

# **Decay Data - Experiment**



Filip G. Kondev kondev@anl.gov

decay data are very rich source of nuclear structure information & are of importance to many other areas

- nuclear structure often offer the best quantities, because the complexity of spectra is reduced
- astrophysics especially on the "r-process" side neutronrich nuclei
- $\checkmark$  atomic masses proton-rich (Q $\alpha$  & Qp); neutron-rich (Q $\beta$ –)
- applications of nuclear science

# Plan

#### will cover in somewhat details experimental α and β decays

#### isomer decays – lectures by Prof. P. Reagan

Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 103-104 (2015) 50-105

Configurations and hindered decays of *K* isomers in deformed nuclei with A > 100



F.G. Kondev<sup>a,\*</sup>, G.D. Dracoulis<sup>b,1</sup>, T. Kibédi<sup>b</sup>

IOP Publishing

- Rep. Prog. Phys. **79** 07630

#### Review of metastable states in heavy nuclei

G D Dracoulis<sup>1,4</sup>, P M Walker<sup>2</sup> and F G Kondev<sup>3</sup>

# Introduction

#### **Experimental Decay Data**

✓ experimental results obtained following  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ <sup>-</sup>-,  $\beta$ <sup>+</sup>, EC, IT, p, cluster, etc. decay processes

#### Evaluated Decay Data

 recommended (best) values for nuclear levels and decay radiation properties, deduced by the evaluator using all available experimental data & theoretical calculations (e.g. electron conversion coefficients)

**Myth:** decay data evaluation deals only with decay data – many properties come from other decays and reactions (adopted level properties), e.g. Eγ, Iγ, MR, ICC, ...

# Introduction – cont.



- controls the lifetime of the parent
  - the window of daughter states available



### α-decay – cont.

$$|I_i - I_f| \le l_\alpha \le |I_i + I_f|$$
$$\pi_i \pi_f = (-1)^{l_\alpha}$$

even-even nuclei:  $0+ -> 0+; I_{\alpha}=0$ odd-A:  $1/2+ -> 1/2+; I_{\alpha}=0,1$   $1/2+ -> 3/2+; I_{\alpha}=1,2$  $1/2+ -> 9/2-; I_{\alpha}=4,5$ 



I. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. C68 (2003) 044306

**Strong dependence on**  $I_{\alpha}$ 

- ✓ fastest decay for  $I_{\alpha}$ =0
- Configuration dependence
- ✓ fastest for the same configurations

#### **Hindrance Factor in α-decay**

HF < 4 – favorite decay (fast)

 $HF_{i} = \frac{t_{1/2}^{\alpha_{i}}(\exp)}{t_{1/2}^{\alpha_{i}}(th)} = \frac{T_{1/2}(\exp) / BR_{i}}{t_{1/2}^{\alpha_{i}}(th)}$ 



 $t_{1/2}^{\alpha_i}(th)$  M.A. Preston, Phys. Rev. **71** (1947) 865

$$t_{1/2}^{\alpha} = \ln 2 \frac{r_0}{2v} \frac{\mu^2 (H_i^2 + K_i^2) + \tan^2 \alpha_0 (C_i^2 + S_i^2) + 2\mu \tan \alpha_0 (C_i K_i - S_i H_i)}{\mu^2 \tan \alpha_0 (H_i C_i + K_i S_i) Q_i} e^{+2\omega_0}$$

✓ depends on r<sub>0</sub> and Q( $\alpha$ ) - nuclear radius: R=r<sub>0</sub> x A<sup>1/3</sup> V =  $\sqrt{2E_{\alpha} / m_{\alpha}}$ 

$$Q\alpha_i = Q\alpha_0 - E_i = [m(A,Z) - m(A-4,Z-2) - m\alpha] - E_i$$
 from AME12

$$Q\alpha_0 = E\alpha_0 \times \frac{m(A,Z)}{m(A-4,Z-2)} = E\alpha_0 \times \left(1 + \frac{4}{(A-4)}\right)$$
 Eag, Qao in keV

$$Q\alpha_0 = \frac{2 \times m(A,Z) \times E\alpha_0}{m(A-4,Z-2) + \sqrt{m(A-4,Z-2)^2 - 2 \times m(A,Z) \times E\alpha_0}} \approx E\alpha_0 \times \left(1 + \frac{4.0015}{(A-4)}\right) + 0.15$$

relativistic formula<sup>7</sup>

### α decay - Experiments

magnetic spectrometers
 ionization chambers
 semiconductor detectors – mostly Si

 Si(Au), PIPS, DSSD, ...

