#### Lectures on the Cosmic Microwave Background

Raphael M. Flauger The University of Texas

Summer School on Cosmology, ICTP, June 2016



- Measurement of angular power spectrum and parameter constraints (continued)
- More on primordial anisotropies
- Search for primordial gravitational waves
- Outlook

- Launched on May 14, 2009
- Observed "from" L2 from August 12, 2009
- End of observations for HFI January 2012
- End of observations for LFI August 2013
- Temperature data for "nominal" mission released on March 21, 2013



• First release of full mission data on February 5, 2015

The likelihood is a hybrid of a

- pixel space likelihood for low l
   (T mostly constrains amplitude, P mostly constrains optical depth)
- fiducial Gaussian approximation for high  $\ell$

	2013	2015
low- $\ell$ T	Commander ( $f_{sky} = 0.87$ )	Commander ( $f_{sky} = 0.93$ )
low- $\ell$ P	WMAP( $f_{sky} = 0.76$ )	Planck LFI( $f_{\rm sky} = 0.47$ )
high- $\ell$	CAMspec	Plik

The high- $\ell$  likelihoods for are based on



- I00xI00 spectra up to  $\ell = 1200$
- I43xI43 spectra up up to  $\ell = 2000$
- 143x217 and 217x217 spectra up to  $\ell=2500$

• masks for galactic and point source emission



- power spectrum templates to model diffuse galactic emission and extragalactic foregrounds
- analytic, fiducial Gaussian approximation for likelihood as discussed earlier
- noise properties from fit of Planck noise model to map half-differences

#### Noise from half-mission differences



#### LCDM

# Once we have produced a likelihood, we can run our favorite Markov Chain Monte Carlo routine

Parameter	Planck TT+lowP
$\Omega_{ m b}h^2$	$0.02222 \pm 0.00023$
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$	$0.1197 \pm 0.0022$
$100\theta_{MC}$	$1.04085 \pm 0.00047$
au	$0.078 \pm 0.019$
$\ln(10^{10}A_{\rm s})$	$3.089 \pm 0.036$
$n_{\rm s}$	$0.9655 \pm 0.0062$
$H_0$	$67.31 \pm 0.96$
$\Omega_{\rm m}$	$0.315 \pm 0.013$
$\sigma_8 \dots \dots$	$0.829 \pm 0.014$
$10^9 A_8 e^{-2\tau}$	$1.880 \pm 0.014$





Planck+WP

Planck+WP+BAO



Recall that the temperature anisotropy is given by

$$\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_T(\vec{x} = 0, -\hat{n}, t_0)$$

where  $\Delta_T(\vec{x}, \hat{p}, t_0)$  satisfies a Boltzmann equation.

We looked for solutions of the form

$$\Delta_T(\vec{x}, \hat{p}, t) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q}) \Delta_T(q, \mu, t) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

and expanded  $\Delta_T(q,\mu,t)$  in terms of Legendre polynomials

$$\Delta_T(q,\mu,t) = \sum_{\ell} (-i)^{\ell} (2\ell+1) P_{\ell}(\mu) \Delta_{T,\ell}(q,t)$$

to arrive at the Boltzmann hierarchy.

For scalar perturbations

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Delta}_{T,\ell}^{(S)}(q,t) &+ \frac{q}{a(2\ell+1)} \left[ (\ell+1) \Delta_{T,\ell+1}^{(S)}(q,t) - \ell \Delta_{T,\ell-1}^{(S)}(q,t) \right] \\ &= -\omega_c(t) \Delta_{T,\ell}^{(S)}(q,t) - 2\dot{A}_q \delta_{\ell,0} + 2q^2 \dot{B}_q \left( \frac{1}{3} \delta_{\ell,0} - \frac{2}{15} \delta_{\ell,2} \right) \\ &+ \omega_c \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)} \delta_{\ell,0} + \frac{1}{10} \omega_c \Pi \delta_{\ell,2} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{q}{a} \omega_c \delta u_{bq} \delta_{\ell,1} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Delta}_{P,\ell}^{(S)}(q,t) + \frac{q}{a(2\ell+1)} \left[ (\ell+1) \Delta_{P,\ell+1}^{(S)}(q,t) - \ell \Delta_{P,\ell-1}^{(S)}(q,t) \right] \\ = -\omega_c(t) \Delta_{P,\ell}^{(S)}(q,t) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_c(t) \Pi(q,t) \left( \delta_{\ell,0} + \frac{1}{5} \delta_{\ell,2} \right) \end{split}$$

