#### X-ray Photon Correlation Spectroscopy (XPCS)

#### at Synchrotron and FEL sources

**Christian Gutt** 

Department of Physics, University of Siegen, Germany

gutt@physik.uni-siegen.de

### Outline

- How to measure dynamics in condensed matter systems
- Coherence
- X-ray speckle patterns
- How to exploit X-ray intensity fluctuations
- Examples for slow dynamics
- XPCS at FEL sources



#### How to measure dynamics in condensed matter systems

timet time t+Z Z

#### How to measure dynamics in condensed matter systems



 $F(Q,\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum \sum \exp(iQ(r_j(t) - r_k(t+\tau)))$  **Time domain** intermediate scattering function

 $S(Q,\omega) = \int F(Q,\tau) \exp(i\omega\tau) d\tau$ 

#### Frequency domain

dynamic structure factor

Elastic processes – waves, phonons...

Restoring force – the system goes back to its previous configuration



t,

#### **Relaxational processes – diffusion, viscosity...**



No restoring force –
 the system evolves with time and does not come back





#### An example – molecular dynamics simulation of liquid water

Intermediate scattering function is complex (many correlation processes) and spans many orders of magntiude -> experiments in the time domain







#### Optical Speckles



Incoherent light

Coherent light

Close up

#### VLC movie

#### Coherent scattering from disorder: Speckle

### sample with disorder (e.g. domains)



- Incoherent Beam:
   Diffuse Scattering
  - Measures averages

#### •Coherent Beam: Speckle

•Speckle depends on exact arrangement

•Speckel statistics encodes coherence properties







O. G. Shpyrko et al., Nature 447, 68 (2007)

XPCS – Theory  

$$\langle I(t)I(t+\tau)\rangle = \langle E(t)E^{*}(t)E(t+\tau)E^{*}(t+\tau)\rangle$$

Gaussian momentum theorem

$$= \left\langle E(t)E^{*}(t)\right\rangle \left\langle E(t+\tau)E^{*}(t+\tau)\right\rangle + \left|\left\langle E(t)E^{*}(t+\tau)\right\rangle\right|^{2}$$

$$\left\langle I(t)\right\rangle \qquad \left\langle I(t)\right\rangle \qquad g_{1}(\tau)$$

$$\frac{\left\langle I(t)I(t+\tau)\right\rangle}{\left\langle I(t)\right\rangle^2} = 1 + \left|g_1(\tau)\right|^2$$

# XPCS Theory $E(t) = A \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j \exp(iqr_j(t))$

$$g_1(q,\tau) = A^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^N b_k b_j \exp(iq(r_j(t) - r_k(t+\tau)))$$

Time dependent density correlation function

### Experiment

$$\frac{\left\langle I(t)I(t+\tau)\right\rangle}{\left\langle I(t)\right\rangle^{2}} = 1 + \beta \left|g_{1}(\tau)\right|^{2}$$
  
Speckle contrast < 1

#### Speckle blurring leads to small contrast

Partial coherence of the x-ray source

Detector pixels P larger than speckle size S

$$S \approx \frac{\lambda}{D} \times L$$



### High coherence Low coherence





### Coherence



#### Spatial coherence

#### Temporal coherence

A. L. Schawlow "Laser Light" Scientific American, 219 (3), p. 120, (1968)

### Young's Double Slit Experiment

Thomas Young's Double Slit Experiment





Thomas young

Thomas Young, 1773-1829

- Light is a wave
- Visibility (coherence)

$$v = \frac{I_{\max} - I_{\min}}{I_{\max} + I_{\min}}$$

#### Spatial coherence in Young's Double-Slit experiment



Born and Wolf, Optics









Fringe visibility as a function of distance between the pinholes

 $\Gamma(r_1, r_2, \tau) = \langle V^*(r_1, t) V(r_2, t + \tau) \rangle$ 

No fringes visibility: "coherence length exceeded"

#### Young's experiment with X-rays





Leitenberger et al. J. Synchrotron Rad. 11, 190 (2004)

