

 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial vNamics$ 

The Abdus Salam

**ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling** 

#### International Centre **Towards Reliable** for Theoretical Physics Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling



Hybrid (physics + ML) ESMs



Image credits: Climate Modeling Alliance Mooers et al. (2021); Eyring et al. (2024)

 $\partial^3 AWN$  Unil

 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ vNamics



Expertise Center for Climate Extremes (ECC



Swiss National Science Foundation

## **Atmospheric Physics + Al**



Data-Driven Discovery

Physics-Guided ML

Earth System Modeling (Parameterization) Extreme Weather Events Forecasting, Post-Processing, Downscaling Environmental Data Science



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

3

### <u>Machine Learning</u> = Learning task from data without being explicitly programmed for task <u>Neural Network</u> = Non-linear regression tool



# Largest uncertainties in long-term atmospheric projections linked to subgrid cloud processes

## Processes that are not explicitly resolved by Earth System models must be **parameterized**



Schematic source: ECMWF

References: Humphrey et al. (2008), Mass et al. (2002), Zelinka et al. (2020), Boucher et al. (2014), Sherwood et al. (2014), Gentine et al. (2020)

We can machine learn a **parameterization** from data  $\rightarrow$  **emulation** of observations and/or models



<u>References:</u> Gentine et al. (2020), Bretherton (schematic), Arakawa & Schubert (1974), Emanuel (1999)





<u>Video source</u>: Global Storm-Resolving and Large-Domain Large-Eddy Simulations with ICON LEM. Deutsches Klimarechenzentru<u>m</u> <u>Image source</u>: Pierre Gentine (LEAP) Coarse graining enables learning the aggregate effects of subgrid clouds and turbulence, too costly to simulate in routine climate projections



<u>Video source</u>: P. Gentine (LEAP)

## Neural Networks can emulate subgrid tendencies with high accuracy in realistic geography configurations





**Cloud-Resolving Model** 



Neural Network







<u>Video source</u>: Griffin Mooers (MIT) <u>Article</u>: Mooers et al. (2021)

## Data-Driven Parameterizations are flourishing...



### Data-Driven Parameterizations are flourishing and Hybrid Al-climate modeling is within grasp...



EXCLUSION OF Why are hybrid Al-Climate R=1-MSE Models not routine by now? S Gliaboration GIAND Lesonmer LINA Cack of people? (Fortran - Rython barrier +Inertia? · Lark of industanding (process-level • Computational cost. Interpretability? Hard Smatch • Al models learn model brases. MReact to climate change -· Data limitations filmentalitation and f

#### Resource for Python-FORTRAN coupling:

https://github.com/TRACCS-COMPACT/hybrid\_physics\_AI\_awesome\_list/

iii hybrid_physics_AI_awesome_list Public		<b>O</b> Unwatch
양 main ▾ 양 2 Branches ♡ 0 Tags	Q Go to file	t Add file - Code -
( Iesommer Update README.md		b4ddd9e · 3 weeks ago <b>3 29 Commits</b>
CONTRIBUTING.md	Update CONTRIBUTING.md	last month
README.md	Update README.md	3 weeks ago
🗋 bibtex.bib	Update bibtex.bib	2 months ago

#### Awesome list of software solutions for hybrid ESMs

#### Review on ML for regional climate downscaling

https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/aies/3/2/AIES-D-23-0066.1.xml

AMS & Journals	JOURNALS BROWSE PUBLISH SUBSCRIBE ABOUT	
	Previous Article Next Article >	
Artificial Intelligence for for the Earth Systems	Editorial Type: Review Article Article Type: Review Article	
	Enhancing Regional Climate Downscaling through Advances in Machine Learning	
	Neelesh Rampal 💿, Sanaa Hobeichi, Peter B. Gibson, Jorge Baño-Medina, Gab Abramowitz, Tom Beucler, Jose González-Abad, William Chapman, Paula Harder, and José Manuel Gutiérrez	
	Online Publication: 04 Apr 2024	
✓ Sections	Print Publication: 01 Apr 2024	
✓ References	DOI: https://doi.org/10.1175/AIES-D-23-0066.1	
✓ Figures		
	Abstract/Excerpt Full Text PDF	

## ...but remain under-used in climate science



Subgrid-Scale Thermodynamics Parameterization (Beucler et al., 2024)

> IS ML RELIABLE FOR CLIMATE PROJECTIONS?