Let's undo the last step and consider the equation satisfied by  $\Delta_T^{(S)}(q,\mu,t).$ 

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Delta}_{T}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) + i \frac{q\mu}{a(t)} \Delta_{T}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) &= -\omega_{c}(t) \Delta_{T}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) \\ + \omega_{c} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{c} P_{2}(\mu) \Pi(q,t) \\ + \frac{4iq\mu}{a(t)} \omega_{c}(t) \delta u_{Bq}(t) - 2\dot{A}_{q}(t) + 2q^{2} \mu^{2} \dot{B}_{q}(t) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\Delta}_{P}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) + i \frac{q\mu}{a(t)} \Delta_{P}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) &= -\omega_{c}(t) \Delta_{P}^{(S)}(q,\mu,t) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} \omega_{c}(t) (1-\mu^{2}) \Pi(q,t) \end{split}$$

with source function

$$\Pi = \Delta_{P,0}^{(S)} + \Delta_{T,2}^{(S)} + \Delta_{P,2}^{(S)}$$

The formal solution obtained by line-of-sight integration

$$\begin{split} \Delta_T^{(S)}(q,\mu,t_0) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')} - \int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \\ &\times \left\{ \omega_c \left[ \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)} - \frac{1}{2} P_2(\mu) \Pi(q,t) - 2a^2(t) \ddot{B}_q(t) - 2a(t) \dot{a}(t) \dot{B}_q(t) \right. \\ &\left. + 4i\mu q \left( \delta u_q(t) / a(t) + a(t) \dot{B}_q(t) / 2 \right) \right] \right. \\ &\left. - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left( 2A_q(t) + 2a^2(t) \ddot{B}_q(t) + 2a(t) \dot{a}(t) \dot{B}_q(t) \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

shows that the temperature perturbations consist of two contributions

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)^{(S)} = \left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)^{(S)}_{LSS} + \left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)^{(S)}_{ISW}$$

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{LSS}^{(S)} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q})$$

$$\times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \omega_c(t) \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{8}P_2(\mu)\Pi(q,t) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(t)\ddot{B}_q(t) - \frac{1}{2}a(t)\dot{a}(t)\dot{B}_q(t) \\ + i\mu q \left(\delta u_q(t)/a(t) + a(t)\dot{B}_q(t)/2\right)\right]$$

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{LSS}^{(S)} &= \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q}) \\ & \times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \omega_c(t) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{8}P_2(\mu)\Pi(q,t) - \frac{1}{2}a^2(t)\ddot{B}_q(t) - \frac{1}{2}a(t)\dot{a}(t)\dot{B}_q(t) \\ & \quad +i\mu q \left(\delta u_q(t)/a(t) + a(t)\dot{B}_q(t)/2\right)\right] \end{split}$$



$$\left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{LSS}^{(S)} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q})$$

$$\times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \omega_c(t) \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{8} P_2(\mu) \Pi(q,t) - \frac{1}{2} a^2(t) \ddot{B}_q(t) - \frac{1}{2} a(t) \dot{a}(t) \dot{B}_q(t)\right]$$

 $+i\mu q \left(\delta u_q(t)/a(t) + a(t)\dot{B}_q(t)/2\right)$ 

Intrinsic density fluctuation and gravitational redshifting

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{LSS}^{(S)} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q})$$

$$\times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \omega_c(t)$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{8} P_2(\mu) \Pi(q,t) - \frac{1}{2} a^2(t) \ddot{B}_q(t) - \frac{1}{2} a(t) \dot{a}(t) \dot{B}_q(t) + i\mu q \left( \delta u_q(t) / a(t) + a(t) \dot{B}_q(t) / 2 \right) \right]$$

Intrinsic density fluctuation and gravitational redshifting

Doppler effect



#### Integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{ISW}^{(S)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q}) \\ &\times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \\ &\times \frac{d}{dt} \left(A_q(t) + a^2(t)\ddot{B}_q(t) + a(t)\dot{a}(t)\dot{B}_q(t)\right) \end{split}$$

This contribution can be generated even in the absence of free electrons.



