



DSU2023, EAIFR, Kigali, Rwanda, July 10th-14th, 2023

The Non-Linear Early Universe



DSU2023, EAIFR, Kigali, Rwanda, July 10th-14th, 2023

The Art of simulating the Early Universe

(When things get complicated: non-linear, strong coupling, non-perturbative, etc...)



DSU2023, EAIFR, Kigali, Rwanda, July 10th-14th, 2023

The Early Universe



















Common Factor Non-linear Field dynamics

Particle Production

Phase Transitions

> Common Factor Non-linear Field dynamics

Curvature Fluctuations

Cosmic Defects



Non-linear Field dynamics

Curvature Fluctuations

Cosmic Defects











Code Manual: arXiv: 2102.01031 (+100 pages)



Simulates scalar-gauge field dynamics [w. self-consistent expanding background]
[U(1) x SU(2)]

Links & plaquettes (~ lattice-QCD)





- Simulates scalar-gauge field dynamics [w. self-consistent expanding background]
- Written in C++, with modular structure separating <u>physics</u> (CosmoInterface library) and <u>technical details</u> (TempLat library).



- Simulates scalar-gauge field dynamics [w. self-consistent expanding background]
- Written in C++, with modular structure separating <u>physics</u> (CosmoInterface library) and <u>technical details</u> (TempLat library).
- > Parallellized in multiple spatial dimensions (but you write in serial !)



- Simulates scalar-gauge field dynamics [w. self-consistent expanding background]
- Written in C++, with modular structure separating <u>physics</u> (CosmoInterface library) and <u>technical details</u> (TempLat library).
- > Parallellized in multiple spatial dimensions (but you write in serial !)
- ► Family of evolution algorithms, accuracy ranging from $\delta O(\delta t^2) \delta O(\delta t^{10})$ [LeapFrog, Verlet, Runge-Kutta, Yoshida, ...]



Code Manual: arXiv: 2102.01031

http://www.cosmolattice.net/



A modern code for lattice simulations of scalar and gauge field dynamics in an expanding universe



* Init Conditions * Eqs. of Motion





* Init Conditions* Eqs. of Motion



* Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx

- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables







* Init Conditions* Eqs. of Motion



- * Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx
- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables

Algorithms

- Staggered LeapFrog (LF)
- Position-Verlet (PV2)
- Velocity-Verlet (VV2)
- Runge-Kutta (RK2, RK3, RK4)
- Yoshida (VV4, VV6, VV8, VV10)



PosmoLattice

* Init Conditions* Eqs. of Motion



* Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx

* Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$

* Choose Param: g, m, ...

* Choose Observables

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, g_1^2, g_2^2, \dots$$

 $m_{\phi}^2, m_{\psi}^2, \dots, v^2, \Phi_*, \dots$

1	#Output
2	<pre>outputfile = ./</pre>
3	
4	#Evolution
5	expansion = true
6	evolver = VV2
7	
8	#Lattice
9	N = 32
10	dt = 0.01
11	kIR = 0.75
12	nBinsSpectra = 55
13	
14	#Times
15	tOutputFreq = 0.1
16	tOutputInfreq = 1
17	tMax = 300
18	4T C
19	
20	KULLUIT = 1.75
21	initial_amptitudes = 7.42073eto 0 # homogeneous amptitudes in GeV2
22	Initiat_momenta = -0.2909e30 0 # nomogeneous amptitudes in devz
23	tModel Parameters
24	lambda = 9e-14
25	$\alpha = 100$
	4

(no need to re-compile !)



Posmo Lattice

* Init Conditions* Eqs. of Motion



* Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx

- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables

Output







CL is a platform for field theories You choose the problem to solve !



Posmo Lattice

* Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx

Field Th. Problem

* Init C^

roblen

- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables





CL is a platform for field theories You choose the problem to solve !



