(De)-localization in mean-field quantum glasses

Chris R. Laumann (BU)

Chris Baldwin (UW/BU) Arijeet Pal (Oxford) Antonello Scardicchio (ICTP)

ICTP Workshop on Theory and Practice of Adiabatic Quantum Computers and Quantum Simulation



August 26, 2016

Plan

- Why? Behavior of eigenstates
- Quantum Random Energy Model (QREM)
 Thermodynamics and eigenstates
- Annealing the QREM
 - Lower bounds and estimates
- Quantum p-Spin Model
 - Thermodynamics and eigenstates
- Summary



Thermal Eigenstates



ETH systems behave as their own bath.

Many-body eigenstates agree with equilibrium on subsystems.

$$H |E_i\rangle = E_i |E_i\rangle$$

$$\rho_A = \operatorname{Tr}_{S\setminus A} |E_i\rangle \langle E_i| = \operatorname{Tr}_{S\setminus A} e^{-\beta H}$$

(At correct temperature for E)

Deutsch (1991), Srednicki (1994), Rigol, Dunjko, Olshanii (2008), Pal and Huse (2010), ...

Frozen Eigenstates

Many-body eigenstates locally frozen in particular patterns

- Eigenstate Edwards-Anderson order parameter $q_{ES}=\frac{1}{N}\sum_i \langle\psi|\sigma_i^z|\psi\rangle^2$
- Note: possibly distinct from q_{EA} in Gibbs state

Deutsch (1991), Srednicki (1994), Rigol, Dunjko, Olshanii (2008), Pal and Huse (2010), ...

Many-body Level Statistics



Spectrum exhibits level repulsion (GOE, etc)

Spectrum is Poisson



Local observables smooth

$$M(n) = \langle n | S_0^z | n \rangle = M(\epsilon_n)$$
$$\frac{\delta M(n)}{\delta n} \approx \frac{dM(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} \frac{\delta \epsilon}{\delta n} \approx M'(\epsilon) e^{-Ns(\epsilon)}$$

Local observables frozen per eigenstate

$$\frac{\delta M}{\delta n} = \langle n+1|S_0^z|n+1\rangle - \langle n|S_0^z|n\rangle = O(1)$$

Looking for tractable models

- Analytically tractable ETH-MBL transitions/phases?
- Finite energy density mobility edges?
- Localization is ultimate glass any connection?



(1101)

(1100)

(1110)

(1001)

(1010)

X1 (1000)

(010¹1)

10100)

Г

(0001)

$$P(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi N}} e^{-\frac{E^2}{N}}$$

N-body generalization of SK model

B. Derrida (1980,1981), Y. Goldschmidt (1990), T. Jorg, et al (2008), CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (2014), Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (2016)

Classical Limit: Statistical Mechanics

Microcanonical Canonical $\uparrow \epsilon = \frac{E}{N}$ $s(\epsilon)$

Paramagnet

$$f = -T \log 2 - \frac{1}{4T}$$

$$T_c = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\log 2}}$$
"Glass"

$$f = -\sqrt{\log 2}$$

$$s(\epsilon) = \log 2 - \epsilon^2$$

 $\epsilon_0 = -\sqrt{\log 2}$

$$\overline{n(E)} = 2^N P(E) \sim e^{Ns(E/N)}$$

B. Derrida (1980)

Replica Solution of QREM



- Replica trick in imaginary time representation
- Time and replica dependent order parameters
- Static RS and IRSB ansatzes give three phases...

$$Q_{kk'}^{\alpha\alpha'}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sigma_i^{\alpha}(k) \sigma_i^{\beta}(k')$$

Y. Goldschmidt (1990)

Canonical Phase Diagram



Y. Goldschmidt (1990)

Dynamical Phase Diagram



CRL, Pal, Scardicchio PRL 2014; Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio PRB 2016

Forward Scattering

Leading perturbative wavefunction `forward scattering'

$$\psi_b = \sum_{\text{paths } p: a \to b} \prod_{i \in p} \frac{\Gamma}{E_a - E_i}$$

Localization: amplitudes decay to system size n=N



- Directed random polymer on hypercube
- Amenable to numerical transfer matrix treatment
- Replica treatment of polymer problem identifies transitions as well



Demand typical amplitude decreasing uniformly to n = N

$$\Gamma_c = \epsilon_a$$

• Paths eventually resonate: can we do better?