Cloud Fraction Parameterization (Grundner et al., 2024)

 $= \bullet \bullet (p, q_v, T, LHF, SHF, \mathcal{S}_0)$ 

HAVE WE LEARNED ANYTHING NEW USING ML?



Data-Driven Discovery

#### Added value of ML measurable...



...but often challenging to explain



#### Added value of ML measurable...



<u>Source</u>: Bordoni et al. (2025), Bony et al. (2013); <u>See</u>: Jeevanjee et al. (2017), Balaji (2022), ORNL (C. Jones, 2018)

#### ...but often challenging to explain







in <u>Source</u>: Bordoni et al. (2025), Bony et al. (2013); <u>See</u>: Jeevanjee et al. (2017), Balaji (2022), ORNL (C. Jones, 2018)

1950

÷

000

0:0:0

0-0

Aerosol Carbon Cycle

0:0:0

#### ...but often challenging to explain





#### **1.** Pareto-optimal model hierarchies

2. Knowledge distillation

3. Challenges

<u>Source</u>: Bony et al. (2013); <u>See</u>: Jeevanjee et al. (2017), Balaji (2022), ORNL (C. Jones, 2018)



Idea: Work in a well-defined error-complexity plane



Model Error





#### Pareto Front:

"When selecting a model from the Pareto front, switching to a different model means sacrificing the quality of at least one evaluation metric."

 $PF_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ M_{opt} \mid \nexists M \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \forall i \, \mathcal{E}_i \left( M \right) \le \mathcal{E}_i \left( M_{opt} \right) \\ \exists j \, \mathcal{E}_j \left( M \right) < \mathcal{E}_j \left( M_{opt} \right) \end{cases} \right\}$ 

<u>See</u>: Censor (1977), Mietinnen (1999)



#### <u>See</u>: Censor (1977), Mietinnen (1999)



Other Undesirable Properties: Physical Inconsistency, etc.

<u>See</u>: Censor (1977), Mietinnen (1999)



 $Y = M\left[X_{\overrightarrow{x},t}\right]$ 



Distillable value:

## 1) Functional representation

 $Y = M\left[X_{\overrightarrow{x},t}\right]$ 





 $Y = M \left[ X_{\overrightarrow{x},t} \right]$ 

Distillable value:

## 1) Functional representation

2) Feature assimilation





Distillable value:

## 1) Functional representation

2) Feature assimilation

3) Spatial connectivity



 $Y = M \left[ X_{\overrightarrow{x},t} \right]$ 



Distillable value:

## 1) Functional representation

2) Feature assimilation

3) Spatial connectivity

4) Temporal connectivity



 $Y = M \left[ X_{\overrightarrow{x}, t} \right]$ 







#### 1. Pareto-optimal model hierarchies

#### 2. Knowledge distillation

#### 3. Challenges



Distillable value:

1) Functional Representation



2) Feature Assimilation Baseline Set of Features Difference of the set of



Added Value: Improved →0 Leveraging of Spatial Information





### Cloud cover parameterization maps the grid-scale environment to the fraction occupied by clouds

<u>Motivation</u>: Reducing cloud-related biases via storm-resolving simulations <u>Data</u>: 2.5km-res, 59-layer, global storm-resolving ICON runs (DYAMOND)



Source: Grundner, Beucler et al. (2022), Giorgetta et al. (2022), Stevens et al. (2019)

Cloud cover parameterization maps the grid-scale environment to the fraction occupied by clouds





Movie from: Monsoon IV (Olbinski, 2017)