Cosmo Lattice



- * Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx
- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables

Output



CL so far (v1.0, Public):

- Global scalar field dynamics
- ► U(1) scalar-gauge dynamics
- ► SU(2) scalar-gauge dynamics



Cosmo Lattice

- * Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx
- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables

Output



> CL so far (v1.0, Public):

Field Th. Problem

Vew Problem

- Global scalar field dynamics
- ► U(1) scalar-gauge dynamics
- ► SU(2) scalar-gauge dynamics

- > CL update (v2.0, to be released by ~2023)
 - Gravitational waves

$$\Box h_{ij} = 2\Pi_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}$$

- > Axion-like couplings $\phi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$
- ► Non-minimal coupling $\xi \phi^2 R$
- Cosmic String Networks



Cosmo Lattice

- * Choose Lattice: dt, N, dx
- * Choose Algorithm $\mathcal{O}(\delta t^n)$
- * Choose Param: g, m, ...
- * Choose Observables

Output



> CL so far (v1.0, Public):

Field Th. Problem

New Problem

- Global scalar field dynamics
- ► U(1) scalar-gauge dynamics
- ► SU(2) scalar-gauge dynamics

CL update (v2.0, to be released by ~2023)
 Gravitational waves □ h_{ij} = 2Π_{ij}^{TT}
 Axion-like couplings φF_{μl} Released in May 2022 !
 Non-minimal coupling ξφ
 Cosmic String Networks

Applications

- 1) Non-linear inflation dynamics (e.g Axion-inflation)
- 2) GW from non-linear dynamics (e.g Preheating)
- 3) Preheating & Equation of State after inflation
- 4) Cosmic string networks (axions, AH, ...)
- 5) Single string loop dynamics
- 6) Non-minimal gravitational Interactions
- 7) Phase transitions
- X) Your project !

Applications

- 1) Non-linear inflation dynamics (e.g Axion-inflation)
- 2) GW from non-linear dynamics (e.g Preheating)
- 3) Preheating & Equation of State after inflation
- 4) Cosmic string networks (axions, AH, ...)
- 5) Single string loop dynamics
- 6) Non-minimal gravitational Interactions
- 7) Phase transitions
- X) Your project !
Applications

- Non-linear inflation dynamics (e.g Axion-inflation)
- **I)** GW from non-linear dynamics (e.g Preheating)

III) Single string loop dynamicsIV) Non-minimal gravitational Interactions

Applications



III) Single string loop dynamicsIV) Non-minimal gravitational Interactions

Applications

I) Non-linear inflation dynamics (e.g Axion-inflation)

II) GW from non-linear Preheating dynamics
 III) Single string loop dynamics
 IV) Non-minimal gravitational Interactions

lf so very briefly

Example I

(Strong Backreaction) Field Dynamics of Axion Inflation

with J. Lizarraga, A. Urio and J. Urrestilla (PhD student)

Phys. Rev. Lett. Submitted ; <u>2303.17436</u>

* The advantage that the advantage the advantage that the advantage the advantage that the advantage the advantag Shassing Smallness of Tshift + Leg

A HOLE THE PRODUCT AND PROPERTY E All plings to g

When the second field and the second of the ALOHETKE HOEE

tness and gaussianitess shalls glaussiaus i avail self-couplingsShottemphaster fields NADOTKANT / Mggsn

shift technical 64 to 1905 to 021 shift Not the QCD axion? attypeans repeated in the construction of the service of the servi

