

Upper bounds on two Hilbert coefficients

Le Xuan Dung
Hong Duc University, Vietnam



(Joint work with J. Elias and L. T. Hoa)
ICTP, Trieste, Italy, 5/2023

- Motivation
- Main results

Motivation

- (A, \mathfrak{m}) : Noetherian local ring, $I \subset A$: \mathfrak{m} -primary.
- M : finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$.

Motivation

- (A, \mathfrak{m}) : Noetherian local ring, $I \subset A$: \mathfrak{m} -primary.
- M : finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$.
- $H_{I,M}^1(n) := \ell_A(M/I^{n+1}M)$: the Hilbert-Samuel function of M w.r.t I .

Motivation

- (A, \mathfrak{m}) : Noetherian local ring, $I \subset A$: \mathfrak{m} -primary.
- M : finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$.
- $H_{I,M}^1(n) := \ell_A(M/I^{n+1}M)$: the Hilbert-Samuel function of M w.r.t I .
- $H_{I,M}^1(n) = P_{I,M}^1(n)$ - for $n \gg 0$: the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial

$$P_{I,M}^1(n) = e_0(I, M) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I, M) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(I, M),$$

Motivation

- (A, \mathfrak{m}) : Noetherian local ring, $I \subset A$: \mathfrak{m} -primary.
- M : finitely generated A -module with $\dim M = d$.
- $H_{I,M}^1(n) := \ell_A(M/I^{n+1}M)$: the Hilbert-Samuel function of M w.r.t I .
- $H_{I,M}^1(n) = P_{I,M}^1(n)$ - for $n \gg 0$: the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial

$$P_{I,M}^1(n) = e_0(I, M) \binom{n+d}{d} - e_1(I, M) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d e_d(I, M),$$

then the integers

$$e_0(I, M), \dots, e_d(I, M) \quad (1)$$

are called the **Hilbert coefficients** of M with respect to I .

- Rossi-Valla (2010): There is another way to define the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$.

- Rossi-Valla (2010): There is another way to define the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$.
- $H_{I,M}(n) := H_{G_I(M)}(n) = \ell_A(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$: Hilbert function of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{I,M}(n) z^n$: the Hilbert series of M w. r. t I .

- Rossi-Valla (2010): There is another way to define the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$.
- $H_{I,M}(n) := H_{G_I(M)}(n) = \ell_A(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$: Hilbert function of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{I,M}(n) z^n$: the Hilbert series of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) = \frac{Q_{I,M}(z)}{(1-z)^d}$, where $Q_{I,M}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that $Q_{I,M}(1) \neq 0$.

- Rossi-Valla (2010): There is another way to define the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$.
- $H_{I,M}(n) := H_{G_I(M)}(n) = \ell_A(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$: Hilbert function of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{I,M}(n) z^n$: the Hilbert series of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) = \frac{Q_{I,M}(z)}{(1-z)^d}$, where $Q_{I,M}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that $Q_{I,M}(1) \neq 0$.

$$e_i(I, M) = \frac{Q_{I,M}^{(i)}(1)}{i!} \quad (2),$$

for all $i \geq 0$, where $Q_{I,M}^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th derivation of $Q_{I,M}$

- Rossi-Valla (2010): There is another way to define the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$.
- $H_{I,M}(n) := H_{G_I(M)}(n) = \ell_A(I^n M / I^{n+1} M)$: Hilbert function of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{I,M}(n) z^n$: the Hilbert series of M w. r. t I .
- $HP_{I,M}(z) = \frac{Q_{I,M}(z)}{(1-z)^d}$, where $Q_{I,M}(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that $Q_{I,M}(1) \neq 0$.

$$e_i(I, M) = \frac{Q_{I,M}^{(i)}(1)}{i!} \quad (2),$$

for all $i \geq 0$, where $Q_{I,M}^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th derivation of $Q_{I,M}$

- ▶ $0 \leq i \leq d$, this value of $e_i(I, M)$ agrees with the one defined in (1).
- ▶ using (2) we can talk about the Hilbert coefficients $e_i(I, M)$ with $i > d$.
- M : Cohen-Macaulay modules

Upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

Upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

1. Rossi-Valla (2010), Elias (2005).

Upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

1. Rossi-Valla (2010), Elias (2005).

- Northcott (1960), Narita (1963): $e_1(I, M) \geq 0, e_2(I, M) \geq 0$.

Upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

1. Rossi-Valla (2010), Elias (2005).

- Northcott (1960), Narita (1963): $e_1(I, M) \geq 0, e_2(I, M) \geq 0$.
- Kirby-Mehran (1982): $e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M)}{2}$ and $e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_1(I, M)}{2}$.

Upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

1. Rossi-Valla (2010), Elias (2005).

- Northcott (1960), Narita (1963): $e_1(I, M) \geq 0, e_2(I, M) \geq 0$.
- Kirby-Mehran (1982): $e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M)}{2}$ and $e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_1(I, M)}{2}$.
- Rossi-Valla (2010): Let b be a positive integer such that $IM \subseteq m^b M$

$$e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{2}$$

If $d = 1$ and $e_0(I, M) \neq e_0(m^b, M)$

$$e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b}{2}$$

2. Rossi-Valla (2005), Elias (2008).

- Rossi-Valla (2005) $e_1(I) \leq \binom{e_0(I)}{2} - \binom{\mu(I)-d}{2} - \ell(A/I) + 1$, where $\mu(I)$ denotes the number of generators of I .

2. Rossi-Valla (2005), Elias (2008).

- Rossi-Valla (2005) $e_1(I) \leq \binom{e_0(I)}{2} - \binom{\mu(I)-d}{2} - \ell(A/I) + 1$, where $\mu(I)$ denotes the number of generators of I .
- Elias (2008) Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring A . Then

$$e_1(I) \leq (e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1)(e_0(I) - be_0(\mathfrak{m})) + e_1(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Problem 1

- Find better bounds than those obtained by Rossi-Valla (2010), Elias (2005), and give some conditions for achieving equality.
- Find better bounds than those obtained by Elias (2008) for any dimension d .

Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$

Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$

- Kirby-Mehran (1982): $e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M)}{2}$ and $e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_1(I, M)}{2}$,
we get $e_2(I, M) < \frac{1}{8}e_0(I, M)^4$.

Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$

- Kirby-Mehran (1982): $e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M)}{2}$ and $e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_1(I, M)}{2}$, we get $e_2(I, M) < \frac{1}{8}e_0(I, M)^4$.
- J. Elias, M.E. Rossi and G. Valla (1996). In the case $I = \mathfrak{m}$ and $M = A$, We can show that $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) < \frac{2}{3}e_0(\mathfrak{m})^3$.

Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$

- Kirby-Mehran (1982): $e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M)}{2}$ and $e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_1(I, M)}{2}$, we get $e_2(I, M) < \frac{1}{8}e_0(I, M)^4$.
- J. Elias, M.E. Rossi and G. Valla (1996). In the case $I = \mathfrak{m}$ and $M = A$, We can show that $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) < \frac{2}{3}e_0(\mathfrak{m})^3$.

Problem 2

Find better bounds on $e_2(I, M)$ and give some conditions for achieving equality.

Main results

$R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$: Noetherian standard graded ring over a local Artinian ring (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) ,
 $R_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i$.