## Neural Nets achieve root-mean squared errors < 7%

Neural Network Estimate



Reference (Coarse-Grained High-resolution simulation)



<u>Source</u>: Grundner, Beucler et al. (2022)


#### And guide the discovery of new equations for cloud cover Example of **transparent machine learning**...



<u>Source</u>: Grundner, Beucler et al. (2024); <u>Video source</u>: PySR (2025)

<u>Unexpected discovery</u>: The faster the subgrid distribution tends  $\rightarrow$  0, the more sensitive cloud cover is to cloud water concentration



Source: Grundner et al. (2024), 2013 Pearson Ed.



## Example of **transparent ML** that reduces biases of the resulting atmospheric simulation (below: outgoing TOA LW fluxes)



<u>Source</u>: Grundner, Beucler, ... & Eyring (Submitted, Preprint coming soon)



#### Group activity:

Form groups of approximately 5 people.
For 15 minutes, discuss and propose equations or conceptual solutions to:

 How can we make hybrid climate—AI models more reliable for climate change projections? Think of the key outcomes we ultimately care about?
 How can AI help advance our understanding of the climate system?

Next 15 min: Each group presents a 1-minute summary of their ideas on the board.

IS ML RELIABLE FOR CLIMATE PROJECTIONS? HAVE WE LEARNED ANYTHING NEW USING ML?

IS ML reliable for climate projectors! Have we learned anything new Using ML 1) Still early: PINNs for new physics? 2) We can , e.g. offine parameterization 1) No because of incontainty 2) Qt-J-sample issues 3) Still learning . 5) New parametri athons, smplifying equation 3) Interpet biaises? 9) Objective given I goal >) Equation discovery ) Stochesticity, generalizability? 6) Yes: AFS bracks predicted; (ity limits , kine for forecosting, had a clime 7) Too early to ask : Noise, uphysical (Physics-infolmed NN's (inherit Tents Data-dependent ( biases) Bias - Guadon

## ...but remain under-used in climate science



Subgrid-Scale Thermodynamics Parameterization (Beucler et al., 2024)

> IS ML RELIABLE FOR CLIMATE PROJECTIONS?

Cloud Fraction Parameterization (Grundner et al., 2024)

 $= \bullet \bullet (p, q_v, T, LHF, SHF, \mathcal{S}_0)$ 

HAVE WE LEARNED ANYTHING NEW USING ML?

# Improving the representation of subgrid-scale thermodynamics in CAM



Once trained, neural networks accelerate the simulation 20x

#### <u>Setup</u>: Super-Parameterized Community Atmosphere Model v3.0

Image source: e3sm.org, Model source: Khairoutdinov et al. (2004)



### **Problem:** Neural Nets often fail to generalize out-of-distribution



<u>See:</u> Beucler et al. (2020)

## **Problem:** Neural Nets often fail to generalize out-of-distribution



<u>See:</u> Beucler et al. (2020)



## Idea: Break the model even more!





Image source: IT Biz Advisor

## Generalization Experiment: +8K surface warming

## Training and Validation on cold simulations

#### Test on warm simulations



Images: Rashevskyi Viacheslav, Sebastien Decoret

## Problem: NNs fail to generalize to unseen climates

**Daily-mean Tropical prediction in cold climate** 



## Problem: NNs fail to generalize to unseen climates

#### **Daily-mean Tropical prediction in cold climate**



#### **Daily-mean Tropical prediction in warm climate**



#### **Daily-mean Tropical prediction in warm climate**



## Specific humidity (z)



Specific humidity  $(z) \rightarrow$  Relative humidity (z)

#### **Generalization improves dramatically!**





Physically transform the data to convert extrapolation into interpolation





**Raw Data: Not Climate-Invariant** 



Physically transform the data to convert extrapolation into interpolation



<u>Idea</u>: Uncover **climate-invariant** mapping from climate to convection



**Physically-transformed data: Climate-Invariant** 

Climate-Invariant NNs generalization error close to NN trained in warm climate





## Physically-Informed Neural Networks Generalize Better Across Climates in Earth-like configurations







#### **Near-Surface** Subgrid Heating

See: Beucler et al. (2024)

