Lattice Gauge Theories – An Introduction

Biagio Lucini



Summer School on Particle Physics, ICTP, Trieste, June 2025

B. Lucini Lattce Gauge Theories

(1日) (1日) (1日)



◆ロ → ◆母 → ◆ 臣 → ◆ 臣 → のへで

The reformulation of Quantum Field Theory in Euclidean time allows to establish a correspondence between QFT quantities and Statistical Mechanics quantities

QFT		SM
Path integral	\Leftrightarrow	Partition Function
Vacuum	\Leftrightarrow	Equilibrium State
Mass gap	\Leftrightarrow	(Inverse) Correlation Length

A (1) < (1) < (2) < (2) </p>

Recovering the continuum theory

Lattice Gauge Theories aim at describing the continuum QFTs

- The lattice action of a theory must reproduce the continuum action (*naive continuum limit*)
- All lattice *n*−point functions must go to their continuum counterpart in the limit for the lattice spacing *a* → 0
- if *L* is the lattice size, *m* a physical mass and *a* is the lattice spacing, the correct continuum physics is explored if

$$a \ll m^{-1} \ll L$$

• A continuum quantum field theory is realised near the second order phase transition points of the lattice theory

In QCD-like theories, the correctness of the continuum limit is a non-trivial consequence of asymptotic freedom

SU(N) Lattice Gauge Theories

Link variables
 Plaquettes

Wilson action

$$U_{\mu}(i) = e^{ig_0 a A_{\mu}(i)}$$
$$U_{\mu\nu}(i) = \prod_{U_{\mu} \in P_{\mu\nu}} U_{\mu}$$
$$\beta = (2N)/g_0^2$$



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- $S = \beta \sum_{i,\mu,\nu} \left(1 \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr} \left(U_{\mu\nu}(i) + U^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}(i) \right) \right)$
- Path integral $Z = \int (\mathcal{D}U) e^{-S}$
- Invariance under SU(N) gauge transformations $\tilde{U}_{\mu}(i) = G^{\dagger}(i)U_{\mu}(i)G(i+\hat{\mu})$

Lattice action for QCD-like theories

Path integral

$$Z = \int \left(\mathcal{D}U_{\mu}(i) \right) \left(\det M(U_{\mu}) \right)^{N_f} e^{-S(U_{\mu\nu}(i))}$$

with

$$U_{\mu}(i) = Pexp\left(ig_0\int_i^{i+a\hat{\mu}}A_{\mu}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right)$$

and

$$U_{\mu\nu}(i) = U_{\mu}(i)U_{\nu}(i+\hat{\mu})U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(i+\hat{\nu})U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(i)$$

Gauge part

$$S = \beta \sum_{i,\mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{R} e \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{U}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{i})) \right) \qquad , \qquad with \ \beta = 2N/g_0^2$$

Naive discretisation of the fermionic field gives rise to fermion doubling (16 species of fermions in (3 + 1) dimensions)

No-go theorem (Nielsen-Ninomiya): no lattice formulation of fermions can be at the same time ultra-local, chirally symmetric and avoid fermion doubling

Solutions:

- Wilson fermions \leftarrow give up chirality
- Istaggered fermions ← put up with doubling
- **③** Domain wall and overlap fermions \leftarrow couple all sites

For SU(N) gauge theories at large N Wilson fermions have been used

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 目 ト ・

Different fermion formulations must give the same results, but a discretisation can provide some advantages

In our case, the Wilson formulation has been chosen because

- Unlike non-local chiral fermions, Wilson fermions are cheap to simulate
- Unlike the staggered fermions, a generic number of flavours can be simulated
- Chiral symmetry can be recovered by tuning the hopping parameter
- 3 New technical breakthroughs allow to go close enough to the chiral limit (onset of χ PT just around the corner?)

