Quantum quenches and many-body localization

Marcos Rigol

Department of Physics The Pennsylvania State University

Entanglement and non-equilibrium physics of pure and disordered systems ICTP, Trieste July 26, 2016

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 1 / 26

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Introduction Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)

Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: quasi-periodic lattice vs disorder

Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs)
 Numerical linked cluster expansions

- Numerical linked cluster expansions for quantum quenches
- Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)

[J. Deutsch, PRA **43** 2046 (1991); M. Srednicki, PRE **50**, 888 (1994) & JPA **32** 1163 (1999); MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008).]

• Matrix elements of observables in the basis of the Hamiltonian eigenstates

$$O_{mn} = O\left(\bar{E}\right)\delta_{mn} + e^{-S\left(\bar{E}\right)/2} f_O\left(\bar{E},\omega\right) R_{mn},$$

where $\overline{E} \equiv (E_m + E_n)/2$, $\omega \equiv E_n - E_m$, and $S(\overline{E})$ is the thermodynamic entropy at energy \overline{E} . $O(\overline{E})$ and $f_O(\overline{E}, \omega)$ are smooth functions of their arguments, and R_{mn} is a random variable with zero mean and unit variance.

L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and MR, *From Quantum Chaos and Eigenstate Thermalization to Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, arXiv:1509.06411 (Advances in Physics, in press).

Integrability to quantum chaos transition in 1D

Spinless fermions with nearest and next nearest neighbors in 1D

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ -J \left(\hat{f}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+1} - J' \left(\hat{f}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.} \right) + V' \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i+2} \right\}$$

L. Santos and MR, PRE 81, 036206 (2010).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Integrability to quantum chaos transition in 1D

Spinless fermions with nearest and next nearest neighbors in 1D

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ -J\left(\hat{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{f}_{i+1} + \text{H.c.}\right) + V\hat{n}_{i}\hat{n}_{i+1} - J'\left(\hat{f}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{f}_{i+2} + \text{H.c.}\right) + V'\hat{n}_{i}\hat{n}_{i+2} \right\}$$



L. Santos and MR, PRE 81, 036206 (2010).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Let
$$\hat{O} = \sum_i O_i |i
angle \langle i|, ext{ where } \hat{O} |i
angle = O_i |i
angle,$$

$$O_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle \alpha | \hat{O} | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} \langle \alpha | i \rangle \langle i | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} (\psi_{i}^{\alpha})^{*} \psi_{i}^{\beta}$$

 $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are eigenstates of a random matrix. Averaging over $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ (random orthogonal unit vectors in arbitrary bases): $\overline{(\psi_i^{\alpha})^*(\psi_i^{\beta})} = \frac{1}{D} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Let
$$\hat{O} = \sum_i O_i |i
angle \langle i|, ext{ where } \hat{O}|i
angle = O_i |i
angle,$$

$$O_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle \alpha | \hat{O} | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} \langle \alpha | i \rangle \langle i | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} (\psi_{i}^{\alpha})^{*} \psi_{i}^{\beta}$$

 $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are eigenstates of a random matrix. Averaging over $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ (random orthogonal unit vectors in arbitrary bases): $\overline{(\psi_i^{\alpha})^*(\psi_i^{\beta})} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. This means that:

 $\overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i} O_i \equiv \overline{O}, \text{ while } \overline{O_{\alpha\beta}} = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta.$

Let
$$\hat{O} = \sum_i O_i |i
angle \langle i|, ext{ where } \hat{O}|i
angle = O_i |i
angle,$$

$$O_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle \alpha | \hat{O} | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} \langle \alpha | i \rangle \langle i | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} (\psi_{i}^{\alpha})^{*} \psi_{i}^{\beta}$$

 $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are eigenstates of a random matrix. Averaging over $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ (random orthogonal unit vectors in arbitrary bases): $\overline{(\psi_i^{\alpha})^*(\psi_i^{\beta})} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. This means that:

$$\overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i} O_i \equiv \overline{O}, \text{ while } \overline{O_{\alpha\beta}} = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

With more work one can show that ($\eta = 2$ for GOE and $\eta = 1$ for GUE):

$$\overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}^2} - \overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}}^2 = \eta \overline{|O_{\alpha\beta}|^2} = \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{D}^2} \sum_i O_i^2 \equiv \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{D}} \overline{O^2}.$$

