「「「「「日本」」ないであって

Extreme events in deterministic dynamics with application to rogue waves

Giovanni Dematteis (with Tobias Grafke, Miguel Onorato, and Eric Vanden-Eijnden)



ICTP School/Workshop on Wave Dynamics: Turbulent vs Integrable Effects

Trieste, Aug 28, 2023

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

What are rogue waves?



Photograph of the Stolt Surf tanker as it met a rogue wave in 1977. The tanker deck, that was submerged, is 25 m above sea level (from the New York Times).

only anecdotal evidence until a few decades ago In art



The Great Wave off Kanagawa, Katsushika Hokusai (c. 1830)

In history

(A chronology of freak wave encounters. PC Liu - Geofizika, 2007)

- Starting from "mythological" accounts about Columbus' fleet and Henry VIII's favourite ship Mary Rose which sank on July 19, 1545 (possibly due to a rogue wave and a sudden breeze causing her to capsize), there are tens of documented episodes
- Until recently often dismissed as seafarer lore



Rogue Waves

Definition:

Waves whose height from crest to trough exceeds twice the significant wave height $\rm H_{s}$

(4 times the standard deviation of the surface elevation)

Unpredictable and dangerous:

represent a serious threat to boats and naval structures



Time series of the Draupner wave, recorded in the North Sea (Jan 1, 1995)



Photo by M. Onorato, Southern Ocean. July 3, 2017

2006-2010: 78 rogue waves (all with either damage or human losses). *I Nikolkina, I Didenkulova, nat. hazards earth. syst. sci. (2011)*

Mechanisms of emergence:

Two plausible scenarios have emerged over the years, **linear superposition** and **nonlinear focusing**

Two limiting theories for extreme waves

Linear (dispersive) theory

- "Quasi-determinism" for Random Gaussian fields: Lindgren ('70s), Boccotti ('80s)
- Larger waves have the shape of the covariance of the wave field
- Gaussian PDF tails: about 1/3000 waves is "rogue"



Comparing some of the available rogue wave records, from *Benetazzo A. et al., Scientific Reports 7.1 (2017): 8276.*

Semi-classical theory of NLS

- Benjamin-Feir modulational instability: Onorato, Chabchoub, etc. (2000's on)
- Theorem in Bertola & Tovbis, CPAM 66.5 (2013): large bumps tend nonlinearly to a local Peregrine soliton
- Non-gaussianity and increased probability of tail events



Peregrine soliton evolution

Looking for suitable theory

• Intrinsic randomness: need for a statistical approach

incorporate the effective dynamics (e.g. dispersive vs nonlinear effects)

 Must be suitable for the description of events in the distribution tails, beyond the Central Limit Theorem descripton

Large Deviation Theory (LDT)

- Cramer (pioneering results, '30s).
 Varadhan, Gärtner, Ellis, etc. (formalization, from the '60s on)
- Branch of probability theory that deals with the exponential decay of the probability of the tail events (rare/extreme events) — while the central limit theorem concerns typical events



- Motto: rare events are predictable in that they occur with high probability by the least unlikely scenario for them to happen
- Rate function: estimate of the probability of the tail events by knowledge of the most likely conditional realizations: INSTANTONS

deterministic optimization problem tractable in high dimensions



Some notable applications

• Statistical mechanics

The entire theory can be naturally formalized in terms of LDT

Touchette U, The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics, Phys. Rep. 2009

• Turbulence "multifractality"

Frisch U, and Parisi G, Fully developed turbulence and intermittency, 1980

Benzi R, and Vulpiani A, Multifractal approach to fully developed turbulence, 2022 • Stochastic processes

Freidlin-Wentzell LDT for stochastic differential equations in the limit of small noise

Freidlin MI, and Wentzell AD, Random perturbations of dynamical systems, Springer 1998



Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n$$
, with X_n i.i.d. RVs: $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu$, $\operatorname{var}(X_n) = \sigma^2$