Proliferation of Resonances



- SRA: Paths typical till resonance at x
- Expected number of resonances $e^{Nf(x,\epsilon)}$
- Entropy function

$$f(x,\epsilon) \equiv x \ln \frac{x\Gamma}{e\epsilon} - \epsilon^2 - x \ln x - (1-x) \ln (1-x)$$



Resonances proliferate beyond

$$\epsilon_c = -\left(\Gamma - \sqrt{2}\Gamma^2 + O(\Gamma^3)\right)$$

• At distance

$$x^* = \sqrt{2}\Gamma + O(\Gamma^2)$$

Central Energies



• Gap typically \sqrt{N} , fluctuations large

$$\psi_b = \sum_{\text{paths } p: a \to b} \prod_{i \in p} \frac{\Gamma}{E_a - E_i}$$

Bound by greedy path at distance n

$$\psi_g \sim \left(\frac{\Gamma N}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma(N-1)}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{\Gamma \cdot 1}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \sim (\Gamma \sqrt{N})^n$$

- Demand amplitudes small gives upper bound on delocalization $\Gamma_c < \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$
- Counting resonances more carefully gives log correction

Replicated Polymers



Typical amplitudes given by quenched average

$$\overline{\ln \psi} = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\overline{\psi^n} - 1}{n}$$



n interacting paths on hypercube

$$\overline{\psi^n} = \sum_{p_1 \cdots p_n} \prod_i \overline{w_i^{r_i(p_1 \cdots p_n)}}$$
$$r_i = \sum_{a=1}^n \mathbf{1} [i \in p_a]$$

Replicated Polymers

• IRSB Ansatz: polymers clump in n/x groups of x paths



$$\overline{Z^n} \approx \left(\sum_p \prod_{i \in p} \overline{w_i^x}\right)^{n/x} = \exp\left[nf(x)\right]$$
$$f(x) = \frac{L}{x} (\log L - 1 + \log \overline{w_i^x})$$

$$\overline{w^x} = \int \frac{dE}{\sqrt{\pi N}} e^{-E^2/N} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{|E_a - E|}\right)^x$$

Finite-size form at zero energy

• **Replica recipe:** $f_{1RSB} = \min_{x \in [0,1]} f(x)$

$$f(x) = \frac{L}{x} (\log L - 1 + \log \overline{w_i^x})$$

$$\overline{w^x} = \int \frac{dE}{\sqrt{\pi N}} e^{-E^2/N} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{|E_a - E|}\right)^x$$

• IRSB holds at finite L but is swamped slowly by L! paths

$$x^* = 1 - \frac{1}{\log \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}L} + O(\log \log L / \log^2 L)$$

Demanding amplitude decays at size L = N

$$\Gamma_c = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{N}\log\sqrt{2/\pi}N} + \cdots$$

Numerical Phase Diagram



Numerical Phase Diagram



Perturbative Rigidity



- All orders give O(I) corrections to extensive energies
- States localized strongly to corners of hypercube
- Cross with paramagnetic state at boundary

T. Jorg et al (2008); Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (2016)

Quantum Annealing

- Annealing transverse field ground state search
- Final finite energy density 'approximate' search
- Scaling of final energy density with time how hard is approximation?



Annealing the QREM

• Unstructured cost function — exponential lower bounds



Annealing the QREM

• Linear ramping — iterated Landau-Zener problem



A bit more structure?

- Random energy model is completely non-local
- More local (/ less tractable?) model?