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Take the naive Dirac fermions and add an irrelevant term that goes like the Laplacian

$$M_{\alpha\beta}(ij) = (M+4r)\delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \left[(r-\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} U_{\mu}(i)\delta_{i,j+\mu} + (r+\gamma_{\mu})_{\alpha\beta} U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(j)\delta_{i,i-\mu} \right]$$

This formulation breaks explicitly chiral symmetry

Define the hopping parameter

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2(m+4r)}$$

Chiral symmetry recovered in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c$ (κ_c to be determined numerically)

・ロ ・ ・ 四 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

For an observable $\ensuremath{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\int (\mathcal{D}U_{\mu}(i)) \,(\det M(U_{\mu}))^{N_f} f(M) e^{-S_g(U_{\mu\nu}(i))}}{\int (\mathcal{D}U_{\mu}(i)) \,(\det M(U_{\mu}))^{N_f} e^{-S_g(U_{\mu\nu}(i))}}$$

Assume $\det M(U_{\mu})\simeq 1$ i.e. fermions loops are removed from the action

The approximation is exact in the $m \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ limit $(g^2N \text{ is fixed})$ \hookrightarrow the large N spectrum is quenched for $m \neq 0$

As N increases, unquenching effects are expected for smaller quark masses

・ コマ・ (四マ・ (四マ・ コマ・

Monte Carlo methods for Lattice Field Theories

- An evaluation of the path integral requires performing an integral in $O(4N^2V)$ dimensions
- Monte Carlo methods allow us to evaluate integrals in an high number of dimensions more efficiently than grid methods
- Underpinning concepts: Markov chains plus dynamics leading to the Boltzmann distribution
- In numerical simulations one obtains a sufficient number (generally of order 100-1000) of configurations distributed according to e^{-S} that allow to stochastically evaluate the path integral

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Monte Carlo methods are importance sample methods \Rightarrow expectation values of observables are given by simple averages of the numerical results

Due to the finiteness of the sampling, each observable carries a statistical error

Monte Carlo dynamics produces data that are correlated \Rightarrow Gaussian statistics does not apply straightforwardly

Correct data analysis keeps into account correlations in both averages (through binning) and fits (using correlated fits)

Bias in observables are dealt with methods such as bootstrap or jack-knife

Masses of states extracted from two-point functions (*correlators*) of operators with the right quantum numbers Starting from links, we can built those operators via

Blocking



Fast increase of the size of the operators

Smearing



・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Finer resolution

More modern approach: Wilson flow

Correlation matrix

Numerical signal improves considerably using the full correlation matrix

$$C_{ij}(t) = \langle 0 | \Phi_i(t) (\Phi_j(0))^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | e^{Ht} \Phi_i(0) e^{-Ht} (\Phi_j(0))^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_n \langle 0 | e^{Ht} \Phi_i(0) e^{-Ht} | n \rangle \langle n | (\Phi_j(0))^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_n e^{-\Delta E_n t} \langle 0 | \Phi_i(0) | n \rangle \langle n | (\Phi_j(0))^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \sum_n c_{in}^* c_{jn} e^{-\Delta E_n t}$$

After diagonalisation

$$C_{ij}(t) = \delta_{ij} \sum_{n} |c_{in}|^2 e^{-am_n t} \underset{t \to \infty}{\to} \delta_{ij} |c_{i1}|^2 e^{-am_1 t}$$

(日)

Find the eigenvector v that minimises

$$am_1(t_d) = -\frac{1}{t_d} \log \frac{v_i^* C_{ij}(t_d) v_j}{v_i^* C_{ij}(0) v_j}$$

for some t_d

- 2 Fit v(t) with the law Ae^{-m_1t} to extract m_1
- 3 Find the complement to the space generated by v(t)
- Repeat 1-3 to extract m_2, \ldots, m_n

Need a good overlap with the state of interest

・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Correlator functions vs. Euclidean time

Example: fermionic bilinear with 0^{-+} quantum numbers



Expected behaviour: $C(T) = A \cosh(m(T - N_t/2))$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



$$m_{eff}(T) = \operatorname{acosh}\left(\frac{C(T-1) + C(T+1)}{2C(T+1)}\right)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ●



(S. Durr *et al,* (BMW Collaboration), Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455 [arXiv:1406.4088])

・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Unitarity of the CKM matrix