steen the set of the s

ei iieius (a) interference CMB in a dree men with the states while small at CMB in a dree of the states while small at CMB $\begin{array}{c} \text{Axion}^* \text{ Inflation}_{\text{action}} \text{ Substitution}_{\text{action}} \text{ Substitution}_{\text{action}}$ Smallness, of Eshift technically, dosmic mattation $\mu\nu$ (review Pajer, MP '13) $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \Theta_n \otimes n_5 \quad f^{-1} \text{plings to other field South of the strained couplings to other field south of the strained coupling to the strained coupling to the strained coupling to other field$ Wath him Ain to Van de the Seb the OC Baxion, reference van de freence Thistion requires 2very visit potential $M_n^{2n} \xrightarrow{0.6}{10^{16}} = 10^{16} \text{GeV} \simeq 10^{15} \text{GeV}$ Flat Flatness and gausatmess and sofaussion ity $\begin{array}{c} V = \phi V^{2} \\ \phi V^$ self-couplings agreement with standard single field slow role that ural inflation in the self slow role with the standard single field slow role with the self standard slow role with the self standard slow role with the self slow role | ∂π²ⁿs</sub> + kresses hightansymmetery. by 2couplings to oth $\Delta M \propto V_{
m shift}$ 2 helicities hift sypting for the start of t lds $\begin{array}{l} \text{free base} \\ \text{free base} \\ n_{\phi} \simeq 10^{13} \text{ GeV} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{GeV} \\ \text{Shift} \end{array} = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \left(\frac{V_{,\phi}}{V} \right)^2 \ll 1, \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{free base} \\ \text{Free se} \\ \text{$ stability (review Pajer, MP 13) $m_{\phi} \simeq 10^{13} \, \text{GeV}$

ei iieius stanlodets Mile small at • Show and gaussianity \rightarrow small inflaton self-couplings the advantage that $d \ln P$ • Show and provide the self-coupling states and gaussianity \rightarrow small inflaton self-coupling states and gaussian n Waife sines, While sines and BMB scales Axion* Flatness and gaussianity → small inflaton self-coupling Smallness vi kishty 1140t 20090 AR-POIL COSTIC TIPHIATION $\phi \rightarrow \phi + G_n \otimes n_5$ couplings to other fields postrained couplings to other fields of the fields of lings to other fields) hatural (Ain Po shoto shift *ANOT THE OCH THE PC AND A THE SECONDE tter (predictivity) $\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ THE STORE STORE FOR THE STORE FOR THE POTENTIAL Flatness and gatus at mess small server and server stars and gatus at the server server at the serve $\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$ ural) Inflation self-couplings Agreement with standard single field slow rold r, ns-Freese Sheftarsymmetetry. on2couplings to oth $\Delta M V \propto V_{\rm shift}$ Shift symmetrainfill FRUS UCS STREET lds $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \hline \end{transform} \\ \hline \end{transf$ steefnation nically natural. A third and Real Preheasing and and (review Pajer, MP '13) $m_{\phi} \simeq 10^{13} \, \text{GeV}$







INFLATIONARY MODELS Axion-Inflation



Blue-Tilted + Chiral + Non-G GW background

Bartolo et al '16, 1610.06481

INFLATIONARY MODELS Axion-Inflation



Bartolo et al '16, 1610.06481

INFLATIONARY MODELS Axion-Inflation



Bartolo et al '16, 1610.06481

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH \longrightarrow Approximations ! $\pi_{\phi} \equiv \dot{\phi} , \quad E_i \equiv \dot{A}_i , \quad B_i \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k$ $\tilde{\pi}_{\phi} = a^3 \pi_{\phi} , \quad \tilde{\vec{E}} = a \vec{E} , \ \pi_a \equiv \dot{a}$

Let's have a look to the full problem !

$$\left(V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2\right)$$



















Elaborated Iterative scheme!



PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

Can we do better than homogeneous backreaction ?

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

Yes, we need a full lattice approach

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

Yes, we need a full lattice approach

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

Yes, we need a full lattice approach

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations** !

DGF + Canivete/Shaposhnikov + Lizarraga/Urio/Urrestilla 2017-2023 Caravano, Komatsu, Lozanov, Weller 2021-2022

PROBLEM: PNG, GW and PBH **Approximations**!

DGF + Canivete/Shaposhnikov + Lizarraga/Urio/Urrestilla 2017-2023 Caravano, Komatsu, Lozanov, Weller 2021-2022

The strong backreaction regime of axion inflation

(DGF, Lizarraga, Urio & Urrestilla, <u>2303.17436</u>) (PhD)

(PhD)

 $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \; ; \; \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda}F\tilde{F} \; ; \; \frac{m_p}{\Lambda} = 18$

Axion-Inflation $\left(V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2; \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda}F\tilde{F}; \Lambda = \frac{m_p}{18}\right)$