Quantum p-Spin Glass $H_p = - \sum J_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \hat{\sigma}_{i_1}^z \cdots \hat{\sigma}_{i_p}^z - \Gamma \sum \hat{\sigma}_i^x.$ $(i_1 \cdots i_n)$ $P(J_{i_1\cdots i_p}) = \sqrt{\frac{N^{p-1}}{\pi p!}} e^{-\frac{N^{p-1}}{p!}J_{i_1\cdots i_p}^2}.$ Transverse field **Classical p-Spin Glass** Provides dynamics "The (next) simplest spin glass"

Recovers REM as $\,p \to \infty$

p-body generalization of SK model

Typical fields O(p)



Canonical Phase Diagram $T_c = \sqrt{p} + \cdots$ $T_d = \sqrt{\frac{p}{\ln p}} + \cdot$ Ε Paramagnet $T_s = O(1)$ 0.20.0 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.01.2Classical Г "Glass"

Y. Goldschmidt (1990); Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, F. Ritort (1998)

$$s(x,\epsilon) = -x\ln x - (1-x)\ln(1-x) - \frac{1-(1-2x)^p}{1+(1-2x)^p}\epsilon^2$$



Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

$$s(x,\epsilon) = -x\ln x - (1-x)\ln(1-x) - \frac{1-(1-2x)^p}{1+(1-2x)^p}\epsilon^2$$



Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

$$s(x,\epsilon) = -x\ln x - (1-x)\ln(1-x) - \frac{1-(1-2x)^p}{1+(1-2x)^p}\epsilon^2$$



Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

$$s(x,\epsilon) = -x\ln x - (1-x)\ln(1-x) - \frac{1-(1-2x)^p}{1+(1-2x)^p}\epsilon^2$$



Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

$$s(x,\epsilon) = -x\ln x - (1-x)\ln(1-x) - \frac{1-(1-2x)^p}{1+(1-2x)^p}\epsilon^2$$



Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

Dynamical Phase Diagram $T_c = \sqrt{p} +$ $T_d = \sqrt{\frac{p}{\ln p}} + \cdots$ Delocalized GOE q=0 Localized Poisson $q = 1 - 4T^2\Gamma^2/p^2$ Paramagnet $T_s = O(1)$ 0.0 0.20.4 0.6 0.8 1.01.2Classical Γ "Glass"

Baldwin, CRL, Pal, Scardicchio (soon)

Proliferation of Resonances



- SRA: Paths typical till resonance at x
- Neglect initial cluster; ok at low temperature
- Underestimate resonance proliferation
- Gives O(I/p) correction to phase boundary



Summary

The p-Spin and QREM provides a 'mean-field' model of MBL, and the MBL-ETH transition at finite energy density mobility edge. First order eigenstate transition.

Perturbative treatment in forward approximation — directed random polymer on hypercube.

De-localization transition inside canonical `paramagnetic' phase, but below T_d.

Annealing the QREM is hard. What about p-Spin?



Open Questions

Complete analytic solution of p-Spin/ QREM?

Do thermodynamics reflect dynamical transition? (Existing canonical phase diagrams perhaps not complete.)

No infinite temperature MBL — feature of long-range interactions?

Expected outcome for approximate quantum annealing in p-Spin? Grover speed up somehow?



Many-body Level Statistics

- Level statistics diagnose dynamical phase transition
- Ratio diagnostic cancels DOS fluctuations

$$r_{\alpha}^{(n)} = \min\{\delta_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \delta_{\alpha}^{(n+1)}\} / \max\{\delta_{\alpha}^{(n)}, \delta_{\alpha}^{(n+1)}\}$$
$$\delta_{\alpha}^{(n)} = |E_{\alpha}^{(n)} - E_{\alpha}^{(n-1)}|$$

MBL: Poisson level statistics

$$[r] \approx 0.39$$

ETH: GOE level statistics

 $[r] \approx 0.53$



Spider diagrams

Histogram of delta Z-Magnetization across eigenstates



Spider cuts (flow)



[r] flow (fixed field cuts)



QREM IPRs