Define observable: $Z_n = \frac{S_n - N\mu}{\sqrt{N}\sigma}$

CLT: $Z_N \xrightarrow{D} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, as $N \to \infty$ Sketch of proof. Characteristic function: $\varphi_{Z_N}(T) = \mathbb{E}e^{itZ_N} = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}e^{i\frac{t}{\sqrt{N}}Y_n}$ [MacLaurin exp. as $N \gg 1$] $= \prod_{n=1}^N (1 + \frac{t^2}{2N} + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}})) \simeq (e^{-\frac{t^2}{2N}})^N = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$ Characteristic function of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

where $Y_n = \frac{X_n - \mu}{\sigma}$, $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = 0$, $\operatorname{var}(Y_n) = 1$

Comments on CLT

- If X_n Gaussian RV \Rightarrow CLT is exact (all moments in higher-order terms are vanishing)
- In general, expansion good up to O(1) fluctuation of Y_n , i.e. $O(\sqrt{N}\sigma)$ fluctuation of S_N (1 std)
- Try to evaluate e.g. P(S_N ≥ Nµ + Nσ), fluctuations from the mean much larger than the 1std typical fluctuation.
 CLT provides NO ANSWER!
- First result in LDT was born to answer specifically this question: Cramér's Theorem

Cramér Theorem

Define
$$P(z) := \mathbb{P}(\frac{s_N}{N} - \mu \ge z)$$
 [1 - CDF, PDF = $-\frac{dP(z)}{dz}$]
 $z \sim \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N}}$ typical fluctuation (CLT)

Cramér Theorem:
$$P(z) \simeq e^{-NI(z)}$$
 $I(z) = \sup_{\lambda} (\lambda z - S(\lambda))$ $[I(z) : \text{ rate function}]$ where $S(\lambda) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda S_N}$ [scaled cumulant generating function]

Here,
$$f_N \asymp g_N \Leftrightarrow \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log f_N}{\log g_N} = 1$$
 [log –equivalence]

Given the measure of X_n , the procedure is:

- Calculate $S(\lambda)$ from definition
- Calculate I(z) from Legendre transform of $S(\lambda)$
- obtain LDT approximation of P(z), for all values of z also in the tail, i.e. leading exponential decay, up to power-law correction not seen by " \asymp "

Large Deviation Principle

Assume we already know tail distribution: $f(z) \simeq e^{-Nl(z)}$ $e^{NS(\lambda)} = e^{N\log \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda z}} = \mathbb{E}e^{N\lambda z} \sim \int e^{N\lambda z}e^{-Nl(z)}dz$ $\sim e^{N\sup_{z}(\lambda z - l(z))}, \text{ as } N \gg 1$ [Laplace method] $\Rightarrow S(\lambda) = \sup_{z}(\lambda z - l(z))$ [Legendre transform]

If $S(\lambda)$ exists, then it is convex. Legendre transform can be inverted to obtain:

 $I(z) = \sup_{\lambda} (\lambda z - S(\lambda)), P(z) \asymp e^{-NI(z)} \text{ as } N \gg 1 \text{ [Large Deviation Principle (LDP)]}$

Theorems to prove the existence of an LDP:

- **Gärtner-Ellis:** Given $S(\lambda)$, guarantees that I(z) exists
- **Varadhan:** Given I(z), guarantees that $S(\lambda)$ exists
- Contraction Principle: Given I(z) with an LDP for Z, guarantees the existence of LDP for Y = g(z) with rate function $I'(y) = \inf_{z:y=g(z)} I(z)$

Dominating point "LDP"

Assume we already know tail distribution: $f(z) \simeq e^{-l(z)}$, for large z

$$e^{S(\lambda)} = e^{\log \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda z}} = \mathbb{E}e^{\lambda z} \sim \int e^{\lambda z} e^{-l(z)} dz$$

$$\sim e^{\sup_{z}(\lambda z - l(z))}, \quad \text{as } \lambda \gg 1 \qquad \text{[Laplace method]}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(\lambda) = \sup_{z}(\lambda z - l(z)) \qquad \text{[Legendre transform]}$$

If $S(\lambda)$ exists, then it is convex. Legendre transform can be inverted to obtain:

 $I(z) = \sup_{\lambda} (\lambda z - S(\lambda)), P(z) \asymp e^{-I(z)} \text{ as } z \gg 1 \text{ [Large Deviation Principle (LDP)]}$



- "Dominating-point" regime starts for "large-enough" z
- In the tail, same rate function as large N LDP, but different limit: no uniform convergence for any z
- Works of Ney, Iltis and others ('80s and '90s)
- DG, Grafke T, and Vanden-Eijnden E, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification, 2019

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

LDT for deterministic dynamics with random initial data

- Field *u* with deterministic evolution equation $\partial_t u = b(u)$
- Random initial condition:

 u_0 with measure $d\mu(u_0) \propto \exp(-I(u_0)) du_0, u_0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

• "**Observable**" function on field at final time *T*: f(u(T)). Being the evolution deterministic, will depend on the initial field via $F_T(u_0) = f(u(T))$ (deterministic mapping, in general nonlinear and very complicated!)