During matter domination the gravitational potential does not evolve

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(A_q(t) + a^2(t)\ddot{B}_q(t) + a(t)\dot{a}(t)\dot{B}_q(t)\right) = 0$$

The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect has two contributions

early contribution:

During recombination radiation is not yet completely negligible.

late contribution:

At late times dark energy becomes important

#### Early vs late ISW



Recombination vs late time contributions



Recombination vs late time contributions



Much of this can be understood analytically. Let us focus on the dominant Sachs-Wolfe and Doppler contributions

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0}\right)_{LSS}^{(S)} &= \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q}) \\ &\times \int_{t_1}^{t_0} dt \, \exp\left[-iq\mu \int_t^{t_0} \frac{dt'}{a(t')}\right] \exp\left[-\int_t^{t_0} dt' \omega_c(t')\right] \omega_c(t) \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t) - \frac{1}{8} P_2(\mu) \Pi(q,t) - \frac{1}{2} a^2(t) \ddot{B}_q(t) - \frac{1}{2} a(t) \dot{a}(t) \dot{B}_q(t) \\ &+ i\mu q \left(\delta u_q(t)/a(t) + a(t) \dot{B}_q(t)/2\right)\right] \end{split}$$

and as a first approximation set  $P(t) \approx \delta(t - t_L)$ .

After neglecting contributions from polarization and anisotropic stress

$$\left( \frac{\Delta T(\hat{n})}{T_0} \right)_{LSS}^{(S)} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha(\vec{q}) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\hat{n}r_L} \\ \times \left[ \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t_L) - \frac{1}{2} a^2(t_L) \ddot{B}_q(t_L) - \frac{1}{2} a(t_L) \dot{a}(t_L) \dot{B}_q(t_L) \right. \\ \left. + i\mu q \left( \delta u_q(t_L) / a(t_L) + a(t_L) \dot{B}_q(t_L) / 2 \right) \right]$$

It is interesting to compute the multipole coefficients

$$a_{T,\ell m}^{(S)} = 4\pi i^{\ell} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \alpha(\vec{q}) Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\hat{q})$$

$$\times \left[ \left( \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{T,0}^{(S)}(q,t_{L}) - \frac{1}{2} a^{2}(t_{L}) \ddot{B}_{q}(t_{L}) - \frac{1}{2} a(t_{L}) \dot{a}(t_{L}) \dot{B}_{q}(t_{L})) \right) j_{\ell}(qr_{L}) \right.$$

$$\left. + iq \left( \delta u_{q}(t_{L}) / a(t_{L}) + a(t_{L}) \dot{B}_{q}(t_{L}) / 2 \right) j_{\ell}'(qr_{L}) \right]$$

The behavior of the spherical Bessel functions for  $\ell \gg 1$  implies that the dominant contributions arises from wave numbers

$$qr_L \approx \ell$$

For the adiabatic solution modes are frozen outside the horizon. So the behavior of modes will be very different for

$$\frac{q}{a_L H_L} < 1 \text{ or } \frac{q}{a_L H_L} > 1$$



Where does the transition happen?

$$\frac{q}{a_L H_L} = \frac{\ell}{a_L r_L H_L} \approx \frac{\ell}{60}$$

- $\ell < 60$  contribution predominantly from modes still frozen during recombination
- $\ell > 60 \qquad \mbox{contribution predominantly from modes} \\ \mbox{inside the horizon during recombination} \end{cases}$

For the frozen long modes we can write the multipole coefficients in terms of the curvature perturbation

$$a_{T,\ell \,m}^{(S)} \approx 4\pi i^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{R}(\vec{q}) Y_{\ell \,m}^*(\hat{q}) \left[ -\frac{1}{5} j_{\ell}(qr_L) \right]$$

and for a scale-invariant\* primordial power spectrum

$$\frac{\ell(\ell+1)C_\ell}{2\pi} = \frac{T_0^2}{25}\Delta_\mathcal{R}^2$$

This is sometimes referred to as the Sachs-Wolfe plateau

(\*) it can also be evaluated for the LCDM power law spectrum

The short modes enter the horizon before recombination. For simplicity we will consider modes that enter during radiation domination.

$$\frac{q}{a_{eq}H_{eq}} = \frac{\ell}{a_{eq}r_LH_{eq}} \approx \frac{\ell}{140} \gg 1$$

When the modes enter a large number of free electrons are present and we can expand in  $q/a\omega_c$ .