Different **tensions** in the V_{us} - V_{ud} plane:

$$\begin{split} |V_u|_O^2 & -1 = 2.8\sigma \\ |V_u|_O^2 & -1 = 5.6\sigma & |V_u|_O^2 & -1 = 3.3\sigma \\ |V_u|_O^2 & -1 = 3.1\sigma & |V_u|_O^2 & -1 = 1.7\sigma \end{split}$$

Experimental and **theoretical** control of these quantities is of crucial importance to solve the issue

・ロン ・ 四 > ・ 回 > ・ - 日 > -

- new measurements (e.g. at NA62) (recent proposal in [V.Cirigliano et al., 2208.11707]: K_{µ3}/K_{µ2})
- improve predictions of radiative corrections and isospin-breaking effects

(Credits: M. Di Carlo)



 $(g_{\mu} - 2 \text{ white paper, arXiv:} 2505.21476)$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶

The Conjecture

In the limit $N \to \infty$ and $g \to 0$ with $\lambda = g^2 N$ fixed, physical quantities in SU(N) gauge theories can be expressed as functions of 1/N (if N_f fermions in the fundamental representations are present) or $1/N^2$ (in the Yang-Mills case), with a finite large-N limit and a convergent series expansion about that limit down to some $N = N^*$

Relevance

- Explanation of observed QCD features (OZI rules, stability of particles, ...)
- Potential for analytic calculations
- Connection with gauge-string dualities

Support

Large-N extrapolation of lattice results

[For a review, see B. Lucini and M. Panero, Phys. Rept. 526 (2013) 93]

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

The lattice approach allows us to go beyond perturbative and diagrammatic arguments. In SU(N) YM, for a given observable

- Continuum extrapolation
 - Determine its value at fixed a and N
 - Extrapolate to the continuum limit
 - Extrapolate to $N \rightarrow \infty$ using a power series in $1/N^2$
- Pixed lattice spacing
 - Choose a in such a way that its value in physical units is common to the various N
 - Determine the value of the observable for that *a* at any *N*
 - Extrapolate to $N \rightarrow \infty$ using a power series in $1/N^2$

Study performed for various observables both at zero and finite temperature for $2 \le N \le 8$ (and N = 17!)

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

(k)-string tensions (closed string channel)

Confining potential: $V(R) = \sigma R$

V(R) can be extracted from Wilson loops (open string channel) or from Polyakov loops (closed string channel)

In the closed string channel

$$C^{\mathcal{RR}}(t) = \left\langle \left(P^{\mathcal{R}}(0) \right)^{\dagger} P^{\mathcal{R}}(t) \right\rangle = \sum_{j} |c_{j}^{\mathcal{RR}}|^{2} e^{-am_{j}^{\mathcal{R}}t} \underset{t \to \infty}{\to} |c_{l}^{\mathcal{RR}}|^{2} e^{-am_{l}^{\mathcal{R}}t}$$

$$P^{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \frac{1}{d_{\mathcal{R}}} \sum_{\hat{k}, \vec{n}\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{j=0}^{l} U_{k}(\vec{n}+j\hat{k},t) , \ am_{l}^{\mathcal{R}} \simeq a^{2}\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}l - c_{\mathcal{R}}\frac{\pi(D-2)}{6l}$$

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

Confining flux tubes



[H. Meyer and M. Teper, JHEP 0412 (2004) 031]

Deconfinement phase transition

- Temperature *T* introduced by taking a lattice $N_s \times N_t$, $N_s \gg N_t [T = (N_t a(\beta))^{-1}]$
- A critical temperature *T_c* exists above which quarks and gluons are deconfined
- The phase transition can be seen as a change of symmetry in the ground state
- The relevant symmetry is $\mathbb{Z}(N)$, under which the ground state is not invariant above T_c
- The order parameter is the Polyakov loop

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{n}} \operatorname{Tr} \prod_{j=0}^{N_t-1} U_4(\vec{n}, j)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・



[BL, A. Rago, E. Rinaldi, Phys. Lett. B712 (2012) 279]