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Let
$$\hat{O} = \sum_i O_i |i
angle \langle i|, ext{ where } \hat{O}|i
angle = O_i |i
angle,$$

$$O_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle \alpha | \hat{O} | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} \langle \alpha | i \rangle \langle i | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i} O_{i} (\psi_{i}^{\alpha})^{*} \psi_{i}^{\beta}$$

 $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ are eigenstates of a random matrix. Averaging over $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ (random orthogonal unit vectors in arbitrary bases): $\overline{(\psi_i^{\alpha})^*(\psi_i^{\beta})} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. This means that:

$$\overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{i} O_i \equiv \overline{O}, \text{ while } \overline{O_{\alpha\beta}} = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

With more work one can show that ($\eta = 2$ for GOE and $\eta = 1$ for GUE):

$$\overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}^2} - \overline{O_{\alpha\alpha}}^2 = \eta \overline{|O_{\alpha\beta}|^2} = \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{D}^2} \sum_i O_i^2 \equiv \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{D}} \overline{O^2}.$$

Combining these results one can write

$$O_{\alpha\beta} \approx \bar{O}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sqrt{\frac{\bar{O}^2}{D}}R_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is a random variable (real for GOE and complex for GUE).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Diagonal part of ETH (2D AF-TFIM)

 $\text{Hamiltonian:} \ \hat{H} = J \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{i}}^{z} \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{z} + g \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{i}}^{x} + \varepsilon \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{i}}^{z},$



R. Mondaini, K. R. Fratus, M. Srednicki, and MR, Phys. Rev. E. 93, 032104 (2016).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 6 / 26

★ E ► ★ E ► E

Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state $|\psi_I\rangle = \sum_m C_m |m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \widehat{H}_0 . At $\tau = 0$

$$\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_1$$
 with $\widehat{H}_1 = \sum_j \widehat{h}(j)$ and $\widehat{H}|m\rangle = E_m|m\rangle$.

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state $|\psi_I\rangle = \sum_m C_m |m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \widehat{H}_0 . At $\tau = 0$

$$\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_1$$
 with $\widehat{H}_1 = \sum_j \widehat{h}(j)$ and $\widehat{H}|m\rangle = E_m|m\rangle.$

The width of the weighted energy density ΔE is then

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{m} E_{m}^{2} |C_{m}|^{2} - (\sum_{m} E_{m} |C_{m}|^{2})^{2}} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_{0} | \hat{H}_{1}^{2} | \psi_{0} \rangle - \langle \psi_{0} | \hat{H}_{1} | \psi_{0} \rangle^{2}},$$

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \left[\langle \psi_{0} | \hat{h}(j_{1}) \hat{h}(j_{2}) | \psi_{0} \rangle - \langle \psi_{0} | \hat{h}(j_{1}) | \psi_{0} \rangle \langle \psi_{0} | \hat{h}(j_{2}) | \psi_{0} \rangle \right]} \overset{N \to \infty}{\propto} \sqrt{N}$$

where N is the total number of lattice sites.

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

or

Width of the energy density after a sudden quench

Initial state $|\psi_I\rangle = \sum_m C_m |m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \widehat{H}_0 . At $\tau = 0$

$$\widehat{H}_0 \to \widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_0 + \widehat{H}_1$$
 with $\widehat{H}_1 = \sum_j \widehat{h}(j)$ and $\widehat{H}|m\rangle = E_m|m\rangle.$

The width of the weighted energy density ΔE is then

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{m} E_{m}^{2} |C_{m}|^{2} - (\sum_{m} E_{m} |C_{m}|^{2})^{2}} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_{0} | \hat{H}_{1}^{2} | \psi_{0} \rangle - \langle \psi_{0} | \hat{H}_{1} | \psi_{0} \rangle^{2}},$$

or

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{\sum_{j_1, j_2} \left[\langle \psi_0 | \hat{h}(j_1) \hat{h}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle - \langle \psi_0 | \hat{h}(j_1) | \psi_0 \rangle \langle \psi_0 | \hat{h}(j_2) | \psi_0 \rangle \right]^{N \xrightarrow{\sim}} \sqrt{N}},$$

where *N* is the total number of lattice sites. Since the width of the spectrum $W \propto N$, then the ratio

$$\frac{\Delta E}{W} \stackrel{N \to \infty}{\propto} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}},$$

so, as in any thermal ensemble, it vanishes in the thermodynamic limit.

