The Poisson boundary of hyperbolic groups without moment conditions

> Giulio Tiozzo University of Toronto

From KAM to ETF: in honor of Stefano Marmi October 12, 2023



1. The Poisson representation formula - classical case



- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups

Summary

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The old technique: entropy and log moment

Summary

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The old technique: entropy and log moment
- 4. The new technique: "pin down" approximation

Summary

- 1. The Poisson representation formula classical case
- 2. Poisson representation for groups
- 3. The old technique: entropy and log moment
- 4. The new technique: "pin down" approximation

joint with K. Chawla, B. Forghani, and J. Frisch.

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$

Theorem (Poisson representation) There is a bijection

 $h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad L^{\infty}(S^{1}, \lambda)$

Theorem Given an integrable function $f: S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$,

Theorem

Given an integrable function $f:S^1\to\mathbb{R},$ the function $u:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{R}$

Theorem

Given an integrable function $f:S^1\to\mathbb{R},$ the function $u:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta - \mathrm{t}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $\Delta u = 0$.

Theorem

Given an integrable function $f:S^1\to\mathbb{R},$ the function $u:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta - \mathrm{t}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $\Delta u = 0$. Here,

$$P_{r}(\theta) := \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r\cos\theta}$$

is the Poisson kernel.

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson) There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{h}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{h}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \rightarrow$ boundary values

$$f(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \xi} u(z)$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{h}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{L}^\infty(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \rightarrow$ boundary values

$$f(\xi) := \lim_{z \to \xi} u(z)$$

 \blacktriangleright \leftarrow Poisson integral

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta - \mathrm{t}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}$$

$$h^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) := \{ u : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded } : \Delta u = 0 \}$$

Theorem (Poisson)

There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{h}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 \blacktriangleright \rightarrow boundary values (probabilistic interpretation)

$$f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}(\lim_{z_t \to \xi} u(z_t))$$

where z_t is Brownian motion

 \blacktriangleright \leftarrow Poisson integral

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta - \mathrm{t}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}}) \,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}$$

The Poisson representation formula - II Theorem (Poisson) There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{h}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{L}^\infty(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda)$$

 \blacktriangleright \rightarrow boundary values (probabilistic interpretation)

$$f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}(\lim_{z_t \to \xi} u(z_t))$$

where z_t is Brownian motion



Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H}).$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$,

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$,

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=\frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$g_a'(z):=\frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}'(\mathrm{z}):=\frac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{dg_a}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t})$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{z}):=rac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

$$rac{\mathrm{dg_a}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) = \left|\mathrm{g_a'}(\mathrm{e^{it}})
ight|$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{z}) \coloneqq rac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

$$rac{\mathrm{dg}_\mathrm{a}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) = \left|\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}})
ight| = rac{1-\mathrm{r}^2}{|1-\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t}- heta)}|^2}$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{z}) := rac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) = \left|\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}})
ight| = rac{1-\mathrm{r}^2}{|1-\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t}- heta)}|^2} = \mathrm{P}_\mathrm{r}(heta-\mathrm{t})$$

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{z}) := rac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) = \left|\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}'(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{t}})\right| = \frac{1-\mathrm{r}^2}{|1-\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t}-\theta)}|^2} = \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta-\mathrm{t})$$

RN derivative of boundary action

Recall $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) = Isom^+(\mathbb{H})$. Given $a = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, consider

$$g_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

so that $g_a(a) = 0$, hence

$$\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{a}'(\mathrm{z}) := rac{1-|\mathrm{a}|^2}{(1-\overline{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{z})^2}$$

and setting $z = e^{it}$ we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g_{\mathrm{a}}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(t) = \left|g_{\mathrm{a}}'(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t})\right| = \frac{1-\mathrm{r}^2}{|1-\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\theta)}|^2} = \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta-t)$$

RN derivative of boundary action = Poisson kernel

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{a}) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}) rac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) \; \mathrm{d}\lambda(\mathrm{t})$$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{a}) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}) rac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) \; \mathrm{d}\lambda(\mathrm{t})$$

Finally if $\xi = e^{it}$

Recall the Poisson formula

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) P_r(\theta - t) dt$$

Setting $a = re^{i\theta}$, $d\lambda = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$

$$\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{a}) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}) rac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{a}}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\mathrm{t}) \; \mathrm{d}\lambda(\mathrm{t})$$

Finally if $\xi = e^{it}$

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \, dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$

Theorem (Poisson representation) If $f: L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \, dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} .
The Poisson representation formula - IV

Theorem (Poisson representation) If $f: L^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u(a) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \, dg_a \lambda(\xi)$$

is harmonic on \mathbb{D} .