using radioactive sources (off-line)
 when lifetimes are sufficiently long

using nuclear reactions (on-line)

- ✓ implanting on a catcher foil
- ✓ implanting directly on the DSSD

absolute determinations of  $\alpha$  energies using the BIPM magnetic spectrometer with a semi-circle focusing of alpha-particles. These measurements were performed in the 70's - 80's for the most intense alpha-transitions

- <sup>228</sup>Th, <sup>224,226</sup>Ra, <sup>220,222,219</sup>Rn, <sup>216,212,218,214,215</sup>Po, <sup>212</sup>Bi, <sup>227</sup>Th, <sup>223</sup>Ra, <sup>211</sup>Bi, <sup>253</sup>Es, <sup>242,244</sup>Cm, <sup>241</sup>Am, <sup>238</sup>Pu B. Grennberg, A. Rytz, Metrologia 7, 65 (1971)
- <sup>232</sup>U, <sup>240</sup>Pu D.J. Gorman, A. Rytz, H.V. Michel, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. B 275, 291 (1972)
- <sup>210</sup>Po D.J. Gorman, A. Rytz, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. B 277, 29 (1973)
- <sup>239</sup>Pu A. Rytz, Proc. Intern. Conf. Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants, 6th, East Lansing (1979)
- ✓ <sup>236</sup>Pu A. Rytz, R.A.P. Wiltshire, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 223, 325 (1984)
- <sup>252</sup>Cf, <sup>227</sup>Ac A. Rytz, R.A.P. Wiltshire, M. King, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A253, 47 (1986).

**Two parameters -** the radius of curvature  $\rho$  and the mean magnetic induction **B**.

 $E(\alpha) = a (B\rho)^2 + b (B\rho)^4 + d (B\rho)^6$ The factors a, b, d are derived from the latest adjustment of fundamental constants (m<sub>e</sub>, e and N<sub>A</sub>).

The components of systematic uncertainty are due to length measurements  $(4.6 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ E}(\alpha))$ , measurement of mean magnetic induction  $(1.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ E}(\alpha))$  and combined effect of uncertainties of fundamental constants  $(0.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ E}(\alpha))$ , i.e. the total systematic uncertainty is ~5 \cdot 10^{-5}  $\text{E}(\alpha)$  or ~0.3 keV (<sup>239</sup>Pu).



#### Magnetic $\pi\sqrt{2} \alpha$ -spectrometers with high luminosity

In 1960's three such big magnetic  $\alpha$  spectrometers were built in the Soviet Union – in Moscow (Baranov et al.), St. Petersburg (Dzhelepov et al.) and Dubna (Golovkov et al.).



In respect of alpha-particle energies the measurements with  $\pi\sqrt{2}$  magnetic spectrometers are relative – one needs to use alpha-energy "standards".

#### Argonne double-focusing magnetic spectrometer

 $\checkmark$  energy resolution (FWHM) of 5 keV

✓ transmission efficiency of  $\Omega$ =0.1 % for 6 MeV  $\alpha$ -particles

HYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 2

AUGUST 1973

Alpha Decay of <sup>251</sup>Fm<sup>†</sup>





12

### Semiconductor detectors

semiconductor detectors: Passivated Implanted Planar Silicon (PIPS)

✓ energy resolution (FWHM) of ~<u>10 keV</u>

✓ small geometrical efficiency of  $\Omega$ =0.225% in order to minimize  $\alpha$ -e- coincidence summing effects