MR, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and many-body localization

Introduction

- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: quasi-periodic lattice vs disorder

3 Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs)

- Numerical linked cluster expansions
- Numerical linked cluster expansions for quantum quenches
- Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Model Hamiltonian and the MBL transition

Spinless fermion Hamiltonian in 1D

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \left(\hat{f}_i^{\dagger} \hat{f}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \sum_i \left(\hat{n}_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

E. Khatami, MR, A. Relaño, and A. García-García, PRE 85, 050102(R) (2012); arXiv:1103.0787.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Model Hamiltonian and the MBL transition

Spinless fermion Hamiltonian in 1D

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \left(\hat{f}_i^{\dagger} \hat{f}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \sum_i \left(\hat{n}_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

E. Khatami, MR, A. Relaño, and A. García-García, PRE 85, 050102(R) (2012); arXiv:1103.0787.

Hopping amplitudes

Gaussian random distribution $\langle J_{ij} \rangle = 0$

$$\langle (J_{ij})^2 \rangle = \left[1 + \left(\frac{|i-j|}{\beta} \right)^{2\alpha} \right]^{-1}$$

 $V = 0$

- Properties depend on α but not on $\beta > 0$
- $\alpha < 1$, eigenstates are delocalized
- $\alpha > 1$, eigenstates are localized
- $\alpha = 1$, eigenstates are multifractal

Mirlin et al., PRE 54, 3221 (1996).

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Model Hamiltonian and the MBL transition

Spinless fermion Hamiltonian in 1D

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \left(\hat{f}_i^{\dagger} \hat{f}_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + V \sum_i \left(\hat{n}_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

E. Khatami, MR, A. Relaño, and A. García-García, PRE 85, 050102(R) (2012); arXiv:1103.0787.

Hopping amplitudes

Gaussian random distribution $\langle J_{ij} \rangle = 0$

$$\langle (J_{ij})^2 \rangle = \left[1 + \left(\frac{|i-j|}{\beta} \right)^{2\alpha} \right]^{-1}$$

$$V = 0$$

- Properties depend on α but not on $\beta > 0$
- $\alpha < 1$, eigenstates are delocalized
- $\alpha > 1$, eigenstates are localized
- α = 1, eigenstates are multifractal Mirlin *et al.*, PRE **54**, 3221 (1996).

Ergodic-MBL transition

$$\eta = [var - var_{WD}]/[var_P - var_{WD}]$$

var: variance of level spacing distribution



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

July 26, 2016 9 / 26

Breakdown of ETH

Eigenstate thermalization

Observables:

$$\hat{n}(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l,m} e^{ik(l-m)} \hat{f}_l^{\dagger} \hat{f}_m$$
$$\hat{N}(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l,m} e^{ik(l-m)} \hat{n}_l \hat{n}_m$$

Maximal normalized difference:

$$\Delta O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\max} = \frac{\sum_{k} |O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\max}(k) - O_{\mathsf{ME}}(k)|}{\sum_{k} O_{\mathsf{ME}}(k)}$$

Disorder average:

$$\langle \Delta O^{\rm max}_{\alpha\alpha}\rangle_{\rm dis}$$

Constant effective temperature: (T = 10) $E_{\text{ME}} = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr}[\hat{H}e^{-H/(k_B T)}]$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Breakdown of ETH

Eigenstate thermalization Observables:

$$\hat{n}(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l,m} e^{ik(l-m)} \hat{f}_l^{\dagger} \hat{f}_m$$

$$\hat{n}(l) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l,m} e^{ik(l-m)} \hat{f}_l^{\dagger} \hat{f}_m$$

$$\hat{N}(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l,m} e^{ik(l-m)} \hat{n}_l \hat{n}_m$$

Maximal normalized difference:

$$\Delta O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\max} = \frac{\sum_{k} |O_{\alpha\alpha}^{\max}(k) - O_{\mathsf{ME}}(k)}{\sum_{k} O_{\mathsf{ME}}(k)}$$

Disorder average:

$$\langle \Delta O^{\max}_{\alpha\alpha} \rangle_{\rm dis}$$

Constant effective temperature: (T = 10)

$$E_{\mathsf{ME}} = \frac{1}{Z} \mathsf{Tr}[\hat{H}e^{-H/(k_B T)}]$$



Introduction

- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: guasi-periodic lattice vs disorder

Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs)
 Numerical linked cluster expansions
 Numerical linked cluster expansions for guantum guenches

Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Experimental results

Hubbard Hamiltonian in 1D: $[\varepsilon_i = \Delta \cos(2\pi\beta i + \phi), \text{ and } \beta \approx 0.721]$

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{i,\sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + U\sum_{i}^{L}\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i\sigma}\varepsilon_{i}\hat{n}_{i\sigma}$$

Schreiber et al., Science 349, 842 (2015).