Axion-Inflation $\left(V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2; \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda}F\tilde{F}; \Lambda = \frac{m_p}{18}\right)$





























Axion-Inflation $\left(V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2; \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda}F\tilde{F}; \Lambda = \frac{m_p}{\alpha}\right)$ $(\alpha = 15, 18, 20)$



Summary

- * ξ Controls the Gauge field excitation
- * Linear change in ξ : exponential response in A_{μ}
- * Predictions/constraints (PNG, PBH and GWs) depend crucially on ξ : we will re-assess real observability !
- * Adding Schwinger pair production easy via $\overrightarrow{J} = \sigma \overrightarrow{E}$
- * Other phenomena: BAU, Magnetogenesis, ...

Summary

Soon in the ArXiv !

- * ξ Controls the Gauge field excitation
- * Linear change in ξ : exponential response in A_{μ}
- * Predictions/constraints (PNG, PBH and GWs) depend crucially on ξ : we will re-assess real observability !
- * Adding Schwinger pair production easy via $\overrightarrow{J} = \sigma \overrightarrow{E}$
- * Other phenomena: BAU, Magnetogenesis, ...



Particle coupling reconstruction with gravitational waves

with

A. Florio, N. Loayza and M. Pieroni

Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 6, 063522 ; 2202.05805



Buchalter Cosmology 2022 — Third Prize —





(e.g. α – attractors, Kallosh, Linde 2013)







$$V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^4 \tanh\left(\frac{\phi}{M}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \chi^2 \phi^2$$
$$\chi_k'' + [\kappa^2 + m^2(\phi)]\chi_k = 0 \quad \text{(Daughter fld excitation)}$$

χ Particle Production



$$\mathscr{P}_{\chi}(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \langle |\chi_k|^2 \rangle$$

$$V(\phi, \chi) = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^4 \tanh\left(\frac{\phi}{M}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g^2 \chi^2 \phi^2$$
$$\chi_k'' + [\kappa^2 + m^2(\phi)]\chi_k = 0 \quad \text{(Daughter fld excitation)}$$



INFLATIONARY PREHEATING

Non - linear dynamics

Lattice Simulations

w/ Grav. Wave CL module (Baeza-Ballesteros, DGF, Loayza)

Parameter Dependence (Peak amplitude)





GW Spectroscopy Parameter Dependence (Peak amplitude)

 $V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g_1^2\phi^2\chi_1^2$; $V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g_2^2\phi^2\chi_2^2$



GW Spectroscopy Parameter Dependence (Peak amplitude)

 $V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}g_1^2\phi^2\chi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_2^2\phi^2\chi_2^2$?





GW Spectroscopy Parameter Dependence (Peak amplitude)

 $V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{3}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{3}^{2}$



GW Spectroscopy Parameter Dependence (Peak amplitude)

 $V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{3}^{2} \phi^{2} \chi_{3}^{2}$



Reconstruction (2-peak signal)

@LISA

Reconstruction (2-peak signal)

@LISA



Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 6, 063522, 2202.05805

Reconstruction (2-peak signal)

@ LISA

Coupling Reconstruction !



Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 6, 063522, 2202.05805

Our example serves as proof of principle !

Possible new door to particle physics interactions with GW backgrounds !

Our example serves as proof of principle !

Possible new door to particle physics interactions with GW backgrounds !

Multi-peak Stairway signatures expected at: low scale (p)reheating phase transitions





String Loop Dynamics + Grav. Wave emission

with J. Baeza-Ballesteros, E. Copeland, J. Lizarraga (PhD student)

String Loop Dynamics + GW emission

GOAL Dynamics of an isolated loop and its GW emission
GOAL Dynamics of an isolated loop and its GW emission

Case I: Nielsen-Olesen

Case II : Network



(following Vachaspati et al 2020)



(following Lizarraga et al 2020/21)

GOAL Dynamics of an isolated loop and its GW emission

Case I — Isolate the inner loop —



GOAL Dynamics of an isolated loop and its GW emission

Case II — Only one loop remains eventually —





GW energy density power spectrum (Case I)



GW energy density power spectrum (Case II)







