

Giacomo Mazzone;

Secretary General of Eurovisioni, mazzone@eurovisioni.it

The governance of the internet and the policies to connect meaningfully the next 3 billions







The governance of the Internet: a debate still open after 22 years



In 2003 took place in Geneva the first World Summit of Information Society promoted by the UN with the support of IRU and UNESCO. It was supposed to arrive to a global agreement on the Internet governance

The summit didn't bring to any agreement, because of the dispute between countries opposing to any UN regulation (mainly the US) and countries that wanted to apply only national regulation (China, Russia...)



Geneva 2003 followed by Tunis 2005 where the «Tunis agenda» was reached

- After 2 years of negotiations, between Geneva 2003 and a second Summit in Tunisia in 2005, finally the "Tunis agenda" agreement was reached. It basically agreed two parallel discussion processes:
- one multistakeholder (the IGF Internet Governance Forum) hosted by UN but financed by voluntary contributions, as expression of civil society, industry, government, academia and technical community
- and one multilateral (WSIS follow up),
 led by Un agencies (UNGIS) and governments



Both processes need to report back to UNSG with proposed solutions



In 2010 the first WSIS+5 review decided to postpone decision to 2015. In 2015 the WSIS+10 decided to postpone to 2025

- Since 2006 every year the two parallel processes (IGF and WSIS follow up) are gathering each year separately to discuss the main issues of the Internet Governance such as how to extend the access, how to secure the infrastructure, how to protect data privacy, how to prevent shut-downs, etc.
- IGF process is lead by a MAG (Multistakeholders Advisory Group) that decides about the programme of the annual IGF, of which implementation is lead by a Secretariat
- WSIS follow up is lead by UNGIS that gathers concerned UN agencies



IGF since 2010 has launched many intersessional activities that makes its action permanent and all over the places

- NRI National and Regional Initiatives, that are continental and national IGF chapters where the topics discussed at the global gathering are discussed and prepared in advance. Today there are 177 of these initiatives covering the whole globe
- Dynamic Coalitions are spontaneous aggregation of groups sharing the same scopes or objectives (such as gender issues, disabilities, freedom of expression, etc.). Today there are 32 of these include the one on interplanetary networks mentioned here many times
- Policy Networks are 4 networks of experts or of organizations dealing with specific policies, such as: A.I., cybersecurity, internet fragmentation and meaningful access



PNMA – Policy network on meaningful access

https://www.intgovforum.org/en/content/policy-network-on-meaningful-access-pnma

- IGF has been created in 2021 policy networks. One of them is PNMA
- PNMA is born from the merge of the Best Practice Forum on Access and the BPF on local contents and languages
- Its scope is to detect all over the world policies and solutions that have proved to be successful in implementing access to the Internet but also to useful services meaningful to citizens at affordable rates
- Since 2021 a repository of these policies and best practices has been created and is accessible at

https://intgovforum.org/en/content/pnma-repository



PNMA - Monitoring of the implementation of good practices

TEMPLATE FOR NEW PRACTICES

How to navigate this document: please make a copy of the template to include your information. **Template 1 - new case:**

Filed under (choose one): connectivity **OR** digital inclusion **OR** capacity development

Case 1: Template	Title of the project / policy
Location:	Country, city, province
Timeframe:	Year(s) of event (planned, ongoing, past project)
Funding:	If not disclosed/available, write n/a
Responsible institutions / partners / people:	
What is the problem?	 Specifics (if any)

sent back in Word format via email to iglesias.daphnee@gmail.com

Which were the actions taken to address the	•
problem(s)?	•
	•
Results / Impact / Lessons learned (what	Results:
worked / remaining	o
challenges)	o
	o
	o
	o
	Impact:
	o
	o
	o
	Lessons learned:
	o
	o



Giacomo Mazzone;

Secretary General of Eurovisioni, mazzone@eurovisioni.it

Thank you for the attention



