Week	Core objective	Daily structure (Mon-Fri)	Plenary seminars	Structured outputs and support formats
Week 1: Scoping, diagnostic review, and manuscript architecture	Establish a clear scholarly argument, define the paper's contribution, and agree a realistic route to submission	**Morning progress briefing (15-20 min):** progress since previous day; planned deliverables; constraints. **Plenary seminar (30-40 min):** Delivery of special sessions covering specific topics of paper writing. **Protected writing blocks (AM/PM):** uninterrupted drafting/revision. **End-of-day accountability (optional, 20-30 min):** brief reporting against commitments; identification of unresolved issues.	**1) Manuscript architecture and contribution framing:** problem-gap-approach-contribution-significance; role of literature review; aligning claims with evidence. (March 1) **2) Use of AI tools in the process of paper writing**. (March 2) **3) Abstract, title, and keywords clinic:** structured abstracts; precision in claims; discoverability and scope alignment. (March 3) **4) Publication pathway orientation:** journal fit and editorial expectations; desk-reject risk factors; overview of special issues and submission timelines. (March 4)	**Manuscript diagnostic memo (1-2 pages):** key strengths, major gaps, priority revisions, and a time-bounded workplan. (Before training) **One-slide research narrative:** paper's contribution in a single coherent storyline (for internal peer feedback). (March 1) **Target venue shortlist (2-3 journals / relevant special issue):** rationale, scope fit, and compliance requirements. (March 5)
Week 2: Substantive revision, coherence, and evidentiary strengthening	Produce a complete, coherent near-final draft with improved argumentation, structure, and presentation of results	Same cadence as Week 1, plus **mid-week peer accountability** (paired check-in, 30 min) focused on deliverables and bottlenecks.	**1) Results-discussion discipline and interpretive writing:** distinguishing reporting from interpretation; articulating implications without overclaiming; positioning limitations appropriately. (March 8) **2) Figures, tables, and narrative integration:** selecting and refining "decision-critical" visuals; captions as standalone explanatory text; consistency and reproducibility. (March 9) **3) Scholarly integrity and citation practice:** claims-to-citations mapping; paraphrasing norms; data/code availability statements; authorship, acknowledgements, and competing interests. (March 10) **4) Design of supplementary material and technical appendices:** Main text vs supplement; formatting supplementary material; structure and readability of technical appendices. (March 11)	**Mid-residency progress review ("editorial board"):** 10-minute update per scholar; risks and mitigation; support needs. (March 8) **Structured peer review exchange:** rubric-based feedback on contribution clarity, coherence, methodological transparency, and limitations. (March 10) **Revised full draft:** strengthened introduction/discussion; improved figures/tables; stabilised references and supporting statements. (March 12)
Week 3: Submission readiness,	Finalise a submission-ready manuscript package	Same cadence as Week 2, with **submission sprints** (two extended drafting blocks/day)	**1) Submission package workshop:** cover letter, highlights, graphical abstract (if relevant), suggested reviewers; ethical	**Final "paper surgery" round:** small-group, high-intensity line editing focused on grammar,

compliance, and research uptake	and strengthen pathways to dissemination and uptake	and **finalisation checklists** to ensure completeness and compliance.	statements; formatting and reporting standards. (March 15) **2) Navigating peer review:** response-to-reviewers strategies; revision planning; tone and evidence in rebuttals; change tracking. (March 16) **3) Uptake and dissemination:** preprints and repositories; plain-language summaries; positioning outputs for relevant policy/science interfaces (including potential links to IPCC processes) and for submission to the planned special issue. (March 17) 4) How to convert a paper into a policy brief – optional (March 18)	clarity, and argumentative precision. (March 15) **Two final deliverables:** (i) preprint-ready version; (ii) journal-submission-ready version. (March 17) **Uptake pack:** 150-200 word plain-language summary + short dissemination plan (preprint, special issue option, social media, targeted audiences, and next steps). (March 18)