



Water quality assessment using open-source software and data

Sofia La Fuente, Maria Nakkazi, Analy Baltodano, Ann Van Griensven



OVERVIEW

1. Mapping hotspots for present and future water pollution in Africa using SDG indicator 6.3.2



Maria Nakkazi PhD researcher



OVERVIEW

2. Remote sensing for water quality monitoring



Analy Baltodano PhD researcher



OVERVIEW

3. Using remote sensing and in-situ observations to investigate climate change responses in African and South American lakes



Sofia La Fuente Postdoctoral researcher



MAPPING HOTSPOTS FOR PRESENT AND FUTURE WATER POLLUTION IN AFRICA USING SDG INDICATOR 6.3.2

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 6

Goal 6 recognizes the need for access to water and sanitation for all by 2030.

Indicator 6.3.2 monitors the proportion of bodies of water with good ambient water quality.

Records of 2017-2019, for 97 countries showed that only 60% of the world's monitored water bodies have good ambient water quality (SDG indicator 6.3.2, 2020).

SUSTAINABLE G ALS





UNEP, 2021

CHALLENGES: GLOBAL CHANGE



Climate change accelerated in 2011-2020. (WMO, 2023)



48% wastewater released to the environment (Jones et al., 2021)



Land use changes



DATA GAP



Reporting status: Only 97 countries have reported on this indicator so far.

In Africa, the density of stations is 100 times lower than elsewhere in the world.



In-situ monitoring



Bodelling

Studio Lapatsch | Ung

(Kronvang, 2020) (Hossain, 2021)



MAIN OBJECTIVE

Using models to evaluate the percentage of water bodies with "good ambient water quality" according to thresholds set for 2030 by SDG 6.3.2 indicator SDG indicator 6.3.2



Measured/simulated values of a parameter are compared to respective targets.

If at least 80% of all monitoring data comply with the respective targets, then, the water body is "GOOD".

Together, these parameters = "water quality index" (WQI) generated at country level.



TARGET VALUES

| Parameter Group | Parameter | Target Value (upper) – mg/l | References |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Level I Paran | neters | | |
| Salinity | Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | 335 | Conversion from EC (Chapman and Kimstach, 1996; Fipps, 2003) Carr and Rickwood (2008); Srebotak et al., (2012); UNEP (2016); WHO (2011) |
| Nitrogen | Total Nitrogen (TN) | 0.7 | UN Environment (2017) |
| Phosphorus | Total Phosphorus (TP) | 0.02 | UN Environment (2017) |
| Oxygen | Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) | 4 | UNEP (2016) |
| Level II Para | meters | | |
| Pathogens | Fecal Coliforms (FC) | 200 (cfu/100ml) | UNEP (2016) |

Daily data (2010-2019) from global surface WQ models; *DynQual* (Jones et al., 2023) and SWAT+ model (Nkwasa et al., 2024)













Country level comparison – South Africa

| 201 | 10-2019 | Data Poin | ts (Daily) | Mean Water C WQI | Correlation | | |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|-------------|------|--|
| | In-situ gauge | | | | | | |
| Parameter | stations | Measured | Simulated | Measured | Simulated | | |
| ТР | 62 | 4714 | 226424 | 0-20 | 40-60 | 0.21 | |
| TN | 58 | 4806 | 211816 | 0-20 | 60-80 | 0.28 | |
| TDS | 5 | 112 | 18260 | 60-80 | 80-100 | 1.00 | |



Data source: GEMSTAT database







LEVEL II REPORTING

FC - basin



WQI with Faecal Coliforms (2010-2019)



WQI without Faecal Coliforms (2010-2019)





DRIVERS

Land Use - 2015



(ESA Land Cover CCI product)

÷

Population Density - 2015





Untreated wastewater flows to the Environment - 2015

Untreated (million m³ yr ⁻¹) < <0.1 < 0.1 - 0.5 < 0.5 - 1 < 1 - 2.5

(Jones et al., 2021)

WQI - level I





Remarks

- 1. Modelling can complement in-situ monitoring for reporting progress on SDG 6.3
- 2. Gridded reporting of the WQI provides more detail than country-based reporting
- 3. Need to further expand the reporting to level II parameters
- 4. Anthropogenic activities greatly influence water quality

Next steps

- Evaluate WQI under future scenarios (up to 2100) and assess if established thresholds would be met
- 2. Expand study to the global scale



QUESTIONS?

