

(Non) linearity of regularity of Tor over complete intersections

(Joint work with Marc Chardin and Navid Nemati)

**School on Commutative Algebra
and Algebraic Geometry in
Prime Characteristics**

by

Dipankar Ghosh

Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India

May 04, 2023

- 1 Motivation of our research work.
- 2 $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_A^{2i}(M, N))$ and $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_A^{2i+1}(M, N))$ have linear behavior for $i \gg 0$
- 3 Similar results hold true for Tor when $\dim(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) \leq 1$ for $i \gg 0$
- 4 Two examples showing that the behavior of the regularity of Tor modules could be pretty hectic when the latter condition is not satisfied.
- 5 Finally, if time permits, then we will discuss the proofs.

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Importance of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Application 1.

The n th syzygy module $\Omega_n^{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \text{reg}(M) + n$. □

Importance of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Application 1.

The n th syzygy module $\Omega_n^{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \text{reg}(M) + n$. □

- In fact, regularity of M is defined to be such a minimum possible bound.

Importance of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Application 1.

The n th syzygy module $\Omega_n^{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \text{reg}(M) + n$. □

- In fact, regularity of M is defined to be such a minimum possible bound.

Application 2.

The regularity of M helps us to compute Hilbert polynomial of M . □

Importance of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Application 1.

The n th syzygy module $\Omega_n^0(M)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \text{reg}(M) + n$. □

- In fact, regularity of M is defined to be such a minimum possible bound.

Application 2.

The regularity of M helps us to compute Hilbert polynomial of M . □

More precisely, for all $n > \text{reg}(M)$, the Hilbert function value of M at n coincides with Hilbert polynomial value at n .

Importance of Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity

- **Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity** is a kind of universal bound for important invariants of graded modules such as
 - 1 the degree of minimal generators of **syzygy modules**, and
 - 2 the maximum non-vanishing degree of **local cohomology modules**.

Application 1.

The n th syzygy module $\Omega_n^Q(M)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree $\leq \text{reg}(M) + n$. □

- In fact, regularity of M is defined to be such a minimum possible bound.

Application 2.

The regularity of M helps us to compute Hilbert polynomial of M . □

More precisely, for all $n > \text{reg}(M)$, the Hilbert function value of M at n coincides with Hilbert polynomial value at n . It follows from another definition, where $Q = Q_0[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is a Noetherian standard \mathbb{N} -graded ring.

$$\text{reg}(M) := \max \left\{ \text{end} \left(H_{Q_+}^i(M) \right) + i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \dim(M) \right\}.$$

- ① (Eisenbud-Huneke-Ulrich, 2006) If $\dim(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) \leq 1$, then

$$\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) - i \leq \mathrm{reg}(M) + \mathrm{reg}(N) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

- ① (Eisenbud-Huneke-Ulrich, 2006) If $\dim(\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) \leq 1$, then

$$\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) - i \leq \text{reg}(M) + \text{reg}(N) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

- ② (Chardin) If $\dim(\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) \leq 1$, then

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) - i \right\} = \text{reg}(M) + \text{reg}(N).$$

- ① (Eisenbud-Huneke-Ulrich, 2006) If $\dim(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) \leq 1$, then

$$\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) - i \leq \mathrm{reg}(M) + \mathrm{reg}(N) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

- ② (Chardin) If $\dim(\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) \leq 1$, then

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}}(M, N)) - i \right\} = \mathrm{reg}(M) + \mathrm{reg}(N).$$

- ③ (Chardin - Divaani-Aazar, 2008) If $\dim(M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} N) \leq 1$, then

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq d} \left\{ \mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}^i(M, N)) + i \right\} = \mathrm{reg}(N) - \mathrm{indeg}(M),$$

where $\mathrm{indeg}(M) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : M_n \neq 0\}$.