Impact of our Study Real evaluation of GW emission Re-evaluation of PTA constraints (Pulsar Time Array)

Impact of our Study Real evaluation of GW emission Re-evaluation of PTA constraints (Pulsar Time Array)

Implications for

Dark Matter Axion string network Local (Abelian-Higgs) string network Comparison with Nambu-Goto GUT models

Impact of our Study Soon in the ArXiv Real evaluation of GW emission Re-evaluation of PTA constraints (Pulsar Time Array)

Implications for

Dark Matter Axion string network Local (Abelian-Higgs) string network Comparison with Nambu-Goto GUT models

....

If you want to know more ...







ONLINE India and China 'compatible' schedule



Find details for CL School 2023 at:

https://cosmolattice.net





Thanks for your attention !

Intro

Inflation (more)

Stairway

Loops

CL

Non-Min Grav.

Back Slides

CosmoLattice



► Matter content:

$$S = -\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + (D^A_\mu \varphi)^* (D^\mu_A \varphi) + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \{ G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \} + V(\phi, |\varphi|, |\Phi|) \right\}$$



Matter content:



SU(2) gauge sector



Matter content:



SU(2) gauge sector



Matter content:



► Background Metric:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\,\delta_{ij}\,dx^{i}dx^{j}\,\Bigg\{$$

➤ Self-consistent expansion (Friedmann equations)
➤ Fixed power-law background a(t) ~ t²/_{3(1+w)}



Lattice Equations

Hamiltonian scheme: coupled first-order differential equations





Lattice Equations

Hamiltonian scheme: coupled first-order differential equations



Scalar Fields and momenta are defined in the lattice sites





Lattice Equations

Hamiltonian scheme: coupled first-order differential equations



Scalar Fields and momenta are defined in the lattice sites



Gauge fields introduced via links and plaquettes (like in lattice-QCD)



End



Writing a model

Equations solved in (dimensionless) program variables:

Choose: $\{\alpha, \omega_*, f_*\}$

$$d\tilde{\eta} \equiv a^{-\alpha} \omega_* dt$$
$$d\tilde{x}^i \equiv \omega_* dx^i$$

Space and time





Gauge fields

Transparencies worked out together with Paco Torrentí



Writing a model

Equations solved in (dimensionless) program variables:

Choose: $\left\{ \alpha, \omega_*, f_* \right\}$

$$d\tilde{\eta} \equiv a^{-\alpha} \omega_* dt$$
$$d\tilde{x}^i \equiv \omega_* dx^i$$

Space and time





Gauge fields

Example:
$$\phi(t) \simeq \Phi_* \times f_{\rm osc}(t)$$





φ(t) [GeV]

Writing a model

Equations solved in (dimensionless) program variables:





Writing a model

Equations solved in (dimensionless) program variables:



> Write scalar potential and first and second derivatives in one file (model.h)

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\phi}, |\tilde{\varphi}|, |\widetilde{\Phi}|) \equiv \frac{1}{f_*^2 \omega_*^2} V(f_* \tilde{\phi}, f_* |\tilde{\varphi}|, f_* |\widetilde{\Phi}|) \longrightarrow \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \tilde{\phi}}, \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\varphi}|}, \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\phi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\varphi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|^2}$$



Writing a model

Equations solved in (dimensionless) program variables:



> Write scalar potential and first and second derivatives in one file (model.h)

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\phi}, |\tilde{\varphi}|, |\tilde{\Phi}|) \equiv \frac{1}{f_*^2 \omega_*^2} V(f_* \tilde{\phi}, f_* |\tilde{\varphi}|, f_* |\tilde{\Phi}|) \longrightarrow \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \tilde{\phi}}, \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\varphi}|}, \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\phi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\varphi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|^2}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{V}}{\partial |\tilde{\Phi}|^2}$$

Parameters passed via one file (input.txt) (no need to re-compile !)