thin and isotopically pure sources



#### ATOMIC DATA AND NUCLEAR DATA TABLES 47, 205-239 (1991)

#### RECOMMENDED ENERGY AND INTENSITY VALUES OF ALPHA PARTICLES FROM RADIOACTIVE DECAY

#### A. RYTZ\*

#### Bureau International des Poids et Mesures F-92312 Sèvres Cedex, France

#### recommended values for E $\alpha$ and I $\alpha$



#### Direct high-precision mass measurements on <sup>241,243</sup>Am, <sup>244</sup>Pu, and <sup>249</sup>Cf

M. Eibach,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> T. Beyer,<sup>1</sup> K. Blaum,<sup>1</sup> M. Block,<sup>3</sup> Ch. E. Düllmann,<sup>3,4,5</sup> K. Eberhardt,<sup>2,5</sup> J. Grund,<sup>4</sup> Sz. Nagy,<sup>1</sup> H. Nitsche,<sup>6,7</sup> W. Nörtershäuser,<sup>2,3,8</sup> D. Renisch,<sup>2</sup> K. P. Rykaczewski,<sup>9</sup> F. Schneider,<sup>2,10</sup> C. Smorra,<sup>1,†</sup> J. Vieten,<sup>11</sup> M. Wang,<sup>1,12,13</sup> and K. Wendt<sup>10</sup>



#### PENNING trap

strong homogeneous magnetic field weak electric 3D quadrupole field

20.8(2)

20.7(3)

21.6(5)









#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 91, 044310 (2015)

#### High-resolution $\alpha$ and electron spectroscopy of $^{249}_{98}$ Cf

I. Ahmad, J. P. Greene, F. G. Kondev, and S. Zhu Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA



#### magnetic spectrograph

PIPS





still 8.1 keV difference!!!

# $^{251}$ Cf $\alpha$ -decay

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 68, 044306 (2003)

Energy levels of <sup>247</sup>Cm populated in the  $\alpha$  decay of <sup>251</sup><sub>98</sub>C



I. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. C68 (2003) 044306



# $^{251}Cf \alpha$ -decay – cont.



Enerov (keV)	Intensity (%)	Transitions Initial→Final
20.40 0.05	0.020 (0.0)	265.06
38.48±0.05	$0.038 \pm 0.006$	$265.86 \rightarrow 227.38$
52.45±0.05	$0.048 \pm 0.005$	318.31→265.86
58.03±0.05	$0.024 \pm 0.005$	$285.41 \rightarrow 227.38$
60.5±0.1	$0.010\pm0.003$	$345.9 \rightarrow 285.41$
61.67±0.05	$0.40 \pm 0.03$	$61.67 \rightarrow 0$
$73.00 \pm 0.08$	$0.040 \pm 0.005$	$134.65 \rightarrow 61.67$
$84.35 \pm 0.08$	$0.040 \pm 0.005$	$219.0 \rightarrow 134.65$
$104.57 \pm 0.02$	$12.6 \pm 0.7$	Cm Ka <sub>2</sub>
$109.26 \pm 0.02$	$19.8 \pm 1.0$	Cm Ka <sub>1</sub>
$113.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.024 \pm 0.005$	$518.58 \rightarrow 404.90$
$122.31 \pm 0.02 \pm$		Cm <i>K</i> <b></b> $\beta_3$
$123.40 \pm 0.02$	$7.7{\pm}0.5$	Cm <i>K</i> <b>β</b> <sub>1</sub>
$127.01 \pm 0.04 +$		$\operatorname{Cm} K\beta_2 + K\beta_4$
$128.00 \pm 0.05$	$2.6 \pm 0.2$	Cm KO <sub>2,3</sub>
$134.65 \pm 0.08$	$0.014 \pm 0.003$	$134.65 \rightarrow 0$
$157.35 {\pm} 0.08$	$0.020 \pm 0.004$	$219.0 \rightarrow 61.67$
$165.70 \pm 0.05$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$227.38 \rightarrow 61.67$
$177.52 \pm 0.02$	$17.3 \pm 0.9$	$404.90 \rightarrow 227.38$
$227.38 \pm 0.02$	$6.8 \pm 0.3$	$227.38 \rightarrow 0$
$256.65 \pm 0.08$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$318.31 \rightarrow 61.67$
$265.86 {\pm} 0.08$	$0.43 \pm 0.03$	$265.86 \rightarrow 0$
$284.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$345.9 \rightarrow 61.67$
$285.41 \pm 0.08$	$1.13 \pm 0.09$	$285.41 \rightarrow 0$
$289.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.070 \pm 0.007$	$516.7 \rightarrow 227.38$
$291.20 \pm 0.08$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$518.58 \rightarrow 227.38$
$315.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.024 \pm 0.003$	$581.7 \rightarrow 265.86$
$318.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.050 \pm 0.005$	$318.31 \rightarrow 0$
$345.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.043 \pm 0.004$	$345.9 \rightarrow 0$
$354.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.013 \!\pm\! 0.002$	$581.7\!\rightarrow\!227.38$

I. Ahmad et al., Phys. Rev. C68 (2003) 044306

# Spectroscopy near the proton drip line



# **Decay Tagging**



### The Heart of RDT: the DSSD



80 x 80 detector 300 μm strips, Each with high, low, and delay line amplifiers, for implant, decay, and fast-decay recognition.