◆ロ → ◆御 → ◆注 → ◆注 → □注

Order parameter near T_c



SU(7) theory, $N_t = 7$, $N_s = 14$

History and histogram of L near T_c



SU(7) theory, $N_t = 7$, $N_s = 14$

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト ・

E

Susceptibility of L near T_c



SU(7) theory, $N_t = 7$, $N_s = 14$

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同> 、

æ

Error analysis of χ



SU(7) theory, $N_t = 7$, $N_s = 14$

Infinite volume extrapolation of β_c



SU(7) theory, $N_t = 7$, various N_s

イロン イヨン イヨン

E

Deconfinement temperature



[See also B. Lucini, M. Teper and U. Wenger, JHEP 0401 (2004) 061]

Extrapolation to the continuum limit

Example Glueball masses in SU(4)



▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ →

æ

Glueball masses at large N



[B. Lucini, M. Teper and U. Wenger, JHEP 0406 (2004) 012]

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

< ∃ >

Masses at $N = \infty$

$$m^{++}$$
 $\frac{m}{\sqrt{\sigma}} = 3.28(8) + \frac{2.1(1.1)}{N^2}$

$$0^{++*} \qquad \frac{m}{\sqrt{\sigma}} = 5.93(17) - \frac{2.7(2.0)}{N^2}$$

2⁺⁺
$$\frac{m}{\sqrt{\sigma}} = 4.78(14) + \frac{0.3(1.7)}{N^2}$$

Accurate $N = \infty$ value, small $\mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$ correction



Lattice spacing fixed by requiring $aT_c = 1/6$

< □ > < □ > < □ > .

E

Spectrum at $aT_c = 1/6$



[B. Lucini, A. Rago and E. Rinaldi, JHEP 1008 (2010) 119]

・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

E

Meson masses extracted from large time behaviour of mesonic correlators

Particle	Bilinear	J^{PC}
a_0	$\mathbb{I}, \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2$	0^{++}_{-+}
$\begin{array}{c} \pi \\ \rho \end{array}$	$\psi_1\gamma_5\psi_2, \psi_1\gamma_0\gamma_5\psi_2 \ \bar{\psi}_1\gamma_i\psi_2, \bar{\psi}_1\gamma_0\gamma_i\psi_2$	0^{-+} $1^{}$
$egin{array}{c} a_1 \ b_1 \end{array}$	$\psi_1\gamma_5\gamma_i\psi_2\ ar\psi_1\gamma_i\gamma_j\psi_2$	1^{++} 1^{+-}

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

크

 β fixed by imposing that $aT_c = 1/5$

Another measured quantity (e.g. $\sigma)$ could be used \Rightarrow differences are $\mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$

Bare quark mass fixed (a posteriori!) by κ

Strategy

Study masses at fixed lattice spacing and various κ and fit to the expected behaviour to compare various N

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・



At small m_{π}

$$m_{\rho} = Am_{\pi}^2 + B$$

B. Lucini Lattce Gauge Theories



Fit with an $\mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$ correction only

・ロト ・ 四 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト ・

æ



Fit with an $\mathcal{O}(1/N^2)$ correction only

< 日 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

æ



[L. Del Debbio, B. Lucini, A. Patella and C. Pica, JHEP 0803 (2008) 062]

・ロン ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・

Chiral extrapolation of m_{π} - fixing σ



[G. Bali and F. Bursa, JHEP 0809 (2008) 110]

・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト

æ



[G. Bali and F. Bursa, JHEP 0809 (2008) 110]

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

Approaching $N = \infty$ – The isotriplet spectrum



[G. Bali, F. Bursa, L. Castagnini, S. Collins, L. Del Debbio, B. Lucini and M. Panero, JHEP 1306 (2013) 071]

< 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Comparison with QCD



 $\sqrt{\sigma}$ fixed from the condition $\hat{F}_{\infty}=85.9~{
m MeV},~m_{ud}$ from $m_{\pi}=138~{
m MeV}$

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ 回・ ・ 日・

E

Finite a corrections – SU(7)



B. Lucini Lattce Gauge Theories

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Spectrum in a model for Higgs Compositeness



(E. Bennett, BL *et al.*, (the TELOS collaboration), Phys.Rev.D 110 (2024) 7, 074509, [arXiv:2405.01388])

A B > A B > A B