End



Self-consistent Expansion

- ► Algorithms use **second Friedmann equation** to **evolve the scale factor**.
- ➤ The **first Friedmann equation** is used to check the accuracy of the simulation.

$$\frac{a''}{a} = \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{3m_p^2} \left\langle (\alpha - 2)(K_{\phi} + K_{\varphi} + K_{\Phi}) + \alpha(G_{\phi} + G_{\varphi} + G_{\Phi}) + (\alpha + 1)V + (\alpha - 1)(K_{U(1)} + G_{U(1)} + K_{SU(2)} + G_{SU(2)}) \right\rangle$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{3m_p^2} \left\langle K_{\phi} + K_{\varphi} + K_{\Phi} + G_{\phi} + G_{\varphi} + G_{\Phi} + K_{U(1)} + G_{U(1)} + K_{SU(2)} + G_{SU(2)} + V \right\rangle$$

 $\langle ... \rangle$ represents volume averaging



Self-consistent Expansion

- > Algorithms use **second Friedmann equation** to **evolve the scale factor**.
- ➤ The **first Friedmann equation** is used to check the accuracy of the simulation.

$$\frac{a''}{a} = \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{3m_p^2} \left\langle (\alpha - 2)(K_{\phi} + K_{\varphi} + K_{\Phi}) + \alpha(G_{\phi} + G_{\varphi} + G_{\Phi}) + (\alpha + 1)V + (\alpha - 1)(K_{U(1)} + G_{U(1)} + K_{SU(2)} + G_{SU(2)}) \right\rangle$$

$$\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{3m_p^2} \left\langle K_{\phi} + K_{\varphi} + K_{\Phi} + G_{\phi} + G_{\varphi} + G_{\Phi} + K_{U(1)} + G_{U(1)} + K_{SU(2)} + G_{SU(2)} + V \right\rangle$$

 $\langle ... \rangle$ represents volume averaging

$$K_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2a^{2\alpha}} {\phi'}^{2} \qquad G_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2a^{2}} \sum_{i} (\partial_{i} \phi)^{2} \qquad K_{U(1)} = \frac{1}{2a^{2+2\alpha}} \sum_{i} F_{0i}^{2} \\ K_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{a^{2\alpha}} (D_{0}^{A} \varphi)^{*} (D_{0}^{A} \varphi) ; \qquad G_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{a^{2}} \sum_{i} (D_{i}^{A} \varphi)^{*} (D_{i}^{A} \varphi) ; \qquad K_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2a^{2+2\alpha}} \sum_{a,i} (G_{0i}^{a})^{2} \\ K_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{a^{2\alpha}} (D_{0} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D_{0} \Phi) \qquad G_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{a^{2}} \sum_{i} (D_{i} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D_{i} \Phi) \qquad G_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2a^{4}} \sum_{i,j < i} F_{ij}^{2} \\ G_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2a^{4}} \sum_{a,i,j < i} (G_{ij}^{a})^{2} \\ (\text{Kinetic-Scalar}) \qquad (\text{Gradient-Scalar}) \qquad (\text{Electric \& Magnetic})$$

Output from your Run



Output from your Run





Output from your Run



Constraints


> The **first Friedmann equation** is used to check the accuracy of the simulation.





> The **first Friedmann equation** is used to check the accuracy of the simulation.



End



> The **first Friedmann equation** is used to check the accuracy of the simulation.



End

Gauge theories: Gauss constraint

Preservation of U(1) & SU(2) Gauss constraints (for all integrators!)



Gauge theories: Gauss constraint

Preservation of U(1) & SU(2) Gauss constraints (for all integrators!)



End

More on Axion-Inflation

 $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \; ; \; \frac{\phi}{4\Lambda}F\tilde{F} \; ; \; \Lambda = \frac{m_p}{15}$









Homogeneous (-----) In-Homogeneous (------)



Example IV

Non-minimally coupled Scalar fields in the Jordan Frame

with A. Florio, T. Opferkuch and B. Stefanek

SciPost, accepted ; 2112.08388 [astro-ph.CO]

Set-up

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_p^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_{\chi} + \mathcal{L}_{inf} + \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\phi} \phi^2 R \right]$$

or $\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\chi} \chi^2 R$

\bullet Inflaton ϕ

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm inf} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V_{\rm inf}(\phi)$