Experimental results

Hubbard Hamiltonian in 1D: $[\varepsilon_i = \Delta \cos(2\pi\beta i + \phi)]$, and $\beta \approx 0.721$

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{i,\sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + U\sum_{i}^{L}\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i\sigma}\varepsilon_{i}\hat{n}_{i\sigma}$$

Schreiber et al., Science 349, 842 (2015).



We add: $(J' = J/2, \text{ and also consider } \varepsilon_i \in [-W/2, W/2], \text{ at quarter filling})$ $\hat{H}' = -J' \sum_{i,\sigma}^{L-2} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+2,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + \mu_b (\hat{n}_{L,\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{L,\downarrow}) + h_b (\hat{n}_{1,\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{1,\downarrow})$ R. Mondaini and MR, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 041601(R) (2015).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL

Results for $r_n = \min[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E] / \max[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E]$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

▶ < ≣ ▶ ≣ ৩৭৫ July 26, 2016 13 / 26

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Results for $r_n = \min[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E] / \max[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E]$



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 13 / 26

Dynamics and thermalization: $|\psi_I\rangle = |\uparrow 0 \downarrow 0 \uparrow 0 \downarrow ...\rangle$

Relaxation Dynamics



$$S = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,j} e^{i\pi(i-j)} \langle (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) (\hat{n}_{j\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}) \rangle$$

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum guenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 14 / 26

Dynamics and thermalization: $|\psi_I\rangle = |\uparrow 0 \downarrow 0 \uparrow 0 \downarrow ...\rangle$

Relaxation Dynamics



Thermalization



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum guenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 14 / 26

Introduction

- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: quasi-periodic lattice vs disorder

Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs) Numerical linked cluster expansions

- Numerical linked cluster expansions for quantum quenches
- Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Linked-Cluster Expansions

Extensive observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ per lattice site (\mathcal{O}) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{c} L(c) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$$

where L(c) is the number of embeddings of cluster c

< A >

Linked-Cluster Expansions

Extensive observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ per lattice site (\mathcal{O}) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{c} L(c) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$$

where L(c) is the number of embeddings of cluster c and $W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$ is the weight of observable \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}(c) = \mathcal{O}(c) - \sum_{s \subset c} W_{\mathcal{O}}(s).$$

 $\mathcal{O}(c)$ is the result for \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$\mathcal{O}(c) = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{O}} \, \hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} \right\},$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} = \frac{1}{Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}}} \exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c} - \mu \hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T}$$
$$Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c} - \mu \hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T} \right\}$$

and the s sum runs over all subclusters of c.

Linked-Cluster Expansions

Extensive observables $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ per lattice site (\mathcal{O}) in the thermodynamic limit

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{c} L(c) \times W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$$

where L(c) is the number of embeddings of cluster c and $W_{\mathcal{O}}(c)$ is the weight of observable \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$W_{\mathcal{O}}(c) = \mathcal{O}(c) - \sum_{s \subset c} W_{\mathcal{O}}(s).$$

 $\mathcal{O}(c)$ is the result for \mathcal{O} in cluster c

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(c) &= \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\hat{\mathcal{O}}\,\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}}\right\},\\ \hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} &= \frac{1}{Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}}}\exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c}-\mu\hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T}\\ Z_{c}^{\mathsf{GC}} &= \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\exp^{-\left(\hat{H}_{c}-\mu\hat{N}_{c}\right)/k_{B}T}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

and the *s* sum runs over all subclusters of *c*. In numerical linked cluster expansions (NLCEs) an exact diagonalization of the cluster is used to calculate $\mathcal{O}(c)$ at any temperature. MR, T. Bryant, & R. Singh, PRL **97**, 187202 (2006).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL

July 26, 2016 16 / 26

Finite size effects

 In unordered phases, not all ensemble calculations of finite systems approach the thermodynamic limit the same way

There is a *preferred ensemble* (the grand canonical ensemble) and *preferred boundary conditions* (periodic boundary conditions, so that the system is translationally invariant) for which finite-size effects are exponentially small in the system size. All others exhibit power-law convergence with system size.

