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$$
\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta-\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}}\right) \mathrm{dt}
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is harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, i.e. $\Delta u=0$. Here,

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}(\theta):=\frac{1-\mathrm{r}^{2}}{1+\mathrm{r}^{2}-2 \mathrm{r} \cos \theta}
$$

is the Poisson kernel.
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$$
u(a)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(\xi) \operatorname{dg}_{\mathrm{a}} \lambda(\xi)
$$

## The Poisson representation formula - IV

Theorem (Poisson representation)
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Theorem (Poisson representation)
If $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\partial \mathbb{D}, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then
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is harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$.
Question. Can we generalize this to other groups $\mathrm{G} \neq \mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ?
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We denote $\Omega:=\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ the space of sample paths, and $\mathrm{T}\left(\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right):=\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ is the shift on $\Omega$.

Definition
A space $(\mathrm{B}, \nu)$ is a $\mu$-boundary if there exists a measurable map

$$
\text { bnd : } \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{B}
$$

such that bnd $=$ bnd $\circ \mathrm{T}$.
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The Poisson transform $\Phi: \mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\mathrm{B}, \nu) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{G}, \mu)$ is

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{~g}):=\int_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f} \operatorname{dg} \nu
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## Definition

A $\mu$-boundary ( $\mathrm{B}, \nu$ ) is the Poisson boundary if $\Phi$ is an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\mathrm{B}, \nu) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\infty}(\mathrm{G}, \mu)
$$

## Corollary

Poisson boundary is trivial (= $=1$ point) $\Leftrightarrow$ bounded harmonic functions are constant
Examples. Abelian groups; nilpotent groups
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## Identification of the Poisson boundary

Let $\mathrm{G}<\operatorname{Isom}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{d})$ be a group, $\mu$ a measure on $\mathrm{G}, \nu$ the hitting measure on $\partial \mathrm{X}$.

Question. Is $(\partial \mathrm{X}, \nu)$ the Poisson boundary for $(\mathrm{G}, \mu)$ ?
(Some) History.

- Furstenberg '63: semisimple Lie groups
- Kaimanovich '94: hyperbolic groups
- Karlsson-Margulis '99: isometries of CAT(0) spaces
- Kaimanovich-Masur '99: mapping class group
- Bader-Shalom '06: isometries of affine buildings
- Gautero-Mathèus '12: relatively hyperbolic groups
- Horbez '16: Out( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )
- Maher-T. '18: Cremona group


## Hyperbolic spaces
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A group is hyperbolic if a Cayley graph of G is. E.g.:

- free groups
- (non-elementary) Fuchsian groups
- fundamental groups of negatively curved manifolds
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## The Gromov boundary

Let X be a hyperbolic, proper, metric space.
Fix a base point $\mathrm{x}_{0} \in \mathrm{X}$.
Two geodesic rays $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ based at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ are equivalent if

$$
\sup _{t \geqslant 0} d\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \gamma_{2}(t)\right)<\infty .
$$

Definition
We define the Gromov boundary of X as

$$
\partial \mathrm{X}:=\left\{\gamma \text { geodesic rays based at } \mathrm{x}_{0}\right\} / \sim
$$

Example
Examples of Gromov boundaries.

- $\mathrm{X}=\mathbb{R}$ and $\partial \mathrm{X}=\{-\infty,+\infty\}$.
- $\mathrm{X}=\mathbb{R} \times\{-1,+1\}$ and $\partial \mathrm{X}=\{-\infty,+\infty\}$.
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Let $\mu$ be a measure on a countable group G . The (Avez) entropy is

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mu):=-\sum_{\mathrm{g}} \mu(\mathrm{~g}) \log \mu(\mathrm{g})
$$

The asymptotic (Avez) entropy is

$$
\mathrm{h}(\mu):=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\mathrm{n}}
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where $\mu_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{g}):=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g}\right)$.
We say $\mu$ has finite entropy if

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mu)<+\infty
$$

We say $\mu$ has finite logarithmic moment if

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{g} \in \mathrm{G}} \log ^{+}|\mathrm{g}| \mu(\mathrm{g})<+\infty
$$
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## Poisson boundaries of hyperbolic groups

Theorem (Chawla, Forghani, Frisch, T '22)
Let $\mu$ be a generating measure on a hyperbolic group G , with finite entropy and finite logarithmic moment. Then the Poisson boundary of $(\mathrm{G}, \mu)$ is the Gromov boundary ( $\partial \mathrm{G}, \nu$ ).

Same techniques applied to many other "hyperbolic-like" groups:

- relatively hyperbolic groups
- CAT(0) groups
- right-angled Artin groups
- mapping class groups
- ...
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Fix $\xi \in \partial \mathrm{G}$. Consider

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{n}, \xi}(\mathrm{~g}):=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{g} \mid \mathrm{w}_{\infty}=\xi\right)
$$

Definition
The conditional entropy of the random walk with respect to $\xi$ is

$$
\mathrm{h}(\xi):=\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{H}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{n}, \xi}\right)}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Theorem (Entropy criterion, Kaimanovich)
Let ( $\mathrm{B}, \nu$ ) be a $\mu$-boundary. Then ( $\mathrm{B}, \nu$ ) is the Poisson boundary if and only if

$$
h(\xi)=0
$$

for $\nu$-almost every $\xi \in \mathrm{B}$.
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Let $A_{n}$ be the partition of sample path space given by fixing the nth step of the random walk. Let (B, $\nu$ ) be a $\mu$-boundary.
Idea: let us add more information, but not too much.
Lemma
Let $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be a sequence of partitions. If:

1. For a.e. $\xi \in B$,

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { and } \xi\right)}{\mathrm{n}}=0
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2. 

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\mathrm{n}}=0
$$

then $(\mathrm{B}, \nu)$ is the Poisson boundary.
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so $H\left(A_{n} \mid P_{n}\right.$ and $\left.\xi\right)=0$
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## Toy example: the free semigroup

Check the second condition:
2.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H\left(P_{n}\right)}{n}=0
$$

Proof. The map

$$
\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{F}_{2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
$$

is a group homomorphism.
The random walk on $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{+}$pushes forward to a random walk on $\mathbb{N}$.
Let $\theta:=\mathrm{d}_{*} \mu$ on $\mathbb{N}$.
Since the Poisson boundary of abelian (semi)-groups is trivial,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{H}\left(\theta^{* n}\right)}{\mathrm{n}}=0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ End of proof for free semigroup

## The end



Tanti auguri caro Stefano!
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## General case

For general groups, the needed pin down partition $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is more involved.

1. The distance from the origin is NOT enough to pin down the location. This is because the random walk can backtrack.
2. However, by Gouëzel's "pivot theory", the walk lies close to the limit geodesic quite often - good times.
Correct partition $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \vee \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ :

- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}$, distance along the good times
- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$, all of the walk between good times

Key insight: since good times appear often, the entropy

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { and } \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { and } \xi\right)
$$

is still small!
$\Rightarrow$ Proof for all hyperbolic-like groups