Theorem (Chardin)

Suppose S is a standard graded ring over a field, but S is not a polynomial ring. Let $d := \min\{\dim(M), \dim(N)\}$. If $\dim(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)) \leq 1 \forall i \geq i_0$, then

$$\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)) \leq i + \mathrm{reg}(M) + \mathrm{reg}(N) + \left\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \right\rfloor (\mathrm{reg}(S) - 1) \forall i \geq i_0.$$

Theorem (Chardin)

Suppose S is a standard graded ring over a field, but S is not a polynomial ring. Let $d := \min\{\dim(M), \dim(N)\}$. If $\dim(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)) \leq 1 \forall i \geq i_0$, then

$$\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, N)) \leq i + \mathrm{reg}(M) + \mathrm{reg}(N) + \left\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \right\rfloor (\mathrm{reg}(S) - 1) \forall i \geq i_0.$$

Theorem (–, Puthenpurakal, 2019)

Set $A := Q/(\mathbf{f})$, where $Q = K[X_1, \dots, X_d]$, and $\mathbf{f} = f_1, \dots, f_c$ is a homogeneous Q -regular sequence. Then

- 1 $\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, I^n N)) \leq \rho_N(I) \cdot n - w \cdot \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + e$ for all $i, n \geq 0$,
- 2 $\mathrm{reg}(\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, N/I^n N)) \leq \rho_N(I) \cdot n - w \cdot \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + e'$ for all $i, n \geq 0$,

where $e, e' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w := \min\{\deg(f_j) : 1 \leq j \leq c\}$, and

$\rho_N(I)$ is an invariant defined in terms of reduction ideals of I with respect to N .

Over graded complete intersection rings:

Question

For $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$, do there exist $a_\ell, a'_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $e_\ell, e'_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that

- ① $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_A^{2i+\ell}(M, N)) = -a_\ell \cdot i + e_\ell$ for all $i \gg 0$?
- ② $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_{2i+\ell}^A(M, N)) = a'_\ell \cdot i + e'_\ell$ for all $i \gg 0$?

Our main results.

We (jointly with Chardin and Nemati) proved that:

- ① the answer to (i) is positive, even in a more general situation, while
- ② the answer to (ii) is negative. We found examples for that.
- ③ However, if $\dim(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) \leq 1$ for all $i \gg 0$, the second question does have a positive answer.



Theorem (Chardin, – , Nemati, 2022)

Let Q be a standard graded Noetherian algebra, $A := Q/(\mathbf{f})$, where $\mathbf{f} := f_1, \dots, f_c$ is a homogeneous Q -regular sequence. Let M and N be finitely generated graded A -modules such that $\text{Ext}_Q^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. Then

- ❶ for every $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$, there exist $a_\ell \in \{\deg(f_j) : 1 \leq j \leq c\}$ and $e_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that

$$\text{reg} \left(\text{Ext}_A^{2i+\ell}(M, N) \right) = -a_\ell \cdot i + e_\ell \quad \text{for all } i \gg 0.$$

- ❷ if further Q is \ast -local or the epimorphic image of a Gorenstein ring, M has finite projective dimension over Q and

$$\dim \left(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) \right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } i \gg 0,$$

then, for every $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$, there exist $a'_\ell \in \{\deg(f_j) : 1 \leq j \leq c\}$ and $e'_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that

$$\text{reg} \left(\text{Tor}_{2i+\ell}^A(M, N) \right) = a'_\ell \cdot i + e'_\ell \quad \text{for all } i \gg 0.$$

Example 1 (the leading term of $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))$ can be arbitrarily large)

Example (Chardin, – , Nemati, 2022)

Let $Q := K[Y, Z, V, W]$ be a polynomial ring with usual grading over a field K , and $A := Q/(Y^2, Z^2)$. Write $A = K[y, z, v, w]$. Fix an integer $m \geq 1$. Set

$$M := \text{Coker} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{bmatrix} y & z & 0 & 0 \\ -v^m & -w^m & y & z \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} A(-m)^2 \\ \oplus \\ A(-1)^2 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} A(-m+1) \\ \oplus \\ A \end{array} \end{array} \right)$$

and $N := A/(y, z)$. Then, for every $i \geq 1$, we have

- ❶ $\text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)) = -i - m + 1$ and $\text{reg}(\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)) = -i$.
- ❷ $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) = i$ and $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) = (m + 1)i + (2m - 2)$.