Question. Can we generalize this to other groups $G \neq PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$?

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G.

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G. Definition A function $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G. Definition A function $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_{G} u(gh) d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G. Definition

A function $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_{G} u(gh) \, d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let B be a space on which G acts (measurably).

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G. Definition

A function $u: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ -harmonic if

$$u(g) = \int_{G} u(gh) \, d\mu(h)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Let B be a space on which G acts (measurably). A measure ν on B is $\mu\text{-stationary}$ if

$$u = \int_{\mathcal{G}} \mathrm{g} \nu \, \mathrm{d} \mu(\mathrm{g}).$$

Random walks and $\mu\text{-}\mathrm{boundaries}$

Let μ be a prob. measure on G.

Let μ be a prob. measure on G. Consider the random walk

Let μ be a prob. measure on G. Consider the random walk

 $w_n \mathrel{\mathop:}= g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

Let μ be a prob. measure on G. Consider the random walk

 $w_n \mathrel{\mathop:}= g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

Let μ be a prob. measure on G. Consider the random walk

 $w_n \mathrel{\mathop:}= g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

We denote $\Omega := (w_n)$ the space of sample paths, and $T((w_n)) := (w_{n+1})$ is the shift on Ω .

Let μ be a prob. measure on G. Consider the random walk

 $w_n \mathrel{\mathop:}= g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$

where (g_i) are i.i.d. with distribution μ .

We denote $\Omega := (w_n)$ the space of sample paths, and $T((w_n)) := (w_{n+1})$ is the shift on Ω .

Definition

A space (B, ν) is a μ -boundary if there exists a measurable map

$$\mathrm{bnd}:\Omega\to\mathrm{B}$$

such that $bnd = bnd \circ T$.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Suppose that G < Isom(X, d) a metric space, and that X has a "bordification" $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$. Let $o \in X$ a base point.



In most situations,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}w_no\in\partial X$

Then we define the hitting measure

$$u(A) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in A)$$

Then we define the hitting measure

$$\nu(A) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in A)$$

which is μ -stationary.

Then we define the hitting measure

$$\nu(A) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in A)$$

which is μ -stationary.



Then we define the hitting measure

$$\nu(A) := \mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in A)$$

which is μ -stationary.



Moreover, $(\partial X, \nu)$ is a μ -boundary, given by the map $\Omega \ni \operatorname{bnd}(\omega) := \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n o \in \partial X$

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi : L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \to H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi : L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \to H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_{f}(g) := \int_{B} f \, \mathrm{d}g\nu$$

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi : L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \to H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{g}) := \int_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{g} \nu$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,\nu) \to H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi : L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \to H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{g}) := \int_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{g} \nu$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,\nu) \to H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Corollary

Poisson boundary is trivial (= 1 point) \Leftrightarrow bounded harmonic functions are constant

Let G be a (lcsc) group, μ a probability measure on G, let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

The Poisson transform $\Phi : L^{\infty}(B, \nu) \to H^{\infty}(G, \mu)$ is

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{g}) := \int_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{g} \nu$$

Definition

A μ -boundary (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if Φ is an isomorphism

$$L^{\infty}(B,\nu) \to H^{\infty}(G,\mu)$$

Corollary

Poisson boundary is trivial (= 1 point) \Leftrightarrow bounded harmonic functions are constant

Examples. Abelian groups; nilpotent groups

Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

(Some) History.
Let G < Isom(X,d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

(Some) History.

▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups

Let G < Isom(X,d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- ▶ Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- ▶ Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- ▶ Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- ▶ Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- ▶ Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- ▶ Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- ▶ Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- ▶ Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- ▶ Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups
- Horbez '16 : $Out(F_n)$

Let G < Isom(X, d) be a group, μ a measure on G, ν the hitting measure on ∂X .

Question. Is $(\partial X, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for (G, μ) ?

- ▶ Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- ▶ Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- ▶ Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- ▶ Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- ▶ Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- ▶ Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups
- Horbez '16 : $Out(F_n)$
- ▶ Maher-T. '18: Cremona group

Hyperbolic spaces

A metric space (X, d) is <u>hyperbolic</u> if there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t.

 $[x,z]\subseteq N_{\delta}([x,y])\cup N_{\delta}([y,z]) \qquad {\rm for \ any} \ x,y,z\in X$



Hyperbolic spaces

A metric space (X,d) is <u>hyperbolic</u> if there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t.

 $[x,z]\subseteq N_{\delta}([x,y])\cup N_{\delta}([y,z]) \qquad {\rm for \ any} \ x,y,z\in X$



- A group is hyperbolic if a Cayley graph of G is. E.g.:
 - ▶ free groups
 - ► (non-elementary) Fuchsian groups
 - ▶ fundamental groups of negatively curved manifolds

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space.

