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A},$$

$$T = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(y)), \quad y = q(\widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} x^*, \varepsilon)$$

and then output

$$\widehat{x}^{\text{spec}}_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

Spectral estimator with known Σ

We assumed Σ is unknown. What if it is **known**? A naive spectral estimator is to first 'whiten' A:

$$D_{\vartriangle} = \Sigma^{-1/2} A^{\top} T A \Sigma^{-1/2} = \widetilde{A}^{\top} T \widetilde{A},$$

$$T = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{T}(y)), \quad y = q(\widetilde{A} \Sigma^{1/2} x^*, \varepsilon)$$

and then output

$$\widehat{x}^{\operatorname{spec}}_{\scriptscriptstyle riangle}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle riangle}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

Its overlap can be similarly derived: if $\lambda_1^{\scriptscriptstyle \triangle}(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > \lambda_2^{\scriptscriptstyle \triangle}(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta)$

$$\frac{|\langle v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta})\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} \to \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}(\overline{\Sigma}, \mathcal{T}, \delta) > 0$$

Spectral estimator with $\Sigma = I_d$

With $\Sigma = I_d$ (i.e., i.i.d. Gaussian design), the spectral estimator becomes

$$\widehat{x}_{\Box}^{\text{spec}}(\mathcal{T}) = v_1(D_{\Box}) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$
$$D_{\Box} = \widetilde{A}^{\top} T_{\Box} \widetilde{A}, \quad T_{\Box} = \text{diag}(\mathcal{T}(y_{\Box})), \quad y_{\Box} = p(\widetilde{A}x^*, \varepsilon).$$

Its overlap has been understood [LL20, MM19]

$$\frac{|\langle v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle \Box}), x^* \rangle|}{\|v_1(D_{\scriptscriptstyle \Box})\|_2 \|x^*\|_2} \to \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle \Box}(\mathcal{T}, \delta)$$

Phase transition

• Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?
- More applications of this methodology

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?
- More applications of this methodology
 - Mixture of GLMs with correlated signals (in prep :-I)

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?
- More applications of this methodology
 - Mixture of GLMs with correlated signals (in prep :-I)
 - Low rank matrix estimation with heteroscedastic noise (in prep :-1)

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?
- More applications of this methodology
 - Mixture of GLMs with correlated signals (in prep :-I)
 - Low rank matrix estimation with heteroscedastic noise (in prep :-I)
- Miraculous coincidence with physics prediction for **right** invariant ensemble [MLKZ20, MKLZ20]. **Universality**? (See Dudeja's talk)

- Only "half" (supercritical part) of a phase transition phenomenon :-(
 - RMT proof?
- More applications of this methodology
 - Mixture of GLMs with correlated signals (in prep :-I)
 - Low rank matrix estimation with heteroscedastic noise (in prep :-I)
- Miraculous coincidence with physics prediction for **right** invariant ensemble [MLKZ20, MKLZ20]. **Universality**? (See Dudeja's talk)
- IT limit (i.e., MMSE) known for $A = \tilde{A}B$ (where B arbitrary) [MLKZ20]. Is spectral estimator IT-opt in terms of threshold and/or overlap?

THANK YOU!

zephyr.z798@gmail.com

- Emmanuel Abbe, Enric Boix-Adserà, and Theodor Misiakiewicz. SGD learning on neural networks: leap complexity and saddle-to-saddle dynamics. CoRR, abs/2302.11055, 2023.
- Gerard Ben Arous, Reza Gheissari, and Aukosh Jagannath. Online stochastic gradient descent on non-convex losses from high-dimensional inference.
 - J. Mach. Learn. Res., 22:Paper No. 106, 51, 2021.
- Mohsen Bayati, Marc Lelarge, and Andrea Montanari. Universality in polytope phase transitions and message passing algorithms. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 25(2):753–822, 2015.
- Wei-Kuo Chen and Wai-Kit Lam.

Universality of approximate message passing algorithms. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 26:Paper No. 36, 44, 2021.

🔋 Rishabh Dudeja, Yue M Lu, and Subhabrata Sen.

Universality of approximate message passing with semi-random matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.04281*, 2022.

🔋 Yue M Lu and Gen Li.

Phase transitions of spectral initialization for high-dimensional non-convex estimation.

Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA, 9(3):507–541, 2020.

- Antoine Maillard, Florent Krzakala, Yue M Lu, and Lenka Zdeborová. Construction of optimal spectral methods in phase retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04524*, 2020.
- Antoine Maillard, Bruno Loureiro, Florent Krzakala, and Lenka Zdeborová. Phase retrieval in high dimensions: Statistical and computational phase transitions.

arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05228, 2020.

Marco Mondelli and Andrea Montanari.
Fundamental limits of weak recovery with applications to phase retrieval.
Found. Comput. Math., 19(3):703–773, 2019.

Tianhao Wang, Xinyi Zhong, and Zhou Fan. Universality of approximate message passing algorithms and tensor networks. CoRR, abs/2206.13037, 2022.