Maria Theresa Nakkazi

maria.theresa.nakkazi@vub.be



REMOTE SENSING FOR WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Lakes in data-scarce regions

LAKE TITICACA

- Largest lake in Latin America
- Indigenous communities living on its shores suffer from poor water quality
- Pressures for water quality:
 - Mining
 - Wastewater and solid waste from rapid urban growth and industry
 - Agriculture and farming
- Importance:
 - Fishing
 - Irrigation
 - Sociocultural importance

Goal: Determine whether citizen science water quality data is good for remote sensing calibration/validation





- Remote sensing has been used to detect land cover changes and relate them to eutrophication
- 123% expansion of urban areas [2006-2018] with an increasing trend (p < 0.05) at a rate of 8 km²/year
- Increase of 1.7 km²/year of eutrophicated areas was calculated at the outlet of the basin



Baltodano et al. (2022) https://doi.org/10.3390/w14071021



Sources invol Citizens Impacts



- Water quality monitoring campaign [March 2024]
 - In situ water quality measurements (multiparameter)
 - In situ water surface reflectance measurements (spectrometer)
- Citizen science component
 - High school students involved in the campaign
 - Use of water quality apps to measure reflectance (HydroColor)
 - Use of conventional methods for water quality measurements (multiparameters/test strips)



PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- Maximum concentrations reached due to very turbid waters
- Not so strong correlations
- More sampling campaigns still ongoing
- Better outcomes with reflectances, which is crucial for proper atmospheric correction and subsequent water quality calculations







LAKE NICARAGUA

- Largest lake in Central America
- Water quality is poorly monitored
- Pressures for water quality:
 - Tourism
 - Wastewater discharge
 - Pesticides/sediments from agriculture
 - Fishing farms
- Importance:
 - Irrigation
 - Drinking water source for some cities

Goal: Determine which combination of algorithms provides the most accurate results when applied to satellite data to monitor water quality continuously



LAKE NICARAGUA Nea Chierophylic concentrations - Lake Niceregua Men Chierophylic concentration

MODIS Terra [4 Km]

Chi-a [mg/m³]

- Products show a good agreement in magnitude through the years
- Concentrations have decreased through time [hypereutrophic → eutrophic]
- Spatial resolution of the product affects its ability to capture hotspots of high concentrations

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20

0.0 2.5 5.0

5.0

2.5

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0

Chl-a [mg/m³]

| Product | MAE | MSE | RMSE | MAPE | PBIAS | r | R^2 |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|
| CCI | 7,60 | 98,39 | 9,92 | 64,09 | 64,09 | 0,48 | 0,23 |
| MERIS_OC | 2,77 | 10,71 | 3,27 | 36,95 | -25,78 | 0,21 | 0,04 |
| MODIS_A_OC | 4,60 | 32,15 | 5,67 | 28,50 | 15,74 | 0,11 | 0,01 |
| MODIS_T_OC | 7,02 | 77,93 | 8,83 | 41,88 | 36,53 | 0,27 | 0,07 |
| OLCI_S3A_OC | 12,49 | 159,39 | 12,63 | 35,69 | 10,16 | 0,23 | 0,05 |
| OLCI_TSI | 17,00 | 308,71 | 17,57 | 45,16 | 45,16 | | |
| S2A_T | 20,45 | 449,90 | 21,21 | 56,76 | 56,76 | 0,40 | 0,16 |
| VIIRS S OC | 5,72 | 56,19 | 7,50 | 33,78 | 12,23 | 0,25 | 0,06 |

However, when compared with the limited in situ data, results are not that good.

- More outliers and a wider range of derived values as the in-situ concentration increase
- Residuals of the products indicate heteroscedasticity

Baltodano et al., (under review)





28

- Water quality monitoring campaign [February 2024]
 - Water samples for the lab
 - In situ water quality measurements (multiparameter)
 - In situ water surface reflectance measurements (spectrometer)
 - Use of water quality apps to measure reflectance (HydroColor)

Next steps...

Two-step calibration/validation

- Atmospheric correction algorithms comparison with water surface reflectance
- Water quality algorithms with lab/in situ measurements

Application of best performing algorithms to satellite data that provide best spatial/temporal resolution for the case study







QUESTIONS?

Analy Baltodano Martinez

baltodano.martinez.analy@vub.be



USING REMOTE SENSING AND IN-SITU OBSERVATIONS TO INVESTIGATE CLIMATE CHANGE RESPONSES IN AFRICAN AND SOUTH AMERICAN LAKES

SOFIA LA FUENTE

BACKGROUND

- 100 million lakes in the world
- Hold 87% of the surface freshwater available on earth
- Provide essential ecosystem services to society



Messager et al. (2016)





INTERNATIONAL EFFORTS





ISIMIP – LAKE SECTOR



- Multi-model (ensemble) approach to simulate key lake physical properties under historic and future scenarios
- Water temperature is the most important property in lakes that has crucial role in the energy and chemical budget of lakes.

