Arctic Climate Simulations with the ICON Model

Comparison with Reanalyses and Observations, with a Focus on Intrusion Events



Jan Landwehrs¹, Raphael Köhler, Sofie Tiedeck, Ralf Jaiser, Sonja Murto and Annette Rinke ¹Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Atmospheric Physics, Potsdam, Germany ICRC-CORDEX International Conference on Regional Climate, 28.09.2023





Arctic climate simulations with the ICON model:

- 1) Climatologic evaluation & storyline projections (PolarRES WP3)
- 2) Warm Air Intrusions during April 2020 and comparison with MOSAiC observations (PolarRES WP4)
- 3) Tracking Moist Air Intrusions



ICON - ICOsahedral Nonhydrostatic model



- from DWD, MPI-M Hamburg
- v2.6.6, on DKRZ Levante
- limited-area mode \rightarrow forcing:
 - ERA5 / CMIP6 GCM (incl. SST, SIC)
 - grid point nudging









WP3 – Arctic storyline simulations @ WP3

- ensemble, ~11km resolution
- evaluation for 2000-2021: ERA5 boundary forcing (3 hourly)
- storyline projections until 2100: downscaling
 ≥2 CMIP6 GCMs (SSP3-70 scenario)
- Arctic storyline predictors:
 - Polar Amplification
 - Barents-Kara-Sea Warming
- up to hourly output at ESGF for users!



(from Xavier Levine)



Evaluation: T_{2m}



ICON vs. GHCNm station data and CARRA reanalysis

• winter:

- cool over sea ice
- warm Siberia

pan-Arctic CARRA2 will arrive 2025-2026



10

-10 ~

-20

-30

-40

T_{2m} (°C) DJF 2000-2021



T_{2m} (°C) JJA 2000-2021







5 PolarRES

*Note: CARRA West data only 2000-2001

Evaluation: T_{2m}

• ICON vs. ASRv2 reanalysis



 ICON vs. CARRA reanalysis, locally





Evaluation: Precipitation



ICON: close to ERA5, domain boundary effects



Snow fraction (%) DJF

Evaluation: snow cover

- ICON vs. CryoClim satellite product (from ESA-CCI Snow)
 - snow cover less dense, except in winter



Evaluation: various

• we tried:

- satellite products:

CLARA-A3, ESA-CCI Clouds / Vapor, CERES EBAF, CMEMS Arctic Ocean Surface Temperature

- in-situ observations:
 MOSAIC, IABP, ICOADS
- reanalyses:

ERA5, CARRA, ASRv2, MERRA2

• What's useful / reliable for the Arctic (winter)?



Evaluation: T_{2m} local timeseries



10

MOSAiC 2020-04 Warm and Moist Air Intrusions, (MAD) IVT Objects 2020-04-11T00

- 2 extreme warm events, different circulation patterns:
 - WAI#1: April 16,
 - from Eurasian continent, aerosol-rich
 - WAI#2: April 19, from Atlantic







- massive increases in moisture
- ICON:
 - IWV good during intrusions
 - low







- rapid surface warming by ca. +30K
- captured also in ICON





- identify IVT anomaly patches
- Track their movement and evolution
 → Life Cycle Events
- Arctic extreme events (surface energy balance and temperature ...)

ICON, MOAAP IVT Objects 2020-04-11T00



Feature Tracking Tools

tARget (Guan, Waliser, Ralph 2023)

• sophisticated Atmospheric River tracking (e.g. Lauer et al. 2023)

MOOAP (Prein, Mooney and Done 2023, in review)

- versatile tracking suite (fronts, ARs, cyclones, MCS ...)
- modifications:
 - − IVT threshold >100 kg/(m*s) & >85th percentile \rightarrow varying in space and by month

Atmospheric Rivers and IVT at 2020-04-19T12 (a) ERA5 IVT, Gusphapute object, width المجمع المانان العامي المحمد المحمد (ARs should be elongated objects)







Sidenote: MOAAP tracking works more nicely on PolarRES rotated grid (without periodicity across 0° lon.)





spatial footprint of Δ IVT LCEs

Timing of 2020-04-16 WAI









• ΔIVT LCE over central Arctic sea ice

•

• arrow indicates movement (between centers during first/last 24 hours)



(outside of footprint: average over duration of the event)



10

8

6 V

2

Timeseries over the IVT Event

Temporal evolution of MOSAiC WAI#1

over sea ice:

- ~5 days duration
- largest area on April 16-17





Tracking Moisture Intrusion Events AVI ... do the same for WAI#2





\rightarrow crossing the entire Arctic!