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$.

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$.

Two geodesic rays γ_1 , γ_2 based at x_0 are equivalent if

 $\sup_{t \geqslant 0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty.$

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Two geodesic rays γ_1 , γ_2 based at x_0 are equivalent if

 $\sup_{t \geqslant 0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty.$

Definition We define the Gromov boundary of X as

 $\partial X := \{\gamma \text{ geodesic rays based at } x_0\} / \sim$

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Two geodesic rays γ_1 , γ_2 based at x_0 are equivalent if

 $\sup_{t \geqslant 0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty.$

Definition We define the Gromov boundary of X as

 $\partial X := \{\gamma \text{ geodesic rays based at } x_0\} / \sim$

Example

Examples of Gromov boundaries.

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Two geodesic rays γ_1 , γ_2 based at x_0 are equivalent if

 $\sup_{t \geqslant 0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty.$

Definition We define the Gromov boundary of X as

 $\partial X := \{\gamma \text{ geodesic rays based at } x_0\} / \sim$

Example

Examples of Gromov boundaries.

•
$$X = \mathbb{R}$$
 and $\partial X = \{-\infty, +\infty\}.$

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Two geodesic rays γ_1 , γ_2 based at x_0 are equivalent if

 $\sup_{t \geqslant 0} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty.$

Definition We define the Gromov boundary of X as

 $\partial X := \{\gamma \text{ geodesic rays based at } x_0\} / \sim$

Example

Examples of Gromov boundaries.

•
$$X = \mathbb{R}$$
 and $\partial X = \{-\infty, +\infty\}.$

• $X = \mathbb{R} \times \{-1, +1\}$ and $\partial X = \{-\infty, +\infty\}$.

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G.

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G. The (Avez) entropy is

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu) := -\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})$$

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G. The (Avez) entropy is

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu) := -\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})$$

The asymptotic (Avez) entropy is

$$h(\mu) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G. The (Avez) entropy is

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu) := -\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})$$

The asymptotic (Avez) entropy is

$$h(\mu) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$.

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G. The (Avez) entropy is

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu) := -\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})$$

The asymptotic (Avez) entropy is

$$h(\mu) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$. We say μ has finite entropy if

 $H(\mu) < +\infty$

Let μ be a measure on a countable group G. The (Avez) entropy is

$$\mathrm{H}(\mu) := -\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})$$

The asymptotic (Avez) entropy is

$$h(\mu) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{n}$$

where $\mu_n(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g)$. We say μ has finite entropy if

 $H(\mu) < +\infty$

We say μ has finite logarithmic moment if

$$\sum_{g \in G} \log^+ |g| \ \mu(g) < +\infty.$$

Theorem (Kaimanovich '93)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment.

Theorem (Kaimanovich '93)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment. Then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is the Gromov boundary (∂ G, ν).

Theorem (Kaimanovich '93)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment. Then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is the Gromov boundary (∂ G, ν).

Same techniques applied to many other "hyperbolic-like" groups:

- relatively hyperbolic groups
- ► CAT(0) groups
- ▶ right-angled Artin groups
- mapping class groups

► ...

Theorem (Chawla, Forghani, Frisch, T '22)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment.

Theorem (Chawla, Forghani, Frisch, T '22)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment. Then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is the Gromov boundary (∂ G, ν).

Theorem (Chawla, Forghani, Frisch, T '22)

Let μ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G, with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment. Then the Poisson boundary of (G, μ) is the Gromov boundary (∂ G, ν).

Same techniques applied to many other "hyperbolic-like" groups:

- relatively hyperbolic groups
- ► CAT(0) groups
- ▶ right-angled Artin groups
- mapping class groups

► ...

Conditional entropy

Fix $\xi \in \partial G$. Consider

$$\mu_{n,\xi}(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g \mid w_\infty = \xi)$$

Conditional entropy

Fix $\xi \in \partial G$. Consider

$$\mu_{n,\xi}(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g \mid w_\infty = \xi)$$

Definition

The conditional entropy of the random walk with respect to ξ is

$$h(\xi) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_{n,\xi})}{n}$$

Conditional entropy

Fix $\xi \in \partial G$. Consider

$$\mu_{n,\xi}(g) := \mathbb{P}(w_n = g \mid w_\infty = \xi)$$

Definition

The conditional entropy of the random walk with respect to ξ is

$$h(\xi) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(\mu_{n,\xi})}{n}$$

Theorem (Entropy criterion, Kaimanovich) Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary. Then (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary if and only if

$$h(\xi) = 0$$

for ν -almost every $\xi \in B$.

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Idea: let us add more information, but not too much.

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Idea: let us add <u>more</u> information, but not too much.