Definition

Let E be a finitely generated graded R -module. We set

$$a_i(E) = \begin{cases} \max\{n \mid H_{R_+}^i(E)_n \neq 0\} & \text{if } H_{R_+}^i(E) \neq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } H_{R_+}^i(E) = 0, \end{cases}$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of E .

$$\text{reg}(E) := \max\{a_i(E) + i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

- The associated graded module of M with respect to I is defined by

$$G_I(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n M / I_{n+1} M.$$

- We use the following notations

$$pn(I, M) := pn(G_I(M)) = \min\{n \mid P_{I, M}(t) = H_{I, M}(t) \text{ for all } t \geq n\},$$

The first upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

Proposition 1

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module and of dimension $d \geq 1$. Let b be a positive integer such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$. Then

$$e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{2} + b - \ell(M/IM). \quad (1)$$

If $d = 1$ and the equality in (1) holds, then we have

- (i) $a_0(G_I(M)) \leq 0$,
- (ii) Either $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = pn(I, M) = e_0(I, M) - b$ or $e_0(I, M) \in \{b, b + 1\}$,
- (iii) $H_{I, M}(n) = b + n$ for all $1 \leq n \leq pn(I, M) - 1$.

Proposition 2

If $d = 1$ and $e_0(I, M) > e_0(\mathfrak{m}^b, M)$, then

$$e_1(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b}{2} + b + 1 - \ell(M/IM). \quad (2)$$

If the equality in (2) holds, then we have

- (i') $a_0(G_I(M)) \leq 0$,
- (ii') Either $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = pn(I, M) = e_0(I, M) - b - 1$ or $e_0(I, M) \in \{b + 1, b + 2\}$,
- (iii') $H_{I, M}(n) = n + b + 1$ for all $1 \leq n \leq pn(I, M) - 1$.

Proposition 3

Let M be an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay A -module and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let b be the largest positive integer such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$. Assume that $e_0(I, M) \geq b + 2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $e_1(I, M) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{2} + b - \ell(M/IM)$,
- (ii) $HP_{I, M}(z) = \frac{\ell(M/IM) + (b+1 - \ell(M/IM))z + \sum_{i=2}^{e_0(I, M) - b} z^i}{1-z}$,
- (iii) $a_0(G_I(M)) \leq 0$ and $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = e_0(I, M) - b$,
- (iv) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 2}{2} + b - e_1(I, M) - \ell(M/IM) - 1$.

If one of the above conditions is satisfied, then $b = 1$ and $e_0(I, M) = e_0(\mathfrak{m}, M)$.

Proposition 4

Let M be an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay A -module and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$, $e_0(I, M) > e_0(\mathfrak{m}^b, M)$ and $e_0(I, M) \geq b + 3$, where b is a positive integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $e_1 = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b}{2} + b + 1 - \ell(M/IM)$,
- (ii) $HP_{I, M}(z) = \frac{\ell(M/IM) + (b+2 - \ell(M/IM))z + \sum_{i=2}^{e_0(I, M) - b - 1} z^i}{1-z}$,
- (iii) $a_0(G_I(M)) \leq 0$ and $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = e_0(I, M) - b - 1$,
- (iv) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{2} + b - e_1(I, M) - \ell(M/IM)$.

The second upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

Elias (2008) Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring A . Then

$$e_1(I) \leq (e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1)(e_0(I) - be_0(\mathfrak{m})) + e_1(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Modifying the bound in the above result, we can give a new bound on $e_1(I)$ for any dimension.

The second upper bounds on $e_1(I, M)$

Elias (2008) Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring A . Then

$$e_1(I) \leq (e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - 1)(e_0(I) - be_0(\mathfrak{m})) + e_1(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Modifying the bound in the above result, we can give a new bound on $e_1(I)$ for any dimension.

Theorem 5

Let A be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, where $b \geq 1$. Then

$$e_1(I) \leq \frac{1}{2b-1} \binom{e_0(I) - b + 1}{2} - \binom{\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d}{2}.$$

Problem 2: (Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$)

Theorem 6

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of $\dim(M) = d \geq 2$ over (A, \mathfrak{m}) . Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$, where b is a positive integer. Then

$$e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{3} (< \frac{1}{6} e_0(I, M)^3).$$

- By results of Kirby-Mehran (1982) we can show that $e_2(I, M) < \frac{1}{8} e_0(I, M)^4$.