Data from DSSD showing implant pattern 40 cm beyond the focal plane





### $\alpha 1 - \alpha 2$ (parent-daughter) correlations



F.G. Kondev et al. Phys. Lett. B528 (2002) 221

#### Odd-Z Au (Z=79) isotopes-sample spectra



### Neutron-deficient Au nuclei (Z=79)



<sup>179</sup>Tl: α-decay properties







### <sup>179</sup>Tl: lifetimes



#### <sup>175</sup>Au: lifetimes



29







#### **On-Line Alpha Spectroscopy of Neutron-Deficient Actinium Isotopes\***

KALEVI VALLI, WILLIAM J. TREYTL,<sup>†</sup> AND EARL K. HYDE Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California

- using HI fusion reactions to produce various nuclei
  collect recoils on a catcher foil
- ✓ Si(Au) surface-barrier detector or PIPS

 using excitation function measurements for isotopic identification



# No direct detector implantation



1 GeV pulsed proton beam on 51 g/cm2 ThCx target on-line mass separation (ISOLDE)/CERN

H. De Witte et al., EPJ A23 (2005) 243

Isotope	Energy $(keV)$	$T_{1/2}$	Reference
<sup>200</sup> Fr	7473(12) 7500(30) 7468(9)	$\begin{array}{c} 49(4) \ \mathrm{ms} \\ 570^{+270}_{-140} \ \mathrm{ms} \\ 19^{+13}_{-6} \ \mathrm{ms} \end{array}$	this work [4] [5]

#### Windmill System (WM) at ISOLDE

A. Andreyev et al., PRL 105, 252502 (2010)



#### Setup: Si detectors from both sides of the C-foil

- Simple setup & DAQ: 4 PIPS (1 of them annular)
- Large geometrical efficiency (up to 80%)
- 2 fold fission fragment coincidences
- ff-gamma coincidences
- Digital electronics

### **Beta decay : Introduction**

**<u>Beta Decay:</u>** universal term for all weak-interaction transitions between two neighboring isobars

Takes place is 3 different forms  $\beta$ -,  $\beta$ + & EC (capture of an atomic electron)



a nucleon inside the nucleus is transformed into another

### Classification of $\beta$ decay transition



### **Classification of the allowed decay**



$$\Delta I = \left| I_i - I_f \right| \equiv 0 \qquad I_i \neq 0$$

# Classification of $\beta$ decay transitions

Type of transition	Order of forbiddenness	ΔI	$\pi_i \pi_f$
Allowed		0,+1	+1
	1	∓2	-1
Forbidden unique	2	∓3	+1
	3	<b>∓</b> 4	-1
	4	<b>Ŧ</b> 5	+1
	•	•	•
	1	0 <i>,</i> ∓1	-1
Forbidden	2	∓2	+1
	3	<b>∓</b> 3	-1
	4	<b>∓</b> 4	+1
	•	•	•

### What we want to know accurately?



$$f_n = \int_1^W p_e W_e (W_0 - W_e)^2 F(Z, W_e) (C_n / \eta^2) dW_e$$

$$HF_{\beta}^{n} = \frac{T_{1/2}^{\beta_{i}}}{T_{1/2}^{n}} = \left(\frac{g^{2}\eta^{2}}{2\pi^{3}\ln 2}\right)f_{n}t$$

# Some useful empirical rules

The fifth power beta decay rule of tumb:

the speed of a  $\beta$  transition increases approximately in proportion to the fifth power of the total transition energy (if other things are being equal, of course)

$$I_{\rm i} = \frac{1}{\tau} \propto [(M(Z) - M(Z \pm 1))c^2]^5$$

 depends on spin and parity changes between the initial and final state
 additional hindrance due to nuclear structure effects – isospin, "1forbidden", "K-forbidden", etc.