#### Young's experiment at an XFEL (here LCLS)



Vartaniants et al. PRL 2012





Vartaniants et al. PRL 2012



Vartaniants et al. PRL 2012

### **Coherent X-rays**

third generation synchrotron sources (ESRF, APS, Spring-8,...) (and soon : Diamond, Soleil, SLS, Petra-III)





 $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \underline{Longitudinal \ coherence \ length} \ \xi_{L} \\ \hline \xi_{L} = \lambda \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{\Delta \lambda}\right) \approx l \mu m \\ \hline \lambda = 1.55 \text{\AA} \ , \ \text{Si}(111) \ \Delta \lambda / \lambda = 1.4 \ \cdot 10^{-4} \end{array}$ 

Selectioning coherent part of the beam

💠 beam size ≈ ξ<sub>τ</sub>× ξ<sub>τ</sub>

A. Robert, SLAC

Contrast (Visibility)  $\beta(Q)$  of a speckle pattern is determined by the coherence properties of the X-ray beam

$$\beta(Q) = \frac{1}{V^2 \langle |E|^2 \rangle^2} \int_V \int_V |\Gamma(\vec{r_2} - \vec{r_1}, \vec{Q} \cdot (\vec{r_2} - \vec{r_1}) / ck_o)|^2 d\vec{r_1} d\vec{r_2}$$

 $\Gamma(\mathbf{r},\tau)$  mutual coherence function (MCF)





#### Speckle size needs to match pixel size of detector





### **Brilliance of X-rays Sources**

Coherent Flux:  $F_0 = B \lambda^2 (\Delta \lambda / \lambda)$ (ESRF: ID10A  $F_0 \sim 10^{10}$  ph/s)

# Examples

### Antiferromagnetic domain fluctuations in Chromium

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

Time

# **Correlation functions**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Quantum rotation of spin blocks

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Blue line: Thermally activated jumps over an energy barrier

Red line: Quantum tunneling through an energy barrier

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

### How Solid are Glasses ?

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

PABLO G. DEBENEDETTI AND FRANK H. STILLINGER, Nature 410, 259 (2001)

# Atomic dynamics in metallic glasses

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

B. Ruta et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 165701 (2012)B. Ruta et al. Nature Comm. 5, 3939 (2014)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Reality check for glasses

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Fast relaxation dynamics exists below the glass transition temperature Tg.
- Glasses are not completely frozen in
- Stress dominates dynamics below Tg

B. Ruta et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 165701 (2012)B. Ruta et al. Nature Comm. 5, 3939 (2014)

### XPCS at diffraction limited strorage rings (DLSR)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Coherent Flux:  $F_0 = B \lambda^2 (\Delta \lambda / \lambda)$ 

#### Increase of B by factor 50 - 100

up to 10.000 times faster time scale accessible in XPCS

$$\tau \sim 1/B^2$$

unusual scaling because XPCS correlates pairs of photons

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Problems that can be adressed at DLSR

- Dynamics in the supercooled state
- Dynamics in confinement
- Domain fluctuations in hard condensed matter
- Protein diffusion in cells
- Kinetics of biomineralization processes
- Liquids under extreme conditions (e.g. pressure)
- Driven dynamics under external (B,E,T) fields
- Local structures and their relaxations

•

### **XPCS** at **XFELs**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Serial mode

#### Temporal resolution depends on rep rate of the machine

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Ultrafast XPCS using a split and delay line

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

Delay times between 100 fs and 1 ns

# Measure speckle contrast as a function of pulse separation

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Ultrafast XPCS at XFEL dynamics in extreme conditions

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dynamics on time-scales ranging from 100 fs to 1000 ps

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

J.A. Sellberg et al. Nature 510, 381 (2014)

#### THz Excitation of Water

#### Ultrafast Energy Transfer to Liquid Water by Sub-Picosecond High-Intensity Terahertz Pulses: An Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics Study\*\*

Pankaj Kr. Mishra, Oriol Vendrell,\* and Robin Santra

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Pump-probe XPCS in Plasma Physics

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

Kluge, Gutt et al. Plasma Physics 2014

# The end