Consider the **tail distribution** of $F_T(u_0)$ (e.g. can estimate via Monte-Carlo)

$$P(z) = \mathbb{P}(f(u(T)) \ge z) = \mathbb{P}(F_T(u_0) \ge z), \text{ for large } z$$

Define the rate function

$$I(u_0^{\star}(z)) = \min_{\Omega(z)} I(u_0), \ \Omega(z) = \{u_0 \in \Omega : F_T(u_0) \ge z\}$$

Can prove (**Theorem (LDP**)): $P(z) \simeq \exp(-I(u_0^{\star}(z)))$

Note: will use θ or u_0 indistinctly for the initial conditions

In practice: constrained optimization

high-dimensional constrained minimization to find $u_0^{\star}(z)$

Equivalent to the unconstrained minimization of the cost function

$$\min_{u_0\in\Omega} E_T(\lambda, u_0), \quad E_T = I_T(u_0) - \lambda F_T(u_0)$$

where λ is a Lagrange multiplier used to implement the constraint $F_T(u_0) \ge z$

Optimizer found by condition

 $abla_{u_0}E_T = 0 \Rightarrow u_0^{\star}(\lambda) \Rightarrow u_0^{\star}(z), \quad \text{using} \quad \lambda(z): F_T(u_0^{\star}(\lambda)) = z$

Note: we will call u_0^* the **instanton** of the problem, borrowing the terminology from field theory (instanton = minimizer of action in path-integral formalism). See also works by Falkovich and others in the '90s in the theory of turbulence



Example: normal distribution with linear observable

•
$$(X, Y) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}, \ \theta = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \text{i.e. } I(\theta) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$$

• Mapping
$$F(\theta) = b \cdot (x, y), \quad b = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

•
$$\theta^{\star}(z) = zb$$
, $\eta^{\star}(z) = \nabla I(\theta)|_{\theta^{\star}(z)} = zb$

• LDP:
$$P(z) \asymp \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2})$$
 Exact: $P(z) \sim \frac{1}{z} \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2})$



Variance of the conditional event $F(\vartheta) \ge z$ decreases $(\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty)$ in the direction parallel to $\eta^*(z)$: $\sigma_{\parallel} = O(|\eta^*(z)|^{-1})$

Example: normal distribution with linear observable

•
$$(X, Y) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}, \ \theta = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \text{i.e. } I(\theta) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$$

• Mapping
$$F(\theta) = b \cdot (x, y), \quad b = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

•
$$\theta^{\star}(z) = zb$$
, $\eta^{\star}(z) = \nabla I(\theta)|_{\theta^{\star}(z)} = zb$

• LDP:
$$P(z) \asymp \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2})$$
 Exact: $P(z) \sim \frac{1}{z} \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2})$



Variance of the conditional event $F(\vartheta) \ge z$ decreases $(\to 0 \text{ as } z \to \infty)$ in the direction parallel to $\eta^*(z)$: $\sigma_{\parallel} = O(|\eta^*(z)|^{-1})$

- Probability concentration in parallel direction: LDP
- Degeneracy in perpendicular directions: sub-exponential prefactor

Wavefield w/ Gaussian independent Fourier modes, T=0

Wavefield envelope at t = 0: $u_0 : \left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right] \to \mathbb{C}$, relates to surface elevation η via:

 $\eta_0(x) = u_0(x)e^{i(k_0x)}$, (first order of the Stokes series, sol. of Euler)

Periodic domain:
$$u(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_j e^{ik_j x}, \quad k_j = \frac{2\pi}{L} j$$