Log *ft* values

0.0 5.14 min

%β<sup>-</sup>=100

Log ft

1/2+

3/2+

1/2+

3/2+

5/2+

3/2+

1/2+

5.62

6.43

7.03

7.61

6.51

5.257

8.701u

1/2-

<sup>205</sup><sub>80</sub>Hg <sub>125</sub>

Q-=15334

Iβ-

0.0049

0.006

0.007

0.0038

0.015

3.2

96.8

$$t \equiv T_{1/2}^{\beta_i} = \frac{T_{1/2}^{\exp}}{P_{\beta_i}}$$
$$P_{\beta_i} = \eta [I^{tot}(out) - I^{tot}(in)]$$

Intensities: I( y+ce) per 100 parent decays

205 81TI 124

$$I^{tot}(out/in) = \sum_{i} I_{\gamma_i}(1 + \alpha_{T_i})$$
$$\alpha_T(M1 + E2) = \frac{\alpha_T(M1) + \delta^2 \alpha_T(E2)}{1 + \delta^2}$$

□ What we want to know accurately  $\checkmark T_{1/2}, I_{\gamma}, \alpha_T \& \delta$ 

#### In

$$I^{tot}(521+721) = 0.086(16) = 0.69(10)$$

$$I^{tot}(416+619) = 0.78(10) \quad (net)$$

$$Out$$

 $\eta = 0.0022 \rightarrow t = 2.056 \times 10^{6} [s] \rightarrow \log t = 6.31 \rightarrow \log f = 2.386 \rightarrow \log ft = 8.7$ 

1434.0

1340.3

1218.6

619.3

0.0

# **Rules for Spin/Parity Assignments**

PHYSICAL REVIEW C

VOLUME 7, NUMBER 5

MAY 1973

Rules for Spin and Parity Assignments Based on Logft Values\*

S. Raman and N. B. Gove Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 (Received 25 October 1972)

□ There are only a few cases where unambiguous assignment can be made

□ "pandemonium effect" – neutron rich nuclei – log *ft* is a just lower limit!

needs to know the decay scheme and its properties accurately!



### Log *ft* values – latest review

Nuclear Data Sheets 84, 487 (1998) Article No. DS980015

~3900 cases -> gives centroids and widths

#### Review Of Log*ft* Values In β Decay<sup>\*</sup>

B. Singh, J.L. Rodriguez, S.S.M. Wong & J.K. Tuli



# Beta decay of odd-odd nuclei



$$log ft = (log ft)_{sp} \times P^2 \times HF$$

 $\pi 9/2^{-}[514] <- \sqrt{7}/2^{-}[514]$ : *log* ft =4.4 (spin-flip)  $\pi 7/2^{+}[404] \rightarrow \sqrt{9}/2^{+}[624]$ : *log* ft =6.7



# β– decay of N-rich nuclei



#### High-resolution gamma-ray spectroscopy



depends on the accurate knowledge of the decay scheme – level energies, J<sup>π</sup>, mult., ICC

not studied with state-ofthe art equipments – low sensitivity & effect of the "Pandemonium"

#### **Total Absorption Gamma-ray Spectroscopy (TAGS)**



# We need both - HRGS and TAGS!





#### Fast timing demonstration with EURICA

18 LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) scintillators (Φ1.5"×2") on three vacant slots for γ rays ※Contributed from U. of Surrey and Brighton

BC-418 plastic counters (2-mm thick) beside the DSSDs for β rays





Nov. 2014 (3 days)

- High intensity (10~15 pnA)
   Slits optimized for <sup>170,172</sup>Dy
   ΔA/Q ~ 0.05 %
  - ⇒ Separate charge state

lon	BigRIPS
<sup>170</sup> Dy <sup>66+</sup>	12932
<sup>172</sup> Dy <sup>66+</sup>	8272

- courtesy of H. Watanabe
  - ★ Isomer in µs
  - Isomer in ms





Low-energy electron & Shorter time range

⇒ Internal decay from isomer

High-energy electron & Shorter time range

⇒ β decay from isomer

High-energy electron & Longer time range

⇒ β decay from ground state





Long-lived isomer ( $T_{1/2} = 0.71$  s)  $\implies K^{\pi} = 8$ -: v7/2<sup>-</sup>[514] $\otimes$ v9/2<sup>+</sup>[624]