 \bullet Spectator field χ

 $\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial_{\nu} \chi - V(\chi, \phi)$

- Non minimal coupling to gravity ξ_{ϕ} or ξ_{χ}
- Stay in Jordan frame

Set-up

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_P^2}{2} R + \mathcal{L}_{\chi} + \mathcal{L}_{inf} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\xi}_{\chi} \chi^2 R \right]$$

or $\frac{1}{2} \xi_{\chi} \chi^2 R$ Spectator fld
non-min. Coupled

 \bullet Inflaton ϕ

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm inf} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V_{\rm inf}(\phi)$

 \bullet Spectator field χ

 $\mathcal{L}_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial_{\nu} \chi - V(\chi, \phi)$

- Non minimal coupling to gravity ξ_{ϕ} or ξ_{χ}
- Stay in Jordan frame



 $\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\chi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} + \xi_{\chi}\chi R = 0$



$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\chi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\chi} + \xi_{\chi}\chi R = 0$$

$$R = F(\chi) \left((1 - 6\xi) \langle \partial^{\mu} \chi \partial_{\mu} \chi \rangle + 4 \left(\langle V \rangle - \frac{3\xi}{2} \langle \chi V_{,\chi} \rangle \right) - \langle \rho_m \rangle - 3 \langle \rho_m \rangle \right)$$

$$F(\chi) = \frac{1}{M_P^2 \left[1 + (6\xi - 1)\xi \langle \chi^2 \rangle / M_P^2 \right]}$$

Standard inflaton

 $V_{inf} \propto anh^4(ilde{\phi})$



Curvature Oscillates ! (sourced by Inflaton Oscillations)

End

Standard inflaton

 $V_{inf} \propto anh^4(ilde{\phi})$



Geometric Preheating [Basset & Liberati '99]

 $\xi \chi^2 R$, $\xi = 10,50,100$

The preheat field is excited exponentially

Curvature Oscillates ! (sourced by Inflaton Oscillations)

Geometric Preheating [Basset & Liberati '99]

$$\xi \chi^2 R$$
, $\xi = 10,50,100$



How is the preheat field excited?

Geometric Preheating [Basset & Liberati '99] $\xi \chi^2 R$, $\xi = 10,50,100$ 10Ricci scalar R/H^2 and of inflatic 50

0

Time [e-folds post inflation]

2

4

Tachyonic growth

-2

How is the preheat field excited?



Tachyonic term every time R < 0

Transparency worked out with Adrien Florio

-5

-4





Geometric Preheating [Basset & Liberati '99] How is the preheat field excited? $\xi \chi^2 R$ $\xi = 10,50,100$ **Non-Linear Regime Back-reaction** 15 10^{2} $V_{\rm inf} = \Lambda^4 \tanh^4 \left(\frac{\alpha \phi}{M_{\rm e}} \right)$ $V_{\rm inf} = \Lambda^4 \tanh^4$ $= 100 = 10^{-5}$ Lattice simulation = 100Linear analysis = 50 10 10^{-1} $\Delta_{\chi}(k,N)/m_p^2$ R/H^2 10^{-4} 5 10^{-7} 0 Lattice simulation achyoni 10^{-10} -51.50.51.02.02.5 10^{-13} 10^{-2} e-folds post inflation 10^{-1}

Transparency worked out with Adrien Florio

 k/H_i

Geometric Preheating [Basset & Liberati '99] $\xi \chi^2 R$, $\xi = 10,50,100$

How is the preheat field excited?

Non-Linear Regime



Transparency worked out with Adrien Florio

Back-reaction



Geometric Preheating excitation (e.g. p = 4)



Geometric Preheating excitation (e.g. p = 4)



Geometric Preheating excitation (e.g. p = 4)



Geometric Preheating excitation (e.g. p = 4)



We can do it for any **p**

 $V(|\phi|^p) \propto |\phi|^p$

Full non-linear Geometric Preheating



Full non-linear Geometric Preheating



We can compare Jordan vs Einstein fram