Gaussian statistics: $\hat{u}_j = a_j + ib_j$, with i.i.d. RVs $a_j, b_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, n_j)$ n_j is the wave spectrum, relating to the space covariance C(x) via:

 $C(r) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} (u(x) \overline{u}(x+r)) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} n_j e^{ik_j r}, \quad \text{under spatial homogeneity}$

Probability exponent for LDT method:

$$\theta = \{a_j, b_j\}$$
 $I(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_j^2 + b_j^2}{n_j}$

Choose observable to define extreme wave:

 $F(\theta) = |u_0(x = 0)|$ (because of translational invariance, x=0 general)

Analytical optimization

$$\begin{split} I(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_j^2 + b_j^2}{n_j}, \\ F(\theta) &= |u_0(x = 0)| \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} E^{\lambda}(\theta) &= I(\theta) - \lambda F(\theta) \\ \nabla_{\theta} E^{\lambda}(\theta) &= 0 \Rightarrow \theta^{\star}(\lambda) \\ F(\theta^{\star}(\lambda)) &= z \Rightarrow \lambda(z) \\ \theta^{\star}(\lambda(z)) \Rightarrow u_0^{\star}(z) \end{aligned}$$

Compare with Boccotti-Lindgren linear theory:

Shape of extreme waves: covariance / Gaussian tail decay

Fun exercise: Montecarlo + post-processing (select maxima of size larger than z, translate max in x=0, and study collapse onto optimizer (instanton))



Introduce a dynamics

- Deep water, unidirectional spectrum, narrow-band around ${\bf k}_{\rm o}$
- Governing dynamics reduces to 1D Nonlinear Schroedinger Equation (NLS)

Zakharov, J. Appl. Mech. Theor. Phys. (1968)

$$i\left(\partial_{t}u + c_{g}\partial_{x}u\right) - \frac{\omega_{0}}{8k_{0}^{2}}\partial_{x}^{2}u - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{0}k_{0}^{2}|u|^{2}u = 0$$
$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{gk}, \quad c_{g} = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$$

Linear case

- Moving reference frame
- Small amplitude field
- u and x in units of $8^{-1/2}k_0^{-1}$ and t in units of ω_0^{-1}

$$i\left(\partial_{t}u + c_{0}\partial_{x}u\right) - \frac{\omega_{0}}{8k_{0}^{2}}\partial_{x}^{2}u - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{0}k_{0}^{2}|u|^{2}u = 0$$
$$\partial_{t}u = -i\partial_{x}^{2}u$$

Now modes DO NOT INTERACT, preserving Gaussian statistics Optimization at time T = Optimization at time 0

Instanton evolution, linear case

- Consider a large box
- Gaussian-shaped spectrum for simplicity
- Look for max at T=0: $u_0^*(z)$ given by covariance
- Use free-particle kernel, find instanton evolution

$$L \gg 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{j} \to \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \qquad \qquad n_k \propto e^{-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma_k^2}} \Rightarrow \frac{C(x)}{C(0)} = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x(t=0)^2}}$$

 $u(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx' \ u(x',0) K(x,t;x',0), \quad K(x,t;x',0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\pi i t}} e^{-i \frac{(x-x')^2}{4t}}$

Initial condition: $u(x, 0) = ze^{i\varphi}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x(t=0)^2}}$

Instanton and Uncertainty Principle

$$u(x,t) = z e^{i\varphi} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 - 2it}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + 4t^2\sigma_x^{-2}}} e^{-\frac{itx}{\sigma_x^4 + 4t^2}}$$

•
$$t = 0$$
: $u \rightarrow u_0$
• $|\sigma_x(t)| = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + 4t^2 \sigma_x^{-2}}$
min at $t = 0$ (maximal focusing), symmetric w.r.t. $t = 0$
• $|\sigma_x(t)| \ge \sigma_x = 1/\sigma_x$

• $|\sigma_x(t)| \ge \sigma_x = 1/\sigma_k \implies |\sigma_x(t)|\sigma_k \ge 1$, = 1 for the instanton at the point of maximal focusing

Instanton saturates the bound of the Uncertainty Principle at the maximal focusing point

(not physically possible to focus a wave packet further)

Nonlinear case:

Numerical optimization by gradient descent

• Now use a **nonlinear dynamics**: $F_T(u_0) = f(u(T))$ is very complicated, **need for a numerical method** to perform the optimization