Internal decay to  $\begin{cases} K^{\pi} = 0 + \text{ ground-state band via 400 keV [E1] and 758 keV [M2]} \\ K^{\pi} = 2 + \gamma \text{-vibrational band via 45 keV [E1] (unobserved)} \end{cases}$ 

■ β decay to  $^{172}$ Ho  $\Rightarrow$  log ft = 4.9(4)  $\times$ Feeding only to the 216-keV transition is assumed

Allowed-unhindered  $\beta$  decay



# TRIUMF, CANADA

#### $8\pi$ & SCEPTAR





G.F. Grinyer et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 044309 (2005)













# CARIBU gas catcher: transforms fission recoils into a beam with good optical properties

- Based on smaller devices developed at ANL
  - Radioactive recoils stop in sub-ppb level impurity Helium gas
  - Radioactive ion transport by RF field + DC field + gas flow
  - Stainless steel and ceramics construction (1.2 m length, 50 cm inner diameter)
  - Fast and essentially universally applicable

DC gradient

252**~** 

- Extraction in 2 RFQ sections with  $\mu$ RFQs for differential pumping





#### Selection by compact CARIBU isobar separator



 $M/\Delta M = 14000-20000 @ >70\%$  transmission ... still being improved

#### Contamination at A=108 versus separator resolution



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nimb

#### First operation and mass separation with the CARIBU MR-TOF

Tsviki Y. Hirsh<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Nancy Paul<sup>b,c</sup>, Mary Burkey<sup>b,d</sup>, Ani Aprahamian<sup>c</sup>, Fritz Buchinger<sup>e</sup>, Shane Caldwell<sup>b,d</sup>, Jason A. Clark<sup>b</sup>, Anthony F. Levand<sup>b</sup>, Lin Ling Ying<sup>b</sup>, Scott T. Marley<sup>c</sup>, Graeme E. Morgan<sup>a,b</sup>, Andrew Nystrom<sup>b,c</sup>, Rodney Orford<sup>b,e</sup>, Adrian Pérez Galván<sup>b,a</sup>, John Rohrer<sup>b</sup>, Guy Savard<sup>b,d</sup>, Kumar S. Sharma<sup>a</sup>, Kevin Siegl<sup>b,c</sup>

#### Generation: Generator:

- ✓ Based on ISOLTRAP/ISOLDE design:
- ✓ ~1.3 m long MR-TOF
- ✓ Currently mass resolving power, R ~ 50,000 with ~ 50% transmission in ~ 15 ms

multi-reflection time-of-flight mass separator



BEAM INTERACTIONS WITH MATERIALS

ND ATOMS

CrossMark

mixture of electrostatic mirror 2 in-trap lift electrode electrostatic mirror 1 different 160 mm 460 mm 160 mm species to Penning traps ions from **RFQ** buncher transmitted time-of-flight separation separated ion trajectory switched electric deflected atomic species in multiple revolutions potentials ions ions

#### The CARIBU low-energy experimental area

- Delivers 1.5 kV to 10 kV beam to experimental stations
- Pulsed beams with rates from
- $\sim$  50 ms to seconds
- Low emittance
  - Experimental stations:
  - CPT

TAPE STATION

#### (installed)







Tape cycle

X-ARRAY

(installed)



LASER SPECTROSCOPY: After CPT move (end of 2016)

• Limited amount of space ... removal of Tandem will provide new experimental area

# β<sup>-</sup> decay studies with Gammasphere

110 Ge detectors (Comptonsuppressed), covering  $4\pi$ 



- ✓ high resolution & sensitivity
- powerful β-γ-γ coin resolving weak cascades & isomers!



#### GS as a calorimeter

P. Reiter et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 3542 (2000)
 with a modest upgrade – suitable for β<sup>-</sup> decay studies

combining direct spectroscopy with callorimetry

### isomer studies: <sup>156</sup>Pm decay



### detailed $\beta - \gamma - \gamma$ spectroscopy



### isomer studies: <sup>162</sup>Eu decay







### isomer studies: <sup>130</sup>In





#### Expanded <sup>142</sup>Cs β-decay level scheme

#### CARIBU & Gammasphere at ANL