1.00

1.00

-0.75

## Physically-Informed Neural Networks Generalize Better Across Climates in Earth-like configurations



#### **Mid-Tropospheric** Subgrid Heating

See: Beucler et al. (2024)

1.00

-0.25

-0.50

·0.75 ନି

1.00

#### <u>Unexpected discovery</u>: <u>Climate-invariant NNs</u> more local than Brute-Force NNs



1) Data-driven parameterizations may not only accelerate, but also **improve** Earth System Models

2) They may lead to unexpected discoveries

3) They benefit from domain knowledge

4) Many challenges remain unsolved...

## 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model



Editorial Type: Article

Article Type: Research Article

Interpreting and Stabilizing Machine-Learning Parametrizations of Convection

Noah D. Brenowitz, Tom Beucler, Michael Pritchard, and Christopher S. Bretherton

4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model



 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ vNamics

**Task for DNI** 

Problem

ML for Earth & Env Sci (Week 8, FA2024)

## Why bother with XAI?

				Article: Super Bowl 50 Paragraph: "Peython Manning became the first quarterback ever to lead two different teams to multiple Super Bowls. He is also the oldest quarterback ever to play in a Super Bowl at age 39. The past record was held by John Elway, who led the Broncos to victory in Super Bowl XXXIII at age 38 and is currently Denver's Executive Vice President of Foot- ball Operations and General Manager. Quarterback Jeff Dean had a jersey number 37 in Champ Bowl XXXIV." Question: "What is the name of the quarterback who was 38 in Super Bowl XXXIII?" Original Prediction: John Elway Prediction under adversary: Jeff Dean
N	Caption image	Recognise object	Recognise pneumonia	Answer question
	Describes green hillside as grazing sheep	Hallucinates teapot if cer- tain patterns are present	Fails on scans from new hospitals	Changes answer if irrelevant information is added

#### Source: Geirhos et al. (2020) arXiv:2004.07780.

Inil

68



 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ yNamics

Task for DN

Problem

Shortcut

ML for Earth & Env Sci (Week 8, FA2024)

## Why bother with XAI? Because NNs take shortcuts!

				Article: Super Bowl 50 Paragraph: "Peython Manning became the first quarterback ever to lead two different teams to multiple Super Bowls. He is also the oldest quarterback ever to play in a Super Bowl at age 39. The past record was held by John Elway, who led the Broncos to victory in Super Bowl XXXIII at age 38 and is currently Denver's Executive Vice President of Foot- ball Operations and General Manager. Quarterback Jeff Dean had a jersey number 37 in Champ Bowl XXXIV." Question: "What is the name of the quarterback who was 38 in Super Bowl XXXII!?" Original Prediction: John Elway Prediction under adversary: Jeff Dean
N	Caption image	Recognise object	Recognise pneumonia	Answer question
	Describes green hillside as grazing sheep	Hallucinates teapot if cer- tain patterns are present	Fails on scans from new hospitals	Changes answer if irrelevant information is added
	Uses background to recognise primary object	Uses features irrecogni- sable to humans	Looks at hospital token, not lung	Only looks at last sentence and ignores context

#### Source: Geirhos et al. (2020) arXiv:2004.07780.

Unil

69

#### Should you blindly trust hybrid AI-climate models?

Time to Crash: 1.2day



<u>See:</u> Brenowitz, Beucler et al. (2020)



# Tailor NN interpretability techniques to parametrization task



#### Saliency Map

Squared Jacobian of the emulated mapping

Deep learning libraries efficiently calculate Jacobian via automatic differentiation

$$\boldsymbol{J} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{Output}}{\partial \mathbf{Input}} \right)_{\mathbf{Input}_{\mathbf{0}}}$$