• Gradient descent in the cost-function landscape $\nabla_{u_0} E = \nabla_{u_0} I(u_0) - \lambda J^T(T, u_0) \nabla f(u(T, u_0)).$

• The Jacobian $J(T, u_0) = \nabla_{u_0} u(T, u_0)$ evolves according to

 $\partial_t J(t, u_0) = \nabla b(u(t, u_0))J(t, u_0), \quad J(t = 0, u_0) = Id$

 Varying λ, we span all the values taken by z and compute the optimizers u^{*}₀(z) and the rate function I(u^{*}₀(z)) by which we estimate the probability tail for large z (LDP)

Instanton computation

- NLS with periodic boundary conditions discretized on a grid of 2048 points
- Spectrum of initial data parametrized by 93 Fourier modes: optimization in 185 dimensions
- Solution evolved with pseudo-spectral exponential time differencing Runge Kutta of second order (ETDRK2) scheme
- Optimization through gradient descent with adaptive step (line search) and preconditioning of the gradient

Instantons vs standard events,

i.e. evolution from optimized/typical initial condition



The only operation performed here is to take an initial condition from optimization (left) or at random (right), and evolve the field

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

Experimental setup: the wave flume

Artificial wave tanks are a great test bed to mimick realistic "rescaled" sea states

 Narrow-banded states: <u>NLS</u> <u>equation</u> is the governing equation at leading order:

$$\partial_x \psi + 2 \frac{k_0}{\omega_0} \partial_t \psi + i \frac{k_0}{\omega_0^2} \partial_t^2 \psi + 2ik_0^3 |\psi|^2 \psi = 0 \qquad t \in [0, T]$$



Generation of a rogue wave in a water tank and fit of the data using NLS, assessing the validity of the model. From Chabchoub et al, Phys. Rev. X (2012) ψ : complex envelope, relating to the real surface elevation η via the Stokes series

 $\eta = |\psi|\cos(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}k_0|\psi|^2\cos(2\theta) + \dots$

where $\theta = k_0 x - \omega_0 t + \varphi$ (φ is a phase)



270 m long wave flume (Marintek, Norway)

• Wave generator enforcing <u>random initial data</u> with Gaussian statistic and observational Jonswap spectrum

• Pick as <u>observable</u>: $f(\psi(L)) = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |\psi(L,t)|$

T.

Filtering of experimental extreme events

- At fixed x along the flume, select events exceeding threshold z
- Track the wave packet backward in space with group velocity $c_g = \frac{\omega_0}{2k_0}$
- Collect extreme events (centered at t = 0) and their precursors
- Compute the average extreme event and the standard deviation



Comparison: Experiment vs Instanton



Experiment vs Instanton: quasi-linear regime

Tending to the linear regime, the surface elevation is Gaussian.

- Numerical method converges to the covariance, inverse Fourier transform of the spectrum
- Analytical solution is available: retrieving Lindgren-Boccotti result*
- Past/future history found by evolving backward/forward the (NL) Schrödinger equation



* G Lindgren. Local maxima of Gaussian fields. In: Arkiv for matematik 10.1-2 (1972), pp. 195–218; P Boccotti. Wave mechanics for ocean engineering. Vol. 64. Elsevier (2000).

Experiment vs Instanton: highly-nonlinear regime

If nonlinearity is strong, approach the semi-classical regime of NLS

- Any single localised pulse on negligible background leads to emergence of Peregrine soliton[†]
- By scale invariance, this can be attained if peaks are large and focused enough for nonlinearity to rule over dispersion
- Envelope locally (both in space and time) converges to the Peregrine



[†] *M* Bertola and A Tovbis. Universality for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the gradient catastrophe point. CPAM 66.5 (2013), pp. 678–752.

A Tikan, et al. Universality of the Peregrine soliton in the focusing dynamics of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation. PRL 119, 033901 (2017).

A unifying picture of rogue waves



Quasi-linear regime

- Linear length scale: $L_{lin} = \omega_0^2 / (k_0 \Delta \omega^2)$
- Wave packet \simeq 9 m
- Linear superposition dominates

Highly-nonlinear regime

- Peregrine length scale: $L_{Per} = \sqrt{L_{lin}L_{nl}}$, where $L_{nl} = 8/(k_0^3 H_s^2)$ is the modulational instability scale
- Wave packet \simeq 65 m

Instanton interpolates smoothly between the two regimes!

