Advanced School on Tropical-Extratropical Interactions



Weather Typing as a Potential Tool to Analyze Tropical-Extratropical Interactions

Ángel G. Muñoz

agms@princeton.edu

Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences (AOS). Princeton University



Á.G. Muñoz

Advanced School on Tropical-Extratropical Interactions – ICTP, Trieste. 16-27 Oct 2017

- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary

- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary

Available states of the system

A Nonlinear Dynamical Perspective on Climate Prediction

T. N. PALMER

European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Shinfield Park, Reading, United Kingdom

(Manuscript received 7 October 1997, in final form 26 February 1998)

ABSTRACT

A nonlinear dynamical perspective on climate prediction is outlined, based on a treatment of climate as the attractor of a nonlinear dynamical system D with distinct quasi-stationary regimes. The main application is toward anthropogenic climate change, considered as the response of D to a small-amplitude imposed forcing \mathbf{f} .

The primary features of this perspective can be summarized as follows. First, the response to \mathbf{f} will be manifest primarily in terms of changes to the residence frequency associated with the quasi-stationary regimes. Second, the geographical structures of these regimes will be relatively insensitive to \mathbf{f} . Third, the large-scale signal will be most strongly influenced by \mathbf{f} in rather localized regions of space and time. In this perspective, the signal arising from \mathbf{f} will be strongly dependent of D's natural variability.

A theoretical framework for the perspective is developed based on a singular vector decomposition of D's tangent propagator. Evidence for the dyamical perspective is drawn from a number of observational and modeling studies of intraseasonal, interannual, and interdecadal variability, and from climate change integrations. It is claimed that the dynamical perspective might resolve the apparent discrepancy in global warming trends deduced from surface and free troposphere temperature measurements.

A number of specific recommendations for the evaluation of climate models are put forward, based on the ideas developed in this paper.



4

Available states of the system



Muñoz et al., 2017 (J Clim)

- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary

Weather types via k-means



Link to climate drivers

- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary

DJF 1981-2010



DJF 1981-2010









Paul Klee (1879-1940)







Á.G. MuñozWeather Typing as Tool for Tropical-Extratropical Interactions12







Link to MJO

FIG. 10. Anomalous percentage of occurrence (see color bar) of each WT for each phase of the MJO (DJF 1979–2010). The ordinate gives the number of days that the MJO phase precedes each WT, from 0 (simultaneous) to 14 days. Colored tiles are significant at p < 0.05 confidence level, obtained using a bootstrapping method resampling 1000 times.

- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary







NOAA's ENSO Blog, Jan 2017 (Muñoz)

A = -1.







The basins of attraction in the phase space are modified by the interaction of different climate drivers (e.g., ENSO + MJO) As a result, certain trajectories in the phase space tend to be visited more frequently by the system. Which implies some predictability in the temporal evolution of the variable of interest.

Putting the pieces together



Sub-seasonal drivers

Could the WT contain all the information needed to make good forecasts of extreme events?





Different drivers interacting at different temporal and spatial scales, but their impacts are represented by <u>only 6 weather</u> <u>types</u>

Muñoz et al., 2015

Á.G. Muñoz Weather Typing as Tool for Tropical-Extratropical Interactions

- + Are climate drivers independent?
- + Entanglement of climate drivers (s2s states?)
- + Forecast skill enhancement
- + A way to subseasonal-to-seasonal forecasts?



Anomalous percentage of occurrence (see color bar) of each weather type for each phase of the MJO for all DJF seasons (1981-2010; panel a), El Niño events (b) and La Niña events (c). Region: South Eastern South America.

Muñoz et al. 2015, 2016

XTSI and seasonal skill

Potential predictability

Real-time predictability



Muñoz et al. (2016, J. Clim)



Á.G. Muñoz Weather Typing as Tool for Tropical-Extratropical Interactions 23





Paul Klee (1879-1940)



Muñoz *et al.*, 2015, 2016

WT 6 5

> 4 3 2



Muñoz et al., 2015, 2016





Muñoz et al., 2015, 2016

S2S extreme rainfall scenarios: Summary



- 1. Available states of the dynamical system
- 2. Weather types
- 3. Lab Example: NE North America
- 4. Tropical-Extratropical interactions and intraseasonal predictability
- 5. Summary

+ The forecast skill of extreme rainfall frequency in South East South America for the DJF season is improved when the interference of predictors at different timescales is considered.

+ Attributed to mechanisms of climate variability acting at one timescale that contribute to predictability at other timescales.

+ Seasonal forecasts of frequency of daily rainfall exceeding the 95th-percentile are, at regional scale, significantly more skillful when cross-timescale predictors are used, compared to models employing SST fields alone or when model rainfall is used.

+ Subseasonal-to-seasonal scenarios for extreme rainfall events can be built based on probability forecasts of seasonal sequences of weather types. (Another method: Moron *et al* 2013, J. Clim).

+ The cross-validated predictions show Hit Scores $\sim 50\%$ (climatological: 20%). The model is better for state I (extremely wet season), followed by state III (wet), worse for state V (dry), which tends to be confused with state II. (Muñoz et al., 2016)