Gradients across RGB channels

Max gradients



Overlay



Image source: flashtorch (Github) See: Paszke et al. (2017), Springenbert et al. (2015)

## Jacobian reveals linear response of convection



<u>See</u>: Kuang (2018, 2007), Herman and Kuang (2013), Beucler et al. (2018)
## Jacobian reveals linear response of convection



<u>See</u>: Kuang (2018, 2007), Herman and Kuang (2013), Beucler et al. (2018)



<u>See</u>: Kuang (2018, 2007), Herman and Kuang (2013), Beucler et al. (2018)

Time to Crash: 1.2day



<u>See:</u> Brenowitz, Beucler et al. (2020)

# Derivations in Brenowitz et al. (2020, JAS)

the authors upon request.

#### APPENDIX

#### **Derivation of 2D Anelastic Wave Dynamics**

a. Continuous equations

The linearized hydrostatic anelastic equations in the horizontal direction x and height z are given by

$$q_t + \overline{q}_z w = Q'_2,$$
  

$$s_t + \overline{s}_z w = Q'_1, \text{ and }$$
  

$$u_t + \phi_x = -du.$$

The prognostic variables are humidity q, dry static energy  $s = T + (g/c_p)z$ , horizontal velocity u, and vertical velocity w. These are assumed to be perturbations from a large-scale state denoted by an overbar. The anelastic geopotential term is given by  $\phi = p'/\rho_0$ , where  $\rho_0(z)$  is a reference density profile specified for the full nonlinear model.

These prognostic equations are completed by assuming hydrostatic balance and mass conservation. Hydrostatic balance is given by

$$(Aw)_k = a_k w_{k-1} + b_k w_k + c_k w_{k+1}$$
, where

$$\begin{split} a_{k} &= \frac{\rho_{k-1}}{(z_{k} - z_{k-1})(z_{k+1/2} - z_{k-1/2})\rho_{k-1/2}}, \\ b_{k} &= -\frac{\rho_{k}}{(z_{k+1/2} - z_{k-1/2})} \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{(z_{k+1} - z_{k})\rho_{k+1/2}} + \frac{1}{(z_{k} - z_{k-1})\rho_{k-1/2}}\right], \text{ and} \\ c_{k} &= \frac{\rho_{k+1}}{(z_{k+1} - z_{k})(z_{k+1/2} - z_{k-1/2})\rho_{k+1/2}}. \end{split}$$

The index k ranges from 1 to N, the number of vertical grid cells, and z is the height.

The rigid-lid boundary conditions are satisfied by:  $w_0 = -w_1$ and  $w_{n+1} = -w_n$ . It is not simply  $w_0$  because the vertical velocity should be located at the cell center. These boundary conditions can be implemented by modifying the matrix representation of A to satisfy

$$(Aw)_{1} = -a_{1}w_{1} + b_{1}w_{1} + c_{1}w_{2},$$
  
$$(Aw)_{n} = a_{n}w_{n-1} + b_{n}w_{n} - c_{n}w_{n}$$

at the lower and upper boundaries.



# Stability diagram helped stabilize NNs offline



#### Both stabilized NN ran without crashing for **1month+** when coupled to climate models



Iournal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology, 2024, **00**, 1–25 https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssb/gkae108

**Driginal Article** 



#### Engression: extrapolation through the lens of distributional regression

#### Kinwei Shen and Nicolai Meinshausen

Seminar für Statistik, Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

A*ddress for correspondence*: Xinwei Shen, Seminar für Statistik, Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 01, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. Email: xinwei.shen@stat.math.ethz.ch

#### Progression: an extrapolation principle for regression

#### Gloria Buriticá

Université Paris-Saclay, AgroParisTech, INRAE, UMR MIA Paris-Saclay, 22 place de l'Agrono 91123 Palaiseau, France

Sebastian Engelke

Research Institute for Statistics and Information Science, University of Geneva, Boulevard du d'Arve 40, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland.

Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems / Volume 14, Issue 9 / e2022MS003219

Research Article **Open Access** 



Correcting a 200 km Resolution Climate Model in Multiple Climates by Mag Learning From 25 km Resolution Simulations

Spencer K. Clark 🔀, Noah D. Brenowitz, Brian Henn, Anna Kwa, Jeremy McGibbon, W. Andre Perkir Oliver Watt-Meyer, Christopher S. Bretherton, Lucas M. Harris

First published: 02 September 2022 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003219

# 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model

## 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model

Offline Learning = Best fit given the collected data Online Learning = Integrating dynamical model during training



Figure source: Frézat et al. (2022)





Sources: Ott et al. (2020)



<u>Source</u>: Lin et al. (2024)

Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems / Volume 16, Issue 11 / e2024MS004485

Research Article 🔂 Open Access 💿 😧

#### Online Learning of Entrainment Closures in a Hybrid Machine Learning Parameterization

Costa Christopoulos 🔀, Ignacio Lopez-Gomez, Tom Beucler, Yair Cohen, Charles Kawczynski, Oliver R. A. Dunbar, Tapio Schneider

First published: 14 November 2024 https://doi.org/10.1029/2024MS004485

### 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

87

# **<u>Strategy</u>: Machine Learn Parameters of Physical Models**



Parameter estimation/calibration problem

Subfield of data assimilation = Optimal state estimation given real-time data See ECMWF resources on 4D-Var

p (Parameters|Obs)

ML helps maximize the likelihood

See: Brajard et al. (2021), ECMWF Fact sheets; Image Source: CliMA



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

# Learn Parameters of Physical Models ML-based frameworks: CES & ESEm



88

Ensemble Kalman Inversion = Gradient-free, data-assimilation technique Inverse problem framing: Direct learning from climate statistics



<u>See</u>: Christopoulos et al. (2024); Lopez-Gomez et al. (2022)

#### <u>Advantages</u>: Guaranteed stability once trained, +4K generalization <u>Challenges</u>: Cost, instability and host model issues during training



See: Christopoulos et al. (2024)

# **JGR** Atmospheres

Research Article 🔂 Open Access 🛛 😨 🚯

#### Causally-Informed Deep Learning to Improve Climate Models and Projections

Fernando Iglesias-Suarez 🔀, Pierre Gentine, Breixo Solino-Fernandez, Tom Beucler, Michael Pritchard, Jakob Runge, Veronika Eyring

First published: 19 February 2024 | https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD039202 | Citations: 10

# 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

- 1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model
- 2. Best way to incorporate causality?

# Can Causal Discovery Improve Parameterizations?



<u>Source</u>: Runge et al. (2019), <u>See</u>: Kretschmer et al. (2016), Runge et al. (2019), Spirtes & Glymour (1991)

### Causal feature selection = Eliminating non-causal predictors



See: Geiger et al. (1990), Pena et al. (2007), Gao and Ji (2017); Image source: Res

# Causal feature selection improves the robustness & stability of hybrid climate-AI simulations

**SPCAM**: Super-Parameterized (**SP**) Community Atmosphere Model (**CAM**)



CAM: Climate model (state fields; inputs) N<sub>x</sub>=94 (number of inputs) SP: Storm-resolving model (parameterizations; outputs) Ny=65 (number of outputs)



# 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

- 1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model
- 2. Best way to incorporate causality?
- 3. Best way to incorporate and evaluate stochasticity?