Intermediate regimes show mixed linear and nonlinear features which are captured by the instanton

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

Framework for the problem in deep sea

Framework shift to a **stationary deep sea** (for simplicity: still 1D, narrow-banded, with observational JONSWAP spectrum) No well-defined initial condition like in the flume! What to do?

Bayesian-like framework

- Scale-separation assumption: spectrum is stationary on time/length scales where NLS is the governing equation
- Assume <u>Gaussian statistics</u> (and use this as prior) on the basis of Wave Turbulence theory + max entropy distribution
- Gaussian prior is inaccurate in the tail (like CLT valid only for core of distribution), supplementing it with the dynamics we approach the invariant distribution: posterior (for now, conjecture)
- Need for a posteriori consistency check

More challenging ground, but closer to the real interesting problem. Conceptual change, but same optimization problem

> - GD, T Grafke, & E Vanden-Eijnden. Rogue waves and large deviations in deep sea. PNAS, 115(5), 855-860 (2018)

Slow spectral dynamics on large scales



Snapshot of ECMWF prediction of a macroscopic state of the Northern Atlantic Ocean surface – from the app *Windy.com*

Modified NLS (Dysthe)

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x u + \frac{i}{8} \partial_x^2 u - \frac{1}{16} \partial_x^3 u + \frac{i}{2} |u|^2 u + \frac{3}{2} |u|^2 \partial_x u + \frac{1}{4} u^2 \partial_x \bar{u} - \frac{i}{2} |\partial_x| |u|^2 = 0$$

Dysthe KB (1979) Note on a modification to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation for application to deep water waves. *Proc R Soc Lond A* 369:105–114. Stiassnie M (1984) Note on the modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation for deep water waves. *Wave motion* 6:431–433.

Non-integrable. Instantons qualitatively similar to NLS but with asymmetry



LDT estimate of the tails

- Want to estimate $\mathbb{P}(\max_{x} |\psi(x, T)| \geq z)$
- Prior inaccurate in the tail, with the dynamics we approach the invariant distribution: can observe convergence to invariant state after a transient in agreement with Peregrine time scale!
- LDT estimate: great agreement with MC sampling, but gain in efficiency: statistics of rare events dominated by single realizations: instantons



 $H_s = 3.3 \text{ m}$ $T_{Per} \simeq 18 \text{ min}$ $H_s = 8.2 \text{ m}$ $T_{Per} \simeq 8 \text{ min}$

Consistency check: spectral invariance during evolution



Statistics in large space-time domains

- Initial gaussian distribution tends to the invariant distribution as the observation time increases (prior → posterior).
- This can be used to extend the statistics of extremes to a wider space-time domain, through a boxing argument

 $\mathbb{P}(\max_{(t,x)\in\mathcal{D}}|u(t,x)| > z) \ \sim 1 - [1 - \mathbb{P}(|u| > z)]^{N_{\mathcal{D}}}, \ \operatorname{dove} N_{\mathcal{D}} = |\mathcal{D}|/(\lambda_c \tau_c)$



Fixed-point statistics



Accounting for entropic effect



Nice interplay with statistics of extrema:

- LDT: provides the effective mechanism and likelihood
- Statistics of extrema: straightforward extension of results to domains of arbitrary size

Outline

- Introduction
- Large Deviation Theory: fundamentals
- Computation of instantons in wave systems
- Experimental instantons in a wave flume
- Rogue waves in a real ocean?
- Outlook: future directions and applications

Application to other wave equations

KdV past a step



Shallow water equations for tsunami prediction



Tong, S., Vanden-Eijnden, E., & Stadler, G. (2021). Extreme event probability estimation using PDE-constrained optimization and large deviation theory, with application to tsunamis. *Communications in Applied Mathematics and Computational Science*, *16*(2), 181-225.