This is referred to as the tight-coupling expansion.

At leading order, the Boltzmann hierarchy reduces to the hydrodynamics, and the solutions are sound waves. The Sachs-Wolfe contribution takes the form

$$a_{T,\ell m}^{(S)} = 4\pi i^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{R}(\vec{q}) Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{q}) \\ \times \left[\frac{3}{5} \mathcal{T}(q) R_L - \frac{1}{(1+R_L)^{1/4}} \cos(qr_s)\right] j_{\ell}(qr_L)$$

with

$$R = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_\gamma}$$

baryon loading

$$r_s = \int_0^{t_L} \frac{dt}{a(t)\sqrt{3(1+R(t))}}$$
 (comoving) sound horizon

transfer function

 $\mathcal{T}(q)$ 

There are two effects we have ignored in this approximation.

- I. The solutions oscillate around last scattering and the finite width of the last scattering surface leads to damping.
- 2. The mean free path of the photons becomes comparable to the momentum of the modes for large q which leads to Silk damping.

$$a_{T,\ell m}^{(S)} = 4\pi i^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{R}(\vec{q}) Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{q}) \\ \times \left[ \frac{3}{5} \mathcal{T}(q) R_L - \frac{e^{-\int_0^{t_L} \Gamma(q,t) dt}}{(1+R_L)^{1/4}} \cos(qr_s) \right] j_{\ell}(qr_L)$$

Including the Doppler contribution

$$a_{T,\ell m}^{(S)} = 4\pi i^{\ell} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \mathcal{R}(\vec{q}) Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\hat{q}) \\ \times \left\{ \left[ \frac{3}{5} \mathcal{T}(q) R_{L} - \frac{e^{-\int_{0}^{t_{L}} \Gamma(q,t) dt}}{(1+R_{L})^{1/4}} \cos(qr_{s}) \right] j_{\ell}(qr_{L}) - \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{-\int_{0}^{t_{L}} \Gamma(q,t) dt}}{(1+R_{L})^{3/4}} \sin(qr_{s}) \right] j_{\ell}'(qr_{L}) \right\}$$

- Since the integral is dominated by  $q \approx \ell/r_L$ , the peak positions are set by  $\theta = r_s/r_L$ , which e.g. probes curvature.
- Since  $R \propto \Omega_b$  the relative height of the peaks is a sensitive probe of the baryon abundance.
- The damping scale probes the mean free path of the photons and thus, for example, the Helium abundance.



In addition to the density perturbations, inflation also predicts a nearly scale invariant spectrum of gravitational waves

$$\begin{split} \dot{\tilde{\Delta}}_{T,\ell}^{(T)}(q,t) &+ \frac{q}{a(2\ell+1)} \left[ (\ell+1) \tilde{\Delta}_{T,\ell+1}^{(T)}(q,t) - \ell \tilde{\Delta}_{T,\ell-1}^{(T)}(q,t) \right] \\ &= \left( -2 \dot{\mathcal{D}}_q(t) + \omega_c(t) \Psi(q,t) \right) \, \delta_{\ell,0} - \omega_c(t) \tilde{\Delta}_{T,\ell}^{(T)}(q,t) \\ \dot{\tilde{\Delta}}_{P,\ell}^{(T)}(q,t) + \frac{q}{a(2\ell+1)} \left[ (\ell+1) \tilde{\Delta}_{P,\ell+1}^{(T)}(q,t) - \ell \tilde{\Delta}_{P,\ell-1}^{(T)}(q,t) \right] \\ &= -\omega_c(t) \Psi(q,t) \, \delta_{\ell,0} - \omega_c(t) \tilde{\Delta}_{P,\ell}^{(T)}(q,t) \end{split}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \Psi(q,t) &= \frac{1}{10} \tilde{\Delta}_{T,0}^{(T)}(q,t) + \frac{1}{7} \tilde{\Delta}_{T,2}^{(T)}(q,t) + \frac{3}{70} \tilde{\Delta}_{T,4}^{(T)}(q,t) \\ &- \frac{3}{5} \tilde{\Delta}_{P,0}^{(T)}(q,t) + \frac{6}{7} \tilde{\Delta}_{P,2}^{(T)}(q,t) - \frac{3}{70} \tilde{\Delta}_{P,4}^{(T)}(q,t) \end{split}$$