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂yNamics

15

ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling



## When given an input, **generative** models predict **distributions** of outputs rather than a single output vector



al. (2021

96



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

97

# In practice, there are many ways of adding UQ!

#### Ensemble Prediction (EP)

Multi-Model (MM)





∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂yNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

98

# In practice, there are many ways of adding UQ!





 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

# MC-Dropout randomly drops neural connections (Training) Regularization & (Test time) UQ





 $\partial ata - \partial riven$ Atmospheric & Water

3.5

3.0

2.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

(Skill (RMSE) 2.0 1.5

**ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling** 

100





∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics 101

ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

# 1) Generative Adversarial Nets pit a generator (fed noise) against a discriminator (fed the fake or real images)





Géron textbook, Wikipedia (GAN), Bodla et al. (2018)



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂vNamics 102

#### ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling



2) Diffusion Probabilistic Models smoothly perturb data by adding noise, then reverse this process to generate new data from noise.



de examples



 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling



3) Variational Autoencoders probabilistically encode/decode data from *latent representations* 



103



 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ yNamics

#### ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

104

Anil





 $\partial$ ata- $\partial$ riven Atmospheric & Water  $\partial$ vNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

105

# Latent manifolds can be used for e.g., scientific analysis and semi-supervised learning





Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems / Volume 17, issue 47, e2024MS004272

Research Article **Open Access Open Access** 

Simulating Atmospheric Processes in Earth System Models and Quantifying Uncertainties With Deep Learning Multi-Member and Stochastic Parameterizations

Gunnar Behrens 🔀, Tom Beucler, Fernando Iglesias-Suarez, Sungduk Yu, Pierre Gentine, Michael Pritchard, Mierk Schwabe, Veronika Eyring

First published: 13 April 2025 https://doi.org/10.1029/2024MS004272

# 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

- 1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model
- 2. Best way to incorporate causality?
- 3. Best way to incorporate and evaluate stochasticity?



Atmospheric & Water

#### ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling



<u>Figure</u>: Behrens et al. (2025)

107

ANN ensembles and latent space perturbations lead to well-calibrated uncertainty **offline** 





Fig. source: Behrens et al. (2025), See also: Guillaumin & Zanna (2021), Mansfield & Sheshadri (2024), Schneider et al. (2021)


## 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

- 1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model
- 2. Best way to incorporate causality?
- 3. Best way to incorporate and evaluate stochasticity?
- 4. Grid-independence? Scale awareness? Transferability?

## At hourly timescales, convection is non-local! How can we keep our equation simple?



Image source: Gentine, Eyring & Beucler (2020); Figure source: Beucler et al. (2024)

# <u>Idea</u>: 1. Learn a vertical integration kernel from data 2. Parameterize this integration kernel analytically



<u>See</u>: Beucler et al. (2024, AMS Tropical Meteorology)

## Learning kernels shares analogy with neural operators

eura Operator Install User Guide API Examples Developer's Guide

# Search the docGoInstalling NeuralOperatorUser GuideAPI referenceExamplesNeuralOperator Developer's Guide

#### Limitation of Fixed Discretization

PDEs are, unfortunately, hard. Instead of learning the operator, people usually discretize the physical domain and cast the problem in finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Indeed, hundred years of effort has been made to develop numerical solvers such as the finite element method and finite difference method.



#### <u>Source</u>: https://neuraloperator.github.io/

## ACE2 is a SOA climate model emulator based on Spherical Fourier Neural Operators

ACE2-SOM: Coupling an ML atmospheric emulator to a slab ocean and learning the sensitivity of climate to changed  $CO_2$ 

Spencer K. Clark<sup>1,2</sup>, Oliver Watt-Meyer<sup>1</sup>, Anna Kwa<sup>1</sup>, Jeremy McGibbon<sup>1</sup>, Brian Henn<sup>1</sup>, W. Andre Perkins<sup>1</sup>, Elynn Wu<sup>1</sup>, Lucas M. Harris<sup>2</sup>, and Christopher S. Bretherton<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Allen Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Seattle, WA, USA <sup>2</sup>NOAA/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

#### Key Points:

- The Ai2 Climate Emulator coupled to a slab ocean accurately emulates temperature and precipitation CO<sub>2</sub> sensitivity in a physics-based model
- Inference in an out-of-sample scenario with gradually increasing CO<sub>2</sub> is also accurate except for regime shifts in its stratosphere
- Abrupt 4xCO<sub>2</sub> inference reaches the correct equilibrium climate but the atmosphere warms too fast due to energy non-conservation