Table 4. Common output variables reported by local (L) and global (G) models participating in the Lake Sector of ISIMIP2a/b. The variable watertemp is a full water temperature profile. Naming of lake models and variables are ordered in an alphabetical order (see Table S4 for a list of full variable names).

| Impact model | albedo | bottemp | Extcoeff | ice | icetemp | Icethick | lakeheatf | lakeicefrac | latentheatf | Lup | momf | sedheatf | sensheatf | snowtemp | snowthick | strat | surftemp | dnws | thermodepth | turbdiffheat | watertemp |
|--------------------|--------|---------|----------|-----|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----|------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Air2water 4par (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | •* | | |
| Air2water 6par (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | •* | | |
| ALBM (G) | | | | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | | | • | | | • |
| ALBM (L) | | | | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | | • | | | • | | | • |
| CLM4.5 (G) | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| FLake (L) | | ٠ | | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | | • | ٠ | ٠ | ٠ | • | | | ٠ | ٠ | | • | | ٠ |
| GLM (L) | • | • | | • | | ٠ | | | | | | | | | | ٠ | • | | • | | • |
| GOTM (G) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| GOTM (L) | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | • | | • | | • |
| LAKE (G) | • | ٠ | ٠ | | | • | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠ | | • | | • | | • | ٠ | • | | • |
| MyLake (L) | • | | | ٠ | | ٠ | • | | ٠ | | | ٠ | ٠ | | ٠ | • | | | • | | • |
| Simstrat (G) | • | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | | | • | | • | | • | ٠ | • | | • |
| Simstrat (L) | | • | | • | | • | • | | • | • | | | • | | • | ٠ | • | | • | | • |
| VIC-Lake (G) | • | | | • | • | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | • | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Golub et al. (2022)



ISIMIP – LAKE SECTOR

- Free to download
- Easy to use
- Allows comparisson with other sectors (Agriculture, water, crops)

| Search the IS | SIMIP Re | epository | |
|------------------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Enter search qu | ery | | Search Q Reset X |
| Sidebar view: 🔘 Tree 🛛 | O Facets | Show only the latest version Show specific versions with date constraints | Show archived file |
| 🗌 ISIMIP2a | | Selection You selected 0 dataset of 0 B size. | 78,840 datasets found |
| ISIMIP2b ISIMIP3a | | Search constraints tree = ISIMIP3b/OutputData/lakes_local x | Download file lis |
| ISIMIP3b | | | |
| 🗌 Input Data | | ISIMIP3b OutputData lakes_local GFDL-ESM4 future FLake-LER bottemp | Terms of use CC0 1.0 20231108 |
| 🗹 Output Data | | flake-ler_gfdl-esm4_w5e5_picontrol_2015soc_default_botte | emp_allequash_daily |
| □ Agriculture | B | □ Select dataset Attributes ✓ Files ✓ | Download file list Download all file |
| Biomes | R | ISIMIP3b OutputData lakes local GFDL-ESM4 future FLake-LER bottemp | Terms of use CC0 1.0 20231108 |
| Fire | R | flake-ler_gfdl-esm4_w5e5_picontrol_2015soc_default_botte | emp_alqueva_daily |
| ✓ Lakes (local) | R | □ Select dataset Attributes → Files → | Download file list Download all files |
| FLake-LER | | SIMIP3b OutputData lakes local GFDL-ESM4 future FLake-LER bottemp | Terms of use CC0 1.0 20231108 |
| GLM-LER | | flake-ler_gfdl-esm4_w5e5_picontrol_2015soc_default_botte | emp_annie_daily |
| GOTM-LER | | □ Select dataset Attributes → Files → | Download file list Download all file |
| Simstrat-LER | | | |
| Fisheries and Marine | e Ecos 💦 | ISIMIP3b OutputData lakes local GFDL-ESM4 future FLake-LER bottemp | Terms of use CC0 1.0 20231108 |
| 🗌 Water (global) | R | Select dataset Attributes Files | Download file list Download all file |

DOI METADATA CAVEATS & UPDATES

ISIMIP

C Secondary Input Date

SEARCH

https://www.isimip.org/



24-5-2024 | 36

DOCUMENTATION TERMS OF USE

ISIMIP LAKE SECTOR

- Meteorological data
- Water temperature
 profiles
- Water transparency
- Lake bathymetry





ISIMIP – LAKE SECTOR

- Local simulations
 - In-situ observations (water temperature profiles, bathymetry, water transparency)
- Global simulations
 - Representative lakes within a 0.5 \times 0.5° grid



Golub et al. (2022)



ISIMIP – LAKE SECTOR

- ISIMIP 2b
 - > 60 lakes
 - Very few tropical lakes
 - One lake in Africa
 - <u>None in South</u> <u>America</u>



Golub et al. (2022)



CHALLENGES

- Lack of funding
- Very low in the agenda
- And on top of that...







CHALLENGES

"Old Woman Creek hits all the high notes of an ideal scientific location"

"BUT the tradeoff for that is an operationally challenging location.

It takes a 20-minute canoe ride (or longer if the lake-effect wind kicks up) to reach the tower. There is no dry ground anywhere around the tower, with water levels fluctuating between 30 and 100 cm above the soft mud."





MIND THE GAP

- Use in-situ observations of African and South American lakes
- Use available satellite and open sources to fill missing gaps (Sentinel2 and CCI lakes)
- With the completed time-series simulate historic and future changes in African and South American lakes









NEXT STEPS

- First meeting scheduled for the first weeks of June
- Call for in-situ lake water temperature observations
- Organising the project objectives, working groups
- Timeline of the project



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

QUESTIONS?

sofia.la.fuente@vub.be