The power spectrum of primordial gravitational waves generated by inflation is

$$\Delta_h^2(k) = \frac{2H^2(t_k)}{\pi^2}$$

A measurement of the tensor contribution would provide a direct measurement of the expansion rate of the universe during inflation, as well as the energy scale

$$V_{\rm inf}^{1/4} = 1.06 \times 10^{16} \, GeV \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)^{1/4}$$

with 
$$r=rac{\Delta_h^2}{\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2}$$

- For r>0.01 the inflaton must have moved over a super-Planckian distance in field space.
- Motion of the scalar field over super-Planckian distances is hard to control in an effective field theory

$$V(\phi) = V_0 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\mu\phi^3 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4 + \phi^4\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (\phi/\Lambda)^n$$



Possible Solution:

Use a field with a shift symmetry and break the shift symmetry in a controlled way.

e.g. Linde's chaotic inflation with

$$V(\phi) = rac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$$
 with  $m \ll M_p$ 

In field theory we may simply postulate such a symmetry, but it is far from obvious that such shift symmetries exist in a theory of quantum gravity.

So a detection of primordial gravitational waves might teach us about shift symmetries in quantum gravity.

#### BICEP2 polarization data



Noise level: 87 nK deg - the deepest map at 150 GHz of this patch of sky

(Planck noise level: few  $\mu$ K deg)

# Foreground models made in collaboration with David Spergel, Colin Hill, and Aurelien Fraisse



 measurement of BB in the BICEP2 region at 353 GHz rescaled to 150 GHz





With the current data, we can constrain r by

- the tensor contribution to the temperature anisotropies on large angular scales
- the B-mode polarization generated by tensors.

The two likelihood are essentially independent

$$\mathcal{L}(r_{TT}, r_{BB}) = \mathcal{L}_{TT}(r_{TT})\mathcal{L}_{BB}(r_{BB})$$

Typically we talk about  $\mathcal{L}(r,r)$ 

#### $\mathcal{L}(r_{TT}, r_{BB})$ before BICEP2



#### Constraint dominated by temperature data

#### $\mathcal{L}(r_{TT}, r_{BB})$ after BICEP2



Constraint from polarization data comparable to constraint from temperature and will soon be significantly stronger.

#### $\mathcal{L}(r_{TT}, r_{BB})$ after BK14



Constraint from polarization data comparable to constraint from temperature and will soon be significantly stronger.



#### ongoing and upcoming:

Ground: BICEP2, Keck Array, BICEP3, SPTPol/SPT3G, ACTPol/ AdvACT, ABS, CLASS, POLARBEAR/Simons Array, C-BASS, QUIJOTE, B-Machine, Simons Observatory

Balloon: EBEX, SPIDER, PIPER

#### future (>5 years)

Ground: CMB Stage IV

Satellite: LiteBIRD, PIXIE,...

#### Outlook



### Outlook

Forecasting exactly how well it can do is difficult given our current level of understanding of foregrounds.

Models for polarized foreground need three ingredients, typically

- Intensity map
- Polarization fraction
- Polarization angles



Planck helps on large scales at frequencies 150 GHz and up.

#### Outlook



 $\sigma_{\rm CMBS4}(N_{\rm eff}) \approx 0.02$ 

(Brust, Kaplan, Walters 1303.5079)



- I hope you know slightly more about the CMB than you did before
- The CMB has provided us with valuable information about the early universe for 51 years and will continue to do so.
- We may detect primordial gravitational waves, measure neutrino masses, the number of effective relativistic degrees of freedom, dark matter, ...
- Large scale structure surveys will provide a useful counter part
- The next decade should be very interesting in cosmology