## Group activity

## 4) Many challenges remain unsolved:

- 1. Stability, extrapolation behavior, and recalibration of the host model
- 2. Best way to incorporate causality?
- 3. Best way to incorporate and evaluate stochasticity?
- 4. Grid-independence? Scale awareness? Transferability?
- 5. Questioning the entire climate model formulation 🧒

#### Towards Hybrid Earth System Modeling: A Living Review

This page reviews and organizes emerging hybrid Earth System Models (ESMs), which combine Machine Learning (ML) and physics-based components, alphabetically. Hybrid ESMs retain essential components for physical consistency (e.g., the dynamical core) while using ML to enhance parameterizations for small-scale processes (e.g., clouds). These models hold promise for improving long-term projections of Earth's physical climate and biogeochemical cycles.

If you notice any errors, omissions, or outdated information, please feel free to submit a pull request.

Author: Tom Beucler (UNIL); written in the context of AI4PEX.

#### **Table of Contents**

- <u>ACE</u>
- CAMulator
- <u>CBRAIN</u>
- <u>CliMA</u>
- <u>ClimSim</u>
- Corrective ML
- DLESyM
- Hybrid ARP-GEM
- <u>Hybrid CAM</u>
- Hybrid LSM
- Hybrid SAM

## ☆ 20 stars ⊙ 1 watching ♀ 1 fork

#### Releases

- Activity

No releases published Create a new release

#### Packages

No packages published Publish your first package

#### https://github.com/tbeucler/HybridESM

## If you want to learn more: Lit. reviews are listed at <a href="https://github.com/tbeucler/ML">https://github.com/tbeucler/ML</a> for Environmental Science

Ξ

0

C README MIT license

#### Literature Reviews on Machine Learning for Environmental Science

- <u>Stephan Rasp (Living Review)</u>: State-of-the-art in AI-based weather forecasting.
- <u>Tom Beucler (Living Review)</u>: State-of-the-art in hybrid Earth system modeling.
- Eyring et al. (2024): Pushing the frontiers in climate modeling and analysis with machine learning.
- Beucler et al. (2021): Machine Learning for Clouds and Climate.
- <u>Ullrich et al. (2025)</u>: Recommendations for comprehensive and independent evaluation of machine learning-based *Earth system models*.
- Reichstein et al. (2019): Deep Learning and Process Understanding for Data-Driven Earth System Science.
- Lai et al. (2025): Machine Learning for Climate Physics and Simulations.
- Zhu et al. (2023): Machine Learning in Environmental Research: Common Pitfalls and Best Practices.
- <u>Reichstein et al. (2025)</u>: Early warning of complex climate risk with integrated artificial intelligence.
- Bergen et al. (2019): Machine Learning for Data-Driven Discovery in Solid Earth Geoscience.
- Rampal et al. (2024): Enhancing Regional Climate Downscaling through Advances in Machine Learning.
- <u>Beucler et al. (2024)</u>: Next-Generation Earth System Models: Towards Reliable Hybrid Models for Weather and Climate Applications.
- <u>Camps-Valls et al. (2025)</u>: Artificial intelligence for modeling and understanding extreme weather and climate events.
- Bracco et al. (2024): Machine learning for the physics of climate.
- Eyring et al. (2024): AI-empowered next-generation multiscale climate modelling for mitigation and adaptation.
- Rolnick et al. (2019): Tackling Climate Change with Machine Learning.
- <u>Willard et al. (2020)</u>: Integrating Physics-Based Modeling with Machine Learning: A Survey.
- <u>Sonnewald et al. (2021)</u>: Bridging observations, theory and numerical simulation of the ocean using machine



∂ata-∂riven Atmospheric & Water ∂yNamics ICTP25: Hybrid AI-Climate Modeling

## <u>Hands-on exercises</u>: <u>https://wp.unil.ch/dawn/teaching/</u>









**+8K** 



<u>See:</u> Beucler et al. (2021)