D. lyer, M. Srednicki, and MR, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062142 (2015).

Finite size effects

- In unordered phases, not all ensemble calculations of finite systems approach the thermodynamic limit the same way There is a *preferred ensemble* (the grand canonical ensemble) and *preferred boundary conditions* (periodic boundary conditions, so that the system is translationally invariant) for which finite-size effects are exponentially small in the system size. All others exhibit power-law convergence with system size.
- NLCEs convergence is also exponential, but a faster one!

D. lyer, M. Srednicki, and MR, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062142 (2015).

Finite size effects

- In unordered phases, not all ensemble calculations of finite systems approach the thermodynamic limit the same way There is a *preferred ensemble* (the grand canonical ensemble) and *preferred boundary conditions* (periodic boundary conditions, so that the system is translationally invariant) for which finite-size effects are exponentially small in the system size. All others exhibit power-law convergence with system size.
- NLCEs convergence is also exponential, but a faster one!



D. Iyer, M. Srednicki, and MR, Phys. Rev. E 91, 062142 (2015).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Introduction

- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: quasi-periodic lattice vs disorder
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs)
 Numerical linked cluster expansions
 - Numerical linked cluster expansions for quantum quenches
 - Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

MR, PRL 112, 170601 (2014).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

At the time of the quench $\hat{H}_c^I \to \hat{H}_c$, the system is detached from the reservoir. Writing the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c^I in terms of the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{DE}} \equiv \lim_{t' \to \infty} \frac{1}{t'} \int_{0}^{t'} dt \, \hat{\rho}(t) = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{c} \, |\alpha_{c}\rangle \langle \alpha_{c}|$$

where

$$W^c_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_a e^{-(E^c_a - \mu_I N^c_a)/T_I} |\langle \alpha_c | a_c \rangle|^2}{Z^I_c},$$

 $|\alpha_c\rangle$ (ε^c_{α}) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the final Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c in c.

MR, PRL 112, 170601 (2014).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

• □ • • @ • • = • • = •

Diagonal ensemble and NLCEs

The initial state is in thermal equilibrium in contact with a reservoir

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{I} = \frac{\sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu_{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}} |a_{c}\rangle \langle a_{c}|}{Z_{c}^{I}}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{c}^{I} = \sum_{a} e^{-(E_{a}^{c} - \mu^{I} N_{a}^{c})/T_{I}},$$

 $|a_c\rangle$ (E_a^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the initial Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c^I in c.

At the time of the quench $\hat{H}_c^I \to \hat{H}_c$, the system is detached from the reservoir. Writing the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c^I in terms of the eigenstates of \hat{H}_c

$$\hat{\rho}_{c}^{\mathsf{DE}} \equiv \lim_{t' \to \infty} \frac{1}{t'} \int_{0}^{t'} dt \, \hat{\rho}(t) = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{c} \, |\alpha_{c}\rangle \langle \alpha_{c}|$$

where

$$W^c_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_a e^{-(E^c_a - \mu_I N^c_a)/T_I} |\langle \alpha_c | a_c \rangle|^2}{Z^I_c},$$

 $|\alpha_c\rangle$ (ε_{α}^c) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the final Hamiltonian \hat{H}_c in c.

Using $\hat{\rho}_c^{\text{DE}}$ in the calculation of $\mathcal{O}(c)$, NLCEs allow one to compute observables in the DE in the thermodynamic limit.

MR, PRL 112, 170601 (2014).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト - ヨ

Quenches in the XXZ model (Neel initial state)



B. Wouters et al., PRL 113, 117202 (2014); MR, PRE 90, 031301(R) (2014).

Introduction

- Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)
- Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (ED)
 Spinless fermions with random hopping
 Hubbard model: quasi-periodic lattice vs disorder

Non-equilibrium dynamics in the presence of disorder (NLCEs)

- Numerical linked cluster expansions
- Numerical linked cluster expansions for quantum quenches
- Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Summary

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Hamiltonian with diagonal disorder

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \left[-J(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{b}_{i+1} + \mathsf{H.c.}) + V\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + h_{i}\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]$$

binary disorder (equal probabilities for $h_i = \pm h$).

B. Tang, D. Iyer, and MR, Phys. Rev. B 91, 161109(R) (2015).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

(a)

Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Hamiltonian with diagonal disorder

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \left[-J(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{b}_{i+1} + \mathsf{H.c.}) + V\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + h_{i}\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]$$

binary disorder (equal probabilities for $h_i = \pm h$).