Lemma

Let (P_n) be a sequence of partitions.

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Idea: let us add <u>more</u> information, but not too much.

Lemma

Let (P_n) be a sequence of partitions. If:

1. For a.e.
$$\xi \in \mathcal{B}$$
,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(A_n \mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$$
Pin down approximation

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Idea: let us add <u>more</u> information, but not too much.

Lemma

Let (P_n) be a sequence of partitions. If:

1. For a.e.
$$\xi \in \mathcal{B}$$
,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(A_n \mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$$

2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Pin down approximation

Let A_n be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, ν) be a μ -boundary.

Idea: let us add more information, but not too much.

Lemma

2.

Let (P_n) be a sequence of partitions. If:

1. For a.e.
$$\xi \in \mathbf{B}$$
,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(A_n \mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(P_n)}{n} = 0$$

then (B, ν) is the Poisson boundary.

Consider the free semigroup $F_2^+ = \langle a, b \rangle$ in two generators.



Consider the free semigroup $F_2^+ = \langle a, b \rangle$ in two generators. The geometric boundary is the space of infinite words in a, b.



Let P_n be the partition given by specifying the distance from the origin: $d: F_2^+ \to \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} = \bigsqcup_{\mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \omega \ : \ \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}) = \mathrm{k} \}$$



Toy example: the free semigroup 1. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{H(A_n\mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$

Toy example: the free semigroup 1. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{H(A_n\mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$ Proof.

Toy example: the free semigroup 1. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(A_n \mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$

Proof. Given a boundary point and the distance from the origin, we pin down the location of w_n exactly.



1.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(A_n \mid P_n \text{ and } \xi)}{n} = 0$$

Proof. Given a boundary point and the distance from the origin, we pin down the location of w_n exactly.



so $H(A_n | P_n \text{ and } \xi) = 0$

Check the second condition:

2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Check the second condition:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Proof. The map

2.

$$d:F_2^+\to \mathbb{N}$$

is a group homomorphism.

Check the second condition:

2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Proof. The map

$$d:F_2^+\to\mathbb{N}$$

is a group homomorphism.

The random walk on \mathbb{F}_2^+ pushes forward to a random walk on \mathbb{N} .

Check the second condition:

2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Proof. The map

$$d:F_2^+\to\mathbb{N}$$

is a group homomorphism.

The random walk on \mathbf{F}_2^+ pushes forward to a random walk on $\mathbb{N}.$

Let $\theta := d_* \mu$ on \mathbb{N} .

Check the second condition:

2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{H(P_n)}{n}=0$$

Proof. The map

$$d:F_2^+\to\mathbb{N}$$

is a group homomorphism.

The random walk on F_2^+ pushes forward to a random walk on \mathbb{N} .

Let $\theta := d_*\mu$ on \mathbb{N} .

Since the Poisson boundary of abelian (semi)-groups is trivial,

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}})}{\mathrm{n}} = \frac{\mathrm{H}(\theta^{*\mathrm{n}})}{\mathrm{n}} = 0$$

 \Rightarrow End of proof for free semigroup

The end



Tanti auguri caro Stefano!

For general groups, the needed pin down partition \mathbf{P}_{n} is more involved.

For general groups, the needed pin down partition \mathbf{P}_{n} is more involved.

1. The distance from the origin is NOT enough to pin down the location. This is because the random walk can backtrack.

For general groups, the needed pin down partition \mathbf{P}_{n} is more involved.

- 1. The distance from the origin is NOT enough to pin down the location. This is because the random walk can backtrack.
- 2. However, by Gouëzel's "pivot theory", the walk lies close to the limit geodesic quite often good times.

For general groups, the needed pin down partition \mathbf{P}_{n} is more involved.

- 1. The distance from the origin is NOT enough to pin down the location. This is because the random walk can backtrack.
- 2. However, by Gouëzel's "pivot theory", the walk lies close to the limit geodesic quite often good times. Correct partition $P_n = D_n \vee B_n$:
 - ▶ D_n, distance along the good times
 - B_n , <u>all</u> of the walk between good times

For general groups, the needed pin down partition $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is more involved.

- 1. The distance from the origin is NOT enough to pin down the location. This is because the random walk can backtrack.
- 2. However, by Gouëzel's "pivot theory", the walk lies close to the limit geodesic quite often good times. Correct partition $P_n = D_n \vee B_n$:
 - ▶ D_n, distance along the good times
 - B_n , <u>all</u> of the walk between good times

Key insight: since good times appear often, the entropy

 $H(A_n \mid D_n \text{ and } B_n \text{ and } \xi)$

is still small!

 \Rightarrow Proof for all hyperbolic-like groups