Extension of "LDP" for extreme events to include second-order prefactor correction

Extending to 2 dimensions (linear) (from MS thesis by Alessandro Falchi)



2D linear case



Instantons in a 2D nonlinear evolution? to be done (see works by Fedele extending quasi-determinism to nonlinear bound modes)

Generalize to random parameters / adjoint method

$$E(u, \theta) = I(\theta) - \lambda f(u(T))),$$

$$\partial_t u = b(u, \theta), \qquad u(t = 0) = u_0(\theta).$$

Now the dynamics itself can depend on random parameters, not only ICs

$$\nabla_{\theta} E(u(T,\theta),\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} I - \lambda J^{\top}(T,\theta) \,\partial_{u} f(u(T,\theta))$$

 $\partial_t J = \partial_u b J + \partial_\theta b, \qquad J(0) = \nabla_\theta u_0.$

Direct method

• J evolution: dim(u) x dim(θ)

$$\nabla_{\theta} E = \nabla_{\theta} I - (\nabla_{\theta} u_0)^{\top} p(0, \theta) - \int_0^T (\partial_{\theta} b)^{\top} p \, dt$$
$$\partial_t p = -(\partial_u b)^{\top} p, \qquad p(T, \theta) = \lambda \partial_u f(u(T, \theta))$$

Adjoint method

p evolution: dim(u) !!

All technical details in: GD et al. 2019 and Tong et al. 2021

Random parameters in the dynamics: e.g. variable-depth wave propagation in coastal waters? Optimal bathymetry for rogue wave formation?



Picture from

Li Y and Chabchoub A (2023). On the formation of coastal rogue waves in water of variable depth. *Cambridge Prisms: Coastal Futures*, **1**, e33, 1–7

see also e.g.

Majda AJ, Moore MN, Qi D. Statistical dynamical model to predict extreme events and anomalous features in shallow water waves with abrupt depth change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2019 Mar 5;116(10):3982-7.

Application in nonlinear optics



Fig. 6: Local temperature increase induced by extreme waves.

a 15 input *b* input *c* 10 10 10 100 200 300 400 500 600 input beam *i*

Pierangeli, D., Perini, G., Palmieri, V. et al. Extreme transport of light in spheroids of tumor cells. Nat Commun 14, 4662 (2023)



Experimental observation of optical rogue waves in nonlinear fiber optics. NLS governing dynamics

> Optical rogue waves studied as potential treatment. Tumor cells illuminated by randomly modulated laser beams. Need for control of energy delivered by focused beams: Could be posed as optimization problem?



DG, Grafke T, Vanden-Eijnden E. Extreme event quantification in dynamical systems with random components. SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification. 2019;7(3):1029-59.



Instantons and LDP shown to be highly relevant. Promising application not really exploited so far

Rigorous LDP

Communications on

PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

(small nonlinearity regime)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Large deviations principle for the cubic NLS equation

Miguel Angel Garrido, Ricardo Grande 🔀, Kristin M. Kurianski, Gigliola Staffilani

First published: 21 July 2023 | https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.22131

Theorem 1.1 (Large Deviation Principle). Consider the NLS equation on the circle $\mathbb{T} = [0, 2\pi]$:

(1.9) $\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \varepsilon^2 |u|^2 u\\ u(0,x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \eta_k e^{ikx} \end{cases}$

with initial data as in (1.8). Consider the probability of seeing a large wave of height $z(\varepsilon) := z_0 \varepsilon^{-1/2} > 0$ at time t > 0 and fixed $z_0 > 0$. If $t \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$ we have that

(1.10)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}} |u(t,x)| > z_0 \,\varepsilon^{-1/2}\right) = -\frac{z_0^2}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^2}$$

Theorem 1.9. Consider the set $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ given by (1.12)-(1.13). Then $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ satisfies the same LDP, (1.10), as the set of rogue waves (1.11). Moreover, $\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon)$ is almost entirely contained in the set $\mathcal{D}(t, z_0 \varepsilon^{-1/2} - \varepsilon)$. More precisely,

(1.14)
$$\log \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon) - \mathcal{D}(t, z_0 \varepsilon^{-1/2} - \varepsilon)\right) \lesssim -\exp(c\varepsilon^{-1/2})) \quad as \ \varepsilon \to 0^+.$$

Rigorous LDP with "speed" given by smallness parameter ε (proof uses Gärtner-Ellis)

"All extreme waves larger than z look like the optimizer (instanton)"

Thank you for your attention!