Disorder average restores translational invariance (exactly!)

$$\mathcal{O}(c) = \left\langle \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}\hat{\rho}_c] \right\rangle_{\mathrm{dis}},$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rm dis}$ represents the disorder average.

B. Tang, D. Iyer, and MR, Phys. Rev. B 91, 161109(R) (2015).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Hard-core bosons with binary disorder

Hamiltonian with diagonal disorder

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i} \left[-J(\hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger}\hat{b}_{i+1} + \mathsf{H.c.}) + V\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\hat{n}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + h_{i}\left(\hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]$$

binary disorder (equal probabilities for $h_i = \pm h$).

Disorder average restores translational invariance (exactly!)

$$\mathcal{O}(c) = \left\langle \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}\hat{\rho}_c] \right\rangle_{\mathrm{dis}},$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\rm dis}$ represents the disorder average.

Initial state: $J_I = 0.5$, $V_I = 2.5$, $h_j = 0$, and T_I (no disorder) Final Hamiltonian: J = 1, V = 2, and different values of $h \neq 0$

B. Tang, D. Iyer, and MR, Phys. Rev. B 91, 161109(R) (2015).

Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Disordered systems and many-body localization

Ratio of consecutive energy gaps



Ratio between the smaller and the larger of two consecutive energy gaps

$$r_n = \min[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E] / \max[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E], \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_n^E \equiv E_{n+1} - E_n$$

we compute $r = \langle \langle r_n^{\text{dis}} \rangle_n \rangle_{\text{dis}}$. Continuous disorder: $h_c \approx 7.4$ [Luitz, Laflorencie & Alet, PRB **91**, 081103 (2015).] $h_c \approx 9$ [T. Devakul & R.R.P. Singh, PRL **115**, 187201 (2015).]

Disordered systems and many-body localization

Ratio of consecutive energy gaps **Diagonal vs Thermal** 0.55 $T_r = 2.0$ 0.05 r = 0.53ੁੱ -0.1 0.6 0.5 -0.15 J=1, V=2 L=14 **0**→0 L=14 (² u² 0.5 □--□ L=15 ► 0.45 $\Delta L = 16$ DE GE 0.4 04 - - h = 6.0 Initial 0.35 $\pi/4$ $\pi/2$ $3\pi/2$ 0.5 h

Ratio between the smaller and the larger of two consecutive energy gaps

$$r_n = \min[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E] / \max[\delta_{n-1}^E, \delta_n^E], \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_n^E \equiv E_{n+1} - E_n$$

we compute $r = \langle \langle r_n^{\text{dis}} \rangle_n \rangle_{\text{dis}}$. Continuous disorder: $h_c \approx 7.4$ [Luitz, Laflorencie & Alet, PRB **91**, 081103 (2015).] $h_c \approx 9$ [T. Devakul & R.R.P. Singh, PRL **115**, 187201 (2015).]

Scaling of the differences: $\delta(m)_l = \frac{\sum_k |(m_k)_l^{\mathsf{DE}} - (m_k)_{14}^{\mathsf{GE}}|}{\sum_k |(m_k)_{14}^{\mathsf{DE}}|}$



< ロ > < 四 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Scaling of the differences: $\delta(m)_l = \frac{\sum_k |(m_k)_l^{\mathsf{DE}} - (m_k)_{14}^{\mathsf{GE}}|}{\sum_k |(m_k)_{14}^{\mathsf{GE}}|}$



July 26, 2016 24 / 26

- Signatures of MBL, no eigenstate thermalization and/or failure of the system to thermalize after a quench, in three different models involving spinless and spinful fermions, and hard-core bosons.
- MBL for spinful fermions requires a disorder strength that is several times the single-particle bandwidth. This might be hidden by finite-time and finite-size effects in the experiments.
- Numerical linked cluster expansions (NLCEs) provide an alternative way to look into these problems starting from a thermodynamic limit formulation.

Collaborators

Ehsan Khatami (→ San Jose State) Armando Relaño (Complutense de Madrid) Antonio M. García-García (Cambridge)

Baoming Tang (\longrightarrow Exabeam)

Deepak Iyer (----> Bucknell)

Rubem Mondaini (----> Beijing CSRC)

PRE 85, 050102(R) (2012)

PRB 91, 161109(R) (2015)

PRA 92, 041601(R) (2015)

Supported by:



Marcos Rigol (Penn State)

Quantum quenches and MBL