Problem 2: (Upper bounds on $e_2(I, M)$)

Theorem 6

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of $\dim(M) = d \geq 2$ over (A, \mathfrak{m}) . Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$, where b is a positive integer. Then

$$e_2(I, M) \leq \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{3} \left(< \frac{1}{6} e_0(I, M)^3 \right).$$

- By results of Kirby-Mehran (1982) we can show that $e_2(I, M) < \frac{1}{8} e_0(I, M)^4$.
- By results of J. Elias, M.E. Rossi and G. Valla (1996), in the case $I = \mathfrak{m}$ and $M = A$, we can show that $e_2(\mathfrak{m}) < \frac{2}{3} e_0(\mathfrak{m})^3$.

Theorem 7

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of $\dim(M) = d \geq 2$ over (A, \mathfrak{m}) and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Let b be the largest integer such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$. Assume that $e_0(I, M) \geq b + 2$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $e_2(I, M) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{3}$,
- (ii) $HP_{I, M}(z) = \frac{\ell(M/IM) + (1 + b - \ell(M/IM))z + \sum_{i=2}^{e_0(I, M) - b} z^i}{(1 - z)^d}$,
- (iii) $\text{depth}(G_I(M)) \geq d - 1$ and $e_1(I, M) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 1}{2} + b - \ell(M/IM)$,
- (iv) $\text{depth}(G_I(M)) \geq d - 1$, $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = e_0(I) - b$ and $a_{d-1}(G_I(M)) \leq 1 - d$,
- (v) $\text{depth}(G_I(M)) \geq d - 1$ and
 $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = \binom{e_0(I, M) - b + 2}{2} + b - e_1(I, M) - \ell(M/IM) - 1$.

If one of the above conditions holds, then $b = 1$.

$M = A$: Cohen-Macaulay rings

For the case $M = A$, using the bound of Theorem 5, we can give a better bound in the case $b \geq 2$. We need some more preparation.

Definition

- The ideal $J \subseteq I$ is called an M -reduction of I if $I^{n+1}M = JI^nM$ for all $n \gg 0$.
- The number:

$$r_J(I, M) = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid I^{n+1}M = JI^nM\}$$

is called the M -reduction number of I with respect to J .

- An M -reduction of I is called *minimal* if it does not strictly contain another M -reduction of I .
- The number

$$r(I, M) := \min\{r_J(I, M) \mid J \text{ is a minimal } M\text{-reduction of } I\}$$

is called the M -reduction number of I .

Some relationships between the reduction number and Hilbert coefficients:

Lemma 8

Let M be an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$ for some positive integer b . Then

$$r(I, M) \leq e_0(I, M) - b.$$

Lemma 9

Let M be an one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then

$$e_2(I, M) \leq (r'(I, M) - 1)e_1(I, M),$$

where we set $r'(I, M) := \max\{1, r(I, M)\}$.

Using the above two lemmas, we can give a new bound on $e_2(I, M)$.

Lemma 10

Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension $d \geq 2$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal such that $IM \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b M$ for some positive integer b . Assume that $e_0(I, M) \geq b + 1$. Then

$$e_2(I, M) \leq (e_0(I, M) - b - 1)e_1(I, M).$$

Theorem 5

Let A be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, where $b \geq 1$. Then

$$e_1(I) \leq \frac{1}{2b-1} \binom{e_0(I) - b + 1}{2} - \binom{\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d}{2}.$$

Combining the above result with Theorem 5 we can give a better bound in the case $b \geq 2$.

Theorem 11

Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension $d \geq 2$ and such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^b$ for some positive integer b . Assume that $e_0(I, M) \geq b + 1$. Then

$$e_2(I) \leq \frac{3}{2b-1} \binom{e_0(I) - b + 1}{3} - (e_0(I) - b - 1) \binom{\mu(\mathfrak{m}) - d}{2}.$$

THANK TO YOUR ATTENTION!