... do the same for many events





Tracking WAIs

- moisture transport pathways in ∆IVT LCEs
- with tracking: in different Arctic regions: How much from Atlantic / Pacific / Eurasia / North America?



or one map each, average how much of events reaching each point in central Arctic has been over Atlantic/Pacific/Eurasia/NorthAmerica?



Tracking – Ideas?

characteristics of the ΔIVT LCEs

- size, lifetime, splitting/merging, speed
- Common corridors (of moisture transport)? Atlantic vs. Pacific?
 - Relation to children regimes Mar-Jun 1979-2020 NAO+ SCA+ ATL- NAO- $\int_{0}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{0}^{0$

processes within these (extreme) events

- energy balance, temperature, moisture flux / precipitation, clouds
- general vertical / horizontal structure
- What happens with the moisture?

Restrict to sea ice and winter?

Future changes?



Summary



- PolarRES is producing an ensemble of ~11km resolution polar climate simulations for the 21st century
 - for users / applications!
 - ICON works decently, but challenges (winter, sea ice, clouds, snow, sparse observations)
- April 2020 warm air intrusion case studies
 - evaluation of model ensemble against MOSAiC observations
 - ICON (nudged to ERA5) can do better than ERA5 itself, but cloud and snow/ice processes remain challenging
- we can track moisture intrusions, based on hourly IVT
 - assess characteristics of Life-Cycle Events, and their impacts





Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgments:

All the colleagues from PolarRES, AWI and DWD / ICON / CLM-Community!







ICON set-ups:

- pan-Arctic domain, ~11km
- MOSAiC domain, ~2.1km
 - options:
 - deep convection parameterization off (but shallow convection)
 - 2 moment cloud microphysics scheme
 - CCN scenarios (maritime / continental / polluted / intermediate)

ICON T_{2m} at 2020-04-19T12





MOSAiC 2020-04 WAIs

- vertical T structure
- ICON set-ups work
- hi-res set-up:
 - better T, but surface warm bias



Air Temperature at MOSAiC 2020-04 (°C) (d) ICON 2km_2mom -- ICON 11km (d) ICON 2km_2mom -- ICON 11km (e) ICON 11km -- Obs. (e) ICON 11km -- Obs.



2

0

-2

WP4 model intercomparison:

• HCLIM model

Oskar Landgren, Filip Severin von der Lippe ...

- 2.5km resolution
- CAMS near-realtime aerosol input
- UM-UKCA aerosol input



Altitude [km]



WP4 model intercomparison:

• UM-UKCA

Ruth Price, Ella Gilbert, Andrew Orr...

- 2.5km resolution
- 2-moment cloud microphysics with cloud droplet nucleation and wet scavenging coupled to UKCA aerosol microphysics







Cloud ice & water

- spatiotempora
 l structure well
 captured
- cloud formation in intrusions
 - high ice
 concentrati
 ons not in
 models





not all liquid



Cloud ice & water

- spatiotemporal structure well captured
- cloud formation in intrusions
 - high ice concentrations not in models
 - not all liquid water in ICON











ICON:

- misses LWD increase at onset of WAI#2
- due to missing low-level liquid clouds (?)





Do cloud differences explain (some) SEB differences?





Effect of intrusions on surface energy balance?

- positive SEB anomaly of WAI#1 underestimated (why?)
- ERA5 overestimates SEB in both events







Effect of intrusions on surface energy balance?

- → ERA5 overestimates SEB in both events
- strongly positive Sensible Heat Flux anomalies
 - no insulating snow layer on sea ice
 - ice surface responds slowly and remains cold
- ICON: also no snow-on-ice
 - sensitive to sea ice tuning parameters







further work within PolarRES:

- comparing model ensemble with extensive MOSAiC data
- analyses with ICON
 - domain and resolution
 - artifacts in 2km domain; need nesting?
 - cloud microphysics settings
 - 2 moment scheme \rightarrow thicker clouds?
 - surface energy balance and temperature extremes in WAIs



- cloud water+ice reflects IVT / IWV
- cloud cover high, but not homogeneously
- precipitation: different pattern than IVT / IWV / Cloud water+ice





- Mean / net surface longwave: elevated where clouds
- T_{2m}: advected warm air + cloud effects (?)



Temporal evolution of MOSAiC WAI#1

over sea ice:

 mean IVT, IWV (TQV), Cloud
 Water+Ice path
 peak on April 15