Remark

- ❶ In this example, $\dim(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) = 2$ for all $i \gg 0$.
- ❷ $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))$ is eventually linear, but the leading term depends on M .
- ❸ It shows that the finiteness result for $\text{Tor}_*^A(M, N)$ that we proved under the condition that $\text{Tor}_{\ll 0}^A(M, N) \leq 1$ can fail if this hypothesis is removed.

Example 2, showing that $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))$ can be non-linear

Example (Chardin, – , Nemati, 2022)

Let $Q := K[X, Y, Z, U, V, W]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field K of characteristic 2, and $A := Q/(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$. Write $A = K[x, y, z, u, v, w]$. Set

$$M := \text{Coker} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u & v & w & x & y & z \end{bmatrix} : A(-1)^6 \longrightarrow A^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad N := A/(x, y, z).$$

Then, for every $n \geq 1$, we have

- ❶ $\text{indeg}(\text{Ext}_A^n(M, N)) = \text{reg}(\text{Ext}_A^n(M, N)) = -n$.
- ❷ $\text{indeg}(\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)) = n$ and $\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)) = n + f(n)$, where

$$f(n) := \begin{cases} 2^{l+1} - 2 & \text{if } n = 2^l - 1 \\ 2^{l+1} - 1 & \text{if } 2^l \leq n \leq 2^{l+1} - 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{for all integers } l \geq 1.$$

Remark

- ❶ In this example, the following sets are dense in $[2, 3]$:

$$\{\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_{2n}^A(M, N))/2n : n \geq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\text{reg}(\text{Tor}_{2n+1}^A(M, N))/2n+1 : n \geq 1\}.$$

Hypothesis

- 1 The ring Q is a standard graded Noetherian algebra.
- 2 $A = Q/(\mathbf{f})$, where $\mathbf{f} := f_1, \dots, f_c$ is a homogeneous Q -regular sequence.
- 3 Set $w_j := \deg(f_j)$.
- 4 M and N are finitely generated graded A -modules such that $\text{Ext}_Q^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$.

Remark

We have studied the graded modules

- 1 $\text{Ext}_A^*(M, N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$, $\text{Tor}_*^A(M, N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Tor}_{-i}^A(M, N)$,
- 2 $H_{A_+}^l(\text{Tor}_*^A(M, N))^\vee := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{A_+}^l(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))^\vee$

over the graded ring $T := A[y_1, \dots, y_c]$ with $\deg(y_j) = 2$ for $1 \leq j \leq c$.

These y_j are induced by the Eisenbud operators.

Theorem (Gulliksen)

The graded module $\text{Ext}_A^*(M, N)$ is finitely generated over $A[y_1, \dots, y_c]$ provided $\text{Ext}_Q^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$.

- Hence the bigraded module $\text{Ext}_A^*(M, N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$ is also finitely generated over $T = K[x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_c]$.

Theorem (Chardin, – , Nemati, 2022)

If $\dim(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)) \leq 1$ for all $i \gg 0$, then

$$H_{A_+}^0(\text{Tor}_*^A(M, N))^\vee := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_{A_+}^0(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))^\vee \text{ and}$$

$$H_{A_+}^1(\text{Tor}_*^A(M, N))^\vee := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_{A_+}^1(\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N))^\vee$$

are finitely generated over $A[y_1, \dots, y_c] = K[x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_c]$.

- Hence the linearity of regularity of Ext and Tor follows from a theorem due to Bagheri-Chardin-Hà.

- 1 A. Bagheri, M. Chardin and H.T. Hà, *The eventual shape of Betti tables of powers of ideals*, Math. Res. Lett. 20, 6 (2013), 1033-1046.
- 2 M. Chardin, *On the behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity with respect to some functors*, arXiv:0706.2731.
- 3 M. Chardin, D. Ghosh and N. Nematì, *The (ir)regularity of Tor and Ext*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 375 (2022), 47–70.
- 4 D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, *The regularity of Tor and graded Betti numbers*, Amer. J. Math. 128, 3 (2006), 573-605.
- 5 D. Ghosh and T. J. Puthenpurakal, *An asymptotic bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of certain Ext modules over graded complete intersection rings*, J. Algebra 537 (2019), 278–296.

Thank you!